

Singular Integral Operators

Damian Dąbrowski

November 10, 2023

Abstract

Notes for the course *Singular Integral Operators* lectured at the University of Jyväskylä in Autumn 2023.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Schwartz functions and tempered distributions	3
2.2	Fourier transform	4
2.3	Weak and strong type inequalities	5
3	The Hilbert and Riesz transforms	6
3.1	The Hilbert transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$	6
3.2	The Hilbert transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$	9
3.3	The Riesz transform	12
4	Calderón-Zygmund theory	13
4.1	Standard kernels and Calderón-Zygmund operators	13
4.2	Calderón-Zygmund decomposition	17
4.3	The L^p theory for Calderón-Zygmund operators	20
5	Truncations of Calderón-Zygmund operators	23
5.1	Convergence of truncated operators	23
5.2	Cotlar's inequality	24

6	Weighted inequalities	28
6.1	The A_p weights	28
6.2	Reverse Hölder inequality	35
6.3	Characterization of A_1 weights	37
6.4	Extrapolation of weights	39

1 Introduction

This course will focus on singular integral operators, which are operators of the form

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y) \, dy,$$

where the kernel $K(x, y)$ has a singularity on the diagonal $x = y$. These operators appear naturally e.g. in the theory of partial differential equations, and they have been studied for over a century. The prototypical example is the Hilbert transform

$$Hf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \, dy.$$

The basic questions we will study concern the mapping properties of singular integral operators: for which $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and under what hypotheses on the kernel K is the operator T bounded on L^p , in the sense that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f\|_{L^p}.$$

The material we will cover reflects both the long tradition of this field, and the fact that it is still an active area of research. We will begin by studying the Hilbert and Riesz transforms, which date back almost 100 years back. Then, we will move on to the Calderón-Zygmund theory, which revolutionized the field in the 1950s. Finally, we will discuss singular integrals in the weighted setting, which is a much more recent topic. The grand finale will be the proof of the A_2 theorem, which was shown by Tuomas Hytönen in 2012 [Hyt12]. We will follow a short and elegant proof from [Ler16] which uses a cutting-edge technique called *sparse domination*.

The field of singular integral operators is huge, and we will only scratch the surface in this course. We refer interested readers to the textbooks [Duo01, Gra14a, Gra14b, Ste70, Ste93] for more thorough treatments of the subject.

2 Preliminaries

Before getting started in earnest, we recall briefly some useful facts and definitions. For proofs and details, see e.g. Chapters 1 and 2 of [Gra14a].

In these notes we sometimes use the notation $A \lesssim B$, which stands for “there exists a dimensional constant $C \geq 1$ such that $A \leq CB$.” We write $A \sim B$ instead of $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$.

2.1 Schwartz functions and tempered distributions

Definition 2.1 (Schwartz functions). A function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *Schwartz function*, denoted by $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if for every pair of multi-indices $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have

$$\rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} |x^\alpha \cdot \partial^\beta f(x)| < \infty.$$

We will say that a function decays rapidly if it decays at ∞ faster than any polynomial. Hence, Schwartz functions are precisely those $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ functions which decay rapidly and whose all partial derivatives decay rapidly.

Example 2.2. Any smooth and compactly supported function is a Schwartz function, so that $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. A simple example of a non-compactly supported Schwartz function is $e^{-|x|^2}$.

One of the reasons Schwartz functions are useful is the following density result.

Lemma 2.3. *The Schwartz functions are dense in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$.*

Note that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a vector space. A topology on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined using the family of semi-norms $\rho_{\alpha,\beta}$, and it is compatible with the following notion of convergence.

Definition 2.4 (convergence in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$). Given $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a sequence $f_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we say that f_k converges to f in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if for all multi-indices $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\alpha,\beta}(f_k - f) = 0.$$

Definition 2.5 (tempered distributions). We denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e., the space of all continuous linear functionals $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The elements of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ are called *tempered distributions*.

Given $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, instead of writing $T(f)$ we will write $\langle T, f \rangle$, and we will call it *the action of T on f* .

We have the following useful characterization of tempered distributions:

Lemma 2.6. *A linear functional $T : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a tempered distribution if and only if there exist $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$|\langle T, f \rangle| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| \leq k} \rho_{\alpha, \beta}(f).$$

Example 2.7. Any function $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, gives rise to a tempered distribution $T_g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined via $\langle T_g, f \rangle = \int f(x)g(x) dx$.

Example 2.8. Any finite Borel measure μ gives rise to a tempered distribution $T_\mu \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined via $\langle T_\mu, f \rangle = \int f d\mu$.

In the case of tempered distributions as above, we will often identify T_g with g , and T_μ with μ . For example, the statement “ $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function” should be understood as “there exists $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $T = T_f$.” The Hilbert transform we will define shortly will provide us with an example of a tempered distribution which is neither a locally integrable function, nor a measure.

Many common operations performed on functions can be extended by duality to tempered distributions. For example, given $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we define their convolution as a tempered distribution $T * h \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\langle T * h, f \rangle := \langle T, \tilde{h} * f \rangle,$$

where $\tilde{h}(x) = h(-x)$. Similarly, the product of $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ can be defined as a tempered distribution $hT \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ given by

$$\langle hT, f \rangle := \langle T, hf \rangle.$$

Proposition 2.9. *Given $h \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the convolution $T * h$ belongs to $C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,*

$$T * h(x) = \langle T, h(x - \cdot) \rangle.$$

2.2 Fourier transform

Definition 2.10. The Fourier transform of $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} dx.$$

Sometimes we will denote it by $\mathcal{F}(f)$ instead of \hat{f} .

The Fourier transform is a homeomorphism of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ to itself, and its inverse is given by

$$\check{f}(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\xi = \hat{f}(-x),$$

sometimes denoted by $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)$.

The Plancherel identity asserts that for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, this allows us to extend the Fourier transform to an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

One may further extend the definition of Fourier transform to all tempered distributions using duality: for any $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define $\hat{T} \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via

$$\langle \hat{T}, f \rangle := \langle T, \hat{f} \rangle.$$

We list a few properties of the Fourier transform we will use later on.

Lemma 2.11. *If $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then*

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{F}(\partial^\alpha f) = (2\pi i \xi)^\alpha \hat{f},$$

$$(ii) \quad \partial^\alpha \hat{f} = \mathcal{F}((-2\pi i x)^\alpha f),$$

$$(iii) \quad \widehat{T * f} = \hat{T} \hat{f}.$$

2.3 Weak and strong type inequalities

In this subsection we assume that (X, μ) and (Y, ν) are two measure spaces.

Definition 2.12. Given $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and an operator T mapping functions from a dense subset of $L^p(X, \mu)$ to measurable functions on (Y, ν) , we say that T is of *strong type* (p, q) if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\|Tf\|_{L^q(Y, \nu)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}.$$

We say that T is of *weak type* (p, q) if there exists $C > 0$ such that for all $\lambda > 0$

$$\nu(\{y \in Y : |Tf(y)| > \lambda\}) \leq C \left(\frac{\|f\|_{L^p(X, \mu)}}{\lambda} \right)^q.$$

It is easy to see that strong type (p, q) implies weak type (p, q) .

Definition 2.13 (sublinear operator). An operator T defined on a linear space of measurable functions on (X, μ) and taking values in measurable functions on (Y, ν) is sub-linear if

$$|T(f + g)| \leq |Tf| + |Tg| \quad \text{and} \quad |T(\lambda f)| = |\lambda| |Tf|.$$

The Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem stated below plays a crucial role in the theory of singular integral operators.

Theorem 2.14. *Let $1 \leq p_0 < p_1 \leq \infty$. Suppose that T is a sub-linear operator mapping $L^{p_0}(X, \mu) + L^{p_1}(X, \mu)$ to the set of measurable functions on (Y, ν) . If T is of weak type (p_0, p_0) and (p_1, p_1) , then it is of strong type (p, p) for all $p_0 < p < p_1$.*

3 The Hilbert and Riesz transforms

In this section we will study the prototypical singular integral operator, the Hilbert transform, as well as its higher dimensional counterparts, the Riesz transforms. The Hilbert transform arises naturally e.g. in the study of boundary values of analytic functions, in questions regarding the convergence of Fourier transform, or in signal processing. While we will not study these applications, they may be chosen as a presentation topic to pass the course.

3.1 The Hilbert transform on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

The Hilbert transform is the singular integral operator associated with kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{\pi(x-y)}$. We begin by defining it for Schwartz functions.

As a first attempt at defining it, one could try to simply integrate against the kernel:

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy.$$

However, the expression above is highly problematic. Even for a very nice function f , say, $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it is easy to see that as soon as $f(x) \neq 0$, the integral above is not well-defined! This is because $(x-y)^{-1}$ has a singularity at x which is not integrable.

To avoid this issue, we first consider the following *truncated Hilbert transform*.

Definition 3.1 (truncated Hilbert transform). For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, we define the truncated Hilbert transform of f as

$$H_\varepsilon f(x) := \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

Note that, by the rapid decay of Schwartz functions, $H_\varepsilon f(x)$ is well-defined for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 3.2 (Hilbert transform). For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$, we define the Hilbert transform of f as

$$Hf(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_\varepsilon f(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

Clearly, for $x \notin \text{supp } f$ this is well-defined, and in fact

$$Hf(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy \quad \text{for } x \notin \text{supp } f. \quad (3.1)$$

Let us show that $Hf(x)$ is well-defined also for $x \in \text{supp } f$.

