

SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

EXERCISE 2 - 07.11.2023

Exercise 1 (1 point). Show that for every Hölder continuous $\Omega : \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ the kernel $K : \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{(x, x) : x \in \mathbb{R}^n\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by

$$K(x, y) = \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)}{|x-y|^n}$$

is a standard kernel.

Solution. We have to check that the size and smoothness conditions hold. We assume that $\Omega \in C^\alpha(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}; \mathbb{C})$.

Size condition) Trivial: for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \neq y$, it holds

$$|K(x, y)| \leq \frac{\left| \Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right) \right|}{|x-y|^n} \leq \frac{\|\Omega\|_\infty}{|x-y|^n}.$$

Smoothness conditions) K is of convolution-type, so it suffices to prove that

$$(*) \quad |K(x, y) - K(x, y')| \leq C \cdot \frac{|y - y'|^\alpha}{|y - y|^{n+\alpha}}, \text{ for } |x - y| > 2|y - y'|,$$

where $C > 0$ is to be determined and possibly depend on Ω ,

n, α . Notation: we assume that $C_\alpha > 0$ is such that

$$|\Omega(\xi) - \Omega(\xi')| \leq C_\alpha |\xi - \xi'|^\alpha, \text{ for } \xi, \xi' \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

Now, for $|x - y| > 2|y - y'|$ we write:

$$\begin{aligned} |K(x, y) - K(x, y')| &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left| \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)}{|x-y|^n} - \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\right)}{|x-y'|^n} \right| \\ &\leq \left| \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right)}{|x-y|^n} - \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\right)}{|x-y|^n} \right| + \left| \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\right)}{|x-y|^n} - \frac{\Omega\left(\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\right)}{|x-y'|^n} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$=: \textcircled{1} + \textcircled{2}.$$

$\textcircled{1}$: We first observe that

$$\left| \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} - \frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|} \right| \leq \left| \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} - \frac{x-y'}{|x-y|} \right| + \left| \frac{x-y'}{|x-y|} - \frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{|x-y|} |y-y'| + \frac{|x-y'|}{|x-y|} \frac{1}{|x-y'|} \left| |x-y'| - |x-y| \right| \quad (\star)$$

$$\leq 2 \frac{|y-y'|}{|x-y|},$$

which yields

$$\textcircled{1} \leq \frac{1}{|x-y|^m} \left| \Omega\left(\frac{x-y}{|x-y|}\right) - \Omega\left(\frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|}\right) \right| \leq \frac{C_\alpha}{|x-y|^m} \left| \frac{x-y}{|x-y|} - \frac{x-y'}{|x-y'|} \right|^\alpha$$

