Proof Again we apply Markov's inequality (C.30), $\Pr\{X \ge t\} \le \mathbb{E}[X]/t$, this time to inequality (11.7), with $X = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} m_j$ and t = 4n:

$$\Pr\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} m_j \ge 4n\right\} \le \frac{\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} m_j\right]}{4n}$$

$$< \frac{2n}{4n}$$

$$= 1/2.$$

From Corollary 11.12, we see that if we test a few randomly chosen hash functions from the universal family, we will quickly find one that uses a reasonable amount of storage.

Exercises

11.5-1 *

Suppose that we insert n keys into a hash table of size m using open addressing and uniform hashing. Let p(n,m) be the probability that no collisions occur. Show that $p(n,m) \le e^{-n(n-1)/2m}$. (*Hint:* See equation (3.12).) Argue that when n exceeds \sqrt{m} , the probability of avoiding collisions goes rapidly to zero.

Problems

11-1 Longest-probe bound for hashing

Suppose that we use an open-addressed hash table of size m to store $n \le m/2$ items.

- **a.** Assuming uniform hashing, show that for i = 1, 2, ..., n, the probability is at most 2^{-k} that the *i*th insertion requires strictly more than *k* probes.
- **b.** Show that for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$, the probability is $O(1/n^2)$ that the *i*th insertion requires more than $2 \lg n$ probes.

Let the random variable X_i denote the number of probes required by the ith insertion. You have shown in part (b) that $\Pr\{X_i > 2 \lg n\} = O(1/n^2)$. Let the random variable $X = \max_{1 \le i \le n} X_i$ denote the maximum number of probes required by any of the n insertions.

- c. Show that $Pr\{X > 2\lg n\} = O(1/n)$.
- **d.** Show that the expected length E[X] of the longest probe sequence is $O(\lg n)$.

11-4 Hashing and authentication

Let \mathcal{H} be a class of hash functions in which each hash function $h \in \mathcal{H}$ maps the universe U of keys to $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$. We say that \mathcal{H} is k-universal if, for every fixed sequence of k distinct keys $\langle x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(k)} \rangle$ and for any k chosen at random from \mathcal{H} , the sequence $\langle h(x^{(1)}), h(x^{(2)}), \ldots, h(x^{(k)}) \rangle$ is equally likely to be any of the m^k sequences of length k with elements drawn from $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$.

- a. Show that if the family \mathcal{H} of hash functions is 2-universal, then it is universal.
- **b.** Suppose that the universe U is the set of n-tuples of values drawn from $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$, where p is prime. Consider an element $x = \langle x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \rangle \in U$. For any n-tuple $a = \langle a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \in U$, define the hash function h_a by

$$h_a(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x_j\right) \bmod p.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \{h_a\}$. Show that \mathcal{H} is universal, but not 2-universal. (*Hint:* Find a key for which all hash functions in \mathcal{H} produce the same value.)

c. Suppose that we modify \mathcal{H} slightly from part (b): for any $a \in U$ and for any $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, define

$$h'_{ab}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j x_j + b\right) \bmod p$$

and $\mathcal{H}' = \{h'_{ab}\}$. Argue that \mathcal{H}' is 2-universal. (*Hint:* Consider fixed *n*-tuples $x \in U$ and $y \in U$, with $x_i \neq y_i$ for some *i*. What happens to $h'_{ab}(x)$ and $h'_{ab}(y)$ as a_i and b range over \mathbb{Z}_p ?)

d. Suppose that Alice and Bob secretly agree on a hash function h from a 2-universal family $\mathcal H$ of hash functions. Each $h \in \mathcal H$ maps from a universe of keys U to $\mathbb Z_p$, where p is prime. Later, Alice sends a message m to Bob over the Internet, where $m \in U$. She authenticates this message to Bob by also sending an authentication tag t = h(m), and Bob checks that the pair (m,t) he receives indeed satisfies t = h(m). Suppose that an adversary intercepts (m,t) en route and tries to fool Bob by replacing the pair (m,t) with a different pair (m',t'). Argue that the probability that the adversary succeeds in fooling Bob into accepting (m',t') is at most 1/p, no matter how much computing power the adversary has, and even if the adversary knows the family $\mathcal H$ of hash functions used.