
The Art of Data Presentation



- Types of Variables
- Guidelines for Preparing Good Charts
- Common Mistakes in Preparing Charts
- Pictorial Games
- Special Charts for Computer Performance
 - > Gantt Charts
 - Kiviat Graphs
 - > Schumacher Charts
- Decision Maker's Games


Types of Variables



- □ Type of computer: Super computer, minicomputer, microcomputer
- □ Type of Workload: Scientific, engineering, educational
- Number of processors
- □ Response time of system

Guidelines for Preparing Good Charts


■ Require minimum effort from the reader Direct labeling vs. legend box



Maximize Information: Words in place of symbols
 Cleary label the axes

Guidelines (cont)


■ Minimize Ink: No grid lines, more details



- Use Commonly accepted practices: origin at (0,0) Independent variable (cause) along x axis, linear scales, increasing scales, equal divisions
- Avoid ambiguity: Show coordinate axes, scale divisions, origin. Identify individual curves and bars.
- See checklist in Box 10.1


Common Mistakes in Preparing Charts

- □ Presenting too many alternatives on a single chart
 Max 5 to 7 messages => Max 6 curves in a line charts, no more
 than 10 bars in a bar chart, max 8 components in a pie chart
- □ Presenting many y variables on a single chart



Common Mistakes in Charts (Cont)


Using symbols in place of text



- □ Placing extraneous information on the chart: grid lines, granularity of the grid lines
- Selecting scale ranges improperly: automatic selection by programs may not be appropriate


Common Mistakes in Charts (Cont)

□ Using a line chart in place of column chart: line => Continuity




Pictorial Games


□ Using non-zero origins to emphasize the difference Three quarter high-rule => height/width > 3/4




□ Using double-whammy graph for dramatization Using related metrics




□ Plotting random quantities without showing confidence intervals






Pictograms scaled by height




Mine Performance = 2




Yours
Performance = 1

□ Using inappropriate cell size in histograms



□ Using broken scales in column charts




Special Charts for Computer Performance

- □ Gantt charts
- Kiviat Graphs
- □ Schumacher's charts

Gantt Charts


□ Shows relative duration of a number of conditions




Example: Data for Gantt Chart

\overline{A}	B	C	D	Time Used
0	0	0	0	5%
0	0	0	1	5%
0	0	1	0	0%
0	0	1	1	5%
0	1	0	0	10%
0	1	0	1	5%
0	1	1	0	10%
0	1	1	1	5%
1	0	0	0	10%
1	0	0	1	5%
1	0	1	0	0%
1	0	1	1	5%
1	1	0	0	10%
1	1	0	1	10%
1	1	1	0	5%
1	1	1	1	10%
		To	otal	100%

Draft of the Gantt Chart




Final Gantt Chart




Kiviat Graphs

- Radial chart with even number of metrics
- HB and LB metrics alternate
- ☐ Ideal shape: star



©2010 Rai Jain www.raiiain.com

Kiviat Graph for a Balanced System




Problem: Inter-related metrics

CPU busy = problem state + Supervisor state CPU wait = 100 - CPU busy


Channel only – any channel –CPU/channel overlap

CPU only = CPU busy – CPU/channel overlap


Shapes of Kiviat Graphs



CPU Keel boat



I/O Wedge



I/O Arrow

Merrill's Figure of Merit (FoM)

□ Performance = $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, ..., x_{2n}\}$ Odd values are HB and even values are LB


$$FOM = \left[\frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{2i-1} + x_{2i+1})(100 - x_{2i})\right]^{1/2}$$

- \square x_{2n+1} is the same as x_1
- \square Average FOM = 50%

Example: FoM

□ System A:

System
$$x_1$$
 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8
A 100 60 40 0 40 0 40 60
B 70 30 40 30 70 30 40 30




