### SHANGHAI JIAO TONG UNIVERSITY

# The analysis and simulation of queuing system in two fast food chain

Liong Khai Jiet

Advisor: Shi Jian Hong

# LIST OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	oductio	on Control of the Con	1
2	Mat	erial ar	nd methods	1
	2.1	Model		. 1
		2.1.1	Model of Customer Population	. 2
		2.1.2	Waiting Line Model	. 3
		2.1.3	Model of Service System	. 3
		2.1.4	Model of Kitchen Process	. 4
	2.2	Perform	mance measure	. 4
3	Resi	ults and	d Discussion	5
	3.1	System	n utilization rate	. 5
	3.2	The av	verage number of customers waiting in line	. 6
	3.3	Averag	ge time of a customer spend in the system	. 7
	3.4	Averag	ge time of a customer spend waiting	. 7
4	Ack	nowled	gment	8
5	Con	clusion		8
	5.1	Conclu	usion Subsection	. 8
Re	feren	ices		9
6	Appendix: Source code for simulation			

### **Abstract**

Fast food restaurants are popular among working adults and students who value the conducive environment and its convenient services. As such, fast food chain like McDonald's (MCD) and Kentucky Fried Chicken(KFC) are available in most places including shopping complex, office area and university cafeteria in Malaysia. Fast-food restaurants illustrate the transient nature of waiting line system, as they introduce promotions value meal time to time, resulting occasional long queues and inconvenient waiting times. For fast food, as the names states means it has a short service time. Thus, it is a suitable target for this project to analyse the performance measure of the multiple server single queue system of a restaurant.

Keywords: one, two, three, four

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Queuing theory is the mathematical study of waiting lines which are often used to make predictions about how a system could cope with demands [2]. In this project, we want to study the queuing system in two fast food chain affect the efficiency. The queue times or total waiting times is the most significant part of a good customer experience[9], so the simulation focuses on analyzing how the two type of queuing system affects the average waiting times of the customer and the idle time of the serving counters. Running a computer simulation is a efficient method to validate the analytical model of the system to pinpoint any bottleneck resources in the system. This also allows us to test the efficiency of solution approached by both fast food chain restaurant using stochastic and queuing theory.

The challenge here is to determine which service system has sufficient but not excessive amount of capacity which translates to cost in the business world. As for fast food restaurants, short queuing time and short waiting line is important in attracting more customers, putting aside the factors like good dining environment and delicious food[3]. In this report, I will focus on the two largest fast food chain in Malaysia [1] and observe their queuing pattern. MC Donald's is using the multi-server, two-phase ticketing queue where the customer order food from two counter and get a ticket while the cook prepare the food[6]. KFC use a multi-server, two-phase blocking queue where the cashier takes order from the customer, send it to the kitchen and then the payment is made when they receive the food. Although both are having single queue, they have different type of system which the former uses a number queue system for food collection and the latter uses a "blocking" style queuing system when customers transition to the next stage.

The objective of this project is to estimate how this blocking have impact upon the customer flow in a restaurant and its likelihood of a completely loaded system.

### 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

### 2.1. Model

The queuing model designed for the computer simulation describes the probabilistic nature of the two different queuing system. It allows mathematicians to use the constricted model to make predictions and study how an inefficient queuing system could affect customer experience (long waiting lines) and resource wastage (low utilization rate). Most of the queuing problem could be classified into three parts, which are the input process, the service distribution and the queue discipline [7].

A unlimited queuing simulation  $(t_1, t_2, ..., t_n)$  will be carried out using a python package Simpy [5] to simulate the restaurant operation hours. The following assumptions applied in the simulations are listed here and discuss in the corresponding sections:

- a) Infinite calling population
- b) First-come, first-served queue discipline
- c) Poisson arrival rate,  $\lambda$
- d) Exponential service rate,  $\mu_1, \mu_2$
- e) Customers only buys one set of food
- f) Customers does not return to the queue after completing a purchase.
- g) Kitchen cooks can only prepare one set of food a time.
- h) There is no waiting line between neighboring stages in the system with blocking.

The following notation is used to represent the random variables associated with the model:

```
N_q = the number of customers in queue.
```

 $N_s={\sf the\ number\ of\ customers\ receiving\ service}.$ 

 $N=N_q+N_s={
m the}$  total number of customers in the system.

 $X_s =$  the time of a customer spends in taking order.

 $X_k =$  the time of a customer spends in waiting for food.

