Parsimony

1. In the Parsimony model the best tree is the tree with minimum changes. At class we built a score function which is actually a loss function, defining matrix M(MSA matrix) with n rows(number of sequences) and L columns (L is the max of sequences lengths M in our case) .Denoting the A_i as the i'th column of M, hence $M = [A_1, ... A_L] \rightarrow Score(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{L} Score(A_i)$ (we explained in class how a this matrix can be build).

Now we can define the optimization problem as:

- Parsimony(M,T) = $\sum_{k} Parsimony(A_k, T)$
- $Parsimony(A_k,T)=\min A_k^{N+1}...A_k^{2N-1}$ (placement for the internal nodes) $(\Sigma_{(i,j)\in T}1\{A_i^j\neq A_i^i\})$

This Parsimony loss function uses the minimum necessary changes by choosing an assignment for the inner leaves that minimizes the total changes between adjacent nodes.

2. Parsimony Algorithm

- (a) Start from node r
- (b) Go over the tree post order

(c) If j is leaf
$$S_j[a] = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & A_j = a \\ \\ \infty & else \end{array} \right\}$$

- (d) Else we need to compute S_i , S_k the daughter nodes i,k of j:
 - i. $S_j[a] = \min_{b,c} [S_k[b] + S_l[c] + 1\{a \neq b\} + 1\{a \neq c\}]$
 - -Adds 1 if there were changes between sequences
 - -Also since k,l are independent for a given a we get that the above statement equals $\rightarrow \min_c [S[c] + 1\{a \neq c\}] + \min_b [S[b] + 1\{a \neq b\}]$
- (e) Finally for $r, j \in Neighbor(r)$
 - i. Minimal cost of tree = $\min_{a,b}[S_r[a] + S_i[b] + 1\{a \neq b\}]$

RunTime - time to fill each cell is $O(||\Sigma||)$, the amount of cells to fill is $||\Sigma|| \cdot O(n)$, number of sequences n, width of alignment is M (no gaps). We get a total of $O(M \cdot n \cdot ||\Sigma||^2)$.

Space Complexity - We get an $||\Sigma|| \cdot O(n)$ matrix $\to O(||\Sigma|| \cdot O(n))$

3.

- In order to modify the algorithm I will add edge weights for every transition according to S(a,b) so now instead of adding 1 to the penalty sum, I will add S(a,b)
- In order to retrieve the internal nodes with the minimal penalty I will save two pointers for each internal node, one for each daughter node, $l_k(a)/r_k(a)$ left/right pointer for the k'th node
- (a) Start from node r
- (b) Go over the tree post order

(c) If j is leaf
$$S_j[a] = \left\{ egin{array}{ll} 0 & A_j = a \\ \\ \infty & else \end{array} \right\}$$

- (d) Else we need to compute S_i , S_k the daughter nodes i,k of j:
 - i. $S_j[a] = \min_{b,c} [S_k[b] + S_l[c] + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \{\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\} + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) \{\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{c}\}] \rightarrow \min_c [S[c] + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{c}) \{\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{c}\}] + \min_b [S[b] + \mathbf{S}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) \{\mathbf{a} \neq \mathbf{b}\}]$
- (e) Set $l_k(a) = \operatorname{argmin}_b(S_i(b) + S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))$ and $r_k(a) = \operatorname{argmin}_b(S_i(b) + S(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}))$
- (f) Finally we got to the root, take $l_{2N-1}(\mathbf{a}),\, r_{2N-1}(a)$ and we can start to traceback the entire internal tree.
- 4. I will suggest a new function $f = -2^{L_{i,j}} \{a \neq b\}$, which obviously gives a larger value to smaller distances, and smaller to bigger distance.

The function will be added instead of indicator function given in the $1.1(1\{a\neq b\})$.

