# FIRST LINE OF TITLE SECOND LINE OF TITLE

THIS IS A TEMPORARY TITLE PAGE
It will be replaced for the final print by a version provided by the service academique.



Thèse n. 1234 2011
présenté le 12 Mars 2011
à la Faculté des Sciences de Base
laboratoire SuperScience
programme doctoral en SuperScience
École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

pour l'obtention du grade de Docteur ès Sciences par

#### Paolino Paperino

acceptée sur proposition du jury:

Prof Name Surname, président du jury Prof Name Surname, directeur de thèse Prof Name Surname, rapporteur Prof Name Surname, rapporteur

Prof Name Surname, rapporteur

Lausanne, EPFL, 2011

## Acknowledgements

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Lausanne, 12 Mars 2011

D. K.

### Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Key words:

## Résumé

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

Nam dui ligula, fringilla a, euismod sodales, sollicitudin vel, wisi. Morbi auctor lorem non justo. Nam lacus libero, pretium at, lobortis vitae, ultricies et, tellus. Donec aliquet, tortor sed accumsan bibendum, erat ligula aliquet magna, vitae ornare odio metus a mi. Morbi ac orci et nisl hendrerit mollis. Suspendisse ut massa. Cras nec ante. Pellentesque a nulla. Cum sociis natoque penatibus et magnis dis parturient montes, nascetur ridiculus mus. Aliquam tincidunt urna. Nulla ullamcorper vestibulum turpis. Pellentesque cursus luctus mauris.

Mots clefs:

# Contents

A	cknov	vledgements	
$\mathbf{A}$	bstra	ct (English/Français/Deutsch)	iii
Li	st of	figures	ix
Li	st of	tables	X
1	Intr	oduction	1
2	Elas	tic gridshell	3
Ι	Tor	sion	5
3	Geo	metry of smooth and discret curves	7
	3.1	Introduction	7
	3.2	Parametric Curves	7
	3.3	Frenet's Trihedron	S
	3.4	Curvature	10
	3.5	<u>Torsion</u>	10
	3.6	Curve Framing	1
4	Elas	tic rod : variational approch	15
	4.1	Introduction	15
	4.2	Kirchhoff rod	15
	4.3	Curve-angle representation	17
	4.4	Elastic energy	18
	4.5	Inextensibility	19
	4.6	Time-scale assumption	19
	4.7	Gradient	19
	4.8	Kinematic	20
	4.9	Energy	2
	4.10	Inextensibility	22

#### ${\bf Contents}$

	4.12	Gradients
5	Elas	stic rod: a novel element from kirchhoff equations Introduction
II	Co	onnection
6	Cal	culus of variations
	6.1	Introduction
	6.2	Spaces
	6.3	Derivative
	6.4	Gradient vector
	6.5	Jacobian matrix
	6.6	Hessian
	6.7	Functional

# List of Figures

## List of Tables

## 1 Introduction

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

### Bibliography

## 2 Elastic gridshell

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

### Bibliography

# Torsion Part I

# 3 Geometry of smooth and discret curves

#### 3.1 Introduction

Dans ce chapitre, après un bref rappel sur le cadre mathématique d'étude des courbes paramétrique de l'espace, on présente les notions de courbures et de torsion géométrique associées au repère de fraient. On montre ensuite le cas plus général d'un repère mobile quelconque attaché à une courbe gamma. On définit enfin la particularité d'un repère mobile adapté à un courbe, et on présente, en sus du repère de Frenet, une approche différente pour accrocher des repères le long d'une courbe (Bishop / RMF / Zéro-twisting frame)

#### 3.2 Parametric Curves

#### 3.2.1 Definition

Let I be an interval [Bis75] of  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $F:t\mapsto F(t)$  be a map of  $\mathcal{C}(I,\mathbb{R}^3)$ . Then  $\gamma=(I,F)$  is called a *parametric curve* and :

- The 2-uplet (I, F) is called a parametrization of  $\gamma$
- $\gamma = F(I) = \{F(t), t \in I\}$  is called the *graph* or *trace* of  $\gamma$
- $\gamma$  is said to be  $\mathcal{C}^k$  if  $F \in \mathcal{C}^k(I, \mathbb{R}^3)$

**Remark.** Note that for a given graph in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  they may be different possible parameterizations. From now,  $\gamma$  will simply refer to F(I), its graph.

#### 3.2.2 Regularity

Let  $\gamma = (I, F)$  be a parametric [?] curve, and  $t_0 \in I$  a parameter.

- A point of parameter  $t_0$  is called regular if  $F'(t_0) \neq 0$ . The curve  $\gamma$  is called regular if  $\gamma$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  and  $F'(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\forall t \in I$
- A point of parameter  $t_0$  is called *biregular* if  $F'(t_0)$  and  $F''(t_0)$  are not collinear The curve  $\gamma$  is called *biregular* if  $\gamma$  is  $C^2$  and  $F'(t) \cdot F''(t) \neq 0$ ,  $\forall t \in I$

#### 3.2.3 Reparametrization

Let  $\gamma = (I, F)$  be a parametric curve of class  $\mathcal{C}^k$ ,  $J \in \mathbb{R}^3$  an interval, and  $\varphi: I \mapsto J$  a  $\mathcal{C}^k$  diffeomorphisme. Lets define  $G = F \circ \varphi$ . Then:

- $G \in \mathcal{C}^k(J, \mathbb{R}^3)$
- G(J) = F(I)
- $\varphi$  is said to be an admissible change of parameter for  $\gamma$
- (J,G) is said to be another admissible parametrization for  $\gamma$

#### 3.2.4 Natural parametrization

Let  $\gamma$  be a space curve of class  $C^1$ . A parametrization (I, F) of  $\gamma$  is called *natural* if  $||F'(t)|| = 1, \forall t \in I$ . Thus:

- The curve is necessarily regular
- F is strictly monotonic

#### 3.2.5 Curve length

Let  $\gamma = (I, F)$  be a parametric curve of class  $\mathcal{C}^1$ . The length of  $\gamma$  is define as:

$$L = \int_{I} ||F'(t)|| dt \tag{3.1}$$

Note that the length of  $\gamma$  is invariant under reparametrization.

#### 3.2.6 Arc-length parametrization

Let  $\gamma = (I, F)$  be a regular parametric curve of class  $C^1$ . Let  $t_0 \in I$  be a given parameter. The following map is said to be the *arc-length of origin*  $t_0$  of  $\gamma$ :

$$s: t \mapsto \int_{t_0}^t \|F'(u)\| du \quad , \quad s \in I \times \mathbb{R}$$
 (3.2)

The arc-length  $s: I \mapsto s(I)$  is an admissible change of parameter for  $\gamma$ . Indeed, s is a  $\mathcal{C}^1$  diffeomorphisme because it is bijective (s' > 0).

Lets define  $G = F \circ s^{-1}$  and J = s(I). Thus (J, G) is a natural reparametrization of  $\gamma$  and  $||G'(s)|| = 1, \forall s \in J$ .

This parametrization is preferred because the natural parameter s traverses the image of  $\gamma$  at unit speed ( $\|G'\| = 1$ ).

#### 3.3 Frenet's Trihedron

En cinématique ou en géométrie différentielle, le repère de Frenet ou repère de Serret-Frenet est un outil d'étude du comportement local des courbes. Il s'agit d'un repère local associé à un point P, décrivant une courbe (C). Son mode de construction est différent selon que l'espace ambiant est de dimension 2 (courbe plane) ou 3 (courbe gauche) ; il est possible également de définir un repère de Frenet en toute dimension, pourvu que la courbe vérifie des conditions différentielles simples.

Le repère de Frenet, et les formules de Frenet donnant les dérivées des vecteurs de ce repère, permettent de mener de façon systématique des calculs de courbure, de torsion pour les courbes gauches et d'introduire des concepts géométriques associés aux courbes : cercle osculateur, plan osculateur (en), parallélisme des courbes

In this section we consider  $\gamma = (J, G)$  to be a regular ( $\|\gamma\| = 1$ ) parametric curve of class  $C^2$ , parametrized by its arc-length (denoted s). For the sake of simplicity we will refer to G(s) as  $\gamma(s)$ .