$$\stackrel{(\star)}{\leq} \frac{2 C_\alpha}{|x-y|^m} \frac{|y-y'|^\alpha}{|x-y|^\alpha} = 2 C_\alpha \frac{|y-y'|^\alpha}{|x-y|^{m+\alpha}}.$$

~~~~~

$\textcircled{2}$ . First notice that  $|x-y'| \leq |x-y| + |y-y'| < \frac{3}{2} |x-y|$

$$\text{and } \left| |x-y| - |x-y'| \right| \leq |y-y'|,$$

so

$$\left| |x-y|^m - |x-y'|^m \right| = \left| |x-y| - |x-y'| \right| \left| |x-y|^{m-1} + \dots + |x-y'|^{m-1} \right| \lesssim_m |y-y'| |x-y|^{m-1}.$$

Hence

$$|2| \leq \|\Omega\|_\infty \left| \frac{1}{|x-y|^m} - \frac{1}{|x-y'|^m} \right| = \|\Omega\|_\infty \frac{\left| |x-y|^m - |x-y'|^m \right|}{|x-y|^m |x-y'|^m} \lesssim \|\Omega\|_\infty \frac{|y-y'| |x-y|^{m-1}}{|x-y|^m |x-y'|^m}$$

$$\stackrel{\substack{\nearrow \\ (|x-y| > 2|y-y'|)}}{\lesssim} \|\Omega\|_\infty \frac{1}{|x-y|^m} \underbrace{\left( \frac{|y-y'|}{|x-y|} \right)}_{< \frac{1}{2}} \leq \|\Omega\|_\infty \frac{1}{|x-y|^m} \frac{|y-y'|^\alpha}{|x-y|^\alpha}.$$



**Exercise 2** (1 point). Prove that if  $A$  is Lipschitz, then the Cauchy kernel

$$K(x, y) = \frac{1}{x - y + i(A(x) - A(y))}$$

is a standard kernel with  $\delta = 1$ .

**Solution.**  $A$  is Lipschitz, so  $\exists L > 0$  such that

$$|A(x) - A(y)| \leq L|x - y| \quad \forall x, y.$$

Size condition) Trivial:

$$|K(x, y)| = \frac{1}{|x - y + i(A(x) - A(y))|} \leq \frac{1}{|\operatorname{Re}(\cdot)|} = \frac{1}{|x - y|}.$$

Smoothness conditions) Assume  $|x - y| > 2|y - y'|$ . Then

$$|K(x, y) - K(x, y')| = \left| \frac{1}{x - y + i(A(x) - A(y))} - \frac{1}{x - y' + i(A(x) - A(y'))} \right|$$

$$= \frac{|y - y' - i(A(y') - A(y))|}{|(x - y + i(A(x) - A(y)))(x - y' + i(A(x) - A(y')))|} =: \frac{|N(x, y)|}{|D(x, y)|}.$$

We estimate  $N$  and  $D$  separately.

$$|N(x, y)| \leq |y - y'| + |A(y') - A(y)| \leq (L + 1)|y - y'|.$$

$\uparrow$   
 $A$  Lipschitz

Now, we estimate the denominator:

$$\begin{aligned} |D(x, y)|^{-1} &= \frac{1}{|[(x - y)(x - y') - (A(x) - A(y))(A(x) - A(y'))] + i[(x - y)(A(x) - A(y')) + (x - y')(A(x) - A(y))]|} \\ &\leq \frac{|x - y|(|x - y'| + |A(x) - A(y)| |A(x) - A(y')|) + |x - y| |A(x) - A(y)| + |x - y'| |A(x) - A(y)|}{|(x - y)(x - y') - (A(x) - A(y))(A(x) - A(y'))|^2 + |(x - y)(A(x) - A(y')) + (x - y')(A(x) - A(y))|^2} \\ &\stackrel{|x - y'| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x - y|}{\leq} \frac{\frac{3}{2}|x - y|^2 + \frac{3}{2}L|x - y|^2 + \frac{3}{2}L|x - y|^2 + \frac{3}{2}L|x - y|^2}{|x - y|^2 |x - y'|^2} \lesssim L \frac{1}{|x - y|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first smoothness condition, and the second is analogous.

**Exercise 3** (2 points). If  $T$  is a Calderón-Zygmund operator such that it is associated with two kernels  $K_1$  and  $K_2$ , that is, for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with compact support

$$Tf(x) = \int K_1(x, y) f(y) dy = \int K_2(x, y) f(y) dy \quad \text{for } x \notin \text{supp } f,$$

then  $K_1 = K_2$  a.e.  $(*)$

*Hint:* Assume that the claim is false. You should find a positive measure set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and a point  $x \notin E$  such that  $K_1(x, y) - K_2(x, y)$  has a fixed sign for  $y \in E$ .

**Solution.** We assume without loss of generality that  $K_1, K_2$  are real-valued (otherwise we argue with the real or imaginary parts).

$$\text{Let } \tilde{E} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \neq y, K_1(x, y) - K_2(x, y) \neq 0\}.$$

We argue by contradiction, and assume that  $(*)$  does not hold. This implies that  $|\tilde{E}| > 0$ . In particular, at least one between

$$\tilde{E}^{\pm} := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n : x \neq y, \text{sgn}(K_1(x, y) - K_2(x, y)) = \pm 1\}$$

has positive measure. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $\mathcal{L}^n(\tilde{E}^+) > 0$  and,

for  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we denote  $\tilde{E}_x^+ := \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : (x, y) \in \tilde{E}^+\}$ . (Lebesgue measure)

Fubini's theorem implies that  $\exists x$  s.t.  $\mathcal{L}^n(\tilde{E}_x^+) > 0$ .

Furthermore,  $\exists 0 < r < R$  s.t.  $(\tilde{E}_x^+ \cap B(x, R)) \setminus B(x, r) =: E$  has positive measure. Observe that  $\bar{E}$  is compact and  $x \notin \bar{E}$ .

Hence, for  $f := \chi_E$  we have that

$$\int K_1(x, y) f(y) dy > \int K_2(x, y) f(y) dy,$$

which yields a contradiction. □

