

Department of Computer Science

Value Visionaries

Linn Kloefta Areeb Eshan Bhavya Patel Nihar Naik

Abstract

Predicting how home values change each year is important for investors, planners, and anyone involved in housing decisions. This project focuses on forecasting year-over-year (YoY) home price growth at the ZIP-code level in Georgia. We used Zillow Home Value Index (ZHVI) data along with socioeconomic and demographic information from the American Community Survey (ACS) to build a dataset that captures both housing trends and local conditions.

Each row in our dataset represents a ZIP code in a specific year, with features like volatility, average monthly growth, and previous YoY changes from the ZHVI time series. We also added county-level ACS variables like education levels, poverty rates, unemployment, and household structure to capture broader context.

To find the most useful features, we used two different selection methods: mutual information and f-regression. Then we trained three models — Decision Tree, K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN), and Random Forest — tuning them using GridSearchCV. The models were evaluated using R², RMSE, MAE, and SMAPE on a ZIP-based test set. Random Forest performed the best overall, while the other models showed signs of overfitting unless properly tuned.

Overall, we found that recent YoY trends and economic indicators were the most reliable signals for short-term price growth. This setup could be extended in the future to make longer-term predictions as more recent ACS data becomes available.

Keywords: housing prediction, machine learning, ZHVI, ZIP code, ACS

Report's total word count: x words

Contents

List of Figures i							
Lis	st of	Tables	V				
1	Intro	oduction	1				
	1.1	Background	1				
	1.2	Problem statement	1				
	1.3	Aims and objectives	1				
	1.4	Solution approach	2				
	1.5	Summary of contributions and achievements	2				
	1.6	Organization of the report	3				
2	Met	hodology	4				
	2.1	Overview	4				
	2.2	Data collection and cleaning	4				
	2.3	Feature engineering	4				
		2.3.1 Zillow-derived features	4				
		2.3.2 ACS features	5				
	2.4	Dataset preparation	5				
	2.5	Feature selection	5				
	2.6	Model training and evaluation	5				
	2.7	Ethical considerations	6				
	2.8	Summary	6				
3	Resi	Results					
	3.1	A section	7				
	3.2	Example of a Table in LaTEX	8				
	3.3	Example of captions style	8				
	3.4	Summary	8				
4	Discussion and Analysis						
	4.1	A section	9				
	4.2	Significance of the findings	9				
	4.3	Limitations	9				
	4.4	Summary	9				
5	Con	Conclusions and Future Work 1					
	5.1	Conclusions	10				
	5.2	Future work	10				

CONTENTS			
Αŗ	ppendices	11	
A	An Appendix Chapter (Optional)	11	
В	An Appendix Chapter (Optional)	12	

List of Figures

List of Tables

	3.1	Example of a table in LATEX	
--	-----	-----------------------------	--

List of Abbreviations

PCA Principal Component Analysis

Introduction

1.1 Background

Understanding housing price trends is important for economic planning, smart investing, and making housing more accessible. In the U.S., housing markets can vary a lot — not just between states or cities, but even from one ZIP code to another. Predicting growth at a local level helps everyone from city planners to individual buyers make better decisions.

Zillow's Home Value Index (ZHVI) gives monthly estimates of home values across the country and is a great starting point for analysis. But price data alone doesn't tell the full story. Things like income, education levels, household makeup, and transportation access all influence how housing prices change over time. In this project, we combined ZHVI time series data with demographic information from the American Community Survey (ACS) to build a model that can forecast local home price growth across Georgia ZIP codes.

1.2 Problem statement

The main goal of this project was to predict next-year home value growth at the ZIP-code level in Georgia. A lot of existing models work at a broader level — like metro areas or states — and often leave out important social and economic context. We wanted to build something more detailed, that looks at local trends and uses both housing and demographic data.

This isn't an easy problem. Home prices are sensitive to the economy, and there's a lot of noise at the local level. Some ZIP codes don't have much data, and there are also issues with reporting timelines and inconsistent trends from year to year. Still, our hope was that with a strong enough dataset and good feature engineering, we could make reliable short-term predictions.

1.3 Aims and objectives

Aim: Build a model that predicts one-year-ahead home value growth for ZIP codes in Georgia, using both housing trends and demographic data.

Objectives:

- Load and clean Zillow ZHVI data for Georgia ZIP codes.
- Create features from the ZHVI time series (e.g., volatility, growth rates, prior YoY).
- Add in ACS demographic data by county and year.

- Compare different models: Decision Tree, KNN, and Random Forest.
- Use feature selection (mutual information and f-regression) to reduce dimensionality.
- Tune and evaluate each model using a ZIP-based test split.

1.4 Solution approach

We built a long-format dataset where each row represents a single ZIP code in a given year. The target was defined as the YoY growth from that year to the next — letting the model learn how past trends relate to future outcomes.