#### 3.3.1 Tangent vector

The first vector of Frenet's trihedron is called the *unit tangent vector* ( $\mathbf{t}$ ). At any given parameter  $s_0 \in J$ , it is defined as:

$$\mathbf{t}(s_0) = \frac{\gamma'(s_0)}{\|\gamma'(s_0)\|} = \gamma'(s_0) \quad , \quad \|\mathbf{t}(s_0)\| = 1$$
(3.3)

#### 3.3.2 Normal vector

The second vector of Frenet's trihedron is called the *unit normal vector* (**n**). It is constructed from  $\mathbf{t'}$  which is orthogonal to  $\mathbf{t}: \|\mathbf{t}\| = 1 \Rightarrow \mathbf{t'} \cdot \mathbf{t} = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{t'} \perp \mathbf{t}$ . Thus, at any given parameter  $s_0 \in J$ , it is define as:

$$\mathbf{n}(s_0) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s_0)}{\|\mathbf{t}'(s_0)\|} = \frac{\gamma''(s_0)}{\|\gamma''(s_0)\|} \quad , \quad \|\mathbf{n}(s_0)\| = 1$$
 (3.4)

#### 3.3.3 Binormal vector

The third vector of Frenet's trihedron is called the *unit binormal vector* (b). It is constructed from  $\mathbf{t}$  and  $\mathbf{n}$  to form an orthonormal direct basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Thus, at any given parameter  $s_0 \in J$ , it is define as:

$$\mathbf{b}(s_0) = \mathbf{t}(s_0) \times \mathbf{n}(s_0) \quad , \quad ||\mathbf{b}(s_0)|| = 1$$
 (3.5)

#### 3.4 Curvature

Note that from a geometric point of view,  $\frac{1}{\kappa(s_0)}$  represents the radius of the osculating circle of  $\gamma$  at the point of parameter  $s_0$ .

$$\kappa(s_0) = \|\mathbf{t}'(s_0)\| = \|\gamma''(s_0)\|$$

#### 3.4.1 Osculating circle

Défini de façon directe, le cercle de courbure est le cercle le plus proche de la courbe en P, c'est l'unique cercle osculateur à la courbe en ce point. Ceci signifie qu'il constitue une très bonne approximation de la courbe, meilleure qu'un cercle tangent quelconque. En effet, il donne non seulement une idée de la direction dans laquelle la courbe avance (direction de la tangente), mais aussi de sa tendance à tourner de part ou d'autre de la tangente.

#### 3.4.2 Curvature binormal vector

Finally, we define the *curvature binormal vector* at any given parameter  $s_0 \in J$  as:

$$\kappa \mathbf{b}(s_0) = \mathbf{t}(s_0) \times \mathbf{t}'(s_0) = \kappa(s_0) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s_0) \quad , \quad \|\kappa \mathbf{b}(s_0)\| = \kappa(s_0) \tag{3.6}$$

#### 3.5 Torsion

En géométrie différentielle, la torsion d'une courbe tracée dans l'espace mesure la manière dont la courbe se tord pour sortir de son plan osculateur (plan contenant le cercle osculateur). Ainsi, par exemple, une courbe plane a une torsion nulle et une hélice circulaire est de torsion constante. Prises ensemble, la courbure et la torsion d'une courbe de l'espace en définissent la forme comme le fait la courbure pour une courbe plane. La torsion apparait comme coefficient dans les équations différentielles du repère de Frenet.

The *torsion* measures the deviance of  $\gamma$  from being a planar curve and is defined at any given parameter  $s_0 \in J$  as:

$$\tau_f(s_0) = \mathbf{n}'(s_0) \cdot \mathbf{b}(s_0) \tag{3.7}$$

#### 3.6 Curve Framing

#### 3.6.1 Moving frame

Soit  $\gamma: s \to \gamma(s)$  une courbe bi-régulière de l'espace, paramétrée par son abscisse curviligne. On appelle repère mobile attaché à  $\gamma$  le trièdre orthonormé direct  $\{\mathbf{d_3}(s), \mathbf{d_1}(s), \mathbf{d_2}(s)\}$ .

Par construction, le repère mobile attaché à  $\gamma$  vérifie :

$$\begin{cases} \|\mathbf{d_i}(s)\| = 1 \\ \mathbf{d_i}(s) \cdot \mathbf{d_j}(s) = 0 \end{cases}$$
 (3.8)

#### Governing equations

Par dérivation des relations précédentes on obtient les équations différentielles suivantes :

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}(s) = 0 \\
\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}'(s) \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}}(s) = -\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}(s) \cdot \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{j}}'(s)
\end{cases}$$
(3.9)

Il existe donc 3 fonctions scalaires  $\tau(s)$ ,  $\kappa_1(s)$ ,  $\kappa_2(s)$  telles que :

$$\begin{cases}
\mathbf{d}_{3}'(s) = \kappa_{2}(s)\mathbf{d}_{1}(s) - \kappa_{1}(s)\mathbf{d}_{2}(s) \\
\mathbf{d}_{1}'(s) = -\kappa_{2}(s)\mathbf{d}_{3}(s) + \tau(s)\mathbf{d}_{2}(s) \\
\mathbf{d}_{2}'(s) = \kappa_{1}(s)\mathbf{d}_{3}(s) - \tau(s)\mathbf{d}_{1}(s)
\end{cases}$$
(3.10)

Ce système se réécrit sous forme matricielle de la façon suivante :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{3}'(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{1}'(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{2}'(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_{2}(s) & -\kappa_{1}(s) \\ -\kappa_{2}(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ \kappa_{1}(s) & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{3}(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{1}(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{2}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.11)

On remarquera qu'ainsi définie, l'évolution des repères mobiles le long de la courbe  $\gamma$  est gouvernée par une équation différentielle d'ordre 1. Dès lors, un unique triplet  $\{\tau, \kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$  engendre une famille de repères mobiles définis à une constante d'intégration prêt. Généralement, un repère mobile sera donc entièrement définit par la donnée de  $\tau$ ,  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  et de  $\{\mathbf{d_3}(s=0), \mathbf{d_1}(s=0), \mathbf{d_2}(s=0)\}$ .

#### Darboux vector

Il est pertinent de considérer l'évolution d'un repère mobile le long de  $\gamma$  en introduisant son vecteur de Darboux  $(\Omega)$ , qui correspond au taux de rotation du trièdre  $\{\mathbf{d_3}(s), \mathbf{d_1}(s), \mathbf{d_2}(s)\}$ 

selon l'abscisse curviligne. Les équations d'évolution du repère mobile s'écrivent alors :

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}'(s) = \mathbf{\Omega}(s) \times \mathbf{d}_{\mathbf{i}}(s) \quad avec \quad \mathbf{\Omega}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau(s) \\ \kappa_{1}(s) \\ \kappa_{2}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.12)

Géométriquement, les fonctions scalaires  $\tau(s)$ ,  $\kappa_1(s)$ ,  $\kappa_2(s)$  correspondent respectivement aux taux de rotations du trièdre autour des axes dirigés par  $\mathbf{d_3}(s)$ ,  $\mathbf{d_1}(s)$ ,  $\mathbf{d_2}(s)$ :

$$\frac{d\theta_3}{dt}(s) = \tau(s) \quad , \quad \frac{d\theta_1}{dt}(s) = \kappa_1(s) \quad , \quad \frac{d\theta_2}{dt}(s) = \kappa_2(s) \tag{3.13}$$

#### 3.6.2 Adapted frame

De plus, on dira qu'il est adapté à  $\gamma$  si en tout point  $\gamma(s)$ ,  $\mathbf{d_3}(s)$  est tangent à  $\gamma$ :

$$\mathbf{d_3}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) = \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\|\gamma(s)\|} \tag{3.14}$$

Dans ce cas, la courbure  $\kappa$  de la courbe  $\gamma$  vaut :  $\kappa \equiv \|\gamma''\| = \|\mathbf{t}'\| = \sqrt{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2}$ 

La courbure est une quantité géométrique intrinsèque, indépendante du choix du repère mobile attaché à la courbe. C'est donc un invariant. Et donc quelque soit le choix du repère mobile adapté  $|\mathbf{t'}| = \sqrt{\kappa_1^2 + \kappa_2^2}$  est un invariant (la courbure).

#### 3.6.3 Frenet frame

#### Definition

The Frenet frame is a well-known particular adapted moving frame (§3.3). At any given regular point  $\gamma(s_0)$  it is define as  $\{\mathbf{t}(s_0), \mathbf{n}(s_0), \mathbf{b}(s_0)\}$  where :

$$\mathbf{t}(s_0) = \frac{\gamma'(s_0)}{\|\gamma'(s_0)\|} \quad , \quad \mathbf{n}(s_0) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s_0)}{\kappa(s_0)} \quad , \quad \mathbf{b}(s_0) = \mathbf{t}(s_0) \times \mathbf{n}(s_0)$$
(3.15)

#### Governing equations

The Frenet frame satisfies the *Frenet-Serret* formulas, which govern the evolution of the frame along the curve  $\gamma$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}'(s) \\ \mathbf{n}'(s) \\ \mathbf{b}'(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa(s) & 0 \\ -\kappa(s) & 0 & \tau_f(s) \\ 0 & -\tau_f(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{n}(s) \\ \mathbf{b}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.16)

One can remember the generic differential equations of an adapted moving frame attached

to a curve, where:

$$\mathbf{d_3}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) = \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\|\gamma(s)\|} \quad , \quad \kappa_1(s) = 0 \quad , \quad \kappa_2(s) = \kappa(s) \quad , \quad \tau(s) = \tau_f(s)$$
 (3.17)

#### Darboux vector

Consequently, the Darboux vector  $(\Omega_{\mathbf{f}})$  of the Frenet frame is given by :

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{f}}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau_f(s) \\ 0 \\ \kappa(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.18)

#### Specific points

undefined when curvature vanishes

not related to mechanical torsion

#### 3.6.4 Bishop frame

#### Definition

Different ways to frame a curve. The usual one is Frenet. But, it could not be as relevant as we want in our field of interest.