Lemma 3.3. For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_\varepsilon f(x)$ exists, and we have

$$Hf(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} dy + \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y|>1} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Note that, since the kernel $\frac{1}{y}$ is odd, it has zero mean on any symmetric pair of intervals around the origin, and in particular

$$\int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1} \frac{1}{y} dy = 0.$$

It follows that

$$\int_{|y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy = \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1} \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} dy + \int_{|y|>1} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy.$$

The second integral on the right hand side is just a constant that does not depend on ε . Concerning the first integral, observe that by the mean value theorem the integrand is uniformly bounded

$$\left| \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} \right| \leq \|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})},$$

and so the limit exists and we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < 1} \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} dy = \int_0^1 \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} dy.$$

□

We showed that the Hilbert transform is a well-defined, linear operator defined on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Later on, we will be interested in extending it to the L^p spaces for $1 < p < \infty$. One way to do that is by showing that H is of strong type (p, p) , i.e. that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\|Hf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}.$$

After establishing such inequality, we may use the density of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$ to extend the Hilbert transform to functions in $L^p(\mathbb{R})$. The exercise below shows that we may only hope for the strong type (p, p) inequality to hold for $1 < p < \infty$.

Exercise 3.4 (1 point). Let $f = \mathbf{1}_{[0,1]}$. Show that for $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}$

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x-y} dy = \log \left| \frac{x}{x-1} \right|.$$

Conclude that the Hilbert transform is neither of strong type (∞, ∞) nor of strong type $(1, 1)$.

So our goal is estimating $\|Hf\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R})}$. As a warm-up, we prove that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $Hf \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 < p \leq \infty$. This is a consequence of the following asymptotic identity.

Lemma 3.5. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} x \cdot Hf(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) dy.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of (3.2). We use the oddness of kernel $\frac{1}{y}$ once again to get that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ with $|x| > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \pi x \cdot Hf(x) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x \int_{\varepsilon < |y| < \frac{|x|}{2}} \frac{f(x-y) - f(x)}{y} dy + x \int_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |y| < 2|x|} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy \\ &\quad + x \int_{|y| > 2|x|} \frac{f(x-y)}{y} dy = I_1 + I_2 + I_3. \end{aligned}$$

Regarding I_1 , note that for $|y| < |x|/2$ we have $|x|/2 \leq |x-y| \leq 3|x|/2$, and so by the mean value theorem

$$|I_1| \leq |x|^2 \sup_{|x|/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 3|x|/2} |f'(\xi)| \sim \sup_{|x|/2 \leq |\xi| \leq 3|x|/2} |\xi^2 f'(\xi)| \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where in the last step we used the rapid decay of Schwartz functions.

Concerning I_3 , we have $|x-y| \geq |x|$ whenever $|y| > 2|x|$, and so

$$|I_3| \leq |x| \int_{|y| > 2|x|} \frac{|f(x-y)|}{2|x|} dy \leq \int_{|z| > |x|} |f(z)| dz \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

since f is integrable.

Finally,

$$I_2 - \int f(x-y) dy = \int_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |y| < 2|x|} \left(\frac{x}{y} - 1 \right) f(x-y) dy - \int_{|y| < |x|/2, \text{ or } |y| > 2|x|} f(x-y) dy,$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2 - \int f(x-y) dy| &\leq \int_{\frac{|x|}{2} < |y| < 2|x|} \left| \frac{x-y}{y} \right| |f(x-y)| dy + \int_{|y| < |x|/2, \text{ or } |y| > 2|x|} |f(x-y)| dy \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|x|} \int |zf(z)| dy + \int_{|z| > |x|/2} |f(z)| dy \xrightarrow{|x| \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 3.6. *For every $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $Hf \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 < p \leq \infty$.*

Proof. Note that by (3.2) and the mean value theorem we have

$$\|Hf\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \lesssim \|f'\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} |x \cdot f(x)|, \quad (3.3)$$

so the Hilbert transform of a Schwartz function is bounded. Thus, whether $Hf \in L^p$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ depends only on the decay rate of Hf at infinity. By Lemma 3.5, for $|x|$ large enough we have $|Hf(x)| \lesssim_f x^{-1}$, and it follows that $Hf \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p > 1$. \square

Exercise 3.7. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. Show that $Hf \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ if and only if $\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) dy = 0$. A hint: Modify the proof of Lemma 3.5 to estimate the asymptotics of $x^2 \cdot Hf(x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

3.2 The Hilbert transform on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

In this subsection we extend the Hilbert transform to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. We begin by computing the Fourier transform of Hf .

First, since for any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $Hf \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by Corollary 3.6, the Fourier transform \widehat{Hf} is well-defined as a function in L^2 . Below we compute its precise value.

Proposition 3.8. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\widehat{Hf}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi) \quad \text{for a.e. } \xi \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.4)$$

To prove this, we start by taking a slightly more abstract point of view. Since the Hilbert transform is linear, and we have the estimate (3.3), we can define a tempered distribution $T_0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$\langle T_0, f \rangle := -Hf(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{y} dy.$$

Note that

$$Hf(x) = \langle T_0, f(x - \cdot) \rangle = T_0 * f(x).$$

Taking the Fourier transform (in the sense of distributions), we see that

$$\widehat{Hf} = \widehat{T_0} \cdot \hat{f}, \quad (3.5)$$

where the product is also understood in the sense of distributions: for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have $\langle \widehat{Hf}, \varphi \rangle = \langle \widehat{T_0}, \hat{f}\varphi \rangle$.

As a consequence of (3.5), to prove (3.4) it suffices to show that $\widehat{T_0}$, which *a priori* is just a tempered distribution, is in fact a function, and that $\widehat{T_0}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$.

Lemma 3.9. *We have $\widehat{T_0}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$.*

Proof. An exercise. Some hints:

- (i) Let $K_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{1}{y} \mathbf{1}_{|y|>\varepsilon}$, so that $\langle T_0, f \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle K_\varepsilon, f \rangle$, and consider $Q_\varepsilon(y) = \frac{y}{y^2 + \varepsilon^2}$. Show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} (K_\varepsilon - Q_\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}).$$

- (ii) Using the above, argue that $\widehat{T_0} = \frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \widehat{Q_\varepsilon}$, in the sense of distributions.

- (iii) Show that $Q_\varepsilon(x) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(-\pi i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) e^{-2\pi\varepsilon|\xi|})(x)$. Conclude that $\widehat{T_0}$ is given by a function, and that $\widehat{T_0}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi)$.

□

As a corollary of Proposition 3.8 and Plancherel's identity, we can define the Hilbert transform of functions in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Corollary 3.10. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have*

$$\|Hf\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Consequently, the Hilbert transform extends to an isometry of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for any $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ its Hilbert transform satisfies

$$\widehat{Hf}(\xi) = -i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \hat{f}(\xi).$$

Recall that for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ we have a nice formula for $Hf(x)$ assuming $x \notin \operatorname{supp} f$, see (3.1). It is easy to see that the same formula holds for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 3.11. Show that if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, then for a.e. $x \notin \operatorname{supp}(f)$

$$Hf(x) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy.$$

Here, $\operatorname{supp} f$ denotes the essential support of f .

3.2.1 Truncated Hilbert transform

In Definition 3.1 we introduced the truncated Hilbert transform

$$H_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x - y} dy$$

for $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$. However, the same definition makes sense for $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for all $1 \leq p < \infty$. To see that, we use Hölder's inequality to show that the integral defining $H_\varepsilon f$ converges absolutely:

$$\int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \left| \frac{f(y)}{x-y} \right| dy \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{1}_{|x-y|>\varepsilon}}{x-y} \right\|_{L^q} < \infty,$$

where $1/p + 1/q = 1$, so that $1 < q \leq \infty$.

By the definition of Hilbert transform, we have $Hf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} H_\varepsilon f(x)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$. It is natural to ask for a counterpart of this statement for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$; for example, do we have $H_\varepsilon f \rightarrow Hf$ in L^2 sense? We are able to show this if we assume that all truncated Hilbert transforms are of strong type $(2, 2)$, in a uniform way.

Proposition 3.12. *Suppose that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\sup_{\varepsilon > 0} \|H_\varepsilon f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \quad \text{for all } f \in L^2. \quad (3.6)$$

Then, for every $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ we have $H_\varepsilon f \rightarrow Hf$ in L^2 .

Proof. Let $f_n \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^2 . Then, $Hf_n \rightarrow Hf$ in L^2 , and we have

$$\|H_\varepsilon f - Hf\|_{L^2} \leq \|H_\varepsilon f - H_\varepsilon f_n\|_{L^2} + \|H_\varepsilon f_n - Hf_n\|_{L^2} + \|Hf_n - Hf\|_{L^2} =: I_1 + I_2 + I_3.$$

The term I_3 converges to 0 because $Hf_n \rightarrow Hf$ in L^2 , whereas I_1 converges to 0 because

$$\|H_\varepsilon f - H_\varepsilon f_n\|_{L^2} = \|H_\varepsilon(f - f_n)\|_{L^2} \stackrel{(3.6)}{\leq} C \|f - f_n\|_{L^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

It remains to estimate $I_2 = \|H_\varepsilon f_n - Hf_n\|_{L^2}$. By (3.2) we have

$$|H_\varepsilon f_n(x) - Hf_n(x)| = \left| \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} \frac{f_n(x-y) - f_n(x)}{y} dy \right| \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \sup_{z \in (x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)} |f'_n(z)|.$$

Set $g_n(x) := \sup_{z \in (x-\varepsilon, x+\varepsilon)} |f'_n(z)|$. Since f'_n decays rapidly, we get that g_n also decays rapidly, and so

$$I_2 = \|H_\varepsilon f_n - Hf_n\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{2\varepsilon}{\pi} \|g_n\|_{L^2}.$$

Hence, for any $\delta > 0$ we may take n large enough such that $I_1 + I_3 \leq \delta$, and then $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough so that $I_2 \leq \delta$. Then, we have $\|H_\varepsilon f - Hf\|_{L^2} \leq 2\delta$, and taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$ concludes the proof. \square

The question remains, how to show the estimate (3.6)? We will address this later on when we prove the so-called *Cotlar's inequality* for general singular integral operators.

3.3 The Riesz transform

Before moving on to general singular integral operators and their L^p -theory, we briefly discuss another important family of operators, the Riesz transforms. They are higher dimensional counterparts of the Hilbert transform.

