DynamoDB Design for Skier Ride Data Processing

https://github.com/linmengl28/CS6650-Assignment3

Database Design Overview

After evaluating several database options (Redis, MySQL/RDS, DynamoDB, MongoDB), we selected **DynamoDB** for the following reasons:

- Write Throughput: Superior performance for high-volume write operations (crucial for our message processing)
- Scalability: Ability to handle massive throughput without complex sharding strategies
- **GSI Support**: Built-in indexing for efficient query access patterns without write locks
- Managed Service: No operational overhead for scaling, replication, or failover Integration: Native AWS integration with our EC2-based consumer architecture

Table Structure

Primary Table: SkierRides

- o Partition Key: skierld (Number)
- o Sort Key: sortKey (String) format: "dayld#liftld#timestamp"
- o Attributes: resortId, dayId, liftId, time, vertical

Global Secondary Indexes (GSIs)

resort-day-index:

- O Partition Key: resortId
- Sort Key: dayld
- O Projected Attributes: skierld
- O Purpose: Count unique skiers per resort/day

skier-day-index:

- O Partition Key: skierld
- O Sort Key: dayld
- O Projected Attributes: vertical, liftld
- O Purpose: Analyze skier activity by day

Deployment Topology

Compute Resources

- Consumer Application: Java application running on EC2
 - O Instance Type: t3.medium
 - O Role: Consumes messages from RabbitMQ, processes data, writes to DynamoDB
 - O Concurrency: 512 threads, 250 prefetch count per thread

Database Provisioning

• DynamoDB Table: Provisioned capacity mode

Base Table: 10 RCU, 2000 WCUGSls: 20 RCU, 2000 WCU eachRegion: US-West-2 (Oregon)

Message Queue

• RabbitMQ: Deployed on EC2

O Instance Type: t2.micro

O Configuration: Persistent queues, durable messages

Performance Optimization

- Batch Writing: Implemented custom batching with 25 items per batch
- Write Efficiency: Combined flush interval of 100ms with maximum batch size
- GSI Scaling: Matched GSI write capacity to base table (2000 WCU)
- HTTP Client Tuning: MaxConcurrency=250, ConnectionTimeout=5s

Client performance metrics:

Phase 1 Results:

Thread count: 32
Total requests: 32000
Successful requests: 32000
Failed requests: 0
Total time: 33.43 seconds
Throughput: 957.37 requests/second
Phase 2 Results:
Thread count: 300
Total requests: 168000
Successful requests: 168000
Failed requests: 0
Total time: 25.44 seconds
Throughput: 6602.74 requests/second
Overall Results:
Phase 1: 32 threads with 1000 requests each
Phase 2: 300 threads with remaining requests
Total Requests: 200000

Successful requests: 200000

Failed requests: 0
Total time: 58.87 seconds
Overall Throughput: 3397.37 requests/second
=== Overall Performance Metrics ===
Sample size: 200000 requests
Mean Response Time: 40.89 ms
Median Response Time: 36 ms
Min Response Time: 12 ms
Max Response Time: 470 ms
90th Percentile Response Time (p90): 60 ms
95th Percentile Response Time (p95): 76 ms
99th Percentile Response Time (p99): 112 ms
Throughput: 3397.37 requests/sec
=== Phase 1 Performance Metrics ===
Sample size: 32000 requests
Mean Response Time: 32.47 ms
Median Response Time: 30 ms
Min Response Time: 12 ms
Max Response Time: 469 ms
90th Percentile Response Time (p90): 38 ms

95th Percentile Response Time (p95): 45 ms

=== Phase 2 Performance Metrics ===

Sample size: 168000 requests

Mean Response Time: 42.50 ms

Median Response Time: 37 ms

Min Response Time: 14 ms

Max Response Time: 470 ms

90th Percentile Response Time (p90): 63 ms

95th Percentile Response Time (p95): 79 ms

99th Percentile Response Time (p99): 114 ms