The Bishop frame is defined as a well-known particular adapted moving frame (§3.3). At any given regular point  $\gamma(s_0)$  it is define as  $\{\mathbf{t}(s_0), \mathbf{n}(s_0), \mathbf{b}(s_0)\}$  where:

$$\mathbf{t}(s_0) = \frac{\gamma'(s_0)}{\|\gamma'(s_0)\|} \quad , \quad \mathbf{n}(s_0) = \frac{\mathbf{t}'(s_0)}{\kappa(s_0)} \quad , \quad \mathbf{b}(s_0) = \mathbf{t}(s_0) \times \mathbf{n}(s_0)$$
(3.19)

#### Governing equations

The Bishop frame evolution is governed by the following differential equations:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}'(s) \\ \mathbf{u}'(s) \\ \mathbf{v}'(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_2(s) & -\kappa_1(s) \\ -\kappa_2(s) & 0 & 0 \\ \kappa_1(s) & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{t}(s) \\ \mathbf{u}(s) \\ \mathbf{v}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.20)

One can remember the generic differential equations of an adapted moving frame attached to a curve, where :

$$\mathbf{d_3}(s) = \mathbf{t}(s) = \frac{\gamma'(s)}{\|\gamma(s)\|} \quad , \quad \kappa_1(s) = 0 \quad , \quad \kappa_2(s) = \kappa(s) \quad , \quad \tau(s) = \tau_f(s)$$
 (3.21)

#### Darboux vector

Consequently, the Darboux vector  $(\Omega_{\mathbf{b}})$  of the Bishop frame is given by :

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{b}}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \kappa_1(s) \\ \kappa_2(s) \end{bmatrix}$$
(3.22)

#### Specific points

well defined when curvature vanishes

related to mechanical torsion

### Bibliography

[Bis75] Richard L. Bishop. There is more than one way to frame a curve. *Mathematical Association of America*, 1975.

## 4 Elastic rod: variational approch

#### 4.1 Introduction

In this section a novel element with 4 degrees of freedom accounting for torsion and bending behaviours is presented. The beam is considered in Kirchhoff's theory framework, so that it is supposed to be inextensible and its sections are supposed to remain orthogonal to the centreline during deformation. The reduction from the classic 6-DoF model to this 4-DoF model is achieved by an appropriate curve-angle representation based on a relevant curve framing. Energies are then formulated and leads to internal forces and moments acting on the beam. The static equilibrium is deduced from a damped fictitious dynamic with an adapted dynamic relaxation algorithm.

```
Basile [BAV<sup>+</sup>10]

Basile [BWR<sup>+</sup>08] Je Basile [? ]

Sina [Nab14]
```

#### 4.2 Kirchhoff rod

The geometric configuration of the rod is described by its centerline x(s) and its cross sections. The centerline is parameterized by its arc-length. Cross sections orientations are followed along the centerline by their material frame  $\{d_1(s), d_2(s), d_3(s)\}$  which is an adapted orthonormal moving frame aligned to section's principal axes of inertia. Here, "adapted" means  $d_3(s) = x'(s) = t(s)$  is aligned to the centerline's tangent. In the literature, this description is also known as a Cosserat Curve [ref].

#### 4.2.1 Inextensibility

Note the previous description is only valid for inextensible rods in order to follow material points by their arc-length indifferently in their rest or deformed configuration. As explained in [AAP10], this hypothesis is usually relevant for slender beams. Indeed, in practice, if a slender member faces substantial axial strain the bending behaviour would become negligible due to the important difference between axial and bending stiffness. The length of the rod will be denoted L and the arc-length s will vary (with no loss of generality) in [0, L].

#### 4.2.2 Euler-Bernouilli

Strains are supposed to remain small so that material frame remains orthogonal to the centerline in the deformed configuration. Thus, differentiating the conditions of orthonormality leads to the following differential equations governing the evolution of  $\{d_1(s), d_2(s), d_3(s)\}$  along the centerline:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{3}'(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{1}'(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{2}'(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \kappa_{2}(s) & -\kappa_{1}(s) \\ -\kappa_{2}(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ \kappa_{1}(s) & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d}_{3}(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{1}(s) \\ \mathbf{d}_{2}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.1)$$

#### 4.2.3 Darboux vector

Those equations can be formulated with the *Darboux vector* of the chosen material frame, which represents the rotational velocity of the frame along x(s):

$$\mathbf{d}_{i}'(s) = \mathbf{\Omega}_{m}(s) \times \mathbf{d}_{i}(s) \quad , \quad \mathbf{\Omega}_{m}(s) = \begin{bmatrix} \tau(s) \\ \kappa_{1}(s) \\ \kappa_{2}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.2)$$

Where  $\kappa_1(s)$ ,  $\kappa_2(s)$  and  $\tau(s)$  represent respectively the rate of rotation of the material frame around the axis  $d_1(s)$ ,  $d_2(s)$  and  $d_3(s)$ .

#### 4.2.4 Curvatures and twist

The material curvatures are denoted  $\kappa_1(s)$  and  $\kappa_2(s)$  and represent the rod's flexion in the principal planes respectively normal to  $d_1(s)$  and  $d_2(s)$ . The material twist is denoted  $\tau(s)$  and represents the section's rate of rotation around  $d_3(s)$ . Those scalar functions measure directly the strain as defined in Kirchhoff's theory (Figure 4). Recall that the Frenet frame  $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$  defines the osculating plane and the total curvature  $(\kappa)$  of a spatial curve:

$$t'(s) = \kappa(s)n(s)$$
 ,  $\kappa(s) = ||t'(s)||$  ,  $b(s) = t(s) \times n(s) = \frac{t(s) \times t(s)'}{\kappa(s)}$  (4.3)

To describe the osculating plane in which lies the bending part of the deformation, let's introduce the *curvature binormal*  $\kappa b(s) = t(s) \times t'(s)$ , the vector of direction b(s) and norm  $\kappa(s)$ . At each point of arc-length s the osculating plane is normal to  $\kappa b(s)$ .

#### 4.2.5 Elastic energy

Kirrchhoff's theory assigns an elastic energy to beams according to their strain [AAP10]. In this theory, a beam is supposed to be inextensible. Thus the elastic energy  $(\mathcal{E}_p)$  only accounts for torsion and bending behaviors and is given by:

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L EI_1(\kappa_1 - \overline{\kappa_1})^2 + EI_2(\kappa_2 - \overline{\kappa_2})^2 ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \beta(\tau - \overline{\tau})^2 ds \tag{4.4}$$

Herer,  $\overline{\kappa_1}$ ,  $\overline{\kappa_2}$  and  $\overline{\tau}$  denote the natural curvature and twist of the rod in the rest position (no stress).

#### 4.3 Curve-angle representation

The previous paragraph has shown how the elastic potential energy of a rod can be computed following both its centerline and its cross sections orientations, which represents a model with 6-DoF: 3 for centerline positions and 3 for cross section orientations. Following [BWR<sup>+</sup>08], let's introduce a reduced coordinate formulation of the rod that account for only 4-DoF. This reduction of DoF relies on the concept of zero-twisting frame which gives a reference frame with zero twist along a given centerline. Thus, cross section orientations  $\{d_1(s), d_2(s), d_3(s)\}$  can be tracked only by the measure of an angle  $\theta$  from this reference frame denoted  $\{u(s), v(s), d_3(s)\}$  (Figure 5). An alternative could be to parameterize the global rotations of local material frame and to compute the rotation needed to align two successive frames along the curve's tangent.