Definition 3.13. For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$, we define the j -th Riesz transform of f as

$$R_j f(x) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_n \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x-y|^{n+1}} f(y) dy,$$

where $C_n = \Gamma(\frac{n+1}{2})/\pi^{\frac{n+1}{2}}$.

As in the case of the Hilbert transform, there is a simple formula for the Fourier transform of $R_j f$.

Proposition 3.14. *For any $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$\widehat{R_j f}(\xi) = -i \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \hat{f}(\xi). \quad (3.7)$$

The proof is similar to that of Proposition 3.4, although there are additional difficulties. The interested reader can find the full proof e.g. in [Gra14a, Proposition 5.1.14].

As an immediate corollary of (3.7), we get that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\|R_j f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \quad (3.8)$$

and we may extend the Riesz transforms to $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Finally, we give a simple application of (3.8), which also motivates the study of L^p -bounds for the Riesz transforms for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proposition 3.15. *For $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 \leq j, k \leq n$ we have*

$$\partial_j \partial_k f = -R_j R_k \Delta f. \quad (3.9)$$

In consequence, for any $1 < p < \infty$ such that the bound $\|R_j f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C_p \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ holds for all $1 \leq j \leq n$, we have

$$\|\partial_j \partial_k f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq (C_p)^2 \|\Delta f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. By taking the Fourier transform of $\partial_j \partial_k f$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\partial_j \partial_k f)(\xi) &= (2\pi i \xi_j)(2\pi i \xi_k) \hat{f}(\xi) \\ &= - \left(-i \frac{\xi_j}{|\xi|} \right) \left(-i \frac{\xi_k}{|\xi|} \right) (-4\pi^2 |\xi|^2) \hat{f}(\xi) \\ &= -\mathcal{F}(R_j R_k \Delta f)(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the inverse Fourier transform finishes the proof of identity (3.9). The estimate (3.10) follows immediately. \square

4 Calderón-Zygmund theory

In this section we begin the study of general singular integral operators.

4.1 Standard kernels and Calderón-Zygmund operators

The operators we will consider will be associated to the following kernels.

Definition 4.1 (standard kernel). We say that a Borel function $K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a *standard kernel* if there exists $\delta > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{C}{|x - y|^n}, \quad (4.1)$$

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq C \frac{|y - y'|^\delta}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}} \quad \text{if } |x - y| > 2|y - y'|, \quad (4.2)$$

$$|K(x, y) - K(x', y)| \leq C \frac{|x - x'|^\delta}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}} \quad \text{if } |x - y| > 2|x - x'|. \quad (4.3)$$

The bound (4.1) will be referred to as the *size condition*, while the other two estimates will be called the *smoothness conditions*.

Remark 4.2. The estimate $|x - y| > 2|y - y'|$ appearing in the smoothness condition can be interpreted in the following way: it is the estimate ensuring that $\frac{1}{2}|x - y| \leq |x - y'| \leq 2|x - y|$ (this follows easily from the triangle inequality).

We give a few examples.

Example 4.3. The Hilbert transform kernel $K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x - y}$ is a standard kernel on \mathbb{R} . More generally, the kernels $K(x, y) = \frac{x_j - y_j}{|x - y|^{n+1}}$ associated to the Riesz transforms are standard kernels on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 4.4. Given $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the solution to the Poisson equation $\Delta u = -2\pi f$ is given by the logarithmic potential of f

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(y) \log \left(\frac{1}{|x - y|} \right) dy.$$

It can be shown that the mixed partial derivative $\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} u$ is given by the singular integral operator

$$\partial_{x_1} \partial_{x_2} u(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x - y| > \varepsilon} \frac{\Omega_0 \left(\frac{x - y}{|x - y|} \right)}{|x - y|^2} f(y) dy,$$

where $\Omega_0(x) = \frac{2x_1 x_2}{|x|^2}$, see [CZ52, p. 130]. By the exercise below, the kernel associated to Ω_0 is a standard kernel.

Exercise 4.5. Show that for every Hölder continuous $\Omega : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the kernel defined by

$$K(x, y) = \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)}{|x-y|^n}$$

is a standard kernel on \mathbb{R}^n .

Example 4.6. The kernel

$$K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(z-w)^2} \quad z, w \in \mathbb{C},$$

is a standard kernel. It is associated to the *Beurling-Ahlfors transform*, which plays a fundamental role in the theory of quasiconformal mappings, see [Ast94].

The three examples above are kernels of convolution type, in the sense that $K(x, y) = K_0(x - y)$ for some $K_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The next example shows that there are interesting kernels of non-convolution type, which justifies developing the theory in this generality.

Example 4.7 (Cauchy integral along a Lipschitz graph). Let $A : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Lipschitz function, and let $\Gamma = \{(t, A(t)) : t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Given $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ let $F : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $F(t + iA(t)) = f(t)$. The Cauchy integral of f is defined as

$$C_\Gamma f(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma \frac{F(w)}{w - z} dw = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{f(t)(1 + iA'(t))}{t + iA(t) - z} dt,$$

and it defines an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Gamma$. One can compute the boundary values of $C_\Gamma f(z)$ on Γ :

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\Gamma f(x + i(A(x) + \varepsilon)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)(1 + iA'(t))}{t - x + i(A(t) - A(x))} dt + \frac{1}{2} f(x) \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} C_\Gamma f(x + i(A(x) - \varepsilon)) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-t| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(t)(1 + iA'(t))}{t - x + i(A(t) - A(x))} dt - \frac{1}{2} f(x), \end{aligned}$$

see [Gra14b, Chapter 4.6]. This leads to the study of the Cauchy transform

$$Tf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|x-y| > \varepsilon} \frac{f(y)}{x - y + i(A(x) - A(y))} dy,$$

whose kernel

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x - y + i(A(x) - A(y))} \quad (4.4)$$

is a standard kernel of non-convolution type. For more information and the history of the Cauchy transform see [Tol14, Ver21].

Exercise 4.8. Prove that if A is Lipschitz, then the Cauchy kernel (4.4) is standard with $\delta = 1$.

We are ready to define our main object of study in this course: the Calderón-Zygmund operators.

Definition 4.9 (Calderón-Zygmund operator). We say that a linear operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a *Calderón-Zygmund operator* if

- (i) T is of strong type $(2, 2)$,
- (ii) there exists a standard kernel K such that for all $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support

$$Tf(x) = \int K(x, y)f(y) dy \quad \text{for } x \notin \text{supp } f. \quad (4.5)$$

Whenever (4.5) holds, we will say that T is associated to the kernel K .

We make a few clarifying remarks regarding the definition of Calderón-Zygmund operators.

Remark 4.10. We stress that the definition of a Calderón-Zygmund operator *assumes* that the operator is bounded on L^2 . We already know that this is true for the Hilbert transform and the Riesz transforms, and so they are Calderón-Zygmund operators (the property (ii) was shown in Exercise 3.11).

While the other operators mentioned in Examples 4.4, 4.6, 4.7 are also bounded on L^2 , in general it is far from obvious. For example, proving the L^2 -boundedness of the Cauchy transform on Lipschitz graphs was a major open problem for decades, and it was only solved in [CMM82]. We will not cover this result.

There are some sufficient conditions on kernels K that imply the L^2 -boundedness of associated operators, see [Duo01, Chapter 4]. This may be a topic for a presentation.

The following exercise shows that a Calderón-Zygmund operator uniquely determines its kernel.

Exercise 4.11. If T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator such that (4.5) holds with two kernels K_1 and K_2 , then $K_1 = K_2$ a.e.

The converse is not true. The trivial kernel $K = 0$ is associated both with the zero operator $T = 0$ and with the identity operator $T = I$. In general, for any $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the pointwise multiplication operator

$$Tf(x) = b(x)f(x)$$

is a Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with the kernel $K = 0$. However, this is the only ambiguity.

Lemma 4.12. *Suppose that T_1 and T_2 are two Calderón-Zygmund operators associated with the same kernel K . Then, there exists $b \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$T_1 f = T_2 f + b f.$$

Proof. Let $T = T_1 - T_2$, so that T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator associated with the kernel $K = 0$. Our aim is to show that $Tf = bf$ for some $b \in L^\infty$. We will only prove this identity for characteristic functions, the case of general $f \in L^2$ follows by the density of simple functions in L^2 .

First, we claim that for all measurable sets $E, F \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 < |E|, |F| < \infty$ we have $T(\mathbf{1}_E) = \mathbf{1}_E T(\mathbf{1}_E)$ and

$$\mathbf{1}_F T(\mathbf{1}_E) = T(\mathbf{1}_{E \cap F}). \quad (4.6)$$

Indeed, we have $T(\mathbf{1}_E)(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \notin E$, since T is associated to $K = 0$. This gives $T(\mathbf{1}_E) = \mathbf{1}_E T(\mathbf{1}_E)$, and also it shows that $\mathbf{1}_F T(\mathbf{1}_E) = \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_E)$. By linearity of T ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_E) &= \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \cap F}) + \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \setminus F}) \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \cap F}) + \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} \mathbf{1}_{E \setminus F} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \setminus F}) = \mathbf{1}_{E \cap F} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \cap F}) + 0. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (4.6).

Formally, we would like to define $b = T1$, but since $1 \notin L^2$, we have to work a bit to make this rigorous. Let $\{Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ be a family of closed unit cubes tiling \mathbb{R}^n . Let $b_Q = T(\mathbf{1}_Q)$. Note that $\text{supp } b_Q = \text{supp } T(\mathbf{1}_Q) \subset Q$.

