# 1 Problem 1

(a) The joint distribution p(X, Y)

**(b)** The marginals p(X) and p(Y)

(c) The conditionals p(X|Y) and p(Y|X)

(d) The distribution of Z = Y - X, p(Z)

## 2 Problem 2

The conditional probabilities implied by this situation are as follows:

- The probability of testing positive given that you have the disease is p(t|d) = 0.99.
- The probability of testing positive given that you don't have the disease is  $p(t|\tilde{d}) = 0.01$ .
- The marginal probability of having the disease is only  $p(d) = 10^{-4}$  and the probability of not having the disease is  $p(\tilde{d}) = 1 10^{-4}$ .
- Therefore, the marginal probability of testing positive is

$$p(t) = p(t|d) p(d) + p(t|\tilde{d}) p(\tilde{d}) = 0.99 \times 10^{-4} + 0.01 (1 - 10^{-4}) = 100.98 \times 10^{-4}$$
 (5)

The value that the patient really cares about, though is the probability that they have the disease given that they tested positive p(d|t). This — by Bayes — is

$$p(d|t) = \frac{p(d) p(t|d)}{p(t)} = \frac{0.99 \times 10^{-4}}{100.98 \times 10^{-4}} \approx 0.0098 \ll 1.$$
 (6)

## 3 Problem 3

### 4 Problem 4

We are given the three statements

1. 
$$p(A, B|C) = p(A|C) p(B|C)$$

2. 
$$p(A|B,C) = p(A|C)$$

3. 
$$p(B|A,C) = p(B|C)$$

To see that statement 1 implies statement 2, apply the chain rule to find

$$p(A|C) p(B|C) \stackrel{1}{=} p(A, B|C) = p(B|C) p(A|B, C).$$
(7)

Cancelling p(B|C) on both sides, we find statement 2. Therefore, it is clear that statement 1 implies statement 2. Also, since we have only used the chain rule, the inverse also applies. Specifically, applying the chain rule to statement 2, we find

$$p(A|B,C) = \frac{p(A,B|C)}{p(B|C)} \stackrel{2}{=} p(A|C) \to [\text{Statement 1}]. \tag{8}$$

Similarly, statement 1 implies statement 3 as follows

$$p(A|C) p(B|C) \stackrel{1}{=} p(A, B|C) = p(A|C) p(B|A, C) \rightarrow [\text{Statement 3}]$$
(9)

and the inverse

$$p(B|A,C) = \frac{p(A,B|C)}{p(A|C)} \stackrel{3}{=} p(B|C) \to [\text{Statement 1}]. \tag{10}$$

Finally, since the equivalence holds between 1 and 2 and also between 1 and 3, it is clear that 2 and 3 are also equivalent.

#### 5 Problem 5

(a) By Bayes' Theorem,

$$p(H|E_1, E_2) = \frac{p(E_1, E_2|H) p(H)}{p(E_1, E_2)}.$$
(11)

Therefore, set (ii) is clearly sufficient for this calculation. Without any conditional independence assumptions, Equation (11) cannot be simplified any further so the other two sets are not sufficient. In particular,  $p(E_1, E_2|H) \neq p(E_1|H) p(E_2|H)$  unless  $E_1 \perp E_2|H$ .

(b) Since  $E_1 \perp E_2 | H$ ,  $p(E_1, E_2 | H) = p(E_1 | H) p(E_2 | H)$  and Equation (11) becomes

$$p(H|E_1, E_2) = \frac{p(E_1|H) p(E_2|H) p(H)}{p(E_1, E_2)}.$$
(12)

Therefore, sets (i) and (ii) are now sufficient. Set (iii) is not sufficient because it would require that  $E_1 \perp E_2$  but  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are only *conditionally* independent.

- 6 Problem 6
- 7 Problem 7
- 8 Problem 8
- (a) The set A is  $\{X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5, X_8\}$ . Clearly, all the nodes that are directly connected to  $X_1$  (i.e.  $\{X_2, X_3, X_4, X_8\}$ ) must be included in A because a direct connection always constitutes an active path. The inclusion of  $X_5$  is not immediately obvious but if we just look at the part of the graph containing  $X_5$ , we find the V-structure  $X_1 \to X_3 \leftarrow X_5$ . If we condition on  $X_3$  (which we will do because it is one of the directly connected nodes), it couples its parents  $X_1$  and  $X_5$ . Therefore, to satisfy the condition  $X_1 \perp \chi A \{X_1\}|A$ , we must also include  $X_5$  in A. After the inclusion of  $X_5$ , there are no other active paths between  $X_1$  and other nodes outside of A this can be easily seen by trying them all.