#### 4.3.1 Zero-twisting frame

Zero-twisting frame, also known as Bishop frame, was introduced by Bishop in 1964. Bishop remarked that there was more than one way to frame a curve [Bis75]. Indeed, for a given curve, any orthonormal moving frame would satisfy the following differential equations, where  $k_1(s)$ ,  $k_2(s)$  and  $\tau(s)$  are scalar functions that define completely the moving frame:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{3}'(s) \\ \mathbf{e}_{1}'(s) \\ \mathbf{e}_{2}'(s) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & k_{2}(s) & -\kappa_{1}(s) \\ -k_{2}(s) & 0 & \tau(s) \\ k_{1}(s) & -\tau(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{e}_{3}(s) \\ \mathbf{e}_{1}(s) \\ \mathbf{e}_{2}(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$(4.5)$$

For instance, a Frenet frame  $\{t(s), n(s), b(s)\}$  is a frame which satisfies  $k_1(s) = 0$ . Note that this frame suffers from major disadvantages: it is undefined where the curvature vanishes and it flips at inflexion points. A Bishop frame  $\{t(s), u(s), v(s)\}$  is a frame which satisfies  $\tau(s) = 0$ . By construction, this frame has no angular velocity (i.e. no twist) around

the curve's tangent  $(\boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{v}' = \boldsymbol{u}' \cdot \boldsymbol{v} = 0)$ . Its evolution along the curve is described by the corresponding Darboux vector:  $\Omega_b(s) = \kappa \boldsymbol{b} = \boldsymbol{t} \times \boldsymbol{t}'$ . Remark that  $\Omega_b(s)$  only depends on the centerline and is well defined even when the curvature vanishes.

Thus, by the help of  $\Omega_b(s)$ , it's possible to transport a given vector e along the centerline with no twist:  $e' = \kappa b \times e$ . This is called *parallel transport*.

#### 4.3.2 Bending strains

Let's compute the bending strains  $\kappa_1(s)$  and  $\kappa_2(s)$  regarding the geometric configuration of the rod. Remark that:

$$\kappa \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{1} = (\boldsymbol{d}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{3}') \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{1} = (\boldsymbol{d}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{3}) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{3}' = -\boldsymbol{d}_{2} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{3}' = \kappa_{1}$$

$$\kappa \boldsymbol{b} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{2} = (\boldsymbol{d}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{3}') \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{2} = (\boldsymbol{d}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{d}_{3}) \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{3}' = \boldsymbol{d}_{1} \cdot \boldsymbol{d}_{3}' = \kappa_{2}$$

$$(4.6)$$

Thus, the vector of material curvatures  $(\omega)$  expressed on material frame axes  $\{d_1(s), d_2(s)\}$  is defined as:

$$\omega = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa_1 \\ \kappa_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \kappa \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 \\ \kappa \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 \\ \mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.7)

#### 4.3.3 Torsion strain

Let's compute the twist or torsion strain  $\tau$  regarding the geometric configuration of the rod. Decomposing the material frame on the bishop frame gives :

$$\begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos \theta \ \mathbf{u} + \sin \theta \ \mathbf{v} \\ -\sin \theta \ \mathbf{u} + \cos \theta \ \mathbf{v} \end{bmatrix}$$
 (4.8)

Thus, the twist can be identified directly as the variation of  $\theta$  along the curve :

$$\tau = \mathbf{d}_1' \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 = (\theta' \mathbf{d}_2 + \kappa \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{d}_1) \cdot \mathbf{d}_2 = \theta' + \mathbf{d}_3 \cdot \kappa \mathbf{b} = \theta'$$

$$\tag{4.9}$$

Note that the Frenet frame does not lead to a correct evaluation of the twist.

#### 4.4 Elastic energy

Introducing  $\omega$  and  $\theta$ , the elastic energy can be rewritten as follow:

$$\mathcal{E}_p = \mathcal{E}_b + \mathcal{E}_t = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L (\boldsymbol{\omega} - \overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}})^T B(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \overline{\boldsymbol{\omega}}) ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \beta (\boldsymbol{\theta}' - \overline{\boldsymbol{\theta}'})^2 ds$$
 (4.10)

Where B is the bending stiffness matrix along the principal axes of inertia and  $\beta$  is the torsional stiffness:

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} EI_1 & 0\\ 0 & EI_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \beta = GJ \tag{4.11}$$

#### 4.5 Inextensibility

Recall that the rod is supposed to be inextensible in Kirchhoff's theory. Thus, there is no stretching energy associated with an axial strain. However, this constraint will be enforced via a penalty energy, which in practice is somehow very similar as considering an axial stiffness in the beam...

#### 4.6 Time-scale assumption

Following [BWR<sup>+</sup>08], it is relevant to assume that the propagation of twist waves is instantaneous compared to the one of bending waves. Thus, internal forces  $f^{int}$  and moment  $m^{int}$  acts on two different timescales in the rod dynamic. Thus on the timescale of action of the force  $f^{int}$  on the center line, driving the bending waves, the twist waves propagate instantaneously, so that  $\forall s \in [0, L]$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{E}_p/\delta \theta = 0$  for the computation of  $f^{int}$ . This assumption may not be enforced, as in [Nab14], but leads to simpler and faster computations.

#### 4.7 Gradient

Internal torsional moments and forces acting on the rod are classically obtained by differentiating the potential energy of the system with respect to  $\theta$  and x. Here, the calculus is a bit tricky as far as the differentiation takes place in function spaces. After a brief reminder on functional derivative, the main results of the calculations of the energy derivatives are given.

#### 4.7.1 Derivative of $\theta$ with respect to x

It's important to notice that the centreline x is independent of  $\theta$ . However, the convers does not hold. Indeed, a variation of the centerline would cause the cross section orientations to be perturbed and thus the potential energy to be modified.

When moving the centerline while conserving section orientation  $\{d_1, d_2\}$ , the angle  $\theta$  is modified because the bishop frame is modified. The variation of  $\theta$  is thus equal to the opposite of the rotation angle of the bishop frame around  $d_3$  while the centerline undergoes a variation.

Parallel transport being a propagation of frame from s = 0, the variation of  $\theta$  at arc-length s is the cumulated angle of rotation of  $\boldsymbol{u}[\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x]$  around  $\boldsymbol{d}_3[\boldsymbol{x}]$ . Recalling the rotation rate of  $\boldsymbol{u}[\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x]$  is  $\kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x]$  by definition of zero-twisting frame, one can write:

$$\mathbf{D}_{x}\theta(s)\cdot\mathbf{h}_{x} = \frac{d}{d\lambda}\int_{0}^{s} -\kappa\mathbf{b}[\mathbf{x} + \lambda\mathbf{h}_{x}]\cdot\mathbf{d}_{3}[\mathbf{x}]dt\Big|_{\lambda=0}$$
(4.12)

The calculation of  $\kappa b[x + \lambda h_x]$  is straight forward from the curvature binormal definition :

$$\kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x] = (\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x)' \times (\boldsymbol{x} + \lambda \boldsymbol{h}_x)''$$

$$= \kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] + \lambda (\boldsymbol{x}' \times \boldsymbol{h}_x'' + \boldsymbol{h}_x' \times \boldsymbol{x}'') + \lambda^2 (\boldsymbol{h}_x' \times \boldsymbol{h}_x'')$$
(4.13)

Thus, reminding that  $d_3[x] = x'$  and denoting by  $\delta_s$  and  $H_s$  the Dirac function and Heaviside step function centered at s:

$$D_{x}\theta(s) \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x} = -\int_{0}^{s} (\boldsymbol{x}' \times \boldsymbol{h}_{x}'' + \boldsymbol{h}_{x}' \times \boldsymbol{x}'') \cdot \boldsymbol{x}' dt = \int_{0}^{s} \kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x}' dt$$

$$= \left[\kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x}\right]_{0}^{s} - \int_{0}^{s} \kappa \boldsymbol{b}'[\boldsymbol{x}] \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} (\kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] (\delta_{s} - \delta_{0}) - \kappa \boldsymbol{b}'[\boldsymbol{x}]) \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x} dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{L} (\kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] (\delta_{s} - \delta_{0}) - \kappa \boldsymbol{b}'[\boldsymbol{x}] (1 - H_{s})) \cdot \boldsymbol{h}_{x} dt$$

$$= \langle \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}(s) ; \boldsymbol{h}_{x} \rangle$$

$$(4.14)$$

In other words, this means that a variation  $h_x$  of x causes a variation  $\int_0^L \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \cdot h_x dt$  of  $\theta$  at s. Note that this is an integrated quantity, all along the centerline. Finally, the derivative of  $\theta$  with respect to x is given by :

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}}(s) = \kappa \boldsymbol{b}[\boldsymbol{x}] (\delta_s - \delta_0) - \kappa \boldsymbol{b}'[\boldsymbol{x}] (1 - H_s) \in [0, L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}$$
(4.15)

Note that the derivative of  $\theta$  with respect to x can be evaluated by the change of writhe in the curve as suggested in [dV05]. This approach is completly equivalent.