5. sources -

- $\bullet \ http://moodle2.cs.huji.ac.il/nu16/pluginfile.php/377947/mod_resource/content/1/Lecture\%2017\%20-\%20David\%20Ariel.pdf$ -David scribe
- http://moodle2.cs.huji.ac.il/nu15/pluginfile.php/224934/course/section/39705/msa.pdf Scribe from 2015
- page 174- 175 course book.
- $\bullet \ http://moodle2.cs.huji.ac.il/nu16/pluginfile.php/383497/mod_resource/content/1/Lecture\%2015\%20-\%20Michal\%20Bazir.pdf Michal Scribe$

Segmentation

1. First I will define the probability of each θ_i given it's size $(n_{i+1}-n_i)$, I will set $(\#G+C)_i = \text{sum of appearance of G and C in the i'th segment.}$ $P(\theta_i) = (\frac{\theta_i}{2})^{(\#G+C)_i} \cdot (\frac{1-\theta_i}{2})^{(n_{i+1}-n_i-(\#G+C)_i)}$. By this definition we get that the maximum likelihood is $L(\theta_i) = \prod_{i=0}^k P(\theta_i) = \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (\frac{\theta_i}{2})^{(\#G+C)_i}$.

 $(\frac{1-\theta_i}{2})^{(n_{i+1}-n_i-(\#G+C)_i)}$, from this we can deduce the sufficient statistics is the $(\#G+C)_i$, and the size of each segment $(n_{i+1}-n_i)$.

Now we need to derive and compare to zero in order to get the MLE.

First I will apply log in order to derive more easily, $LL(\theta_i) = \log(\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (\frac{\theta_i}{2})^{(\#G+C)_i})$.

$$(\frac{1-\theta_i}{2})^{(n_{i+1}-n_i-(\#G+C)_i)}) \to \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} (\#G+C)_i \cdot log(\frac{\theta_i}{2}) + (n_{i+1}-n_i-(\#G+C)_i) log(\frac{1-\theta_i}{2})$$

in order to find specific θ_i i will set all the rest to constants \rightarrow

$$(\#G+C)_{i} \cdot \frac{1}{\theta_{i}} + (n_{i+1} - n_{i} - (\#G+C)_{i})(-\frac{1}{1-\theta_{i}}) = 0 \to (\#G+C)_{i} \cdot (1-\theta_{i})$$

$$- ((n_{i+1} - n_{i}) - (\#G+C)_{i})(\theta_{i}) = 0 \to (\#G+C)_{i} - \theta_{i}(n_{i+1} - n_{i}) = 0$$

$$\to \theta_{i} = \frac{(\#G+C)_{i}}{n_{i+1}-n_{i}} = \to \theta_{i} = \frac{(\#G+C)_{i}}{n_{i+1}-n_{i}}.$$

2. Definition of the problem

Given N data points D = $\{x_1,...x_N\}$, I will define $s_j = (b_j,e_j)$ - segment $(1 \le j \le k)$. In order to fit the sequence optimally into k segments I will divide it according to $\overline{s_j}$ which hold the percentage of GC in the segment. The quality of the fit is evaluated by minimizing the total fit error:

$$\bullet \ \, \overline{s}_j \, = \, \frac{(\#G + C)_i}{e_j - b_j} \, = \, \sum_{i = b_j}^{e_j} \, \frac{1_{(x_i = G/C)}}{e_j - b_j}$$

• Total Error -
$$\mathbf{E}_s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i - \overline{x}_i)^2$$
 s.t $\overline{x}_i = \overline{s}_j$ for $(\mathbf{b}_j \leq j \leq e_j)$.

How to fill the table - lets define the structure, we will take k' s.t $(1 \le k' \le k)$ the notation $E_s[i,k']$ represent the segmentation error over the data points $\{x_1,\ldots,x_i\}$ using k'-1 segments, and let E[i,j] be the error in representing the points $\{x_i,\ldots,x_j\}$ using just the mean of the data(one segment).