 $X=X_s+X_k=$  the time of a customer spends in actual service.

T = W + X = the total time a customer spend in the system.

 $\lambda =$  the arrival rate (average number of arrivals/min)

 $\mu =$  the service rate (average number served / min)

 $\mathbf{c}=$  the number of counter services in parallel

 $\mathbf{s} =$  the number of cooks in the kitchen

 $\mathbf{n}$  = the number of customer served

 $\boldsymbol{S} = \mathsf{System}$ 

In Kendall's notation,

### 2.1.1 Model of Customer Population


The simulation also assumed the customer population in the model used in this supplement are are infinite and patient, so they do not renege, balk or jockey to prevent the mathematical formula to become overly complex. Besides, the simulation will end when the the restaurant served 1000 customers  $(N_{1000})$  the remaining customers will leave the system at once no matter which process they currently at. Therefore, the number of customer served will be only the ones who completed the whole process and the unfinished processes are discarded completely.

### 2.1.2 Waiting Line Model

In the experiment setting, the arrival rate of customers has a Poisson distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , where each of them are allowed to only buy one set of food. Then, the customers will need to enter the waiting line with infinite N the customers can place order via r number of serving counters and the order is then sent to the kitchen to be prepared by s number of cooks. Each counter server and kitchen cook can only handle one task meal at a time, i.e. placing order and preparing food. Besides, the process of the customer placing order and kitchen preparing the meal are independent and has the exponential distribution with parameter  $\mu$ . The sequence of arrival process and placing order are all assumed to be mutually independent.

The system describes the characteristics of queue system, such as the number of waiting lines, the number of server and service patterns, etc. The two variables introduced in the experiment is the queue with blocking or without blocking, before the transition to the second stage of service point (food collection) which typically arises from the problem of lacking queuing capacity between jobs [4].

The former model is called a single-line, multiple server, multi-stage tandem-queuing model [8] system showed in figure 1 and the latter is a single-line, multiple server, multi-stage wait-queuing model (M/M/s) [10] showed in figure 2






Fig. 2. Multi-phase line model (w/o blocking)

### 2.1.3 Model of Service System

In this project, we will study two types of queuing method, which are as follows:

i)  $S_1$ : Single line, Multi-server, Two-stage (w/ blocking) (Figure 1) Scenario: Customer arrival rate follows the Poisson process with the parameter  $\lambda$ . The arrived customer has to pass through two consecutive service point before leaving the system. The customers will enter the only one waiting line with unlimited queue space at the first stage of serving point. Then, the customer will enter one of the free  $\mathbf{r}$  counters and place an order but because there is no waiting space at the second stage of serving point. So the customer will need to wait at the counter while the kitchen is preparing the food.

The kitchen will process the resources with first-come, first-served priority rule. The server takes order from the customer with the service rate of exponential distribution parameter  $\mu_1$ .

Next, the order is sent to the kitchen and the customer exits the system after they make the payment and receive the food.

ii)  $S_2$ : Single line, Multi-server, Two-stage (w/o blocking) (Figure 2) Scenario: Customer arrival rate follows the Poisson process with the parameter  $\lambda$ ; The arrived customer has to pass through two consecutive service point before leaving the system. The customers will enter the only one waiting line with unlimited queue space at the first stage of serving point. Then, the customer will enter one of the free  ${\bf r}$  counters and place an order but because there is no waiting space at the second stage of serving point. So the customer will

need to wait at the counter while the kitchen is preparing the food.

The kitchen will process the resources with the first-come, first-served priority rule. The server takes order from the customer with the service rate of exponential distribution parameter  $\mu_1$ .

After making the payment, the counter will send the order to the kitchen and starts to service the next customer whereas the customer proceeds to the collection area. The customer exits the system after they receive the food.

### 2.1.4 Model of Kitchen Process

Cooks are the main resources in the kitchen. We model the  $\mathbf{s}$  cooks as fixed resources. Once an item order arrives, it need to enter the order waiting queue,  $\beta_k$  for a cook to be free. Once the order is assigned to the cook, the cook will start preparing the food next in queue and cannot prepare other orders simultaneously. Thus, the food preparation time is modeled using the exponential distribution of parameter  $\mu_2$ . Once complete, the cook will send the food back to the counter and the process is repeated.

### 2.2. Performance measure

The project focus on few metrics to analyze the queuing system model and obtain the estimation of their corresponding performance measure. Before that, we create the following project scope and performance metrics to help us understand and identify any bottleneck found in the two systems.

