


VR-Robo: A Real-to-Sim-to-Real Framework for Visual Robot Navigation and Locomotion


Shaoting Zhu* Linzhan Mou* Derun Li Baijun Ye Runhan Huang Hang Zhao†

Motivation and Introduction


- RL policies trained in physical simulators often encounter challenges when deployed in real-world environments due to **sim-to-real gaps**.
- Simulators typically fail to replicate **visual realism and complex real-world geometry**. Moreover, the lack of realistic visual rendering limits the ability of these policies for **high-level tasks** requiring RGB-based perception like ego-centric navigation.
- We present a **Real-to-Sim-to-Real** framework that generates photorealistic and physically interactive "digital twin" simulation environments for **visual navigation and locomotion learning**.



Diverse Experiment Settings




Overall Pipeline



VR-Robo real-to-sim-to-real framework. We build a **realistic and physically interactive** simulation environment with GS-mesh hybrid representation and occlusion-aware composition & randomization for policy training. Finally, we **zero-shot** transfer the RL policy trained in simulation into the real robot for **ego-centric visual navigation and locomotion**.

RL Policy Training



RL policy training in simulation. The agent leverages the ego-view GS photorealistic rendering as **visual observations** and **interacts with** the GS-extracted mesh in the Isaac Sim environment.

Experiment Results

TABLE I: Comparison and ablation experimental results in the real-world setting.

Method	Exteroception	Success Rate \uparrow			Average Reaching Time (s) \downarrow		
		Easy	Medium	Hard	Easy	Medium	Hard
Ours	RGB	100.00%	93.33%	100.00%	4.96	6.28	9.09
Imitation Learning (IL)	RGB	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	15.00	15.00	15.00
SARO [40]	RGB	66.67%	26.67%	0.00%	46.49	57.24	60.00
Textured Mesh	RGB	20.00%	6.67%	0.00%	12.90	14.90	15.00
CNN Encoder	RGB	73.33%	66.67%	6.67%	9.10	11.41	14.90
w/o Domain Randomization	RGB	53.33%	6.67%	0.00%	10.04	14.76	15.00

TABLE II: Comparison results in the simulation setting.

Method	SR \uparrow	ART (s) \downarrow
Ours	100.00%	4.94
Imitation Learning (IL)	8.67%	14.01
Random Background	43.33%	11.75

TABLE III: Ablation results in the simulation setting.

Method	SR \uparrow	ART (s) \downarrow
Ours	100.00%	4.94
Textured Mesh	22.00%	12.73
CNN Encoder	54.67%	10.04