FOM_A =
$$\left[\frac{1}{8} \left\{ (100 + 40)(100 - 60) + (40 + 40)(100 - 0) + (40 + 40)(100 - 0) + (40 + 40)(100 - 60) \right\} \right]^{1/2}$$

= $\left[\frac{5600 + 8000 + 8000 + 5600}{8}\right]^{1/2}$
= $\sqrt{\frac{27,200}{8}} = 58$

FoM Example (Cont)

□ System B:

System
$$x_1$$
 x_2 x_3 x_4 x_5 x_6 x_7 x_8
A 100 60 40 0 40 0 40 60
B 70 30 40 30 70 30 40 30



FOM_B =
$$\left[\frac{1}{8} \left\{ (70 + 40)(100 - 30) + (40 + 70)(100 - 30) + (70 + 40)(100 - 30) + (40 + 70)(100 - 30) \right\} \right]^{1/2}$$

= $\left[\frac{7700 + 7700 + 7700 + 7700}{8}\right]^{1/2}$
= $\sqrt{\frac{30,800}{8}} = 62$


System B has a higher figure of merit and it is better.

Figure of Merit: Known Problems

- □ All axes are considered equal
- □ Extreme values are assumed to be better
- □ Utility is not a linear function of FoM
- Two systems with the same FoM are not equally good.
- □ System with slightly lower FoM may be better

Kiviat Graphs For Other Systems


□ Networks:



Schumacher Charts

- □ Performance matrix are plotted in a tabular manner
- Values are normalized with respect to long term means and standard deviations
- Any observations that are beyond mean ± one standard deviation need to be explained
- □ See Figure 10.25 in the book






Reasons for not Accepting an Analysis

- □ This needs more analysis.
- You need a better understanding of the workload.
- □ It improves performance only for long IOs/packets/jobs/files, and most of the IOs/packets/jobs/files are short.
- □ It improves performance only for short IOs/packets/jobs/files, but who cares for the performance of short IOs/packets/jobs/files, its the long ones that impact the system.
- □ It needs too much memory/CPU/bandwidth and memory/CPU/bandwidth isn't free.
- It only saves us memory/CPU/bandwidth and memory/CPU/bandwidth is cheap.

See Box 10.2 on page 162 of the book for a complete list




Summary

- 1. Qualitative/quantitative, ordered/unordered, discrete/continuous variables
- 2. Good charts should require minimum effort from the reader and provide maximum information with minimum ink
- 3. Use no more than 5-6 curves, select ranges properly, Three-quarter high rule
- 4. Gantt Charts show utilizations of various components
- 5. Kiviat Graphs show HB and LB metrics alternatively on a circular graph
- 6. Schumacher Charts show mean and standard deviations
- 7. Workload, metrics, configuration, and details can always be challenged. Should be carefully selected.

What type of chart (line or bar) would you use to plot:

- a. CPU usage for 12 months of the year
- b. CPU usage as a function of time in months
- c. Number of I/O's to three disk drives: A, B, and C
- d. Number of I/O's as a function of number of disk drives in a system

□ List the problems with the following charts



□ On a system consisting of 3 resources, called A, B, and C. The measured utilizations are shown in the following table. A zero in a column indicates that the resource is not utilized. Draw a Gantt chart showing utilization profiles.

A	В	С	Time Used
0	0	0	25%
0	0	1	10%
0	1	0	20%
0	1	1	5%
1	0	0	5%
1	0	1	15%
1	1	0	5%
1	1	1	15%
	To	otal	100%

■ The measured values of the eight performance metrics listed in Example 10.2 for a system are: 70%, 10%, 60%, 20%, 80%, 30%, 50%, and 20%. Draw the Kiviat graph and compute its figure of merit.

□ For a computer system of your choice, list a number of HB and LB metrics and draw a typical Kiviat graph using data values of your choice.

Homework

- □ Read Chapter 10
- □ Submit solutions to exercises 10.3 and 10.4 Approximate hand-drawn figures are sufficient