From the section above, we introduced that

 $\lambda = \text{ mean arrival rate}$ 

 $\mu = \text{mean service rate}$ 

Now, we use Little's Law to derive the formula below:

 $\rho = \frac{\lambda}{c\mu} = \text{System utilization rate.}$ 

 $L_Q = 
ho \dot{L} = \,$  Average number of customers waiting in line and its distribution.

 $W = \frac{1}{\mu - \lambda}$  = Average time of a customer spends in the system.

 ${\cal W}_Q={\ }$  Average time of a customer spend waiting.

The data findings allows us to understand the relationship between the number queuing method, average waiting time and system utilization percentage.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the simulation, the system with stage blocking are assigned to the name **FIFO** whereas the system without stage blocking are assigned with the name **TICKET** 

### 3.1. System utilization rate

With  $\lambda=3$ ,  $\mu=1.5$  we plot the graph of how the number of servers affect the efficiency of each server. We can observe that **FIFO** system has lower utilization percentage (high idle times) because of the blocking mechanism at the second stage. The server resource is idle but it is blocked therefore it cannot process take order from another customer. In business context, this idling duration translates to business cost. Thus, the **TICKET** system with a waiting line in between the two stages can eventually maximize the resource utilization hence reaching the goal of 1000 customers served about 40% faster compared to **FIFO**.

The results are tabulated in table 1 with the parameters,  $\lambda=2, \mu_1=2$  and  $\mu_2=2$ 

Table 1: Simulations results with n=1000

	FIFO	TICKET
Total Simulation Time	909	513
Average Queue Length	223	5
Max Queue Length (min)	450	27
Average Waiting Time (min)	205	4.65
Average Service Time (min)	4.5	4.51
Average Time Spent in System (min)	207.33	8.51




Fig. 3.  $\rho=$  Relationship between  $\lambda$  and system utilization percentage

### 3.2. The average number of customers waiting in line

The simulation results in left diagram in 4 shows that average queue length of **FIFO** has the higher exponential rate of increase when arrival rate  $\lambda$  increases compared to the **TICKET** system. The right graph also showed similar results when the service rate  $\mu$  decreases, customers in **FIFO** system will have higher probability of facing longer queue.

Indeed, the **FIFO** system with blocking is observed to be more easily affected by the increase of arrival rate (i.e. lunch hour) or decrease of service rate (staff shortage).




Fig. 4.  $L_Q=$  Average queue length

### 3.3. Average time of a customer spend in the system

The average time of a customer spend in the system includes both queuing and service time. The simulation results shows similar conclusion where customers in **FIFO** system has a higher probability of spending more time in the system.




Fig. 5.  $L_Q=$  Average time in system

### 3.4. Average time of a customer spend waiting

The average time of a customer spend waiting includes both queuing and food collection process. The simulation results shows similar conclusion where customers in FIFO system has a higher probability of spending more time waiting which is also observed in the simulation results compiled in table 1.




Fig. 6.  $L_Q=$  Average time spend waiting

### 4. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am eternally grateful to my advisor, Prof. Shi Jian Hong for explaining about stochastic processes and queuing theory which provided me a good understanding and knowledge to complete this project. I would also like to thank my friend for her willingness to proof read my work and provide useful suggestions for my work. Finally, I would like to thank SJTU for allowing us to proceed our studies online in times of hardship like this and the canvas technical teams for the great support during our semester.

