

### **Estimation for GLMs**

# Summary

- Exponential family
- Components of GLM
- IWLS

### Reading

- DB Chapter 4
- MN Chapter 2

STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017

**Review: Exponential Family** 

- ullet  $Y_i \sim \mathsf{Exponential}$  family
  - Density;

$$f_i(y_i| heta_i,\phi)=\exp\left\{rac{y_i heta_i-b( heta_i)}{a_i(\phi)}+c_i(y_i,\phi)
ight\},$$

where  $\mu_i \equiv E[Y_i] = b'( heta_i)$  and  $V(Y_i) = b''( heta_i)a_i(\phi)$ .

 $\circ$  Link between  $\mu_i$  and  $\eta_i$  (linear term)

$$g(\mu_i) = \eta_i = \mathbf{X}_i eta = \sum_{j=1}^p X_{ij} eta_j$$

(Very often  $X_{i1} = 1$  as intercept).

1

• Examples of CLMs (with canonical link, i.e.  $\theta=\eta$ ) Variance function  $\frac{\text{Model} \quad \mu \quad \eta=g(\mu) \quad V(\mu) \quad a(\phi \quad \text{not Variance})}{\text{Linear} \quad \text{mean} \quad \mu \quad 1 \quad \sigma^2}$ Logistic prob. of  $log \frac{H}{F} \mu(I-M) \frac{1}{m} \leftarrow Consider \frac{1}{m}$  as the response P Poisson Expected  $log(H) = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{m} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{1}{m} = \frac{$ 

\*HW Find GLM's implemented in R and SAS

3

#### STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017

# **Estimation for GLMs**

- Maximum Likelihood Estimation (MLE) for  $\beta$ .
  - Log-likelihood

$$\log L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{Y_i \theta_i - b(\theta_i)}{a_i(\phi)} + c_i(Y_i, \phi) \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{p} \log L_i,$$

Score equation

$$U_j(\beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_j} \log L = 0$$
, for  $j = 1, \dots, p$ .

- There is no  $\beta_j$  in the form of  $\log L$ . Then, how to estimate  $\beta_j$ ?
  - \* Chain rule!

In the Canonical form, 
$$l(\beta) = logh$$
 is a function of  $O_i$  originally, Since  $O_i = g(\mu_i)$ ,  $\mu_i = g^{-1}(\eta_i) = h(\eta_i)$ ,  $\eta_i = x_i T_{\beta}$ , then  $l(\beta)$  is a function of  $\beta$ 

A Question Since  $O_i = \eta_i = x_i T_{\beta}$ , why not use  $O_i \longrightarrow \eta_i \longrightarrow A_{\beta}$ ?

Answer · Using  $\mu_i = E(\gamma_i)$ , it is convenient to formulate INVLS late

Then, the score functions can be written as:

$$U_{j}(\beta) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{j}} \log L = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \beta_{j}} \log L_{i}$$

$$= \underbrace{\sum_{i} \frac{1}{V_{i} \cdot (\partial \gamma_{i} / \partial \mu_{i})^{2}} (y_{i} - \mu_{i}) \frac{\partial \gamma_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}}}_{\text{tights}} \chi_{ij}$$
see proofs below

5

#### STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017

# **Estimation (continued)**

Proof: For ith obs, let
$$l_{i} = l_{i}(\gamma_{i}; \boldsymbol{\theta}_{i}; \boldsymbol{\phi}) = l_{i} = \frac{[\gamma_{i} \partial_{i} - b/\partial_{i}]}{a(\boldsymbol{\phi})} + c(y_{i}; \boldsymbol{\phi}) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \log L_{i} = \frac{\partial l_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}} = \frac{y_{i}}{a(\boldsymbol{\phi})} \frac{b'(\theta_{i})}{a(\boldsymbol{\phi})}$$
where  $o_{i} = g(\mu_{i}) = \eta_{i}$ ,
$$\mu_{i} = \varepsilon(\gamma_{i}) = h(\theta_{i}) = h(\eta_{i}) = g^{-1}(\eta_{i}) \text{ (i.e. } h = g^{-1}) \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} = \frac{1}{a(\mu_{i})} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}$$

• Thus, we have 
$$U_{j}(\beta) = Z \frac{\partial l_{i}}{\partial p_{i}} = \frac{\partial l_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial Q_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial g_{j}}$$

$$= \frac{y_{i} - \mu_{i}}{V_{i}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \chi_{ij}$$

$$= \frac{1}{V_{i} \left(\frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}}\right)^{2}} \frac{\chi_{ij}}{(y_{i} - \mu_{i})^{6}} \frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} \chi_{ij}$$

$$|\text{Here we don't (ancel } \frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} \text{ to make } |\text{WLS form}.$$

# Estimation (continued)

 $\longrightarrow$  i.e., let  $W_i=1/\{V(Y_i)\left(\frac{\partial I\!\!\!I_i}{\partial n_i}\right)^2\}$ , with  $V(Y_i)=\operatorname{Var}(Y_i)=a_i(\phi)V(\mu_i)$ , then

$$U_j(\beta) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n X_{ij} W_i(Z_i - \eta_i),$$

where

$$W_i^{-1} = \left(\frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \mu_i}\right)^2 a_i(\phi) V(\mu_i) = (g'(\mu_i))^2 a_i(\phi) V(\mu_i),$$

$$Z_i = \eta_i + (Y_i - \mu_i) \frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \mu_i} = \eta_i + (Y_i - \mu_i) g'(\mu_i)$$

we see that  $V_{ar(\hat{z}_i)} = V_{ar}(\hat{y}_i) \left(\frac{\partial \hat{y}_i}{\partial \hat{y}_i}\right)^2 = V_{ar}(\hat{z}_r)$ 