From the ZHVI data, we engineered features like:

- Final ZHVI value for the year,
- Average monthly price growth,
- Volatility (standard deviation of monthly percent change),
- CAGR (Compound Annual Growth Rate),
- Number of years with negative YoY growth,
- YoY growth in the previous year.

We merged in county-level ACS data by year, including indicators like:

- Education levels,
- Poverty rate.
- Household structure,
- Vehicle ownership,
- Unemployment,
- Age breakdowns.

After preprocessing, we trained three models: Decision Tree, KNN, and Random Forest. We used ZIP-based train/test splits to prevent target leakage and tuned hyperparameters using GridSearchCV. Feature selection helped reduce noise and focus on the most informative variables.

1.5 Summary of contributions and achievements

What we were able to do in this project:

- Built a cleaned, long-format dataset combining housing and ACS data at the ZIP-year level.
- Created interpretable housing features from raw price data.
- Evaluated and tuned three models with proper train/test validation.
- Identified top predictors like recent YoY growth, volatility, and education levels.

• Made a visual tool to show predictions over time for individual ZIPs.

Our tuned Random Forest model gave the best results on the test set, while KNN and Decision Tree performed well but showed signs of overfitting without tuning. All models were able to pick up on general trends, and model performance mainly came down to how well the feature selection matched the model's complexity.

1.6 Organization of the report

This report is broken up into seven chapters. Chapter ?? covers related work and existing methods in housing prediction. Chapter 2 explains how the data was collected, cleaned, and turned into features. Chapter ?? goes over the modeling process and includes results, tuning outcomes, and visualizations. Chapter ?? discusses what the results mean and what worked well (or didn't). Chapter ?? wraps things up and suggests future directions. The appendices include any extra figures or references used in the project.

Methodology

2.1 Overview

This chapter explains how the dataset was built, how features were created from the ZHVI time series and ACS data, and how the machine learning models were trained and evaluated. Everything was done in Python using libraries like pandas, scikit-learn, and matplotlib. The whole goal was to set things up in a way that avoided target leakage and helped each model make useful predictions based only on information available at the time.

2.2 Data collection and cleaning

The main dataset came from Zillow's Home Value Index (ZHVI), which contains monthly home value estimates for U.S. ZIP codes. We filtered it to only include rows from Georgia and cleaned the data to fix missing values in the Metro and City columns. ZIP codes with long gaps in the time series (over 12 months) were excluded.

We also pulled annual ACS 1-Year Estimates from the U.S. Census Bureau's API. These included variables related to education, poverty, transportation access, household structure, and age breakdowns, aggregated at the county level. Since ACS doesn't report at the ZIP level, each ZIP inherited the demographic data from its county and year.

2.3 Feature engineering

2.3.1 Zillow-derived features

For each ZIP and year, we generated time-based features from the historical ZHVI data leading up to that year. These included:

- FinalZHVI: the home value at the end of the year.
- AvgMonthlyGrowth: average monthly percentage growth across the year.
- Volatility: standard deviation of monthly growth rates.
- CAGR: compound annual growth rate from the earliest available data point to the cutoff.
- **NegativeGrowthYears**: total number of years that showed negative YoY growth up to that point.

• YoY_LastYear: percent growth from the previous year to the current one.

The target variable, YoY_target, was the year-over-year growth from the current year to the next — for example, a row for 2016 would have 2017's YoY as the target.

2.3.2 ACS features

ACS data was merged by CountyName and Year, and included:

- Percent of renters, households without a vehicle, and people in poverty.
- Education rates (bachelor's degree or higher).
- Unemployment rate.
- Household sizes (1-person, 4+ people).
- Percent of population in age ranges 0–17, 18–34, and 65+.

This helped give context to each ZIP's housing behavior based on local conditions.

2.4 Dataset preparation

After merging, we grouped rare counties and metro areas into an Other category to avoid overfitting. Categorical variables were one-hot encoded. Rows with missing target values were dropped, and the final dataset contained 3,009 rows and 139 columns.

To avoid data leakage, we did the train/test split by ZIP code. That way, if a ZIP appeared in training data, it wouldn't appear in the test set, even across years.

2.5 Feature selection

We applied two methods to rank features:

- F-Regression: Based on linear correlation between each feature and the target.
- Mutual Information: Captures any kind of dependency (not just linear).

Both methods were used to compare which features were most informative. These rankings were then used to build models with varying numbers of top features (from 1 to 50), and we compared performance using 3-fold cross-validation.

2.6 Model training and evaluation

We tested three regression models:

- Decision Tree Regressor,
- K-Nearest Neighbors (KNN),
- Random Forest Regressor.