By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem, for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|b_Q(x)| = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left| \int_{B(x,r)} b_Q \, dy \right|}{|B(x,r)|}. \quad (4.7)$$

We use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the L^2 -boundedness of T to get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{B(x,r)} b_Q \, dy \right| &= \left| \int_{B(x,r)} \mathbf{1}_{B(x,r)} T(\mathbf{1}_Q) \, dy \right| \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} \left| \int_{B(x,r)} T(\mathbf{1}_{Q \cap B(x,r)}) \, dy \right| \\ &\leq |B(x,r)|^{1/2} \|T(\mathbf{1}_{Q \cap B(x,r)})\|_{L^2} \leq C |B(x,r)|^{1/2} |Q \cap B(x,r)|^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (4.7) this gives $|b_Q(x)| \leq C$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, so that $b_Q \in L^\infty$. Recalling that $\text{supp } b_Q \subset Q$, we get that

$$b := \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} b_Q \in L^\infty.$$

We claim that for any bounded measurable $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $0 < |E| < \infty$ we have $T\mathbf{1}_E = b\mathbf{1}_E$. Indeed, such E intersects only a finite number of $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, and then

$$T(\mathbf{1}_E) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} T(\mathbf{1}_{E \cap Q}) \stackrel{(4.6)}{=} \mathbf{1}_E \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} T(\mathbf{1}_Q) = \mathbf{1}_E b.$$

□

Our goal is to prove the following fundamental result due to Calderón and Zygmund.

Theorem 4.13. *Suppose that T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then, T is of weak type $(1, 1)$, and of strong type (p, p) for $1 < p < \infty$.*

We will prove it over the following two subsections.

4.2 Calderón-Zygmund decomposition

Definition 4.14. The family of *dyadic cubes* in \mathbb{R}^n , denoted by $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is defined as

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ 2^{-k}(m + [0, 1)^n) = \prod_{i=1}^n [2^{-k}m_i, 2^{-k}m_i + 2^{-k}) : m \in \mathbb{Z}^n, k \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Given $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we will denote its sidelength by $\ell(Q)$. We set

$$\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^n) = \{Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ell(Q) = 2^{-k}\}.$$

When the ambient space \mathbb{R}^n is clear from context, we will write \mathcal{D} instead of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Note that in our definition dyadic cubes are half-open, half-closed, so that for a fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the family $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ consists of pairwise-disjoint cubes, and it is a partition of \mathbb{R}^n .

We point out several important properties of the dyadic cubes:

- (i) For any $Q, P \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have either $Q \cap P = \emptyset$, or $Q \subset P$, or $P \subset Q$.
- (ii) For every $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ there is a unique $\widehat{Q} \in \mathcal{D}_{k-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $Q \subset \widehat{Q}$. We will call \widehat{Q} *the parent of Q* .
- (iii) Every $Q \in \mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ contains exactly 2^n cubes from $\mathcal{D}_{k+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We will call these cubes *the children of Q* .

These properties endow $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with a natural tree structure based on the parent-child relation.

The following is the main result of this subsection, and it is crucial for the proof of Theorem 4.13.

Proposition 4.15. *Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\alpha > 0$. There exists a decomposition of f of the form*

$$f = g + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} b_Q,$$

where \mathcal{B} is a collection of disjoint dyadic cubes, and which satisfies the following:

(i) the “good part” g satisfies $\|g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$ and $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^n \alpha$,

(ii) each “bad function” b_Q is supported on \overline{Q} , satisfies $\int_Q b_Q = 0$, and

$$\|b_Q\|_{L^1} \leq 2^{n+1} \alpha |Q|, \quad (4.8)$$

(iii) for each $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\alpha \leq \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \leq 2^n \alpha, \quad (4.9)$$

(iv) we can estimate the total measure of cubes in \mathcal{B} by

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} |Q| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}.$$

Proof. We will say that a cube $Q \in \mathcal{D}$ is *bad* if

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| > \alpha.$$

A bad cube Q is called maximal if there is no other bad cube Q' such that $Q \subsetneq Q'$.

We claim that every bad cube is contained in some maximal bad cube. If that was not true, then there would be a sequence of bad cubes Q_1, Q_2, \dots such that $\ell(Q_k) \rightarrow \infty$. At the same time,

$$\alpha < \frac{1}{|Q_k|} \int_{Q_k} |f| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{|Q_k|} \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Let \mathcal{B} be the family of maximal bad cubes. Since they are dyadic and maximal, they are disjoint. For every $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ we define

$$b_Q := \left(f - \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f \right) \mathbf{1}_Q,$$

and

$$g := f - \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} b_Q.$$

We begin by proving (iii). The lower bound in (4.9) is just the definition of bad cubes. The upper bound follows from maximality: for every $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ its parent \widehat{Q} is not a bad cube, and so

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \leq \frac{|\widehat{Q}|}{|Q|} \frac{1}{|\widehat{Q}|} \int_{\widehat{Q}} |f| \leq 2^n \alpha.$$

Concerning (ii), the first two properties follow immediately from the definition, and

$$\|b_Q\|_{L^1} \leq \int_Q |f| dx + \int_Q \left| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f dx \right| dy \leq 2 \int_Q |f| dx \stackrel{(4.9)}{\leq} 2^{n+1} \alpha |Q|.$$

We move on to (i). Note that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \notin \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q \\ \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f & \text{for } x \in Q \in \mathcal{B}. \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^1} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q} |f| dx + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \int_Q \left| \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f dy \right| dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q} |f| dx + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \left| \int_Q f dy \right| \leq \|f\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

To see $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^n \alpha$, note that $|g(x)| \leq 2^n \alpha$ for $x \in Q \in \mathcal{B}$ by (4.9). Let $x \notin \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q$, so that $g(x) = f(x)$. Then, for all dyadic cubes containing x we have $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| dx \leq \alpha$. By the (dyadic version of) Lebesgue differentiation theorem for a.e. $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$|f(y)| = \lim_{\ell(Q) \rightarrow 0, y \in Q \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| dz$$

Together with the estimate $\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \leq \alpha$, this shows that for a.e. $x \notin \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q$ we have $|g(x)| = |f(x)| \leq \alpha < 2^n \alpha$.

Finally, we show (iv). By the definition of bad cubes,

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} |Q| \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{\int_Q |f|}{\alpha} \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha},$$

where in the last inequality we also used that the cubes in \mathcal{B} are disjoint. \square

4.3 The L^p theory for Calderón-Zygmund operators

In this subsection we prove Theorem 4.13, whose statement we repeat below.

Theorem. *Suppose that T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator. Then, T is of weak type $(1,1)$, and of strong type (p,p) for $1 < p < \infty$.*

We begin by reducing matters to the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate.

Weak type $(1,1)$ implies strong type (p,p) . Fix a Calderón-Zygmund operator T . By definition, it is of strong type $(2,2)$. Hence, as soon as we know that it is of weak type $(1,1)$, it follows from the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem (Theorem 2.14) that T is of strong type (p,p) for all $1 < p < 2$. To get the same for $2 < p < \infty$ we argue by duality as follows.

Given a Calderón-Zygmund operator $T : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we consider its adjoint operator $T^t : L^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ defined by

$$\langle T^t(g), f \rangle_{L^2} = \int T^t(g) \cdot \bar{f} \, dx = \int g \cdot \overline{T(f)} \, dx = \langle g, T(f) \rangle_{L^2}.$$

This is well-defined by the Riesz representation theorem.

Exercise 4.16. Prove that if a Calderón-Zygmund operator T is associated to a standard kernel K , then its adjoint is also a Calderón-Zygmund operator, and it is associated to the standard kernel

$$K^t(x, y) = \overline{K(y, x)}.$$

Since T^t is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, it follows by the argument above that T^t is of strong type (q, q) for all $1 < q < 2$. Fix $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $2 < p < \infty$, and let $1 < q < 2$ be such that $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then, using that the dual of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tf\|_{L^p} &= \sup_{g \in \mathcal{S}, \|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1} \left| \int T(f) \cdot \bar{g} \right| = \sup_{g \in \mathcal{S}, \|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1} \left| \int f \cdot \overline{T^t(g)} \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_{L^p} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{S}, \|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1} \|T^t(g)\|_{L^q} \leq C_q \|f\|_{L^p} \sup_{g \in \mathcal{S}, \|g\|_{L^q} \leq 1} \|g\|_{L^q} = C_q \|f\|_{L^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, T is of strong type (p, p) . □

Proof of the weak type $(1,1)$ estimate. Let¹ $f \in L^1 \cap L^2$. Our goal is to show that there exists a dimensional constant C such that for any $\alpha > 0$

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq C \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}.$$

¹We only assume $f \in L^2$ so that Tf is well-defined, our estimates will be independent of $\|f\|_{L^2}$.

We apply the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition (Proposition 4.15) to f at level α , so that $f = g + b = g + \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} b_Q$. By the linearity of T , we have $Tf = Tg + Tb$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tf(x)| > \alpha\}| \\ \leq |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

To estimate the term corresponding to g , we use Chebyshev's inequality and the fact that $\|g\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1}$, $\|g\|_{L^\infty} \leq 2^n \alpha$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tg(x)| > \alpha/2\}| &\leq \frac{\|Tg\|_{L^2}^2}{(\alpha/2)^2} \lesssim \frac{\|g\|_{L^2}^2}{\alpha^2} \\ &\leq \frac{\|g\|_{L^1} \|g\|_{L^\infty}}{\alpha^2} \leq \frac{2^n \alpha \|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha^2} \sim \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

So the first term from the RHS of (4.10) satisfies the desired inequality. We move on to the second term, which is more difficult to estimate.

For every $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ let Q^* be the cube with the same center as Q , and with sidelength $\ell(Q^*) = 2\sqrt{n} \ell(Q)$. We have

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| \leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} |Q^*| + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q^* : |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}|.$$

The first term satisfies

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} |Q^*| \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} |Q| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{\alpha}$$

by Proposition 4.15 (iv). Concerning the second term, by Chebyshev's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q^* : |Tb(x)| > \alpha/2\}| &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q^*)^c} |Tb(x)| \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{Q' \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{(\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} Q^*)^c} |Tb_{Q'}(x)| \, dx \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{(Q^*)^c} |Tb_Q(x)| \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to show that the sum above is bounded by $C\|f\|_{L^1}$.