#### 4.8 Kinematic

Bishop

 $\boldsymbol{x}$  only depends on arc-length along the curve :  $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ 

Implicit dependence of  $\theta$  in  $x : \theta(t) \equiv \theta[x](t)$ 

Implicit dependence of  $\omega$  in x and  $\theta$ :  $\omega(t) \equiv \omega[x, \theta](t)$ 

We denote  $\tau$  the twist along the curve :  $\tau(t) = \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} = \theta'(t)$ 

We will make the following mathematical assumptions :

$$\boldsymbol{x}:[0,L] \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^3 t \boldsymbol{x}(t)$$
  $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3})$  (4.16)

$$\theta[x]:[0,L] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}t\theta[x](t) \qquad \qquad \theta[x] \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}})$$
(4.17)

$$\omega[x,\theta]:[0,L] \longmapsto \mathbb{R}^2 t \omega[x,\theta](t) \qquad \omega[x,\theta] \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^2})$$
(4.18)

The dependence in the arc-length is denoted by the parameter  $t \in [0, L]$  by the use of parenthesis (.). The dependencies in functions are denoted by the use of brackets [.].

When computings energy gradients, it comes to differentiate energies regarding there dependencies in functions x and  $\theta$ . Thus, we may recall that :

$$\theta: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}) \longmapsto \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}})\boldsymbol{x}\theta[\boldsymbol{x}] \qquad \qquad \theta \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$$
 (4.19)

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}: \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}}) \longmapsto \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^2})(\boldsymbol{x},\theta)\boldsymbol{\omega}[\boldsymbol{x},\theta] \qquad \boldsymbol{\omega} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$$
(4.20)

#### 4.9 Energy

$$\mathcal{E}_{p}[x,\theta] = \mathcal{E}_{stretch}[x] + \mathcal{E}_{bend}[x,\theta] + \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta]$$
(4.21)

$$\mathcal{E}_{stretch}[\boldsymbol{x}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L K_s (\frac{\|\boldsymbol{x}'\|}{\|\bar{\boldsymbol{x}}'\|} - 1)^2 dt \tag{4.22}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{bend}[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} (\boldsymbol{\omega} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}})^{T} B(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}) dt$$
(4.23)

$$\mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta] = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^L \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta'})^2 dt \tag{4.24}$$

Energies could be seen as functional, i.e. functions that map vectors from a functional vector space to there underlying scalar field  $\mathbb{R}$ .

$$\mathcal{E}_{stretch} : \quad \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
\mathbf{x} \longmapsto \mathcal{E}_{stretch}[\mathbf{x}] \tag{4.25}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{bend}: \quad \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}) \times \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}, [0,L]^{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{x},\theta) \longmapsto \mathcal{E}_{bend}[\boldsymbol{x},\theta]$$

$$(4.26)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{twist}: \quad \mathcal{C}^{\infty}([0,L]^{\mathbb{R}^3}, [0,L]^{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$\theta \longmapsto \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta]$$
(4.27)

#### 4.10 Inextensibility

#### 4.11 Gradients

#### 4.11.1 Momentum

Calcul du moment :

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{d\mathcal{E}_p}{d\theta} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_p}{\partial \theta} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{bend}}{\partial \theta} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{twist}}{\partial \theta}$$
(4.28)

(4.29)

Calcul de  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{twist}}{\partial \theta}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \beta \Big( (\theta + \lambda h_{\theta})' - \bar{\theta}' \Big)^{2} dt$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta] + \lambda \int_{0}^{L} \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta}') h'_{\theta} dt + \lambda^{2} \int_{0}^{L} \frac{\beta h'_{\theta}^{2}}{2} dt$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta] + \lambda \Big[ \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta}') h_{\theta} \Big]_{0}^{L} - \lambda \int_{0}^{L} \Big( \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta}') \Big)' h_{\theta} dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta] + \lambda \int_{0}^{L} \Big[ \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta}') (\delta_{L} - \delta_{0}) - \Big( \beta (\theta' - \bar{\theta}') \Big)' \Big] h_{\theta} dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{twist}[\theta] + \lambda (D_{\mathcal{E}_{twist}} \cdot h_{\theta}) + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.30)$$

With:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{twist}}{\partial \theta} \equiv D_{\mathcal{E}_t} = -\left(\beta(\theta' - \bar{\theta}')\right)' + \beta(\theta' - \bar{\theta}')(\delta_L - \delta_0) \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{twist}}{\partial \theta} : [0; L] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \quad (4.31)$$

# Calcul de $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial \theta}$

On montre par des considérations géométriques que :

$$d_{1}[x, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] = d_{1}[x, \theta] + \sin(\lambda h_{\theta})d_{2}[x, \theta] - (1 - \cos(\lambda h_{\theta})d_{1}[x, \theta])$$

$$= d_{1}[x, \theta] + \lambda h_{\theta}d_{2}[x, \theta] + o(\lambda)$$
(4.32)

$$d_{2}[x, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] = d_{2}[x, \theta] - \sin(\lambda h_{\theta}) d_{1}[x, \theta] - (1 - \cos(\lambda h_{\theta}) d_{2}[x, \theta]$$

$$= d_{2}[x, \theta] - \lambda h_{\theta} d_{1}[x, \theta] + o(\lambda)$$
(4.33)

On en déduit pour le vecteur courbure matérielle :

$$\omega[\mathbf{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1}[\mathbf{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] \\ \mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2}[\mathbf{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \omega[\mathbf{x}, \theta] + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{2}[\mathbf{x}, \theta] \\ -\mathbf{x}'' \cdot \mathbf{d}_{1}[\mathbf{x}, \theta] \end{bmatrix} \cdot h_{\theta} + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \omega[\mathbf{x}, \theta] + \lambda(D_{\omega} \cdot h_{\theta}) + o(\lambda)$$
(4.34)

With:

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} = D_{\boldsymbol{\omega}} = -R_{\pi/2} \, \boldsymbol{\omega}[\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{\theta}] \quad , \quad R_{\pi/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\omega}}{\partial \boldsymbol{\theta}} : [0; L] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$
 (4.35)

Calcul de  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{bend}}{\partial \theta}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}_{bend}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L} \left( \boldsymbol{\omega}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta] \right)^{T} B\left( \boldsymbol{\omega}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta + \lambda h_{\theta}] - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta] \right) dt$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{bend}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta] - \lambda \int_{0}^{L} \left( (\boldsymbol{\omega} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}})^{T} B R_{\pi/2} \boldsymbol{\omega} \right) \cdot h_{\theta} dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \mathcal{E}_{bend}[\boldsymbol{x}, \theta] + \lambda (D_{\mathcal{E}_{bend}} \cdot h_{\theta}) + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.36)$$

With:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{bend}}{\partial \theta} \equiv D_{\mathcal{E}_{bend}} = -(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}})^T B R_{\pi/2} \boldsymbol{\omega} \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{bend}}{\partial \theta} : [0; L] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$$
 (4.37)

#### Calcul de $\mathcal{M}$

Finally:

$$\mathcal{M} = -\frac{d\mathcal{E}_p}{d\theta} = -(\boldsymbol{\omega} - \bar{\boldsymbol{\omega}})^T B R_{\pi/2} \, \boldsymbol{\omega} - \left(\beta(\theta' - \bar{\theta'})\right)' + \beta(\theta' - \bar{\theta'})(\delta_L - \delta_0) \tag{4.38}$$

ATTENTION : écrire ici que M est porté par  $d_3$ 

#### 4.11.2 Forces

Calcul des efforts:

$$\mathcal{F} = -\frac{d\mathcal{E}_p}{dx} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_p}{\partial x} - \int_0^L \frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_p}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}$$
 (4.39)

# Calcul de $\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}$ par le writh

[Ful78], [dV05], [Vau00], [Ber09]

On montre ici qu'en un point d'abscisse s, une variation de la centerline de  $\lambda h_x$  entraine une variation de  $\theta$  intégrée sur la courbe  $\Gamma$  de  $(\frac{\partial \theta[x](s)}{\partial x} \cdot \lambda h_x)$ .

$$H: t \mapsto \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0, & t < 0 \\ 1, & t \geqslant 0 \end{array} \right.$$
 est la fonction de Heaviside.

 $\delta_{t_0}: t \mapsto \delta(t-t_0)$  est la distribution de dirac centrée en  $t_0$ .

