## **Functional Programming Practicals**

## 0 Getting started

We will be using GHCi for the practicals. To run GHCi, simply open a terminal window and type 'ghci'. One typically uses a text editor to write or edit a Haskell script, saves that to disk, and loads it into GHCi. To load a script, it is helpful if you run GHCi from the directory containing the script. You can simply give the name of the script file as a parameter to the command ghci. Or, within GHCi, you can type ':1' followed by the name of the script to load, and ':r' with no parameter to reload the file previously loaded.

There are model answers for most of the exercises, and in some cases skeletons of a solution to save you from having to type in what is provided to start with. For instructions on how to find those, see the practical assistant.

Answers are given in these coloured boxes.

Jeremy Gibbons (with thanks to Colin Runciman, Jon Hill, Ralf Hinze, Nicolas Wu) September 2014

## 1 Basic definitions

- 1. Define the following numeric functions:
  - a function *square* that squares its argument, then a function *quad* that raises its argument to the fourth power using *square*;

```
Function quad raises its argument to the fourth power:

quad :: Integer → Integer
quad x = square (square x)

It uses function square from the lectures:

square :: Integer → Integer
square x = x * x
```

• a function *larger* that returns the larger of its two arguments;

```
Function larger returns the larger of its two arguments:

larger :: (Integer, Integer) \rightarrow Integer
larger (x, y) = if x > y then x else y

or alternatively,

larger :: (Integer, Integer) \rightarrow Integer
larger (x, y)
|x > y| = x
|otherwise = y
```

(Actually, the type in both cases is the more general  $Ord\ a \Rightarrow (a,a) \rightarrow a$ , as you can verify by omitting the type declaration and asking for the inferred type with ":t larger". This means that it works for any ordered type a, not just for *Integers*. We will say more about this overloading mechanism later.)

• a function for computing the area of a circle with a given radius (use the type *Double*). (Hint: the formula for calculating the area A of a circle with a radius r is  $A = \pi r^2$ , where  $\pi$  is called pi in Haskell.)

Function *area* computes the area of a circle, given its radius:

```
area :: Float → Float

area r = pi * r * r
```

2. Here is a script of function definitions:

```
add :: Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Integer
add x y = x + y
double :: Integer \rightarrow Integer
double x = x + x
first :: Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Integer
first x y = x
cond :: Bool \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Integer
cond x y z = \mathbf{if} x \mathbf{then} y \mathbf{else} z
twice :: (Integer \rightarrow Integer) \rightarrow Integer \rightarrow Integer
twice f x = f(f x)
infinity :: Integer
infinity = infinity + 1
```

(The function *twice* is an example of a higher-order function, which takes another function as one of its arguments. Although we haven't studied higher-order functions yet, just follow the reduction rules.)

Give the applicative- and normal-order reduction sequences for the following expressions:

• *first* 42 (*double* (*add* 1 2))

```
In applicative order:

first (42, double (add 1 2))

= first (42, double (1 + 2))

= first (42, double 3)

= first (42, 3 + 3)

= first (42, 6)

= 42

and in normal order:

first (42, double (add 1 2))

= 42

terminating straight away, rather than in several steps.
```

• first 42 (double (add 1 infinity))

```
In applicative order:

first (42, double (add 1 infinity))

= first (42, double (1 + infinity))

= first (42, double (1 + (1 + infinity)))

= ...

which diverges; and in normal order:

first (42, double (add 1 infinity))

= 42

terminating straight away, rather than diverging.
```

• first infinity (double (add 1 2))

```
In applicative order:

first (infinity, double (add 1 2))

= first (1 + infinity, double (add 1 2))

= ...

and in normal order:

first (infinity, double (add 1 2))

= infinity

= 1 + infinity

= ...

Neither terminates—normal-order isn't magic!
```

• *add* (*cond True* 42 (1 + *infinity*)) 4

```
In applicative order:

add (cond (True, 42, 1 + infinity)) 4
= add (cond (True, 42, 1 + (1 + infinity))) 4
= ...

which diverges; and in normal order:

add (cond (True, 42, 1 + infinity)) 4
= cond (True, 42, 1 + infinity) + 4
= (if True then 42 else 1 + infinity) + 4
= 42 + 4
= 46

which terminates.
```

## • twice double (add 1 2)

```
In applicative order:
        twice (double, add 1 2)
      = twice (double, 1 + 2)
      = twice (double, 3)
      = double (double 3)
      = double (3 + 3)
      = double 6
      = 6 + 6
      = 12
and in normal order:
        twice (double, add 1 2)
      = double (double (add 1 2))
      = double (add 1 2) + double (add 1 2)
      = (add 1 2 + add 1 2) + double (add 1 2)
      = ((1+2) + add 1 2) + double (add 1 2)
      = (3 + add 1 2) + double (add 1 2)
      = (3 + (1 + 2)) + double (add 1 2)
      = (3 + 3) + double (add 1 2)
      = 6 + double (add 1 2)
      = 6 + (add 1 2 + add 1 2)
      = ... { repeated steps}
      = 6 + 6
      = 12
which gives the same result, but takes rather longer.
```

• twice (add 1) 0

```
In applicative order:

twice (add 1, 0)
= add 1 (add 1 0)
= add 1 (1 + 0)
= add 1 1
= 1 + 1
= 2
and in normal order:

twice (add 1, 0)
= add 1 (add 1 0)
= 1 + add 1 0
= 1 + (1 + 0)
= 1 + 1
= 2
which is not very different.
```

**Note:** There is not a mistake in the last expression; all you need to know for the time being is that a function application that doesn't have enough arguments is already in normal form. Just follow the rules when reducing the expression.

3. Give a reduction sequence for *fact* 3, where the factorial function *fact* is as defined in the lectures:

```
fact :: Integer \rightarrow Integer
fact 0 = 1
fact n = n * fact (n - 1)
```

```
With normal-order reduction, we have:
```

```
fact 3
= 3 * fact (3 - 1)
= 3 * fact 2
= 3 * (2 * fact (2 - 1))
= 3 * (2 * fact 1)
= 3 * (2 * (1 * fact (1 - 1)))
= 3 * (2 * (1 * fact 0))
= 3 * (2 * (1 * 1))
= 3 * (2 * 1)
= 3 * 2
= 6
```

How would it differ with applicative-order reduction?