Each model was tuned using GridSearchCV. The evaluation metrics included:

• R^2 (coefficient of determination),

- RMSE (root mean squared error),
- MAE (mean absolute error),
- SMAPE (symmetric mean absolute percentage error).

Model performance was measured on a held-out test set of ZIP codes. Final evaluation included both numeric metrics and visual inspection via scatter plots and prediction-over-time charts.

2.7 Ethical considerations

This project uses only public datasets with no individual or sensitive personal data. All ACS data is aggregated at the county level, and ZHVI does not contain identifiable information. As such, no informed consent, privacy measures, or risk mitigation were needed. The model is intended for research and educational purposes and does not make real estate recommendations or financial decisions for individuals.

2.8 Summary

We started by cleaning and merging housing and demographic data. After engineering features and merging datasets, we created a ZIP–year format suitable for supervised learning. We used two feature selection methods to reduce dimensionality, and trained three different models with hyperparameter tuning and ZIP-based splits. Results were evaluated both quantitatively and visually to understand how well each model performed and where they differed.

Results

The results chapter tells a reader about your findings based on the methodology you have used to solve the investigated problem. For example:

- If your project aims to develop a software/web application, the results may be the developed software/system/performance of the system, etc., obtained using a relevant methodological approach in software engineering.
- If your project aims to implement an algorithm for its analysis, the results may be the performance of the algorithm obtained using a relevant experiment design.
- If your project aims to solve some problems/research questions over a collected dataset, the results may be the findings obtained using the applied tools/algorithms/etc.

Arrange your results and findings in a logical sequence.

3.1 A section

. . .

3.2 Example of a Table in LaTeX

Table 3.1 is an example of a table created using the package LATEX "booktabs." do check the link: wikibooks.org/wiki/LaTeX/Tables for more details. A table should be clean and readable. Unnecessary horizontal lines and vertical lines in tables make them unreadable and messy. The example in Table 3.1 uses a minimum number of liens (only necessary ones). Make sure that the top rule and bottom rule (top and bottom horizontal lines) of a table are present.

Bike		
Туре	Color	Price (\$)
Electric	black	700
Hybrid	blue	500
Road	blue	300
Mountain	red	300
Folding	black	500

Table 3.1: Example of a table in LATEX

3.3 Example of captions style

- The caption of a Figure (artwork) goes below the artwork (Figure/Graphics/illustration). See example artwork in Figure ??.
- The **caption of a Table goes above** the table. See the example in Table 3.1.
- The caption of an Algorithm goes above the algorithm. See the example in Algorithm ??.

3.4 Summary

Write a summary of this chapter.

Discussion and Analysis

Depending on the type of project you are doing, this chapter can be merged with "Results" Chapter as "Results and Discussion" as suggested by your supervisor.

In the case of software development and the standalone applications, describe the significance of the obtained results/performance of the system.

4.1 A section

The Discussion and Analysis chapter evaluates and analyses the results. It interprets the obtained results.

4.2 Significance of the findings

In this chapter, you should also try to discuss the significance of the results and key findings, in order to enhance the reader's understanding of the investigated problem

4.3 Limitations

Discuss the key limitations and potential implications or improvements of the findings.

4.4 Summary

Write a summary of this chapter.

Conclusions and Future Work

5.1 Conclusions

Typically a conclusions chapter first summarizes the investigated problem and its aims and objectives. It summaries the critical/significant/major findings/results about the aims and objectives that have been obtained by applying the key methods/implementations/experiment set-ups. A conclusions chapter draws a picture/outline of your project's central and the most signification contributions and achievements.

A good conclusions summary could be approximately 300–500 words long, but this is just a recommendation.

A conclusions chapter followed by an abstract is the last things you write in your project report.

5.2 Future work

This section should refer to Chapter 3 where the author has reflected their criticality about their own solution. Concepts for future work are then sensibly proposed in this section.

Guidance on writing future work: While working on a project, you gain experience and learn the potential of your project and its future works. Discuss the future work of the project in technical terms. This has to be based on what has not been yet achieved in comparison to what you had initially planned and what you have learned from the project. Describe to a reader what future work(s) can be started from the things you have completed. This includes identifying what has not been achieved and what could be achieved.

A good future work summary could be approximately 300–500 words long, but this is just a recommendation.

Appendix A

An Appendix Chapter (Optional)

Some lengthy tables, codes, raw data, length proofs, etc. which are **very important but not essential part** of the project report goes into an Appendix. An appendix is something a reader would consult if he/she needs extra information and a more comprehensive understating of the report. Also, note that you should use one appendix for one idea.

An appendix is optional. If you feel you do not need to include an appendix in your report, avoid including it. Sometime including irrelevant and unnecessary materials in the Appendices may unreasonably increase the total number of pages in your report and distract the reader.

Appendix B

An Appendix Chapter (Optional)

...