## 5. CONCLUSION

### 5.1. Conclusion Subsection

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

### **REFERENCES**

- [1] Nik Nairan Abdullah, Mazlin Mohamad Mokhtar, Mohd Harriszamani Abu Bakar, and Waqar Al-Kubaisy. Trend on fast food consumption in relation to obesity among selangor urban community. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 202:505–513, 2015.
- [2] Ivo Adan and Jacques Resing. Queueing theory. 2002.
- [3] Mathias Dharmawirya, Hera Oktadiana, and Erwin Adi. Analysis of expected and actual waiting time in fast food restaurants. *Industial Engineering Letters*, 2(5), 2012.
- [4] A. Gómez-Corral. A tandem queue with blocking and markovian arrival process. *Queueing Systems*, 41(4):343–370, 2002. ISSN 1572-9443. doi: 10.1023/A:1016235415066.
- [5] Peter Grayson. team-simpy / simpy. URL https://gitlab.com/team-simpy/simpy.
- [6] Hock Lye Koh, Su Yean Teh, Chin Keat Wong, Hooi Kie Lim, and Melissa W. Migin. Improving queuing service at mcdonald's. AIP Conference Proceedings, 1605(1):1073–1078, 2014. doi: 10.1063/1.4887740. URL https://aip.scitation.org/doi/abs/10.1063/1.4887740.
- [7] Mark A. Pinsky and Samuel Karlin. 9 queueing systems. In Mark A. Pinsky and Samuel Karlin, editors, *An Introduction to Stochastic Modeling (Fourth Edition)*, pages 447–494. Academic Press, Boston, fourth edition edition, 2011. ISBN 978-0-12-381416-6. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-12-381416-6.00009-5. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/B9780123814166000095.
- [8] Sheldon M Ross. Introduction to probability models. Academic press, 2014.
- [9] Debjit Roy, Arindam Bandyopadhyay, and Pritam Banerjee. A nested semi-open queuing network model for analyzing dine-in restaurant performance. *Computers & Operations Research*, 65:29–41, 2016. ISSN 0305-0548. doi: 10.1016/j.cor.2015.06.006. URL <a href="http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305054815001513">http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0305054815001513</a>.
- [10] Xiaodan Wu, Juan Li, and Chao-Hsien Chu. Modeling multi-stage healthcare systems with service interactions under blocking for bed allocation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 278(3):927–941, 2019. ISSN 0377-2217. doi: 10.1016/j.ejor.2019.05.004. URL <a href="http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0377221719303881">http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0377221719303881</a>.

### 6. APPENDIX: SOURCE CODE FOR SIMULATION

```
1 import simpy
2 import numpy as np
3 import pandas as pd
4 from numpy import random
5 import math
6 from helper import round_down
8 counter_total_service_times = 0
9 counter_total_idle_times = 0
10 column_names = ["Arrival Time","Current Q length","Q time","Service Start Time","Food
      prepare start", "Exit system time", "Food Prepare Duration", "Total Wait Time(Queue+
      Food)", "Service Time", "Total Time in System"]
class Counter(object):
        Counters to take order
13 #
      def ___init___( self , env , num_counter) :
          self.env = env
15
          self.counter = simpy.Resource(env,num_counter)
16
          self.counter\_waiting = 0
          self.service_start = None
      def take_order(self,cus,env,service_start,parameters):
20
          print("%s is placing order at counter %.2f" %(cus, service_start))
21
          time_taken_to_place_order = max(random.exponential(scale = parameters['
      order_time_mu']), parameters['order_time_min'])
          yield self.env.timeout(time_taken_to_place_order)
23
          print("Order of %s sent to kitchen at %.2f" %(cus, env.now))
24
          # Record idle counter and add to total count
      def receive_order(self,cus,env,resource,service_start,parameters,data):
28
          global counter_total_idle_times
29
          global counter_total_service_times
          with resource.kitchen.request() as my_turn:
              yield my_turn
               yield env.process(resource.prepare_food(cus,env,data,parameters))
              service\_end = env.now
               print("%s collected the food at %.2f" %(cus, service_end))