In order to solve the problem we will work according to the next pseudo code:

- Initiation initialize an empty table $T(all\ zeros)$ size $n \times k$
- k' = 1 fill in T[:,1] = E_s s.t $|s_i| \in \{1, 2, ...n\}$ (fill each cell j with as the segment $E_s(1:j)$
- update rule k' >1 T[i,k']= $\min_{1\leq j\leq i}(\mathrm{E}_s[\mathrm{j}-1,\mathrm{k'}-1]))+\mathrm{E}[\mathrm{i},\mathrm{end}])$
 - meaning the minimal possible way to select k'-1 segments up the the i'th letter, plus adding a tail of error for the rest of the sequence (total of k' segments)
 - The best fit segmentation score will be at the most right bottom of the table.

Prove of correctness

- By induction:
- Base: For k'=1 trivial since we need to have only one segment (obviously the best fit) the last cell will have the total segment E_s .
- Inductive step: Now we can assume that the statement is correct for $k' \le k\text{-}1$ segments and prove for k' = k

If we look at separation into k sequences (last col) T[k,:] , lets assume we don't get to optimal solution for a specific T[k,j] $(1 \le j \le n)$. By our rule of update we get that the sum of our variables is not minimal-

- $\min_{1 \le j \le i} (E_s[j-1,k'-1]))+E[i,end])$ $(1 \le j \le n)$ defined as the minimal we get a contradiction since if there is a better fit which gives a smaller err our update rule will choose that segmentation.
- (I know i'ts not the best solution but I run out of time)
- 3. RunTime as we can see we have:
 - Table size $n \cdot k$ we fill
 - Each cell takes $n \cdot n$, for every k segments we have to take the min(n options) on and the sum for each option(O(n))
 - Therefore the total runtime is $O(n^3 \cdot k)$
- 4. The distribution $\underline{N}(\phi_i, s)$ has a probability function $\rightarrow P(\mathbf{x}_i : \phi_i, s) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(\mathbf{x}_i \phi_i)^2}{2s^2}}$

Now we can define the likelihood function $\rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^n P(\phi_i) = \prod_{i=1}^k \prod_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{s\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(x_j-\phi_i)^2}{2s^2}}$

Next for getting the MLE, I will apply log on $L(\Phi)$, derive LL according to ϕ_i and compare it to zero $\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^n (log(\frac{1}{s\sqrt{2\pi}}) + \frac{-(x_i - \phi_i)^2}{2s^2}) \overrightarrow{derive}$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{2(x_j - \phi_i)}{2s^2} = 0$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} 4x_i s^2 - 4\phi_i s^2 \rightarrow \sum_{j=1}^{n} x_i s^2 = n\phi_i s^2 \rightarrow \phi_i = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_i}{n}$$

Sufficient statistic - as we can see in order to get the MLE value we need n, $\sum\limits_{j=1}^n x_i$

- 5. I will take the algorithm I suggested on 2.2 and change the err function, $\mathbf{E}_s.$
 - Total Error $\mathbf{E}_s = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (x_i \overline{x}_i)^2$ s.t $x_i \in \widetilde{D}$ and $\overline{x}_i = \overline{s}_j$ for $(\mathbf{b}_j \leq j \leq e_j)$.
 - $\overline{s}_j = \frac{(\#G+C)_i}{e_j b_j} = \sum\limits_{i=b_j}^{e_j} \frac{1_{(x_i=G/C)}}{e_j b_j}$ (just a reminder)
 - The time complexity is as I calculated before at 2.2 $O(n^3 \cdot k)$

6. sources

- https://dornsife.usc.edu/assets/sites/516/docs/papers/msw papers/msw-019.pdf
- http://homepages.spa.umn.edu/~willmert/science/ksegments/#mjx-eqneqnrecurse
- http://www.siam.org/meetings/sdm06/proceedings/029terzie.pdf

Transition Rate matrices

- 1. In order to solve this question I will use the Up-Down algorithm with a few updates in order to take under consideration the fact that we have L_i a distribution over different R matrices which define the rate of change for the nucleotide in the j position for all sequences in the MSE.
 - (a) $Pr(a \xrightarrow{\iota_{i,j}} x_i)$ when j is a leaf stays the same since we already know the leaf base.
 - (b) $\Sigma_{b \in \Sigma} Pr(a \stackrel{t_{i,j}}{\rightarrow} b) = [e^{\Delta R_{L_j}}]_{a,b} \cdot Pr(R = \mathbf{R}_{L_j} | L_{ij}) P(L_{i,j})$ Here there is a change since we have different $R\{R_1..R_k\}$ matrices, and a distribution $L_{i,j}$ for choosing one.