Fix $Q \in \mathcal{B}$ and let y_Q denote the center of Q . Since $\text{supp } b_Q \subset \overline{Q}$ and $\int_Q b_Q = 0$, we get that for $x \in (Q^*)^c$

$$Tb_Q(x) = \int_Q K(x, y) b_Q(y) \, dy = \int_Q (K(x, y) - K(x, y_Q)) b_Q(y) \, dy.$$

Observe that for $x \in (Q^*)^c$ and $y \in Q$ we have $|x - y| \geq \ell(Q^*)/2 = \sqrt{n}\ell(Q)$ and $|y - y_Q| \leq \text{diam}(Q)/2 = \sqrt{n}\ell(Q)/2$, so that $|x - y| \geq 2|y - y_Q|$. It follows that we may use the smoothness condition on K (4.2) to estimate

$$|Tb_Q(x)| \lesssim \int_Q \frac{|y - y_Q|^\delta}{|x - y_Q|^{n+\delta}} |b_Q(y)| \, dy \lesssim \frac{\ell(Q)^\delta}{|x - y_Q|^{n+\delta}} \|b_Q\|_{L^1}.$$

Hence,

$$\int_{(Q^*)^c} |Tb_Q(x)| \, dx \lesssim \ell(Q)^\delta \|b_Q\|_{L^1} \int_{(Q^*)^c} \frac{1}{|x - y_Q|^{n+\delta}} \, dy \leq C(\delta) \|b_Q\|_{L^1},$$

which gives

$$\sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \int_{(Q^*)^c} |Tb_Q(x)| \, dx \lesssim_\delta \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \|b_Q\|_{L^1} = \|b\|_{L^1} \leq \|f\|_{L^1} + \|g\|_{L^1} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^1}.$$

This finishes the proof. □

5 Truncations of Calderón-Zygmund operators

5.1 Convergence of truncated operators

Definition 5.1. Given a Calderón-Zygmund operator T associated to a standard kernel K , for every $\varepsilon > 0$ we define the truncated operator T_ε as

$$T_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x,y)f(y) dy,$$

where $f \in \bigcup_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The integral defining $T_\varepsilon f$ makes sense for any $f \in \bigcup_{1 \leq p < \infty} L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by the size condition (4.1) and Hölder's inequality.

Definition 5.2. Given a Calderón-Zygmund operator T , the *maximal operator associated to T* is defined as

$$T_* f(x) := \sup_{\varepsilon > 0} |T_\varepsilon f(x)|.$$

We will prove the following result in the next subsection.

Theorem 5.3. *If T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator, then the maximal operator T_* is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and of strong type (p, p) for all $1 < p < \infty$.*

We give an application of this result to the study of convergence of truncated operators.

Definition 5.4. A Calderón-Zygmund operator T is called a *Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator* if for all $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$Tf(x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\varepsilon f(x).$$

Example 5.5. The Hilbert and Riesz transforms are Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators.

Not all Calderón-Zygmund operators are Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operators. For some Calderón-Zygmund operators the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\varepsilon f(x)$ does not exist, see Example 5.9 and Proposition 5.12 in [Duo01]. For others, the limit exists but is different from Tf . For example, if $T = I$ is the identity operator, then $T_\varepsilon = 0$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$. See also Proposition 4.1.11 in [Gra14b] for a related result.

The following is a more general and stronger version of Proposition 3.12.

Proposition 5.6. *Suppose that T is a Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator. For every $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} T_\varepsilon f(x) = Tf(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (5.1)$$

Moreover, for $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we have

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|T_\varepsilon f - Tf\|_{L^p} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. For any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, define

$$\Lambda f(x) := \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |T_\varepsilon f(x) - Tf(x)|.$$

Note that $\Lambda f \leq T_* f + Tf$. Since T is a Calderón-Zygmund singular integral operator, we have $\Lambda f = 0$ a.e. for $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

For a general $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, let $f_n \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^p . Then,

$$\Lambda f(x) \leq \Lambda f_n(x) + \Lambda(f - f_n)(x) = \Lambda(f - f_n)(x)$$

for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If $1 < p < \infty$, we can estimate

$$\|\Lambda f\|_{L^p} = \|\Lambda(f - f_n)\|_{L^p} \leq \|T_*(f - f_n)\|_{L^p} + \|T(f - f_n)\|_{L^p} \leq C\|f_n - f\|_{L^p},$$

where in the last inequality we used the strong type (p, p) estimates for T_* and T . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\|\Lambda f\|_{L^p} = 0$, and so $\Lambda f = 0$ a.e. This gives (5.1).

The remaining conclusions are left as an exercise. \square

Exercise 5.7. Prove (5.1) for $p = 1$, and (5.2) for $1 < p < \infty$.

Hint: For (5.1) use the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimates of T and T_* . For (5.2) use (5.1) and the dominated convergence theorem.

5.2 Cotlar's inequality

Recall that the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B(x, r)|} \int_{B(x, r)} |f(y)| dy.$$

This operator satisfies weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate, and strong type (p, p) estimates for $1 < p \leq \infty$. Moreover, the weak $(1, 1)$ estimate can be refined to

$$|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}| \leq C \frac{\int_{\{Mf>\lambda\}} |f|}{\lambda}. \quad (5.3)$$

See Chapter 2.4 in [Duo01] or Chapter 2.1 in [Gra14a] for details.

The following estimate is sometimes referred to as Cotlar's inequality.

Theorem 5.8. *Suppose that T is a Calderón-Zygmund operator. For any $0 < r \leq 1$ there exists a constant $C = C(n, r, T)$ such that for any $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$*

$$T_* f(x) \leq C(M(|Tf|^r)(x))^{1/r} + Mf(x). \quad (5.4)$$

To prove this inequality, we need the following auxiliary estimate due to Kolmogorov.

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that S is a weak type $(1, 1)$ operator, and $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a measurable set with $|E| < \infty$. Then, there exists $C > 0$ (depending only on the weak $(1, 1)$ constant) such that for all $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $0 < r < 1$*

$$\int_E |Sf(x)|^r dx \leq C \frac{1}{1-r} |E|^{1-r} \|f\|_{L^1}^r.$$

Proof. The layer cake formula and the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate for S give

$$\begin{aligned} \int_E |Sf(x)|^r dx &= r \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} |\{x \in E : |Sf(x)| > \lambda\}| d\lambda \\ &\leq r \int_0^\infty \lambda^{r-1} \min(|E|, C\|f\|_{L^1}/\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= r \int_0^{C\|f\|_{L^1}/|E|} \lambda^{r-1} |E| d\lambda + Cr \int_{C\|f\|_{L^1}/|E|}^\infty \lambda^{r-2} \|f\|_{L^1} d\lambda \\ &= (C\|f\|_{L^1}/|E|)^r |E| + C \frac{r}{1-r} (C\|f\|_{L^1}/|E|)^{r-1} \|f\|_{L^1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.8. Fix $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. We will show that

$$|T_\varepsilon f(x)| \leq C(M(|Tf|^r)(x))^{1/r} + Mf(x), \quad (5.5)$$

with C independent of ε .

Let $B = B(x, \varepsilon/2)$ and $2B = B(x, \varepsilon)$. Let $f_1 = f\mathbf{1}_{2B}$ and $f_2 = f\mathbf{1}_{2B^c} = f - f_1$. Then,

$$T_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} K(x, y) f(y) dy = T(f\mathbf{1}_{2B^c})(x) = Tf_2(x),$$

where in the second equality we used the fact that $x \notin \text{supp}(f\mathbf{1}_{2B^c})$ and the representation formula (4.5).

For $x' \in B$ and $y \in 2B^c$ we have $|x' - x| \leq |x - y|/2$, and so

$$\begin{aligned}
|Tf_2(x) - Tf_2(x')| &= \left| \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} (K(x, y) - K(x', y))f(y) \, dy \right| \\
&\lesssim \int_{|x-y|>\varepsilon} \frac{|x - x'|^\delta}{|x - y|^{n+\delta}} |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^\delta \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{2^k \varepsilon < |x-y| \leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon} (2^k \varepsilon)^{-n-\delta} |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k)^{-\delta} \frac{1}{(2^{k+1} \varepsilon)^n} \int_{|x-y| \leq 2^{k+1} \varepsilon} |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^k)^{-\delta} Mf(x) \leq C(\delta) Mf(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $x' \in B$

$$|T_\varepsilon f(x)| = |Tf_2(x)| \leq |Tf_2(x')| + CMf(x) \leq |Tf(x')| + |Tf_1(x')| + CMf(x). \quad (5.6)$$

If $|T_\varepsilon f(x)| \leq 3CMf(x)$ then (5.5) holds, so suppose that $|T_\varepsilon f(x)| > 3CMf(x) > 0$. We define

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1 &= \{x' \in B : |Tf(x')| \geq |T_\varepsilon f(x)|/3\}, \\
B_2 &= \{x' \in B : |Tf_1(x')| \geq |T_\varepsilon f(x)|/3\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $B = B_1 \cup B_2$. By Chebyshev's inequality

$$|B_1| \lesssim \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} \int_B |Tf(x')| \, dx' \leq \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} |B| M(|Tf|)(x).$$

By the weak $(1, 1)$ estimate for T

$$|B_2| \lesssim \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} \|f_1\|_{L_1} = \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} \int_{2B} |f| \lesssim \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} |B| Mf(x).$$

Summing the two inequalities above we get

$$|B| \lesssim \frac{1}{|T_\varepsilon f(x)|} |B| (M(|Tf|)(x) + Mf(x)),$$

which gives (5.5) for $r = 1$.