ATTENTION : ici il y a qqch à expliquer entre  $\theta$  et  $\psi$  (il y a une question de signe à détailler).

$$\Delta \psi_{\lambda h_x}[x](s) = \psi[x + \lambda h_x](s) - \psi[x](s)$$
(4.40)

$$\Delta\psi_{\lambda h_{x}}[x](s) = \int_{0}^{s} \frac{x' \times (x + \lambda h_{x})'}{1 + x' \cdot (x + \lambda h_{x})'} \cdot \left(x'' + (x + \lambda h_{x})''\right) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\lambda x' \times h_{x'}}{2(1 + \frac{\lambda x' \cdot h_{x'}}{2})} \cdot (2x'' + \lambda h_{x''}) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} \frac{\lambda}{2} (x' \times h_{x'}) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda x' \cdot h_{x'}}{2} + o(\lambda)\right) (2x'' + \lambda h_{x''}) dt$$

$$= \int_{0}^{s} \left(-k_{b} \cdot \lambda h_{x}' + \frac{\lambda^{2}}{2} (x' \times h_{x'}) \cdot h_{x}''\right) \left(1 - \frac{\lambda x' \cdot h_{x'}}{2} + o(\lambda)\right) dt$$

$$= -\int_{0}^{s} -k_{b} \cdot \lambda h_{x}' dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \left[-k_{b} \cdot \lambda h_{x}\right]_{0}^{s} + \int_{0}^{s} k_{b}' \cdot \lambda h_{x} dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \int_{0}^{L} \left((1 - H)k_{b}' - (\delta_{s} - \delta_{0})k_{b}\right) \cdot \lambda h_{x} dt + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \lambda(D_{\psi}(s) \cdot h_{x}) + o(\lambda)$$

$$= \lambda(D_{\psi}(s) \cdot h_{x}) + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.41)$$

With:

$$\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \equiv -\mathbf{D}_{\psi}(s) = (\delta_s - \delta_0)\mathbf{k}_b - (1 - H)\mathbf{k}_b' \quad , \quad \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) : [0; L] \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$$
 (4.42)

### Calcul de $\frac{\partial \omega}{\partial x}$

Quand x varie de  $\lambda h_x$ , le repère materiel est tourné de sorte que, en décomposant sur le repère de base :

$$d_1[x + \lambda h_x, \theta] = d_1[x, \theta] + \Delta \psi_{\lambda h_x}[x]d_2[x, \theta] + \Delta \phi_{\lambda h_x}[x]d_3[x, \theta] + o(\lambda)$$
(4.43)

$$d_2[x + \lambda h_x, \theta] = d_2[x, \theta] - \Delta \psi_{\lambda h_x}[x] d_1[x, \theta] - \Delta \phi_{\lambda h_x}[x] d_3[x, \theta] + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.44)$$

On montre aisément que la contribution en  $\Delta \psi$  autour de  $d_1$  et  $d_2$  est d'un ordre supérieur à celle autour de  $d_3 = x'$  (ce qui se comprend bien dans le cas d'une variation en hélice).

Par propriété d'orthogonalité des vecteurs du repère mobile (cf § sur le curve framing) on montre que :

$$d_{1} \cdot d_{3} = 0 \Rightarrow d_{1}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \cdot (x + \lambda h_{x})' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\lambda h_{x}}[x] = d_{1}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \cdot x' = \lambda (-d_{1}[x, \theta] \cdot h_{x}') + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.45)$$

$$d_{2} \cdot d_{3} = 0 \Rightarrow d_{1}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \cdot (x + \lambda h_{x})' = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta \phi_{\lambda h_{x}}[x] = d_{2}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \cdot x' = \lambda (-d_{2}[x, \theta] \cdot h_{x}') + o(\lambda)$$

$$(4.46)$$

On en déduit le calcul de la variation de  $\omega$  pour une vairation de la centerline de  $\lambda h_x$  qui vaut :

$$\omega[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] = \begin{bmatrix} (x + \lambda h_{x})'' \cdot d_{1}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \\ (x + \lambda h_{x})'' \cdot d_{2}[x + \lambda h_{x}, \theta] \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (x + \lambda h_{x})'' \cdot (d_{1}[x] + (-\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \cdot h_{x} + o(\lambda)) d_{2}[x] - (\lambda d_{1}[x] \cdot h_{x} + o(\lambda)) \cdot x'') \\ (x + \lambda h_{x})'' \cdot (d_{2}[x] - (-\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \cdot h_{x} + o(\lambda)) d_{1}[x] - (\lambda d_{2}[x] \cdot h_{x} + o(\lambda)) \cdot x'') \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \omega[x, \theta] + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} d_{1}[x, \theta]^{T} \\ d_{2}[x, \theta]^{T} \end{bmatrix} \cdot h_{x}'' - \left(\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x}(s) \cdot h_{x}\right) \begin{bmatrix} d_{2}[x, \theta] \cdot x'' \\ -d_{1}[x, \theta] \cdot x'' \end{bmatrix}$$

$$+ \lambda(x'' \cdot x') \begin{bmatrix} d_{1}[x, \theta] \cdot h_{x}' \\ d_{2}[x, \theta] \cdot h_{x}'' \end{bmatrix} + o(\lambda)$$

$$\omega[\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x}, \theta] = \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x})'' \cdot d_{1}[\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x}, \theta] \\ (\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x})'' \cdot d_{2}[\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x}, \theta] \end{bmatrix} \qquad POUET \qquad POUET$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x})'' \cdot (d_{1}[\mathbf{x}] + (-\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(s) \cdot \mathbf{h}_{x} + o(\lambda)) d_{2}[\mathbf{x}]) \\ (\mathbf{x} + \lambda \mathbf{h}_{x})'' \cdot (d_{2}[\mathbf{x}] - (-\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(s) \cdot \mathbf{h}_{x} + o(\lambda)) d_{1}[\mathbf{x}]) \end{bmatrix} \qquad POUET \qquad POUET$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} -(\lambda d_{1}[\mathbf{x}] \cdot \mathbf{h}_{x} + o(\lambda)) \cdot \mathbf{x}'') \\ -(\lambda d_{2}[\mathbf{x}] \cdot \mathbf{h}_{x} + o(\lambda)) \cdot \mathbf{x}'') \end{bmatrix} \qquad POUET \qquad PO$$

$$g + h = i \tag{4.48}$$

$$a = b + c - d$$

$$+ e - f \tag{4.49}$$

(4.50)

$$H_{c} = \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{l=0}^{n} (-1)^{l} (n-l)^{p-2} \sum_{l_{1}+\dots+l_{p}=l} \prod_{i=1}^{p} {n_{i} \choose l_{i}}$$

$$\cdot \left[ (n-l) - (n_{i}-l_{i}) \right]^{n_{i}-l_{i}} \cdot \left[ (n-l)^{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{p} (n_{i}-l_{i})^{2} \right].$$

$$(4.51)$$

$$\omega[\mathbf{x}, \theta] + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d_1}[\mathbf{x}]^T \\ \mathbf{d_2}[\mathbf{x}]^T \end{bmatrix} \cdot \mathbf{h_x}'' - \left(\lambda \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \mathbf{x}}(s) \cdot \mathbf{h_x}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d_2}[\mathbf{x}, \theta] \cdot \mathbf{x}'' \\ -\mathbf{d_1}[\mathbf{x}, \theta] \cdot \mathbf{x}'' \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.52)

$$-\lambda(\mathbf{x''}\cdot\mathbf{x'})\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{d_1}[\mathbf{x},\theta]\cdot\mathbf{h_x'} \\ \mathbf{d_2}[\mathbf{x},\theta]\cdot\mathbf{h_x''} \end{bmatrix}$$
(4.53)

$$+ o(\lambda) \tag{4.54}$$

#### 4.12 Discretization

#### 4.13 Connection

# Bibliography

[AAP10] Basile Audoly, M Amar, and Yves Pomeau. Elasticity and geometry. 2010.

[BAV<sup>+</sup>10] Miklós Bergou, Basile Audoly, Etienne Vouga, Max Wardetzky, and Eitan Grinspun. Discrete viscous threads. *ACM Transactions on . . .*, pages 1–10, 2010.

- [Ber09] Mitchell Berger. Topological Quantities: Calculating Winding, Writhing, Linking, and Higher order Invariants. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, 1973:75–97, 2009.
- [Bis75] Richard L. Bishop. There is more than one way to frame a curve. *Mathematical Association of America*, 1975.
- [BWR<sup>+</sup>08] Miklós Bergou, Max Wardetzky, Stephen Robinson, Basile Audoly, and Eitan Grinspun. Discrete elastic rods. *ACM SIGGRAPH*, pages 1–12, 2008.
  - [dV05] Renko de Vries. Evaluating changes of writhe in computer simulations of supercoiled DNA. The Journal of Chemical Physics, 122(6), 2005.
  - [Ful78] F Brock Fuller. Decomposition of the linking number of a closed ribbon: A problem from molecular biology. 75(8):3557–3561, 1978.
  - [Nab14] Sina Nabei. Mechanical form-finding of timber fabric structures. PhD thesis, EPFL, 2014.
  - [Vau00] Rue Vauquelin. Writhing Geometry at Finite Temperature: Random Walks and Geometric phases for Stiff Polymers. (1), 2000.

