# ASSIGNMENT 1: Multiple Linear Regression

# First order Model with Interaction Term (Quantitative and Qualitative Variable)

**Problem 1.** The amount of water used by the production facilities of a plant varies. Observations on water usage and other, possibility related, variables were collected for 250 months. The data are given in water.csv file. The explanatory variables are

TEMP= average monthly temperature (degree celsius)

PROD=amount of production (in hundreds of cubic)

->

DAYS=number of operationing day in the month (days)

HOUR=number of hours shut down for maintenance (hours)

The response variable is USAGE=monthly water usage (gallons/minute)

a. Fit the model containing all four independent variables. What is the estimated multiple regression equation?

```
#Question a,b
fullmodel=lm(USAGE~.,data=waterdata)
summary(fullmodel)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = USAGE ~ ., data = waterdata)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
  -6.4030 -1.1433 0.0473
                           1.1677
##
## Coefficients:
##
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                5.891627
                           1.028794
                                       5.727
                                              3.0e-08 ***
                0.040207
                           0.001629
                                      24.681
                                              < 2e-16 ***
## PROD
## TEMP
                0.168673
                           0.008209
                                      20.546
                                              < 2e-16 ***
## HOUR
               -0.070990
                           0.016992
                                      -4.178
                                              4.1e-05 ***
## DAYS
               -0.021623
                           0.032183
                                     -0.672
                                                0.502
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.768 on 244 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8885, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8867
## F-statistic:
                  486 on 4 and 244 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
<!-We fit the model using the command lm in R. See R code above. The estimated multiple regression equation
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;!-We fit the model using the command Im in R. See R code above. The estimated multiple regression equation is  $us\^{a}ge = 5.891627 + 0.040207PROD + 0.168673TEMP - 0.070990HOUR - 0.021623DAYS$ .

b. Test the hypothesis for the full model i.e the test of overall significance. Use significance level 0.05.

<sup>&</sup>lt;!--  $H_0$ :  $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \beta_3 = \beta_4 = 0$  versus  $H_a$ : at least one  $\beta_i$  is not zero. Fcal= 486 with p-value < 2.2e-16 <0.05 so we reject  $H_o$  at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Therefore, at least one of the predictors must be related to the response water usage.

->

c. Would you suggest the model in part b for predictive purposes? Which model or set of models would you suggest for predictive purposes? Hint: Use Individual Coefficients Test (t-test) to find the best model.)

```
#Question c
reducedmodel=lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR,data=waterdata)
summary(reducedmodel)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR, data = waterdata)
## Residuals:
##
      Min
               1Q Median
                               3Q
                                      Max
##
  -6.5066 -1.1356 0.0469
                          1.1519
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                          0.549483
                                     9.659 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept) 5.307511
## PROD
               0.040115
                          0.001621
                                    24.741 < 2e-16 ***
## TEMP
               0.169188
                          0.008164
                                    20.723 < 2e-16 ***
              -0.070769
## HOUR
                          0.016970 -4.170 4.23e-05 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1.766 on 245 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8883, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8869
## F-statistic: 649.3 on 3 and 245 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

<!- The output from summary(fullmodel) (see R code above) provides a table with individual t-tests. It shows that the p-value for DAYS is 0.502>0.05, so we should clearly fail to reject the null hypothesis. Therefore, the predictor DAYS should be dropped out of the model. After dropping DAYS, the new estimated first order  $model\ becomes:\ us{\^a}ge = 5.307511 + 0.040115PROD + 0.169188TEMP - 0.070769HOUR.$ 

->

Res.Df

d. Use Partial F test to confirm that the independent variable (removed from part c) should be out of the model at significance level 0.05.

```
#Question a,b
fullmodel=lm(USAGE~.,data=waterdata)
reducedmodel=lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR,data=waterdata)
anova(reducedmodel,fullmodel)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR
```

F Pr(>F)

```
RSS Df Sum of Sq
## 1
        245 764.47
## 2
        244 763.06 1
                        1.4117 0.4514 0.5023
```

## Model 2: USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR + DAYS

<!-

```
H_0: \beta_4 = 0 in the model Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PROD + \beta_2 TEMP + \beta_3 HOUR + \beta_4 DAYS + \epsilon

H_a: \beta_4 \neq 0 in the model Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 PROD + \beta_2 TEMP + \beta_3 HOUR + \beta_4 DAYS + \epsilon
```

The Partial F test using the command R command anova (reduced model, full model) gives Fcal = 0.4514 with p-value = 0.5023> 0.05, confirming that the predictor DAYS clearly should be dropped out of the model.

->

e. Obtain a 95% confidence interval of regression coefficient for TEMP from the model in part c. Give an interpretation.

```
reducedmodel=lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR,data=waterdata)
#Question e
confint(reducedmodel)
## 2.5 % 97.5 %
```

## (Intercept) 4.22519744 6.38982411 ## PROD 0.03692098 0.04330837 ## TEMP 0.15310634 0.18526907 ## HOUR -0.10419445 -0.03734272

<!- We computed 95% confidence intervals using the command confint(reducedmodel), and we obtained this interval (0.15310634, 0.18526907) from the R output, which means that the monthly water usage increases 0.153106434 (gallons/minute) to 0.18526907 (gallons/minute) for every 1 degree Celsius increase in average temperature.

->

- f. Use the method of Model Fit to calculate  $R_{adj}^2$  and RMSE to compare the full model and the model in part c. Which model or set of models would you suggest for predictive purpose? For the final model, give an interpretation of  $R_{adj}^2$  and RMSE.
- <!-- The model usâge = 5.307511 + 0.040115PROD + 0.169188TEMP 0.070769HOUR has  $R^2_{adj} = 0.8869$  and RMSE = 1.766. Its  $R^2_{adj}$  is very high and RMSE is lower than the full model, so I would suggest this model for predicting Y . Interpretation: As  $R^2_{adj} = 0.8869$ , hence 88.69% of the variation of the water usage is explained by the model. An RMSE = 1.766 means that the standard deviation of the unexplained variance by the model is 1.766.

->

g. (From Exercise 2 ) Build an interaction model to fit the multiple regression model from the model in part f. From the output, which model would you recommend for predictive purposes?

```
#Question g
interacmodel1<-lm(USAGE~(PROD+TEMP+HOUR)^2,data=waterdata)
summary(interacmodel1)</pre>
```

```
## PROD
              -3.642e-03 2.565e-03 -1.420
                                              0.157
## TEMP
              -2.389e-02 2.129e-02 -1.122
                                              0.263
## HOUR
              -2.340e-01 2.512e-02 -9.316
                                             <2e-16 ***
## PROD:TEMP
               1.189e-03 6.932e-05 17.154
                                             <2e-16 ***
## PROD:HOUR
               7.767e-04 7.820e-05
                                      9.933
                                              <2e-16 ***
## TEMP:HOUR
               7.600e-04 7.683e-04
                                    0.989
                                              0.324
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.9867 on 242 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9656, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9647
## F-statistic: 1131 on 6 and 242 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
interacmodel2<-lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR+PROD*TEMP+PROD*HOUR,data=waterdata)
summary(interacmodel2)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR + PROD * TEMP + PROD *
##
      HOUR, data = waterdata)
##
## Residuals:
      Min
               1Q Median
                               30
## -6.1423 -0.3148 -0.0358 0.3029 7.2555
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.243e+01 4.839e-01 25.679
              -2.529e-03 2.305e-03 -1.097
## PROD
                                              0.274
## TEMP
              -4.737e-03 8.859e-03 -0.535
                                              0.593
                                            <2e-16 ***
## HOUR
              -2.151e-01 1.624e-02 -13.242
## PROD:TEMP
              1.142e-03 5.009e-05 22.795
                                             <2e-16 ***
## PROD:HOUR
             7.873e-04 7.745e-05 10.165
                                             <2e-16 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 0.9866 on 243 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9654, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9647
## F-statistic: 1357 on 5 and 243 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
interacmodel3<-lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR+TEMP*HOUR+PROD*HOUR,data=waterdata)
summary(interacmodel3)
##
## Call:
## 1m(formula = USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR + TEMP * HOUR + PROD *
##
      HOUR, data = waterdata)
##
## Residuals:
               1Q Median
                               3Q
## -5.9348 -0.6362 0.1191 0.7713 8.0030
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 3.5980162 0.6796266 5.294 2.67e-07 ***
```

```
## PROD
                           0.0025348
                0.0292074
                                      11.523
                                               < 2e-16 ***
## TEMP
                0.2997804
                           0.0146449
                                       20.470
                                               < 2e-16 ***
## HOUR
                0.0388793
                           0.0288840
                                        1.346
                                                  0.18
## TEMP: HOUR
               -0.0083505
                                               < 2e-16 ***
                           0.0008247 -10.126
## PROD:HOUR
                0.0006707
                           0.0001158
                                        5.792 2.14e-08 ***
##
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 1.466 on 243 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9237, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9221
## F-statistic: 588.4 on 5 and 243 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
interacmode14<-lm(USAGE~PROD+TEMP+HOUR+PROD*TEMP+TEMP+HOUR,data=waterdata)
summary(interacmodel4)
##
## Call:
  lm(formula = USAGE ~ PROD + TEMP + HOUR + PROD * TEMP + TEMP *
       HOUR, data = waterdata)
##
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q
                   Median
                                 3Q
                                        Max
  -6.1134 -0.4948 0.0303 0.4258
                                    6.5290
##
##
  Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
  (Intercept) 1.043e+01 7.872e-01
                                      13.249
                                               < 2e-16 ***
                1.214e-02
                           2.384e-03
                                       5.090 7.18e-07 ***
## TEMP
               -3.042e-02
                           2.519e-02
                                      -1.207
                                                 0.228
               -1.305e-01
                           2.706e-02
                                       -4.822 2.51e-06 ***
## HOUR.
                                               < 2e-16 ***
## PROD: TEMP
                1.135e-03
                           8.182e-05
                                       13.868
## TEMP:HOUR
                1.808e-03 9.010e-04
                                        2.006
                                                 0.046 *
##
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
## Residual standard error: 1.168 on 243 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9515, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9505
## F-statistic: 954.2 on 5 and 243 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

<!-- We fitted the following models in order to include potential interactions: i) we first include all possible interactions with variables involved in the model obtained in part f. Then ii) we remove individually interactions that are not significant. The R code to implement this procedure is shown above. After fitting the model with all interactions (interacmodel1), interaction TEMP x HOUR was not significant and we removed it from model interacmodel2. Model interacmodel2 has  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.9647$  (higher than  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.8869$  of reducedmodel) and RMSE = 0.9866 lower than the RMSE of reducedmodel. Hence we will recommend model interacmodel2 with interactions PROD x TEMP and PROD x HOUR. Moreover, we also fitted 2 models (interacmodel3 and interacmodel4) without interactions PROD x TEMP and PROD x HOUR respectively. We obtained respectively  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.9221$  and  $R_{adj}^2 = 0.9505$  which are lower than our final model.

->

**Problem 2.** A collector of antique grandfather clocks sold at auction believes that the price received for the clocks depends on both the age of the clocks and the number of bidders at the auction. Thus, (s)he hypothesizes the first-order model

```
y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_1 + \beta_2 X_2 + \epsilon
where
y = \text{Auction price (dollars)}
X_1 = \text{Age of clock (years)}
X_2 = \text{Number of bidders}
```

A sample of 32 auction prices of grandfather clocks, along with their age and the number of bidders, is given in data file GFCLOCKS.CSV

a. Use the method of least squares to estimate the unknown parameters  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$  of the model.

```
#Question a, c, f
fullmodel<-lm(PRICE~AGE+NUMBIDS,data=clock)
summary(fullmodel)
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = PRICE ~ AGE + NUMBIDS, data = clock)
## Residuals:
##
       Min
                1Q Median
                                 30
                                        Max
  -206.49 -117.34
                     16.66
                            102.55
                                     213.50
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) -1338.9513
                            173.8095 -7.704 1.71e-08 ***
                  12.7406
                               0.9047 14.082 1.69e-14 ***
## NUMBIDS
                  85.9530
                               8.7285
                                        9.847 9.34e-11 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 133.5 on 29 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.8923, Adjusted R-squared: 0.8849
## F-statistic: 120.2 on 2 and 29 DF, p-value: 9.216e-15
<!- From the R command above summary(fullmodel), we have \hat{\beta}_0 = -1338.9513 , \hat{\beta}_1 = 12.7406 and
\hat{\beta}_2 = 85.9530.
  b. Find the value of SSE that is minimized by the least squares method.
fullmodel<-lm(PRICE~AGE+NUMBIDS,data=clock)
#Question b,e
anova(lm(PRICE~1,data=clock),fullmodel)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: PRICE ~ 1
## Model 2: PRICE ~ AGE + NUMBIDS
     Res.Df
                RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                            Pr(>F)
## 1
         31 4799790
         29 516727 2
                         4283063 120.19 9.216e-15 ***
## 2
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

<!- From the command line anova(fullmodel,lm(PRICE~1,data=clock)), SSE = 516727. ->

- c. Estimate s, the standard deviation of the model, and interpret the result.
- <!- From the summary function summary(fullmodel), the output reports RMSE = 133.5. This value shows the standard deviation of the unexplained variance in Auction price. It shows how far off using the model is from actual value Y.

->

- d. Find and interpret the adjusted coefficient of determination,  $R_{Adj}^2$ .
- <!- The adjusted  $R^2$  is  $R^2_{adj} = 0.8849$  i.e. the variation in auction price that can be explained by using this model is 88.49 %. The rest (11.51%) can be explained by other predictors.

->

- e. Construct the Anova table for the model and test the global F-test of the model at the  $\alpha=0.05$  level of significance.
- <!- From the output obtained from the command line anova(lm(PRICE~1,data=clock),fullmodel), we can obtain this ANOVA table.

### The ANOVA Table

| Source of Variation             | Df            | Sum of squares               | Mean squares        | F-statistics |
|---------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Regression<br>Residual<br>Total | 2<br>29<br>31 | 4283063<br>516727<br>4799790 | 2141532<br>17818.17 | 120.19       |

We test  $H_o: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0$  versus  $H_a:$  at least one  $\beta_i \neq 0$ . Fcal= 120.2 on 2 and 29 DF, with p-value: 9.216e-15 <0.05 so we reject Ho at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Therefore, at least one of the predictors must be related to the Auction price.

->

- f. Test the hypothesis that the mean auction price of a clock increases as the number of bidders increases when age is held constant (i.e., when  $\beta_2 \neq 0$ ). (Use  $\alpha = 0.05$ )
- <!- The test is  $H_0: \beta_2 = 0$  versus  $H_a: \beta_2 \neq 0$ .  $t_{cal} = 49.847$  with p-value=9.34e-11<0.05, confirming that the predictor NUMBIDS should clearly be added into the model at  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

->

g. Find a 95% confidence interval for  $\beta_1$  and interpret the result.

```
fullmodel<-lm(PRICE~AGE+NUMBIDS,data=clock)
#Question g
confint(fullmodel)</pre>
```

```
## 2.5 % 97.5 %

## (Intercept) -1694.43162 -983.47106

## AGE 10.89017 14.59098

## NUMBIDS 68.10115 103.80482
```

<!- From the output obtained from the command confint(fullmodel), a 95% confidence Interval for AGE is (10.89017, 14.59098) which means that the auction price increases 10.89017 dollars to 14.59098 dollars for every 1 year.

->

h. Test the interaction term between the 2 variables at  $\alpha = .05$ . What model would you suggest to use for predicting y? Explain.

```
#Question h
interacmodel<-lm(PRICE~AGE+NUMBIDS+AGE*NUMBIDS,data=clock)
summary(interacmodel)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = PRICE ~ AGE + NUMBIDS + AGE * NUMBIDS, data = clock)
##
## Residuals:
##
        Min
                  1Q
                       Median
                                     ЗQ
                                             Max
  -154.995
            -70.431
                         2.069
                                 47.880
                                         202.259
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 320.4580
                           295.1413
                                      1.086
                                            0.28684
                 0.8781
                             2.0322
                                      0.432
                                             0.66896
## NUMBIDS
                            29.8916
                                     -3.120 0.00416 **
               -93.2648
## AGE: NUMBIDS
                 1.2978
                             0.2123
                                      6.112 1.35e-06 ***
## ---
                   0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Signif. codes:
##
## Residual standard error: 88.91 on 28 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9539, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9489
## F-statistic:
                  193 on 3 and 28 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

<!-- From the output (from the command line summary(interacmodel)), comparing the first order model with the interaction models, it can be clearly seen that the interaction model  $PR\hat{I}CE = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 AGE + \hat{\beta}_2 NUMBIDS + \hat{\beta}_3 AGE \times NUMBIDS$  performs the best result ( $R^2_{Adj} = 0.9489$ , RMSE = 88.91). Therefore, for predicting the auction price, I would suggest to use this interaction model instead of the additive model.

->

Problem 3. Cooling method for gas turbines. Refer to the Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power (January 2005) study of a high pressure inlet fogging method for a gas turbine engine. The heat rate (kilojoules per kilowatt per hour) was measured for each in a sample of 67 gas turbines augmented with high pressure inlet fogging. In addition, several other variables were measured, including cycle speed (revolutions per minute), inlet temperature (degree celsius), exhaust gas temperature (degree Celsius), cycle pressure ratio, and air mass flow rate (kilograms persecond). The data are saved in the TURBINE.CSV file.

(a) Write a first-order model for heat rate (y) as a function of speed, inlet temperature, exhaust temperature, cycle pressure ratio, and air flow rate.

### head(turbine)

```
##
           ENGINE SHAFTS
                            RPM CPRATIO INLET.TEMP EXH.TEMP AIRFLOW POWER HEATRATE
                        1 27245
                                     9.2
                                                           602
                                                                      7
                                                                         1630
                                                                                  14622
## 1 Traditional
                                                1134
## 2 Traditional
                        1 14000
                                    12.2
                                                 950
                                                           446
                                                                     15
                                                                         2726
                                                                                  13196
## 3 Traditional
                        1 17384
                                    14.8
                                                1149
                                                           537
                                                                     20
                                                                         5247
                                                                                  11948
## 4 Traditional
                        1 11085
                                    11.8
                                                1024
                                                           478
                                                                     27
                                                                         6726
                                                                                  11289
## 5 Traditional
                                                                         7726
                        1 14045
                                    13.2
                                                1149
                                                           553
                                                                     29
                                                                                  11964
## 6 Traditional
                           6211
                                    15.7
                                                1172
                                                           517
                                                                    176 52600
                                                                                  10526
```

```
#Question a,b,c,d
```

fullmodel<-lm(HEATRATE~RPM+INLET.TEMP+EXH.TEMP+CPRATIO+AIRFLOW,data=turbine)

|       |         | -          |          |         |          |
|-------|---------|------------|----------|---------|----------|
| RPM   | CPRATIO | INLET-TEMP | EXH-TEMP | AIRFLOW | HEATRATE |
| 27245 | 9.2     | 1134       | 602      | 7       | 14622    |
| 14000 | 12.2    | 950        | 446      | 15      | 13196    |
| 17384 | 14.8    | 1149       | 537      | 20      | 11948    |
| 11085 | 11.8    | 1024       | 478      | 27      | 11289    |
| 14045 | 13.2    | 1149       | 553      | 29      | 11964    |
|       |         |            |          |         |          |
|       |         |            |          |         |          |
| 18910 | 14.0    | 1066       | 532      | 8       | 12766    |
| 3600  | 35.0    | 1288       | 448      | 152     | 8714     |
| 3600  | 20.0    | 1160       | 456      | 84      | 9469     |
| 16000 | 10.6    | 1232       | 560      | 14      | 11948    |
| 14600 | 13.4    | 1077       | 536      | 20      | 12414    |
|       |         |            |          |         |          |

Source: Bhargava, R., and Meher-Homji, C. B. "Parametric analysis of existing gas turbines with inlet evaporative and overspray fogging," *Journal of Engineering for Gas Turbines and Power*, Vol. 127, No. 1, Jan. 2005.

Figure 1: The first and last five observations are listed in the table.

```
summary(fullmodel)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + CPRATIO +
##
       AIRFLOW, data = turbine)
##
##
   Residuals:
##
                                30
      Min
                1Q
                   Median
                                       Max
##
   -1007.0 -290.9
                   -105.8
                             240.8
                                    1414.0
##
## Coefficients:
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
               1.361e+04 8.700e+02
                                     15.649 < 2e-16 ***
  (Intercept)
## RPM
                8.879e-02
                          1.391e-02
                                       6.382 2.64e-08 ***
  INLET.TEMP
               -9.201e+00
                           1.499e+00
                                      -6.137 6.86e-08 ***
                1.439e+01
                           3.461e+00
                                       4.159 0.000102 ***
## EXH.TEMP
## CPRATIO
                3.519e-01
                           2.956e+01
                                       0.012 0.990539
## AIRFLOW
               -8.480e-01 4.421e-01
                                     -1.918 0.059800 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 458.8 on 61 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9235, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9172
## F-statistic: 147.3 on 5 and 61 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

<!- From the command line summary (fullmodel) (see R code above) after using the least square method, we can write the first-order model for heart rate as HEATRATE = 13610 + 0.08879RMP - 9.201INLET.TEMP + 14.39EXH.TEMP + 0.3519CPRATIO - 0.848AIRFLOW

->

(b) Test the overall significance of the model using  $\alpha = 0.01$ 

<!- We would like to test  $H_0$ :  $\beta_{RMP} = \beta_{INLET.TEMP} = \beta_{EXH.TEMP} = \beta_{CPRATIO} = \beta_{AIRFLOW} = 0$  versus  $H_a$ : at least one  $\beta_i \neq 0$ . From the output of summary(fullmodel), Fcal= 147.3 on 5 and 61 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16 < 0.01 so we reject Ho at  $\alpha = 0.05$ . Therefore, at least one of the predictors must be related to heat rate (Y).

->

(c) Fit the model to the data using the method of least squares. (Suggestion! check both models with and without a predictor that has p-value close to 0.05, and propose the best model.)

### head(turbine)

```
ENGINE SHAFTS
                            RPM CPRATIO INLET.TEMP EXH.TEMP AIRFLOW POWER HEATRATE
                                    9.2
## 1 Traditional
                        1 27245
                                                1134
                                                           602
                                                                     7
                                                                         1630
                                                                                  14622
## 2 Traditional
                        1 14000
                                    12.2
                                                 950
                                                           446
                                                                    15
                                                                         2726
                                                                                  13196
## 3 Traditional
                                                                         5247
                        1 17384
                                    14.8
                                                1149
                                                           537
                                                                    20
                                                                                  11948
## 4 Traditional
                        1 11085
                                    11.8
                                                1024
                                                           478
                                                                    27
                                                                         6726
                                                                                  11289
## 5 Traditional
                        1 14045
                                    13.2
                                                1149
                                                           553
                                                                    29
                                                                         7726
                                                                                  11964
## 6 Traditional
                           6211
                                    15.7
                                                1172
                                                           517
                                                                   176 52600
                                                                                  10526
```

#### #Question c

reducemodel<-lm(HEATRATE~RPM+INLET.TEMP+EXH.TEMP+AIRFLOW,data=turbine) # with AIRFLOW without CPRATIO summary(reducemodel)

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW,
      data = turbine)
## Residuals:
             10 Median
                            30
## -1007.7 -290.5 -106.0 240.1 1414.8
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.362e+04 8.133e+02 16.744 < 2e-16 ***
              8.882e-02 1.344e-02 6.608 1.02e-08 ***
## INLET.TEMP -9.186e+00 7.704e-01 -11.923 < 2e-16 ***
## EXH.TEMP
              1.436e+01 2.260e+00 6.356 2.76e-08 ***
              -8.475e-01 4.370e-01 -1.939
## AIRFLOW
                                             0.057 .
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 455.1 on 62 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9235, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9186
## F-statistic: 187.1 on 4 and 62 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
reducemodel1<-lm(HEATRATE~RPM+INLET.TEMP+EXH.TEMP,data=turbine) # without AIRFLOW and CPRATIO
anova(reducemodel1,reducemodel)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP
## Model 2: HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW
## Res.Df
                RSS Df Sum of Sq
                                   F Pr(>F)
## 1
       63 13620986
        62 12841965 1
                       779021 3.7611 0.05701 .
## 2
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
summary(reducemodel1) ## Model with AIRFLOW has a better adjusted R2 and a better RMSE.
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP, data = turbine)
##
## Residuals:
      Min 1Q Median
                            3Q
                                     Max
## -1025.8 -297.9 -115.3 225.8 1425.1
##
## Coefficients:
               Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 1.436e+04 7.333e+02 19.582 < 2e-16 ***
              1.051e-01 1.071e-02 9.818 2.55e-14 ***
## INLET.TEMP -9.223e+00 7.869e-01 -11.721 < 2e-16 ***
             1.243e+01 2.071e+00 6.000 1.06e-07 ***
## EXH.TEMP
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
```

```
## Residual standard error: 465 on 63 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9189, Adjusted R-squared: 0.915
## F-statistic: 237.9 on 3 and 63 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

<!- We would like to test  $H_0: \beta_i = 0$  versus  $H_a: \beta_i \neq 0$ . From the output, 1. We clearly see that the CPRATIO predictor must be dropped out of the model as the tcal=0.012 with the p-value = 0.990539 > 0.05.

2. For AIRFLOW, since the p-value =0.0598, close to 0.05, so we have 2 possible additive models.

```
Model 1: HEA\hat{T}RATE = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1RMP + \hat{\beta}_2INLET.TEMP + \hat{\beta}_3EXH.TEMP + \hat{\beta}_4AIRFLOW.
```

```
Model 2: HEATRATE = \hat{\beta}_0 + \hat{\beta}_1 RMP + \hat{\beta}_2 INLET.TEMP + \hat{\beta}_3 EXH.TEMP.
```

From summary (reduce model) and summary (reduce model), the adjusted  $R^2$  of Model 1 and 2 are respectively  $R_{adj}^2$  (model 1)= 0.9186 and  $R_{adj}^2$  (model 2)= 0.915. Hence, we would prefer Model 1. In addition, model 1 has the lowest RMSE=455.1.

(d) Test all possible interaction terms for the best model in part (c) at  $\alpha = .05$ . What is the final model would you suggest to use for predicting y? Explain.

```
interacmodel1<-lm(HEATRATE~(RPM+INLET.TEMP+EXH.TEMP+AIRFLOW)^2,data=turbine)
summary(interacmodel1)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ (RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW)^2,
##
      data = turbine)
##
## Residuals:
             1Q Median
                           30
  -779.7 -211.0 -40.7 177.2 1370.3
##
## Coefficients:
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
##
                                              2.981 0.004247 **
## (Intercept)
                       2.650e+04 8.891e+03
                       7.037e-02 1.485e-01
## RPM
                                              0.474 0.637512
## INLET.TEMP
                      -2.366e+01 7.364e+00
                                            -3.213 0.002180 **
## EXH.TEMP
                      -4.555e+00 1.795e+01
                                            -0.254 0.800610
                       1.021e+01 6.279e+00
## AIRFLOW
                                             1.627 0.109455
## RPM:INLET.TEMP
                      -1.133e-04 8.720e-05
                                            -1.299 0.199266
## RPM:EXH.TEMP
                       1.656e-04 3.116e-04
                                             0.531 0.597314
## RPM:AIRFLOW
                      -8.257e-04 4.653e-04 -1.775 0.081414 .
## INLET.TEMP:EXH.TEMP
                      2.417e-02 1.457e-02
                                              1.659 0.102791
## INLET.TEMP:AIRFLOW
                       1.418e-02 3.852e-03
                                              3.681 0.000523 ***
## EXH.TEMP:AIRFLOW
                      -5.049e-02 1.357e-02 -3.720 0.000463 ***
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 394.6 on 56 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9481, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9388
## F-statistic: 102.3 on 10 and 56 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
```

#dropping some interaction terms as they are nonsignificant.

interacmodel2<-lm(HEATRATE~RPM+INLET.TEMP+EXH.TEMP+AIRFLOW+INLET.TEMP\*AIRFLOW+EXH.TEMP\*AIRFLOW,data=tur summary(interacmodel2)

##

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW +
       INLET.TEMP * AIRFLOW + EXH.TEMP * AIRFLOW, data = turbine)
##
##
  Residuals:
##
                1Q Median
                                3Q
      Min
                                       Max
   -787.68 -189.26 -22.34
                           145.15 1307.53
##
## Coefficients:
##
                        Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                       1.360e+04 9.930e+02
                                            13.699 < 2e-16 ***
## (Intercept)
                       4.578e-02
                                  1.577e-02
                                              2.902 0.005174 **
## INLET.TEMP
                                 1.090e+00 -11.741 < 2e-16 ***
                      -1.280e+01
## EXH.TEMP
                                              8.024 4.46e-11 ***
                       2.327e+01
                                  2.901e+00
## AIRFLOW
                       1.347e+00
                                  3.496e+00
                                              0.385 0.701414
## INLET.TEMP:AIRFLOW 1.613e-02
                                  3.640e-03
                                              4.432 4.03e-05 ***
                      -4.150e-02 1.087e-02 -3.816 0.000323 ***
## EXH.TEMP:AIRFLOW
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 401.4 on 60 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.9424, Adjusted R-squared: 0.9367
## F-statistic: 163.7 on 6 and 60 DF, p-value: < 2.2e-16
## Partial F test
anova(interacmodel2,interacmodel1)
## Analysis of Variance Table
##
## Model 1: HEATRATE ~ RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW + INLET.TEMP *
##
       AIRFLOW + EXH.TEMP * AIRFLOW
## Model 2: HEATRATE ~ (RPM + INLET.TEMP + EXH.TEMP + AIRFLOW)^2
     Res.Df
                RSS Df Sum of Sq
## 1
         60 9664946
## 2
         56 8717640
                          947306 1.5213 0.2084
```

<!- After fitting a model with all interactions (output from the command summary(interacmodel1)), we dropped nonsignificant interaction terms. Final model is then interacmodel2 and the estimation is obtained from the command line summary(interacmodel2). After testing all interaction terms from model 1, we found that the model  $\hat{Y} = 13600 + 0.04578RMP - 12.80INLET.TEMP + 23.27EXH.TEMP + 1.347AIRFLOW + 0.01613INLET.TEMP \times AIRFLOW + 0.00415EXH.TEMP \times AIRFLOW$  is used for predicting Y with the  $R_{Adj}^2 = 0.9367$  (higher that the adjusted  $R^2$  for model 1) and RMSE= 401.4 (lower than the RMSE for model 1). To confirm that we should drop all those interactions together, we perform a partial F-test with the command anova(interacmodel2,interacmodel1). It gives a p-value of 0.2084, which confirms that we do not have enough evidence to keep those interactions in the model.

->

- (e) Give practical interpretations of the  $\beta_i$  estimates.
- <!- Note that the final model has two significant interactions. Hence, we will not interpret directly the main effects for variables involved in the interaction term.
- 1.  $\hat{\beta}_{RMP} = 0.04578$  means that for a given amount of other predictors (are held constant), an increase of 1 revolution per minute of the cycle speed leads to an increase in the heat rate by 0.04578 revolutions per minute.
- 2. The effect of INLET.TEMP is 12.80+0.016AIRFLOW, means that for a given amount of EXH.TEMP

and RPM (are held constant), an increase of 1 degree Celsius of the inlet temperature leads to an increase in the heat rate by -12.80+0.016AIRFLOW revolutions per minute.

- 3. The effect of EXH.TEMP is 23.27-0.041AIRFLOW, means that for a given amount of INLET.TEMP and RPM (are held constant), an increase of 1 degree Celsius of the exhaust gas temperature leads to an increase in the heat rate by 23.27-0.041AIRFLOW revolutions per minute.
- 4. The effect of AIRFLOW is 1.34+0.016INLET.TEMP-0.041EXH.TEMP, means that for a given amount of RPM (is held constant), an increase of 1 kilogram persecond of air mass flow rate leads to an increase in the heat rate by 1.34+0.016INLET.TEMP-0.041EXH.TEMP revolutions per minute.

->

- (f) Find RMSE, s from the model in part (d)
- <!- RMSE for the model is 401.4 ->
  - (g) Find the adjusted-R2 value from the model in part (d) and interpret it.
- <!- The adjusted  $R^2$  is  $R^2_{Adj}$ =0.9367 implies that 93.67% of the variation in the heart rate is explained by this model.

->

<!-

(h) Predict a heat rate (y) when a cycle of speed = 273,145 revolutions per minute, inlet temperature= 1240 degree celsius, exhaust temperature=920 degree celsius, cycle pressure ratio=10 kilograms persecond, and air flow rate=25 kilograms persecond.

From the R command predict (see R code above), with 95% confidence interval, the heat rate (Y) is between 24067.74 revolutions per minute to 38388.2 revolutions per minute when a cycle of speed = 273,145 revolutions per minute, inlet temperature= 1240 degree Celsius, exhaust temperature=920 degree Celsius, cycle pressure ratio=10 kilograms per second, and air flow rate=25 kilograms per second.