               if (cus < 1000):
                   counter_total_service_times += (service_end-service_start)
  class Kitchen(object):
41
      # Kitchen to prepare food
42
      def __init__(self,env,num_kitchen):
43
          self.env = env
          self.kitchen = simpy.Resource(env, num_kitchen)
      def prepare_food(self, cus, env, data, parameters):
47
          print("Kitchen is preparing food for %s at %.2f" %(cus, env.now))
48
          food_prepare_time = max(parameters['food_prepare_min'], random.exponential(scale
       = parameters['food_prepare_mu']))
          data[cus,6] = round_down(food_prepare_time)
50
          yield self.env.timeout(food_prepare_time)
51
          print("Cooked food for %s at %.2f" %(cus, env.now))
52
```

```
54 def customer(env, label, queue, kitchen, parameters, data):
         the customer process arrive at the restaurant and request counter to take order
55 #
       label = label-1
56
       arrive\_time = env.now
57
       print("%s entering the queue at %.2f"%(label, arrive_time))
         data[label,0]=label
59 #
       data[label,0] = round_down(arrive_time)
60
       data[label,1] = len(queue.counter.queue)
       with queue.counter.request() as my_turn:
           yield my_turn
           service_start = env.now
64
           data[label,3] = round_down(service_start)
65
           {\tt queue\_time} = {\tt service\_start} - {\tt arrive\_time}
           data[label,2]= round_down(queue_time)
           # placing order at counter
           yield env.process(queue.take_order(label,env,service_start,parameters))
           # waiting order at counter
           {\tt prepare\_food\_start} \, = \, {\tt env.now}
           data[label,4] = round_down(prepare_food_start)
           # counter is idle now
73
           yield env.process(queue.receive_order(label,env,kitchen,service_start,
74
       parameters, data))
           # prepare_food_end = round_down(env.now)
           # counter_total_wait_times += round_down(prepare_food_end - prepare_food_start)
76
           # receive food from counter
           exit\_time = env.now
           data[label,5] = round_down(exit_time)
           # total wait time
81
           data[label,7] = round_down(data[label,6]+data[label,2])
82
           # total service time
83
           data[label,8] = round_down(exit_time-service_start)
           # total time in system
           data[label,9] = round_down(exit_time-arrive_time)
           yield env.timeout(0)
87
90 # Simlating possion process for customer arrival
  def customer_arrivals(env,n_customer,res_counter,kitchen,parameters,result_fifo):
91
        ""Create new *customer* until the sim time reaches 120. with poisson process"""
92
93
       for i in range(n_customer):
           yield env.timeout(random.poisson(1/parameters['lamb']))
           env.process(customer(env, i+1, res_counter, kitchen,parameters, result_fifo))
   def startSimulation(n_customer, n_counter, n_kitchen, SIM_TIME, parameters):
       env = simpy.Environment()
       result_fifo = np.zeros((n_customer, len(column_names)))
100
       counter = Counter(env, n_counter)
101
       kitchen \, = \, Kitchen \big( \, env \, , \, n\_kitchen \, \big)
102
       env.process(customer_arrivals(env,n_customer,counter,kitchen,parameters,result_fifo
       ))
         env.run(proc)
104 #
       env.run(until=SIM_TIME)
105
       labels = [*range(1, n\_customer+1)]
       np\_arr = np.array(result\_fifo).reshape(n\_customer,-1)
       df_fifo=pd.DataFrame(data = np_arr,index=labels,columns=column_names)
       df_fifo=df_fifo.drop(df_fifo[df_fifo.iloc[:,9]==0].index,axis=0) # remove
109
       unfinished customer
```

```
df_fifo = df_fifo.iloc[:1000]

total_wait_time = df_fifo.iloc[:,7].sum()

total_service_time = df_fifo.iloc[:,8].sum()

total_time_in_system = df_fifo.iloc[:,9].sum()

total_counter_idle = df_fifo.iloc[:,6].sum()

sim_time = df_fifo.iloc[:,5].max()

return df_fifo,total_wait_time,total_service_time,total_time_in_system,

counter_total_service_times, total_counter_idle,sim_time
```