To get (5.5) for $0 < r < 1$, we raise (5.6) to power r , so that

$$|T_\varepsilon f(x)|^r \lesssim |Tf(x')|^r + |Tf_1(x')|^r + Mf(x)^r.$$

Averaging over $x' \in B$ and then raising to power $1/r$ we get

$$|T_\varepsilon f(x)| \lesssim M(|Tf|^r)(x)^{1/r} + \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Tf_1(x')|^r dx' \right)^{1/r} + Mf(x).$$

By Lemma 5.9,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B |Tf_1(x')|^r dx' \right)^{1/r} \lesssim_r |B|^{-1} \|f_1\|_{L^1} = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_{2B} |f| \lesssim Mf(x),$$

which finishes the proof. \square

We are ready to prove Theorem 5.3, which asserted that T_* is of weak type $(1, 1)$ and strong type (p, p) for $1 < p < \infty$.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. If $1 < p < \infty$, the strong type (p, p) estimate for T_* follows from Cotlar's inequality (5.4) with $r = 1$ and the strong type (p, p) estimates for M and T .

To get the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate for T_* , we use (5.4) with $r = 1/2$ to estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |T_* f(x)| \geq \alpha\}| &\leq |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|Tf|^{1/2})(x)^2 \geq \alpha/(2C)\}| \\ &\quad + |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) \geq \alpha/(2C)\}|. \end{aligned}$$

The second term is bounded by $C'\|f\|_{L^1}/\alpha$ by the weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate for M .

To bound the first term, let $E = |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M(|Tf|^{1/2})(x) \geq \alpha^{1/2}/(2C)^{1/2}\}|$. We use the refined weak type $(1, 1)$ estimate for M (5.3), and then Lemma 5.9 to get

$$|E| \lesssim \frac{\int_E |Tf|^{1/2}}{\alpha^{1/2}} \lesssim \frac{|E|^{1/2} \|f\|_{L^1}^{1/2}}{\alpha^{1/2}}.$$

Rearranging this inequality finishes the proof. \square

6 Weighted inequalities

6.1 The A_p weights

Definition 6.1 (weight). We define *weights* as locally integrable functions $w : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty]$. Each weight gives rise to a locally finite measure, still denoted by w , via

$$w(A) = \int_A w.$$

We are interested in studying singular integral operators in the weighted setting (\mathbb{R}^n, w) . Given the importance of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M in this theory, it is reasonable to start our investigation by determining the weights for which M is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w , $1 \leq p < \infty$. By definition, M is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w if and only if for every $\lambda > 0$ and $f \in L^p(w)$

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : Mf(x) > \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int |f(x)|^p w(x) dx. \quad (6.1)$$

Instead of the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, it will be convenient for us to study its non-centered variant associated to cubes. For any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ we define

$$M_c f(x) = \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all axis-parallel cubes containing x (from now on when we write “cubes” we always assume they are axis-parallel).

Exercise 6.2. Show that for any $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ we have

$$C^{-1}Mf(x) \leq M_c f(x) \leq CMf(x).$$

Conclude that M is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w for some $1 \leq p < \infty$ if and only if M_c is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w .

We now derive a necessary condition for w so that M_c is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w . Suppose that (6.1) holds. Let Q be a cube, and $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\int_Q f > 0$. Fix $0 < \lambda < \int_Q f / |Q|$. Then,

$$Q \subset \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c(f\mathbf{1}_Q)(x) > \lambda\},$$

and so the weak type (p, p) estimate implies

$$w(Q) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int_Q |f(x)|^p w(x) dx.$$

Taking $\lambda \rightarrow \int_Q f/|Q|$ we arrive at

$$w(Q) \left(\frac{\int_Q f}{|Q|} \right)^p \leq C \int_Q |f(x)|^p w(x) dx. \quad (6.2)$$

Let $S \subset Q$ be measurable with $|S| > 0$. Taking $f = \mathbf{1}_S$, the inequality above gives

$$w(Q) \left(\frac{|S|}{|Q|} \right)^p \leq C w(S). \quad (6.3)$$

Since this holds for all cubes Q and all $S \subset Q$ with $|S| > 0$, we get that either $w \equiv 0$ (which is not too interesting), or $w(x) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Now there are two cases to consider.

Case $p = 1$. If $p = 1$, (6.3) becomes

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq C \frac{w(S)}{|S|}.$$

Let $a = \text{ess inf}\{w(x) : x \in Q\}$. Then, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $S_\varepsilon \subset Q$ with $|S_\varepsilon| > 0$ and such that for all $x \in S_\varepsilon$ we have $w(x) \leq a + \varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq C \frac{w(S_\varepsilon)}{|S_\varepsilon|} = C \frac{\int_{S_\varepsilon} w}{|S_\varepsilon|} \leq C(a + \varepsilon).$$

Taking $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get that

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq C \text{ess inf}_{x \in Q} w(x).$$

Hence, for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq C w(x), \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in Q. \quad (6.4)$$

Definition 6.3 (A_1 weights). A weight w satisfies the A_1 condition if (6.4) holds for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The positive weights w satisfying the A_1 condition are called the A_1 weights, and we will write $w \in A_1$ for such weights.

The smallest constant C such that (6.4) holds is called the A_1 character of w , and it is denoted by

$$[w]_{A_1} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \|w^{-1}\|_{L^\infty(Q)}.$$

Exercise 6.4. Show that the A_1 condition is equivalent to

$$M_c w(x) \leq C w(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6.5)$$

Case $1 < p < \infty$. Let $1 < p' < \infty$ be such that $1/p + 1/p' = 1$. We plug into (6.2) the function $f = w^{1-p'} \mathbf{1}_Q$,² so that

$$w(Q) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \right)^p \leq C \int_Q w^{(1-p')p+1} = C \int_Q w^{1-p'}.$$

Rearranging, we get

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \leq C. \quad (6.6)$$

Definition 6.5 (A_p weights). A weight w satisfies the A_p condition if (6.6) holds for every cube $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. The positive weights w satisfying the A_p condition are called the A_p weights, and we will write $w \in A_p$ for such weights.

The smallest constant C such that (6.6) holds is called the A_p character of w , and it is denoted by

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1}.$$

Note that the definition for $p = 2$ is particularly nice, since the A_2 condition is just

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{-1} \right) \leq C.$$

The A_1 and A_p conditions are often called *Muckenhoupt conditions*. It turns out that they are not only necessary for the weak estimates for M_c , but also sufficient.

Proposition 6.6. *For $1 \leq p < \infty$ the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is of weak type (p, p) with respect to a weight w if and only if $w \in A_p$.*

Proof. Assume that $w \in A_p$ and $f \in L^p(w)$. Our goal is to show

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda^p} \int |f(x)|^p w(x) \, dx. \quad (6.7)$$

Assume additionally that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the family of cubes given by the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of f at level λ (see Proposition 4.15). We claim that

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda\} \subset \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} 3Q \quad (6.8)$$

²Here we implicitly assume that $w^{1-p'}$ is locally integrable. To avoid this, we could consider $\min(w^{1-p'}, N)$ instead, and at the end take $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be such that $M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda$, and let $x \in P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a cube such that $\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f| > 4^n \lambda$. Fix $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{-k-1} \leq \ell(P) < 2^{-k}$. Note that P may intersect at most 2^n cubes from $\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and we denote them by R_1, \dots, R_m , $m \leq 2^n$.

If one of the R_j 's is contained in some $Q \in \mathcal{B}$, then $P \subset 3R_j \subset 3Q$ and we are done with (6.8). So suppose that none of R_j 's is contained in any $Q \in \mathcal{B}$. Then, by the definition of bad cubes \mathcal{B} (see the proof of Proposition 4.15) we get that for all $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\frac{1}{|R_j|} \int_{R_j} |f| \leq \lambda.$$

Hence,

$$\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{\ell(R_j)^n}{\ell(P)^n} \frac{1}{|R_j|} \int_{R_j} |f| \leq m 2^n \lambda \leq 4^n \lambda,$$

which is a contradiction with $\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f| > 4^n \lambda$. This finishes the proof of (6.8).

Now we argue separately for $p = 1$ and $p > 1$. Suppose first that $p = 1$. It follows from (6.8) and the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition property (4.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} w(3Q) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{w(3Q)}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \int_Q |f(x)| \frac{w(3Q)}{|3Q|} dx. \end{aligned}$$

By the A_1 condition, we have $\frac{w(3Q)}{|3Q|} \leq w(x)$ for a.e. $x \in 3Q$, and so

$$w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \int_Q |f(x)| w(x) dx \leq \frac{C}{\lambda} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x)| w(x) dx,$$

which gives (6.7) for $p = 1$ and $f \in L^1(w) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Assume now $p > 1$. By Hölder's inequality and the definition of A_p weights, for any cube P

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f| \right)^p &= \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f| w^{1/p} w^{-1/p} \right)^p \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f|^p w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P w^{-p'/p} \right)^{p/p'} = \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f|^p w \right) \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P w^{1-p'} \right)^{p-1} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{|P|} \int_P |f|^p w \right) \left(\frac{|P|}{w(P)} \right) = C \frac{1}{w(P)} \int_P |f|^p w. \quad (6.9) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $P = 3Q$ and $f = \mathbf{1}_Q$ for some cube Q , it follows that $w(3Q) \leq C3^{np}w(Q)$. We use again (6.8), the estimate (6.9), and (4.9), to get

$$\begin{aligned} w(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M_c f(x) > 4^n \lambda\}) &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} w(3Q) \lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} w(Q) \\ &\lesssim \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f| \right)^{-p} \int_Q |f|^p w \\ &\leq \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{B}} \lambda^{-p} \int_Q |f|^p w \leq \lambda^{-p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p w. \end{aligned}$$

This gives (6.7) for $1 < p < \infty$ and $f \in L^p(w) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

It remains to show that $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(w)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$, and we leave this as an exercise. \square

Exercise 6.7. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. Show that $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is dense in $L^p(w)$.

Hint: For any $f \in L^p(w)$ prove that $f_R := f \mathbf{1}_{B(0,R)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $R > 0$, and that $f_R \rightarrow f$ in $L^p(w)$ as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The estimate (6.9) and its modification for $p = 1$ may be helpful.

We list a few basic properties of the A_p weights. Below \mathcal{L}^n denotes the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n .

Lemma 6.8. *We have $A_p \subset A_q$ for $1 \leq p \leq q < \infty$. Moreover, for any $w \in A_p$ we have*

(i) *for any cube Q and $E \subset Q$ measurable*

$$\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^p \leq C \frac{w(E)}{w(Q)}. \quad (6.10)$$

In particular, $\mathcal{L}^n \ll w$.

(ii) *For every $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\beta \in (0, 1)$ such that for every cube Q and $E \subset Q$ measurable*

$$|E| \leq \alpha |Q| \quad \Rightarrow \quad w(E) \leq \beta w(Q).$$

In particular, $w \ll \mathcal{L}^n$.

(iii) *w is doubling: for any ball B we have $w(2B) \leq Cw(B)$.*

(iv) *if $p > 1$, then $w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $w \in A_p$ and $q > p$. If $p = 1$, then

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-q'}\right)^{q-1} \leq \text{ess sup}_{x \in Q} w(x)^{-1} = \left(\text{ess inf}_{x \in Q} w(x)\right)^{-1} \leq C \left(\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|}\right)^{-1},$$

so $w \in A_q$. For $p > 1$, it follows from Hölder's inequality that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-q'}\right)^{q-1} \leq \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \left(\int_Q w^{1-p'}\right)^{\frac{1-q'}{1-p'}} |Q|^{1-\frac{1-q'}{1-p'}}\right)^{q-1} = \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1}.$$

Thus, $A_p \subset A_q$.

To show (i) for $w \in A_1$, note that by integrating the A_1 condition (6.4) over E we have

$$|E| \cdot \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \leq w(E).$$

If $w \in A_p$ with $p > 1$, then by plugging $f = \mathbf{1}_E$ into (6.9) we get the desired inequality.

To get (ii), observe that replacing E by $Q \setminus E$ in (6.10) gives

$$\left(1 - \frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^p \leq C \left(1 - \frac{w(E)}{w(Q)}\right),$$

and so $|E| \leq \alpha|Q|$ implies

$$(1 - \alpha)^p \leq C \left(1 - \frac{w(E)}{w(Q)}\right),$$

which is equivalent to

$$w(E) \leq \left(1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^p}{C}\right) w(Q).$$

This gives the desired inequality with $\beta = 1 - \frac{(1 - \alpha)^p}{C}$.

The doubling property (iii) follows immediately from (6.10) by taking $E = B$ and Q a cube containing $2B$ with $\ell(Q) \sim r(B)$.

Finally, to get (iv) observe that the $A_{p'}$ condition for $w^{1-p'}$ is

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'}\right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{(1-p')(1-p)}\right)^{p'-1} \leq C,$$

and since $(1 - p')(1 - p) = 1$, this is the A_p condition raised to power $p' - 1$. \square

Exercise 6.9. Prove that in the definition of the A_p condition we may replace cubes by balls and still get the same class of weights. More specifically,

$$\sup_{Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w\right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1} \sim \sup_{B \subset \mathbb{R}^n} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w\right) \left(\frac{1}{|B|} \int_B w^{1-p'}\right)^{p-1},$$

where Q are cubes and B are balls.

Exercise 6.10. Prove that $w(x) = |x|^a$ is an A_p weight on \mathbb{R}^n , $1 < p < \infty$, if and only if $-n < a < n(p - 1)$.

Hint: Show first that $w(x) = |x|^a$ is a doubling weight ($w(2B) \leq Cw(B)$ for all balls) if and only if $a > -n$. Consider separately balls $B = B(x_0, R)$ such that $|x_0| \geq 3R$, and such that $|x_0| < 3R$.

Exercise 6.11. Show that

$$w(x) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{1}{|x|} & |x| \leq e^{-1} \\ 1 & |x| > e^{-1} \end{cases}$$

is an A_1 weight.

6.2 Reverse Hölder inequality

In this subsection we will talk about weighted strong type estimates for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M .

Suppose that $w \in A_p$ for some $p \geq 1$. Observe that by Lemma 6.8 (i), we have $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^\infty(w)$ with equality of norms. In particular, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is of strong type (∞, ∞) with respect to w .

By Proposition 6.6 we also have that M is of weak type (p, p) with respect to w , and so by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem we get that M is of strong type (q, q) with respect to w for all $p < q < \infty$, in the sense that

$$\int |Mf(x)|^q w(x) dx \leq C \int |f(x)|^q w(x) dx.$$

It turns out that the same is true at the endpoint $q = p$, and we have the following improvement over Proposition 6.6.

Theorem 6.12. *For $1 < p < \infty$ the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator is of strong type (p, p) with respect to a weight w if and only if $w \in A_p$.*

To prove this, we will establish an important property of Muckenhoupt weights called the *reverse Hölder inequality*.

Theorem 6.13. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $w \in A_p$. There exist constants $C \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, depending only on p and $[w]_{A_p}$, such that for any cube Q*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1+\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q w.$$

Note that the converse estimate holds (with $C = 1$) by Hölder's inequality, hence the name “reverse Hölder inequality”.

Proof. Fix $w \in A_p$ and a cube Q . Without loss of generality, we may assume that Q is a dyadic cube (otherwise we replace w by a translated and dilated and weight w').

Consider an increasing sequence $\lambda_k \rightarrow \infty$, with $\lambda_0 = w(Q)/|Q|$. For every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let \mathcal{B}_k be the family of dyadic sub-cubes of Q given by the Calderón-Zygmund decomposition of $w\mathbf{1}_Q$ at the level λ_k (see Proposition 4.15). That is, \mathcal{B}_k is the family of maximal sub-cubes of Q satisfying

$$\lambda_k < \frac{w(P)}{|P|} \leq 2^n \lambda_k, \quad P \in \mathcal{B}_k. \quad (6.11)$$

Let $\Omega_k := \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{B}_k} P$, and observe that

$$w(x) \leq \lambda_k \quad \text{for a.e. } x \notin \Omega_k. \quad (6.12)$$

Note that every cube in \mathcal{B}_k is contained in some cube from \mathcal{B}_{k-1} (this follows from the definition of \mathcal{B}_k and the fact that $\lambda_k > \lambda_{k-1}$). In particular, $\Omega_k \subset \Omega_{k-1}$.

Given $P \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ let $\mathcal{B}_k(P)$ be the family of cubes from \mathcal{B}_k contained in P . Then,

$$|P \cap \Omega_k| = \sum_{R \in \mathcal{B}_k(P)} |R| \stackrel{(6.11)}{\leq} \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \sum_{R \in \mathcal{B}_k(P)} w(R) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_k} w(P) \stackrel{(6.11)}{\leq} \frac{2^n \lambda_{k-1}}{\lambda_k} |P|.$$

Let $\lambda_k := 2^{(n+1)k} \lambda_0 = 2^{(n+1)k} w(Q)/|Q|$. Then the estimate above gives

$$|P \cap \Omega_k| \leq \frac{|P|}{2}. \quad (6.13)$$

By Lemma 6.8 (ii) (applied with $\alpha = 1/2$) we get that there exists $\beta = \beta(p, [w]_{A_p}) \in (0, 1)$ such that

$$w(P \cap \Omega_k) \leq \beta w(P)$$

Summing over all $P \in \mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ gives $w(\Omega_k) \leq \beta w(\Omega_{k-1})$, and iterating this inequality yields

$$w(\Omega_k) \leq \beta^k w(\Omega_0).$$

We may use (6.13) similarly to get $|\Omega_k| \leq 2^{-k} |\Omega_0|$, and so

$$\left| \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \Omega_k \right| = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |\Omega_k| = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1+\varepsilon} &= \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q \setminus \Omega_0} w^{1+\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{k \geq 0} \int_{\Omega_k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}} w^{1+\varepsilon} \\ &\stackrel{(6.12)}{\leq} \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{w(Q \setminus \Omega_0)}{|Q|} + \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda_k^\varepsilon w(\Omega_k \setminus \Omega_{k+1}) \leq \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} + \frac{1}{|Q|} \sum_{k \geq 0} \lambda_k^\varepsilon w(\Omega_k) \\ &\leq \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} + \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^{(n+1)k\varepsilon} \beta^k. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\varepsilon > 0$ so small that $2^{(n+1)\varepsilon} \beta < 1$, we get that the geometric series above converges, and so

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1+\varepsilon} \leq C \lambda_0^\varepsilon \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} = C \left(\frac{w(Q)}{|Q|} \right)^{1+\varepsilon}.$$

□

An easy corollary of the reverse Hölder inequality is the self-improving property of A_p weights.

Corollary 6.14. *For every $p > 1$ and $w \in A_p$ there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $w \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$. In particular,*

$$A_p = \bigcup_{q=1}^p A_q.$$

Proof. By Lemma 6.8 (iii) we have $w^{1-p'} \in A_{p'}$. The reverse Hölder inequality for $w^{1-p'}$ asserts that for some $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{(1-p')(1+\varepsilon)} \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq \frac{C}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{(1-p')}.$$

Let $q > 1$ be such that $1 - q' = (1 - p')(1 + \varepsilon)$. Then $q < p$, and the inequality above together with the A_p condition give $w \in A_q$. \square

Now we can easily prove the strong type (p, p) estimate with respect to A_p weights for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, $p > 1$.

Proof of Theorem 6.12. Suppose that $w \in A_p$ with $p > 1$. Then, $w \in A_q$ for some $q < p$, and we already know that M is of strong type (r, r) with respect to w for all $q < r < \infty$ (see the discussion above Theorem 6.12). In particular, it is of strong type (p, p) with respect to w . \square

6.3 Characterization of A_1 weights

In this subsection we prove the following characterization of the A_1 weights.

Proposition 6.15. *Suppose that $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is such that $M_c f(x) < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, for every $0 < s < 1$ the weight $w = (M_c f)^s$ is an A_1 weight, with $[w]_{A_1}$ depending only on s , and not on f .*

Conversely, for every $w \in A_1$ there exists $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $0 < s < 1$ and $C = C([w]_{A_1})$ such that

$$w(x) \leq M_c f(x)^s \leq C w(x) \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (6.14)$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $M_c f(x) < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We need to show that for every cube Q and a.e. $x \in Q$

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M_c f(y)^s dy \leq C M_c f(x)^s. \quad (6.15)$$

Fix Q , and observe that

$$M_c f(y)^s \leq M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q})(y)^s + M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c})(y)^s.$$

By Lemma 5.9

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q})(y)^s dy \lesssim_s \frac{|Q|^{1-s}}{|Q|} \|f \mathbf{1}_{2Q}\|_{L^1}^s = \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{2Q} |f| dy \right)^s \lesssim M_c f(x)^s$$

for every $x \in Q$.

Now we want to estimate $M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c})(y)$ for $y \in Q$. Observe that if R is a cube such that $y \in R$ and $\int_R |f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c}| > 0$, then $R \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ and $R \setminus 2Q \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $\ell(R) \geq \ell(Q)/2$, and $Q \subset 5R$. It follows that

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c}(z)| dz \leq \frac{5^n}{|5R|} \int_{5R} |f| dz \lesssim M_c f(x).$$

Taking supremum over cubes R containing y , we get that $M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c})(y) \lesssim M_c f(x)$ for every $y \in Q$, and so

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q M_c(f \mathbf{1}_{2Q^c})(y)^s dy \leq C M_c f(x)^s.$$

This finishes the proof of (6.15) and the first half of the proposition.

Now suppose that $w \in A_1$. By Theorem 6.13, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q w^{1+\varepsilon} \right)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq C \frac{w(Q)}{|Q|}.$$

Together with the A_1 condition, this implies that $M(w^{1+\varepsilon})(x)^{1/(1+\varepsilon)} \leq C w(x)$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since we also have $w^{1+\varepsilon}(x) \leq M(w^{1+\varepsilon})(x)$, taking $f = w^{1+\varepsilon}$ and $s = 1/(1+\varepsilon)$ we get (6.14). \square

Proposition 6.15 is a useful tool for coming up with examples of A_1 weights. The following lemma allows us to construct A_p weights using A_1 weights.

Lemma 6.16. *Let $1 < p < \infty$. If $w_1, w_2 \in A_1$, then $w = w_1 w_2^{1-p}$ is an A_p weight.*

Proof. We present the proof for $p = 2$, and leave the general case as an exercise. We need to show that for every cube Q

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_1 w_2^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_1^{-1} w_2 \right) \leq C.$$

By the A_1 condition for w_1 and w_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_1 w_2^{-1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_1^{-1} w_2 \right) \\ & \leq (\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in Q} w_2(x))^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_1 \right) (\operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in Q} w_1(x))^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{|Q|} \int w_2 \right) \\ & \leq [w_1]_{A_1} [w_2]_{A_1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Exercise 6.17. Modify the proof of Lemma 6.16 to cover all $1 < p < \infty$.

Remark 6.18. It turns out that the converse of Lemma 6.16 is also true: any A_p weight w can be written as $w = w_1 w_2^{1-p}$ for some $w_1, w_2 \in A_1$. This important result is known as the *factorization of A_p weights*, see Chapter V.5.3 in [Ste93] or Section 4 in [CU17] for a proof.

6.4 Extrapolation of weights

One of the key results in the theory of A_p weights is the *Rubio de Francia extrapolation theorem*, which says that a weighted inequality obtained for one exponent $1 < r < \infty$ implies the same for all $1 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 6.19. *Let $1 < r < \infty$. Suppose that an operator T is of strong type (p_0, p_0) with respect to all weights $w \in A_{p_0}$, with operator norm depending only on $[w]_{A_{p_0}}$. Then, T is of strong type (p, p) with respect to all weights $w \in A_p$ and all $1 < p < \infty$.*

Proof. First, assume that $w \in A_1$. We will show that T is of strong type (p, p) with respect to w for all $1 < p < p_0$.

Let $f \in L^p(w)$, and without loss of generality assume that f is compactly supported (see Exercise 6.7). Then, $M_c f(x) < \infty$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and by Proposition 6.15 we have $(M_c f)^{(p_0-p)/(p_0-1)} \in A_1$ (note that $p_0 - p < p_0 - 1$). Then, by Lemma 6.16 the weight $w \cdot (M_c f)^{p-p_0}$ is in A_{p_0} . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \int |Tf|^p w &= \int |Tf|^p w (M_c f)^{-(p_0-p)p/p_0} (M_c f)^{(p_0-p)p/p_0} \\ &\leq \left(\int |Tf|^{p_0} w (M_c f)^{p-p_0} \right)^{p/p_0} \left(\int (M_c f)^p w \right)^{1-p/p_0} \\ &\lesssim \left(\int |f|^{p_0} w (M_c f)^{p-p_0} \right)^{p/p_0} \left(\int |f|^p w \right)^{1-p/p_0} \\ &\leq \left(\int |f|^{p_0} w |f|^{p-p_0} \right)^{p/p_0} \left(\int |f|^p w \right)^{1-p/p_0} = \int |f|^p w, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality uses the strong (p_0, p_0) estimate for T with respect to $w \cdot (M_c f)^{p-p_0} \in A_{p_0}$ and the strong (p, p) estimate for M_c with respect to $w \in A_1 \subset A_p$, and the third inequality uses the fact that $f(x) \leq M_c f(x)$ a.e. and that $p - p_0 < 0$. This shows that T is of strong type (p, p) with respect to w .

Now assume that $w \in A_p$ for some $1 < p < \infty$. We will show that T is of strong type (p, p) with respect to w .

By the self-improving property of A_p weights (Corollary 6.14), there exists some $1 < q < p$ such that $w \in A_{p/q}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $1 < q < p_0$. By duality, there exists $u \in L^{(p/q)'}(w)$ of norm 1 such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf|^p w \right)^{q/p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (|Tf|^q)^{p/q} w \right)^{q/p} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf|^q u w. \quad (6.16)$$

We claim that for $a > 1$ small enough we have $M_c(|uw|^a) < \infty$ a.e. Indeed, since $w \in A_{p/q}$, we have $w^{1-(p/q)'} \in A_{(p/q)'}$ by Lemma 6.8 (iv). By the self-improving property of A_p weights, $w^{1-(p/q)'} \in A_{(p/q)'/a}$ for $a > 1$ small enough. But then by Theorem 6.12

$$\int M_c(|uw|^a)^{(p/q)'/a} w^{1-(p/q)'} \lesssim \int |uw|^{(p/q)'} w^{1-(p/q)'} = \int |u|^{(p/q)'} w = 1, \quad (6.17)$$

and so in particular $M_c(|uw|^a) < \infty$ a.e.

By Proposition 6.15, $M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a}$ is an A_1 weight. Thus, we know by the first half of the proof that T is of strong type (q, q) with respect to $M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a}$. Since $|uw| \leq M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a}$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf|^q u w &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Tf|^q M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a} \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^q M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a} \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^q w^{q/p} M_c(|uw|^a)^{1/a} w^{-q/p} \\ &\leq \left(\int |f|^p w \right)^{q/p} \left(\int M_c(|uw|^a)^{(p/q)'/a} w^{1-(p/q)'} \right)^{1/(p/q)'} \stackrel{(6.17)}{\lesssim} \left(\int |f|^p w \right)^{q/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with (6.16), this shows that T is of strong type (p, p) with respect to w . \square

For some applications of the extrapolation theorem, and for more information about the theory of A_p weights, see e.g. the lecture notes [CU17].

References

- [Ast94] K. Astala. Area distortion of quasiconformal mappings. *Acta Math.*, 173(1):37–60, 1994. doi:10.1007/BF02392568.
- [CMM82] R. R. Coifman, A. McIntosh, and Y. Meyer. L'intégrale de Cauchy Definit un Operateur Borne sur L^2 Pour Les Courbes Lipschitziennes. *Ann. of Math.*, 116(2):361–387, 1982. doi:10.2307/2007065.
- [CU17] D. Cruz-Uribe. Extrapolation and Factorization. *Lecture notes*, 2017. doi:10.48550/arXiv.1706.02620.
- [CZ52] A. P. Calderon and A. Zygmund. On the existence of certain singular integrals. *Acta Math.*, 88(1):85–139, 1952. doi:10.1007/BF02392130.
- [Duo01] J. Duoandikoetxea. *Fourier analysis*, volume 29 of *Grad. Stud. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
- [Gra14a] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier Analysis*, volume 249 of *Grad. Texts in Math.* Springer, New York, 3rd edition, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1194-3.
- [Gra14b] L. Grafakos. *Modern Fourier analysis*, volume 250 of *Grad. Texts in Math.* Springer, New York, 3rd edition, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-1-4939-1230-8.
- [Hyt12] T. P. Hytönen. The sharp weighted bound for general Calderón–Zygmund operators. *Ann. Of Math.*, 175(3):1473–1506, 2012. doi:10.4007/annals.2012.175.3.9.
- [Ler16] A. K. Lerner. On pointwise estimates involving sparse operators. *New York J. Math.*, 22:341–349, 2016.
- [Ste70] E. M. Stein. *Singular Integrals and Differentiability Properties of Functions*, volume 30 of *Princeton Math. Ser.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1970.
- [Ste93] E. M. Stein. *Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals*, volume 43 of *Princeton Math. Ser.* Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [Tol14] X. Tolsa. *Analytic capacity, the Cauchy transform, and non-homogeneous Calderón–Zygmund theory*, volume 307 of *Progr. Math.* Birkhäuser, Cham, 2014. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-00596-6.

- [Ver21] J. Verdera. Birth and life of the L^2 boundedness of the Cauchy Integral on Lipschitz graphs. *arXiv*, 2021. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2109.06690.