# **5** Elastic rod: a novel element from kirchhoff equations

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetuer adipiscing elit. Ut purus elit, vestibulum ut, placerat ac, adipiscing vitae, felis. Curabitur dictum gravida mauris. Nam arcu libero, nonummy eget, consectetuer id, vulputate a, magna. Donec vehicula augue eu neque. Pellentesque habitant morbi tristique senectus et netus et malesuada fames ac turpis egestas. Mauris ut leo. Cras viverra metus rhoncus sem. Nulla et lectus vestibulum urna fringilla ultrices. Phasellus eu tellus sit amet tortor gravida placerat. Integer sapien est, iaculis in, pretium quis, viverra ac, nunc. Praesent eget sem vel leo ultrices bibendum. Aenean faucibus. Morbi dolor nulla, malesuada eu, pulvinar at, mollis ac, nulla. Curabitur auctor semper nulla. Donec varius orci eget risus. Duis nibh mi, congue eu, accumsan eleifend, sagittis quis, diam. Duis eget orci sit amet orci dignissim rutrum.

#### 5.1 Introduction

Dans ce chapitre, après un bref rappel sur le cadre mathématique d'étude des courbes paramétrique de l'espace, on présente les notions de courbures et de torsion géométrique associées au repère de fraient. On montre ensuite le cas plus général d'un repère mobile quelconque attaché à une courbe gamma. On définit enfin la particularité d'un repère mobile adapté à un courbe, et on présente, en sus du repère de Frenet, une approche différente pour accrocher des repères le long d'une courbe (Bishop / RMF / Zéro-twisting frame)

# Connection Part II

# 6 Calculus of variations

#### 6.1 Introduction

In this appendix we drawback essential mathematical concepts for the calculus of variations. Recall how the notion of energy, gradients are extended to function spaces.

[AMR02]

#### 6.2 Spaces

#### 6.2.1 Normed space

A normed space  $V(\mathbb{K})$  is a vector space V over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with a norm  $\|.\|$ .

A norm is a map  $\|.\|: V \times V \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  which satisfies :

$$||x|| = 0_{\mathbb{K}} \Rightarrow x = 0_V \tag{6.1a}$$

$$\forall x \in V, \forall \lambda \in \mathbb{K}, \qquad \|\lambda x\| = |\lambda| \|x\| \qquad (6.1b)$$

$$\forall (x,y) \in V^2, \qquad ||x+y|| \le ||x|| + ||y|| \qquad (6.1c)$$

#### 6.2.2 Inner product space

A inner product space or pre-hilbert space  $E(\mathbb{K})$  is a vector space E over the scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$  with an inner product.

An inner product is a map  $\langle : \rangle : E \times E \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}$  which is bilinear, symmetric, positive-definite

:

$$\forall (x, y, z) \in E^{3}, \forall (\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{K}^{2}, \qquad \langle \lambda x + \mu y; z \rangle = \lambda \langle x; z \rangle + \mu \langle y; z \rangle \tag{6.2a}$$

$$\langle x; \lambda y + \mu z \rangle = \lambda \langle x; y \rangle + \mu \langle x; z \rangle$$

$$\forall (x,y) \in E^2, \qquad \langle x;y \rangle = \langle y;x \rangle \tag{6.2b}$$

$$\forall x \in E, \qquad \langle x; x \rangle \geqslant 0_{\mathbb{K}} \tag{6.2c}$$

$$\forall x \in E, \qquad \langle x; x \rangle = 0_{\mathbb{K}} \Rightarrow x = 0_E \qquad (6.2d)$$

Moreover, an inner product naturally induces a norm on E defined by :

$$\forall x \in E, \quad \|x\| = \sqrt{\langle x; x \rangle} \tag{6.3}$$

Thus, an inner product vector space is also naturally a normed vector space.

#### 6.2.3 Euclidean space

An Euclidean space  $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$  is a finite-dimensional real vector space with an inner product. Thus, distances and angles between vectors could be defined and measured regarding to the norm associated with the chosen inner product.

An Euclidean space is nothing but a finite-dimensional real pre-hilbert space.

#### 6.2.4 Banach space

A Banach space  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{K})$  is a complete normed vector space, which means that it is a normed vector space in which every Cauchy sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$  converges in  $\mathcal{B}$  for the given norm.

Thus, a Banach space is a vector space with a metric that allows the computation of vector length and distance between vectors and is complete in the sense that a Cauchy sequence of vectors always converges to a well defined limit in that space.

#### 6.2.5 Hilbert space

A Hilbert space is an inner product vector space  $\mathcal{H}(\mathbb{K})$  such that the natural norm induced by the inner product turns  $\mathcal{H}$  into a complete metric space (i.e. every Cauchy sequence of  $\mathcal{H}$  converges in  $\mathcal{H}$ ).

The Hilbert space concept is a generalization of the Euclidean space concept. In physics it's common to encounter Hilbert spaces as infinite-dimensional function spaces.

Hilbert spaces are Banach spaces, but the converse does not hold generally.

For example,  $\mathcal{L}^2([a,b])$  is an infinite-dimensional Hilbert space with the canonical inner product  $\langle f;g\rangle = \int_a^b fg$ .

Note that  $\mathcal{L}^2$  is the only Hilbert space among the  $\mathcal{L}^p$  spaces.

#### 6.3 Derivative

The well known notion of function derivative in  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{R}}$  can be extended to maps between Banach spaces. This is useful in physics when formulating problems as variational problems, usually in terms of energy minimization. Indeed, energy is generally defined over a functional vector space and not simply over the real line.

In this case, the research of minimal values of a potential energy rests on the calculus of variations of the energy function compared to variations to other functions defining the problem (geometry, materials, boundary conditions, ...).

Mathematical concepts extended well-known notions of derivative, jacobian and hessian in Euclidean spaces (typically  $\mathbb{R}^2$  or  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ) for Banach functional spaces.

#### 6.3.1 Fréchet derivative

#### Differentiability

Let  $\mathcal{B}_V$  and  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be two Banach spaces and  $U \subset \mathcal{B}_V$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_V$ . Let  $f: u \longmapsto f(u)$  be a function of  $U^{\mathcal{B}_W}$ . f is said to be *Fréchet differentiable* at  $u_0 \in U$  if there exists a continious linear operator  $\mathbf{D}f(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$  such that :

$$\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(u_0 + h) - f(u_0) - \mathbf{D}f(u_0) \cdot h}{\|h\|} = 0$$
(6.4a)

Or, equivalently:

$$f(u_0 + h) = f(u_0) + \mathbf{D}f(u_0) \cdot h + o(h)$$
 ,  $\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{o(h)}{\|h\|} = 0$  (6.4b)

In the literature, it is common to found the following notations:  $df = \mathbf{D}f(u_0) \cdot h = \mathbf{D}f(u_0(h)) = \mathbf{D}f(u_0(h))$  for the differential of f, which means nothing but  $\mathbf{D}f(u_0)$  is linear regarding h. The dot denotes the evaluation of  $\mathbf{D}f(u_0)$  at h. This notation can be ambiguous as far as the linearity of  $\mathbf{D}f(u_0)$  in h is denoted as a product which is not explicitly defined.

#### Derivative

If f is Fréchet differentiable at  $u_0 \in U$ , the continuous linear operator  $Df(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$  is called the *Fréchet derivative* of f at  $u_0$  and is also denoted:

$$f'(u_0) = Df(u_0) \tag{6.5}$$

#### Chapter 6. Calculus of variations

f is said to be  $\mathcal{C}^1$  in the sens of Fréchet if f is Fréchet differentiable for all  $u \in U$  and the function  $\mathbf{D}f: u \longmapsto f'(u)$  of  $U^{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)}$  is continuous.

#### Differential or total derivative

 $df = Df(u_0) \cdot h$  is sometimes called the *differential* or *total derivative* of f and represents the change in the function f for a perturbation h from  $u_0$ .

#### Higer derivatives

Because the differential of f is a linear map from  $\mathcal{B}_V$  to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$  it is possible to look for the differentiability of  $\mathbf{D}f$ . If it exists, it is denoted  $\mathbf{D}^2f$  and maps  $\mathcal{B}_V$  to  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W))$ .

#### 6.3.2 Gâteaux derivative

#### Directional derivative

Let  $\mathcal{B}_V$  and  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be two Banach spaces and  $U \subset \mathcal{B}_V$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_V$ . Let  $f: u \longmapsto f(u)$  be a function of  $U^{\mathcal{B}_W}$ . f is said to have a derivative in the direction  $h \in \mathcal{B}_V$  at  $u_0 \in U$  if:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda}f(u_0 + \lambda h)\Big|_{\lambda=0} = \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{f(u_0 + \lambda h) - f(u_0)}{\lambda}$$
(6.6)

exists. This element of  $\mathcal{B}_W$  is called the directional derivative of f in the direction h at  $u_0$ .