Up - Down algorithm

- (a) Input tree T, root r
- (b) Initialize: Post order = DFS post, Pre order = DFS pre(r)
- (c) **Up**(inward):
- (d) for i in Post order do:
 - i. for j in N(i) such that j precedes in post order:do
 - A. for u in Σ do :

A. For u in
$$\Sigma$$
 do:
$$U_{i,j}[a] = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} Pr(a \overset{t_{i,j}}{\rightarrow} \mathbf{x}_j) & j & is & a & leaf \\ \\ U_{i,j}[a] = \left\{ \begin{array}{cccc} \sum_{b \in \Sigma} Pr(a \overset{t_{i,j}}{\rightarrow} b) \prod_{k \in N(j), k \neq i} U_{jk}[b] & j & is not & a & leaf \end{array} \right\}$$

- (e) Down(outward):
- (f) for i in Pre order do:
 - i. for j in N(i) such that i precedes j in pre order:do

A. for a in
$$\Sigma$$
 do

$$U_{j,i}[a] = \sum_{b \in \Sigma} Pr(b \stackrel{t_{i,j}}{\rightarrow} a) \prod_{k' \in N(i), k' \neq j} U_{ik'}[b]$$

Now in order to get the assignment of the inner nodes i will use posterior calculation for each internal node

•
$$\forall i \in [n+1,...m] \Pr(x_L|x_i=a)$$

Runtime

- first we set an ordered array (pre/post) O(n)
- Iterate on each node once O(n) and for every node we perform $O(|\Sigma|^2)$ calculations

As a result the total runtime is $O(n) + O(n|\Sigma|^2) = O(n)$

Maximum Likelihood

- P(k) = $\prod_{j \in \text{for each position in seq}} pr(R = R_k|L_j) \cdot pr(L_j) \text{ , for every k}$ $\in [1...k]$
- $\bullet\,$ Now in order to get the MLE for each matrix we can apply log, derive and compare to zero

$$-\prod_{j \in \text{for each position in seq}} pr(R = R_k | L_j) \cdot pr(L_j) = \log(\prod_{j=1}^n pr(R = R_k | L_j) \cdot pr(L_j)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log(pr(R = R_k | L_j)) + \log(pr(L_j)) \text{ (not sure what to do from here)}$$

- 2. Not sure how to do this.
- 3. This question is pretty hard, plus I don't have the previews MLE's results. I will define a matrix L size $n \times k$ that will contain for each L_j $(1 \le j \le n)$ k probabilities for choosing matrix R_k . At the beginning I will initialize L with uniform distribution in each cell $(\frac{1}{k})$ With the defined L run the up-down algorithm on our data.

 ${f E}$ step - calculate the sufficient statistics according to the data we get from the up-down algorithm.

M step - update the L matrix according to our sufficient statistics Continue with this process until we get a convergence in the tree LL(a LL which explains the tree nodes assignment), which means we optimized L values up to the point where it explains our tree the best.

4. No time it was hard enough!:)

5. sources

- http://www.tau.ac.il/~talp/publications/recombSemphy.pdf
- 'Scribe 10 from previews year.
- Scribe 17 from previews year.
- $\bullet \ http://moodle2.cs.huji.ac.il/nu16/pluginfile.php/375468/mod_resource/content/1/Lecture\%2016\%20-\%20Nitzan\%20Bodenheimer.pdf (niztan scribe)$

הצהרת אמון על עמידה בתנאי מבחן הבית

אני, החתום מטה, מצהיר ומתחייב שפתרתי את מבחן הבית ב"אלגוריתמים בביולוגיה חישובית" בכוחות עצמי, ללא כל עזרה או התייעצות עם אדם אחר, ובכפוף לכל הכללים המפורטים בטופס המבחן.

חתימה: רביל אוריך: <u>אריך: אוריך</u> תאריך: