## ICS621 Homework 5: Amortized weight-balanced trees

**Problem 17-3 from CLRS.** Consider an ordinary binary search tree augmented by adding to each node x the attribute x.size giving the number of keys stored in the subtree rooted at x. Let  $\alpha$  be a constant in the range  $1/2 \le \alpha < 1$ . We say that a given node x is a  $\alpha$ -balanced if  $x.left.size \le \alpha \cdot x.size$  and  $x.right.size \le \alpha \cdot x.size$ . The tree as a whole is  $\alpha$ -balanced if every node in the tree is  $\alpha$ -balanced. The following amortized approach to maintaining weight-balanced trees was suggested by G. Varghese.

- a) A 1/2-balanced tree is, in a sense, as balanced as it can be. Given a node x in an arbitrary binary search tree. show how to rebuild the subtree rooted at x so that it becomes 1/2-balanced. Your algorithm should run in time  $\Theta(x.size)$ , and it can use O(x.size) auxiliary storage.
- b) Show that performing a search in an n-node  $\alpha$ -balanced binary search tree takes  $O(\lg n)$  worst-case time.

For the remainder of this problem, assume that the constant  $\alpha$  is strictly greater than 1/2. Suppose that we implement INSERT and DELETE as usual for an n-node binary search tree, except that after every such operation, if any node in the tree is no longer  $\alpha$ -balanced, then we "rebuild" the subtree rooted at the highest such node in the tree so that it becomes 1/2-balanced. We shall analyze this rebuilding scheme using the potential method. For a node in a binary search tree T, we define

$$\Delta(x) = |x.lefr.size - x.right.size|,$$

and we define the potential of T as

$$\Phi(T) = c \sum_{x \in T: \Delta(x) \ge 2} \Delta(x),$$

where c is a sufficiently large constant that depends on  $\alpha$ .

- c) Argue that any binary search tree has nonnegative potential and that a 1/2-balanced tree has potential 0.
- d) Suppose that m units of potential can pay for rebuilding an m-node subtree. How large must c be in terms of  $\alpha$  in order for it to take O(1) amortized time to rebuild a subtree that is not  $\alpha$ -balanced?
- e) Show that inserting a node into or deleting a node from an n-node  $\alpha$ -balanced tree costs  $O(\lg n)$  amortized time.