

## AMS 572, Fall 2016

### Example: Treatment of Kidney Cancer

Historically, one in five kidney cancer patients (i.e. 20%) survive 5 years past diagnosis. An oncologist using an experimental therapy treats  $n = 40$  kidney cancer patients and 16 of them survive at least 5 years. Is there evidence that patients receiving the experimental therapy have a higher 5-year survival rate? Please test at the significance level of  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

#### Solution 1. (Large sample approximate test)

Let  $p$  be the proportion of kidney cancer patients receiving the experimental therapy that survive at least 5 years.

$H_0: p = 0.2$  versus  $H_a: p > 0.2$

Since  $np(1 - p) = 40(0.2)(0.8) = 6.4 < 10$ , large sample may not work well. However, we will use it regardless as illustration:

$\hat{p} = \frac{16}{40} = .40$  or a 40% 5-yr. survival rate

$$z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{\frac{p_0(1-p_0)}{n}}} = \frac{.40 - .20}{\sqrt{\frac{.20(1-.20)}{40}}} = 3.16$$

Since  $p = 0.0008 < \alpha = 0.05$ , we conclude that the 5-year survival rate for kidney cancer patients undergoing the experimental therapy is greater than the current 5-yr. survival rate of 20%.

#### Solution 2. (Exact test)

In our example we had  $n = 40$  patients and if we assume the experimental therapy is no better than current treatments then probability of 5-year survival is  $p = .20$ .

Thus the number of patients in our study surviving at least 5 years has a binomial distribution, i.e.  $X \sim B(40, 0.2)$ . The exact test p-value =  $P(X \geq 16) = P(X = 16) + P(X = 17) + \cdots + P(X = 40) = 0.002936$

Since  $p = 0.002936 < \alpha = 0.05$ , we conclude that the 5-year survival rate for kidney cancer patients undergoing the experimental therapy is greater than the current 5-yr. survival rate of 20%.