Recall that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denotes the family of dyadic cubes. The notation  $A \lesssim B$  stands for "there exists a dimensional constant  $C \geq 1$  such that  $A \leq CB$ ," and  $A \sim B$  means  $A \lesssim B \lesssim A$ . Given  $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we write  $CQ$  to denote the cube with the same center as  $Q$  and with sidelength  $C\ell(Q)$ .

**Exercise 4** (2 point). Suppose that  $\Omega \subsetneq \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set. Let  $\mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be the family of maximal<sup>1</sup> cubes contained in  $\Omega$  and satisfying  $10Q \cap \Omega^c = \emptyset$ . Prove that

- (i) the cubes in  $\mathcal{W}$  are pairwise disjoint, and  $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{W}} Q = \Omega$ ,
- (ii) for every  $Q \in \mathcal{W}$  we have  $\ell(Q) \sim \text{dist}(Q, \Omega^c)$ ,
- (iii) for every  $P, Q \in \mathcal{W}$  with  $3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset$  we have  $\ell(P) \sim \ell(Q)$ .
- (iv) for every  $Q \in \mathcal{W}$  we have  $\#\{P \in \mathcal{W} : 3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset\} \lesssim 1$ .

The family  $\mathcal{W}$  is called the *Whitney decomposition* of  $\Omega$ , and it has many applications in analysis.

**Solution.** For  $Q \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we denote  $\mathcal{D}(Q) := \{Q' \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) : Q' \subseteq Q\}$ .

(i) We assume that  $Q, Q' \in \mathcal{W}$  are such that  $Q \cap Q' \neq \emptyset$ . Then, by the properties of  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , either  $Q \subseteq Q'$  or  $Q' \subseteq Q$ . By maximality of cubes in  $\mathcal{W}$ , this implies  $Q = Q'$ .

We are left with the proof of the identity  $\bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{W}} Q = \Omega$ . (\*)

The inclusion " $\subseteq$ " is trivial by definition of  $\mathcal{W}$ . Conversely, take  $x \in \Omega$ .

The set  $\Omega$  is open, so  $\exists r > 0$  s.t.  $B(x, r) \subset \Omega$ . In particular, there exists  $\tilde{Q}_x \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that  $x \in \tilde{Q}_x$  and  $10\tilde{Q}_x \subset 100\tilde{Q}_x \subset \Omega$ .

In particular,  $10\tilde{Q}_x \cap \Omega^c = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $\exists Q_x \in \mathcal{W}$  (maximal) such that  $x \in Q_x$ , which proves the inclusion " $\supseteq$ " in (\*).

(ii) Let  $Q \in \mathcal{W}$ .

On the one hand, since  $10Q \cap \Omega^c = \emptyset$ , it holds:

$$\text{dist}(Q, \Omega^c) \geq \text{dist}(Q, \partial(10Q)) \approx \ell(Q).$$

Conversely, we argue by contradiction and assume that for every  $j \exists Q_j$  s.t.

$$\ell(Q_j) < \frac{1}{j} \text{dist}(Q_j, \Omega^c). \quad (**)$$

Let  $\tilde{Q}_j$  be the dyadic parent of  $Q_j$  (i.e.  $\tilde{Q}_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the unique cube s.t.  $l(\tilde{Q}_j) = 2l(Q_j)$  and  $Q_j \subset \tilde{Q}_j$ ). observe that

$$\text{dist}(\partial(10\tilde{Q}_j), Q_j) \leq C l(Q_j)$$

for some  $C > 0$ . Hence, for  $j > C$ , we have

$$\text{dist}(10\tilde{Q}_j, \Omega^c) \geq \text{dist}(Q_j, \Omega^c) - \text{dist}(\partial(10\tilde{Q}_j), Q_j)$$

$$\stackrel{(**)}{\geq} (j - C) l(Q_j) > 0$$

In particular,  $10\tilde{Q}_j \cap \Omega^c = \emptyset$ , which contradicts the maximality of  $Q_j \in \mathcal{W}$ .

(iii) Let  $P, Q \in \mathcal{W}$  be such that  $3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset$ .

If  $l(Q) = l(P)$ , there is nothing to prove. Assume w.l.o.g. that  $l(P) > l(Q)$ .

All we have to prove is that  $l(P) \lesssim l(Q)$ . It is enough to observe

that  $3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset$  &  $l(P) > l(Q) \Rightarrow 9P \subset Q$ . Hence

$$l(Q) \underset{(ii)}{\sim} \text{dist}(Q, \Omega^c) \geq \text{dist}(9P, \Omega^c) \sim l(P).$$

(iv) Let  $Q \in \mathcal{W}$ . If  $P \in \mathcal{W}$  is such that  $3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset$ , by (iii)  $\exists C = C(n) > 1$

such that  $C^{-1}l(P) \leq l(Q) \leq Cl(P)$ .

Hence,  $P \subset \tilde{C}Q$  for some  $\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(n) > 1$ .

This finishes the proof, because:

$$\{P \in \mathcal{W} : 3P \cap 3Q \neq \emptyset\}$$

$$\subset \{P \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^n) : P \subset \tilde{C}Q \text{ and } C^{-1}l(P) \leq l(Q) \leq Cl(P)\} =: A_Q,$$

and it is easy to see that  $\# A_Q \lesssim_n 1$ . □