#### Differentiability

Let  $\mathcal{B}_V$  and  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be two Banach spaces and  $U \subset \mathcal{B}_V$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_V$ . Let  $f: u \longmapsto f(u)$  be a function of  $U^{\mathcal{B}_W}$ . f is said to be  $G\hat{a}teaux$  differentiable at  $u_0 \in U$  if there exists a continious linear operator  $Df(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$  such that :

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{U}, \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{f(u_0 + \lambda h) - f(u_0)}{\lambda} = \frac{d}{d\lambda} f(u_0 + \lambda h) \Big|_{\lambda = 0} = \mathbf{D} f(u_0) \cdot h \tag{6.7a}$$

Or, equivalently:

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{U}, \quad f(u + \lambda h) = f(u) + \lambda \mathbf{D} f(u_0) \cdot h + o(\lambda) \quad , \quad \lim_{\lambda \to 0} \frac{o(\lambda)}{\lambda} = 0$$
 (6.7b)

In other words, it means that all the directional derivatives of f exist at  $u_0$ .

#### Derivative

If f is Gâteaux differentiable at  $u_0 \in U$ , the continous linear operator  $\mathbf{D}f(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)$  is called the Gâteaux derivative of f at  $u_0$  and is also denoted:

$$f'(u_0) = Df(u_0) \tag{6.8}$$

f is said to be  $\mathcal{C}^1$  in the sens of Gâteaux if f is Gâteaux differentiable for all  $u \in U$  and the function  $\mathbf{D}f : u \longmapsto f'(u)$  of  $U^{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_V, \mathcal{B}_W)}$  is continuous.

The Gâteaux derivative is a weaker form of derivative than the Fréchet derivative. If f is Fréchet differentiable, then it is also Gâteaux differentiable and its Fréchet and Gâteaux derivatives agree, but the converse does not hold generally.

#### 6.3.3 Useful properties

Let  $\mathcal{B}_V$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_W$  and  $\mathcal{B}_Z$  be three Banach spaces. Let  $f, g : \mathcal{B}_V \mapsto \mathcal{B}_W$  and  $h : \mathcal{B}_W \mapsto \mathcal{B}_Z$  be three Gâteaux differentiable functions. Then, the following useful properties holds:

$$D(f+g)(u) = Df(u) + Dg(u)$$
(6.9)

$$D(f \circ h)(u) = Dh(f(u)) \circ Df(u) = Dh(f(u)) \cdot Df(u)$$
(6.10)

Recall that the composition of Dh(f(u)) with Df(u) means "Dh(f(u)) applied to Df(u)" and is also denoted by  $\cdot$  as explained previously.

#### 6.3.4 Partial derivative

Following [AMR02] the main results on partial derivatives of two-variables functions are presented here. They are generalizable to n-variables functions.

#### Definition

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{V_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{V_2}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be three Banach spaces and  $U \subset \mathcal{B}_{V_1} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{V_2}$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{V_1} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{V_2}$ . Let  $f: u \longmapsto f(u)$  be a function of  $U^{\mathcal{B}_W}$ . Let  $u_0 = (u_{01}, u_{02}) \in U$ . If the derivatives of the following functions exist:

$$f_1: \mathcal{B}_{V_1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_W \qquad f_2: \mathcal{B}_{V_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_W \qquad (6.11)$$

$$u_1 \longmapsto f(u_1, u_{02}) \qquad u_2 \longmapsto f(u_{01}, u_2)$$

they are called partial derivatives of f at  $u_0$  and are denoted  $\mathbf{D}_1 f(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_{V_1}, \mathcal{B}_W)$  and  $\mathbf{D}_2 f(u_0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_{V_2}, \mathcal{B}_W)$ .

#### Differentiability

Let  $\mathcal{B}_{V_1}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{V_2}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_W$  be three Banach spaces and  $U \subset \mathcal{B}_{V_1} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{V_2}$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{B}_{V_1} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{V_2}$ . Let  $f: u \longmapsto f(u)$  be a function of  $U^{\mathcal{B}_W}$ . If f is differentiable, then the partial derivatives exist and satisfy for all  $h = (h_1, h_2) \in \mathcal{B}_{V_1} \oplus \mathcal{B}_{V_2}$ :

$$\mathbf{D}_1 f(u) \cdot h_1 = \mathbf{D} f(u) \cdot (h_1, 0) \tag{6.12}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_2 f(u) \cdot h_2 = \mathbf{D} f(u) \cdot (0, h_2) \tag{6.13}$$

$$Df(u) \cdot (h_1, h_2) = D_1 f(u) \cdot h_1 + D_2 f(u) \cdot h_2$$
 (6.14)

#### 6.4 Gradient vector

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a Hilbert space with the inner product denoted  $\langle ; \rangle$ . Let  $U \subset \mathcal{H}$  an open subset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $F: u \longmapsto F(u)$  be a scalar function of  $U^{\mathbb{R}}$ . The gradient of F is the map  $\operatorname{grad} F: x \longmapsto (\operatorname{grad} F)(x)$  of  $U^{\mathcal{H}}$  such that :

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{H}, \quad \langle (grad F)(x); h \rangle = \mathbf{D}F(x) \cdot h \tag{6.15}$$

Note that the gradient vector depends on the chosen inner product. For  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{R}^n$  with the canonical inner product, one can recall the usual definition of the gradient vector and the corresponding linear approximation of F:

$$\mathbf{F}_{x+h} = \mathbf{F}_x + (\operatorname{grad} F)_x^T H + \mathbf{o}(H) \quad , \quad \operatorname{grad} F_x = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(6.16)

Recall that the canonical inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is such that  $\langle x;y\rangle = X^TY$  in a column vector representation. In this case it is common to denote  $\operatorname{grad} F = \nabla F$ .

For function spaces the usual definition of the gradient can be extended. For instance if F is a scalar function on  $\mathcal{L}^2$ , the gradient of F is the unique function (if it exists) from  $\mathcal{L}^2$  which satisfies:

$$\forall h \in \mathcal{L}^2, \quad \mathbf{D}F(x) \cdot h = \langle (\operatorname{grad} F)(x); h \rangle = \int (\operatorname{grad} F)h \tag{6.17}$$

In this case it is common to denote  $\operatorname{grad} F = \frac{\delta F}{\delta x}$ . The gradient is also known as the functional derivative. The existence and unicity of  $\operatorname{grad} F$  is ensured by the Riesz representation theorem.

#### 6.5 Jacobian matrix

Let f be a differentiable function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The differential or total derivative of such a function is a linear application from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^m$  which could be represented with the

following matrix called the jacobian matrix:

$$\mathbf{D}f(x) = \mathbf{J}_{x} = \frac{df}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{n}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f_{m}}{\partial x_{n}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{m,n}(\mathbb{R})$$
(6.18)

Thus, with the matrix notation, the Taylor expansion takes the following form:

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{x+h} = \boldsymbol{F}_x + \boldsymbol{J}_x H + \boldsymbol{o}(H) \tag{6.19}$$

In the cas m = 1, the jacobian matrix of the functional F is nothing but the gradient vector transpose itself:

$$DF(x) = J_x = \frac{dF}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = \nabla F^T$$
 (6.20)

#### 6.6 Hessian

Let F be a differentiable scalar function from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The second order differential of such a fonction is a linear application from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  which could be represented with the following matrix called the *hessian matrix*:

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{2}F(x) = \boldsymbol{H}_{x} = \frac{d^{2}F}{dx}(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}} & \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{1}\partial x_{n}} \\ \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}^{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{1}^{2}}{\partial x_{2}\partial x_{n}} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_{p}^{2}}{\partial x_{n}\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial F_{p}^{2}}{\partial x_{n}\partial x_{2}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial F_{p}^{2}}{\partial x_{n}^{2}} \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{M}_{n,n}(\mathbb{R})$$
(6.21)

Thus, with the matrix notation, the Taylor expansion takes the following form :

$$\boldsymbol{F}_{x+h} = \boldsymbol{F}_x + \boldsymbol{J}_x H + \frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{H}^T \boldsymbol{H}_x H + \boldsymbol{o}(H)$$
(6.22)

#### 6.7 Functional

A functional is a map from a vector space  $E(\mathbb{K})$  into its underlying scalar field  $\mathbb{K}$ . Here  $\mathcal{E}_p[x,\theta]$  is a functional depending over x and  $\theta$ .

## Bibliography

[AMR02] Ralph Abraham, Jerrold E. Marsde, and Tudor Ratiu. Manifolds, Tensor Analysis, and Applications (Ralph Abraham, Jerrold E. Marsden and Tudor Ratiu). 2002.