Listing 1: fifo.py

```
1 import simpy
2 import numpy as np
3 import pandas as pd
4 from numpy import random
5 import math
6 from helper import round_down
7 import time
column_names = ["Arrival Time","Current Q Length","Q time","Service Start Time","Food
      prepare start", "Exit system time", "Food Prepare Duration", "Total Wait Time(Queue+
      Food)", "Service Time", "Total Time in System"]
12 class Counter(object):
        Counters to take order
      def ___init___( self , env , num_counter) :
14
           self.env = env
15
16
           self.counter = simpy.Resource(env,num_counter)
           self.counter\_waiting = 0
      def take_order(self, cus, env, service_start, parameters, data):
19
20
           print("%s is placing order at counter %.2f" %(cus, service_start))
           time_taken_to_place_order = max(random.exponential(scale = parameters['
23
      order_time_mu']), parameters ['order_time_min'])
          yield self.env.timeout(time_taken_to_place_order)
24
           service\_end = env.now
25
           print("Order of %s sent to kitchen at %.2f" %(cus, service_end))
          data[cus,8] = round_down(service_end-service_start)
27
          # if (cus < 1000):
28
                 counter_total_service_times += service_end-service_start
29
          #
          # else:
          #
                 print("stop")
31
          #
                 time.sleep(10000)
32
33
      def receive_order(self, cus, env, kitchen, parameters, data):
          with kitchen.kitchen.request() as my_turn:
               yield my_turn
37
               yield env.process(kitchen.prepare_food(cus,env,data,parameters))
               {\sf food\_end} \, = \, {\sf env.now}
               print("%s collected the food at %.2f" %(cus, food_end))
               # Record idle counter and add to total count
41
42
43 class Kitchen(object):
    # Kitchen to prepare food
def ___init___(self,env,num_kitchen):
```

```
self.env = env
           self.kitchen = simpy.Resource(env,num_kitchen)
47
48
       def prepare_food(self, cus, env, data, parameters):
49
           print("Kitchen is preparing food for %s at %.2f" %(cus, env.now))
           food_prepare_time = max(parameters['food_prepare_min'], random.exponential(scale
51
        = parameters['food_prepare_mu']))
           data[cus,6] = round_down(food_prepare_time)
           yield self.env.timeout(food_prepare_time)
           print("Cooked food for %s at %.2f" %(cus, env.now))
  def customer(env, label, queue, kitchen, parameters, data):
56
         the customer process arrive at the restaurant and request counter to take order
57 #
       label = label -1
58
       arrive_time = env.now
59
       print("%s entering the queue at %.2f"%(label, arrive_time))
60
         data[label,0]=label
61 #
       data[label,0]= round_down(arrive_time)
62
       data[label,1] = len(queue.counter.queue)
       with queue.counter.request() as my_turn:
64
           yield my_turn
65
           service_start = env.now
66
           data[label,3] = round_down(service_start)
67
           queue_time = service_start - arrive_time
           data[label,2] = round_down(queue_time)
69
           # placing order at counter
70
           yield env.process(queue.take_order(label,env,service_start,parameters,data))
           # waiting order at counter
           prepare_food_start = env.now
73
           data[label,4] = round_down(prepare_food_start)
74
           yield env.timeout(0)
75
       yield env.process(queue.receive_order(label,env,kitchen,parameters,data))
      # prepare_food_end = round_down(env.now)
      # counter_total_wait_times += round_down(prepare_food_end - prepare_food_start)
      # receive food from counter
       exit\_time = env.now
       data[label,5] = round_down(exit_time)
      # total wait time
83
       data[label,7] = round_down(data[label,6]+data[label,2])
      # total time in system
85
       data[label,9] = round_down(exit_time-arrive_time)
88 # Simlating possion process for customer arrival
  def customer_arrivals(env,n_customer,res_counter,kitchen,parameters,result_ticket):
89
       """Create new *customer* until the sim time reaches 120. with poisson process"""
       for i in range(n_customer):
91
           yield env.timeout(random.poisson(1/parameters['lamb']))
92
           env.process(customer(env, i+1, res\_counter, kitchen, parameters, result\_ticket))
93
  def startSimulation(n_customer, n_counter, n_kitchen, SIM_TIME, parameters):
       env = simpy.Environment()
97
       result_ticket = np.zeros((n_customer,len(column_names)))
       counter = Counter(env, n_counter)
       kitchen = Kitchen(env, n_kitchen)
       env.process(customer_arrivals(env,n_customer,counter,kitchen,parameters,
101
       result ticket))
      env.run(until=SIM_TIME,)
102
```

```
103 #
          env.run(until=proc)
104
        labels = [*range(1,n\_customer+1)]
105
        \label{eq:np_arr} \verb"np_arr" = \verb"np.arr" array ( \verb"result_ticket") . \verb"reshape" ( \verb"n_customer", -1) \\
106
        df\_ticket = pd. \, DataFrame(\, data \, = \, np\_arr \, , index = labels \, , columns = column\_names)
        df_ticket = df_ticket \cdot drop(df_ticket[df_ticket.iloc[:,-1] == 0].index, axis = 0) # remove
108
        unfinished customer
        df_ticket=df_ticket.iloc[:1000]
109
        total_wait_time = df_ticket.iloc[:,7].sum()
        total_service_time = df_ticket.iloc[:,8].sum()
        total_time_in_system = df_ticket.iloc[:,9].sum()
        {\tt counter\_total\_idle\_times} = ({\tt n\_counter*SIM\_TIME} - {\tt total\_service\_time})
113
        sim\_time = df\_ticket.iloc[:,5].max()
114
        counter\_total\_service\_times = (df\_ticket.iloc[:,4] - df\_ticket.iloc[:,3]).sum()
        return df_ticket,total_wait_time,total_service_time, total_time_in_system,
116
        {\tt counter\_total\_service\_times}\;,\;\; {\tt counter\_total\_idle\_times}\;, {\tt sim\_time}
```

Listing 2: ticket.py