• Note: In CLMs,  $\eta_i$  is a linear model (i.e.  $= \mathbf{X}_i^T \beta$ ). So the score function  $U_i(\beta)$  above looks like a weighted score function of a linear regression model for  $Z_i$  with  $W_i = 1/\mathsf{Var}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_i)$  for  $\tilde{z}_i$ 

$$\overline{z_i} = \eta_i + (\gamma_i - \mu_i) g'(\mu_i) \stackrel{?}{=} x_i^T \beta + \overline{z_i}$$

$$\overline{Var}(\overline{z_i}) = \overline{Var}(\gamma_i) (g'(\mu_i))^2 = 1/w_i$$

then  $W^{LSE}$  min<sub>B</sub>  $\stackrel{\sim}{=}$  Wi  $(Y_{i} Y_{i}^{T}\beta)^{2}$ STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017

# **Estimation (continued)**

The score function in matrix notation:

$$U(\beta) = \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} (\mathbf{Z} - \eta),$$

where

$$\mathbf{X}_{n \times p} = (\mathbf{X}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{X}_n^T)^T, \quad \mathbf{W}_{n \times n} = \operatorname{diag}(W_1, \dots, W_n),$$
 $\mathbf{Z} = (Z_1, \dots, Z_n), \quad \eta = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)^T = \mathbf{X}\beta.$ 

The score equation is

 $U(\beta) = X^T W(Z - \eta) = X^T W(Z - X\beta) = 0$ • Assuming full rank of X,

$$U(\hat{\beta}) = 0 \rightarrow \hat{\beta} = (\chi^T W \chi)^{-1} (\chi^T W) Z$$

o Problem: Z and W depend on B

#### **Estimation: Iterative WLS**

- Iterative Weighted Least Squares (IWLS) algorithm for GLMs
  - Step 1. Initialization

where  $\beta^{(0)}$  is an initial value of  $\beta$ .

Note: you may need some modification to avoid log(0).

to avoid log(o) for  $small values of <math>\phi$  in  $log(\phi)$ , use a small e >0, let  $\eta = log(\varepsilon)$ 

 $\circ$  Repeat the followings until changes in  $\beta$  are small (e.g.,  $\|\hat{\beta}^{(t+1)} - \hat{\beta}^t\| < 10^{-5} \text{ in SAS}$ :

(i) 
$$\mu = g^{-1}(\eta)$$
.

- (ii)  $\mathbf{Z} = \eta + (\mathbf{Y} \mu)g'(\mu)$  (element-wise multiplication) Note:  $\eta = \log(Y)$  (iii)  $\mathbf{W} = \operatorname{diag}(\{[g'(\mu)]^2 a(\phi)V(\mu)\}^{-1}$  (element-wise multiplication) is a vector
- (iv)  $\beta = (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{W} \mathbf{Z}$ .
- (v)  $\eta = \mathbf{X}\beta$ .
- (vi) Go back to (i).

STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017

 $Y_n \sim \mathsf{Poisson}(\lambda_i)$  with p covariates  $X_i^T$ • Independent r.v.  $Y_1$ ,

$$f(y_i|\theta,\phi) = \frac{\lambda_i^{y_i}e^{\lambda_i}}{y_i!},$$

with  $\mu_i = \lambda_i$ .

- $\theta = \log(\lambda), b(\theta) = e^{\theta} \ a(\phi) = 1.$
- $\circ$  Canonical link:  $\eta = \theta = \log(\lambda) \to \text{Log-linear regression}$

$$\log(\mu_i) = \mathbf{X}_i^T \beta,$$

o MLE of 
$$\beta$$
 For the Poisson model,  $O_i = log(M_i)$ ,  $b(O_i) = e^{O_i}$   $a(\phi) = 1$ ,  $\mu_i = b'/O_i$ )
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} \log L_i = \frac{y_i - b'/O_i}{a(\phi)} = y_i - e^{O_i}$$

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial \mu_i} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \theta_i}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \mu_i}} = \frac{1}{\frac{\partial \mu_i}{\partial \mu$$

The score function is

The score function is
$$U_{j}(\beta) = \frac{\partial l_{i}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial \theta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} \frac{\partial \mu_{i}}{\partial \eta_{i}} \frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \beta_{j}}$$

$$= (3i - \mu_{i}) \chi_{ij}$$

$$= (3i - \mu_{i}) \chi_{ij}$$

$$ln the thorm of IWLS, U_{j}(\beta) = \omega_{i}(3i - \eta_{i}) \chi_{ij}$$

$$where w_{i} = \frac{1}{Var(y_{i})(\frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}})^{2}} = \frac{1}{\mu_{i}(1/\mu_{i})^{2}} = \mu_{i} = e^{\theta_{i}},$$

$$Z_{i} = \chi_{i}T\beta + (y_{i} - \mu_{i})(\frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}}) = \eta_{i} + (y_{i} - \mu_{i}) \cdot \frac{1}{e^{\theta_{i}}}$$

$$Che (an see that Var(3i) = Var(y_{i})(\frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}})^{2} = \psi_{i}$$

STAT 635-GLM-Lecture Notes 5, Estimation for Generalized Linear Models, Fall 2017 | WL S Ex See data in Table 4.3 and Figure 4.5 in page 67

Using Proc/IML to implement IWLS

See Table4-3.595

for the plot • IWLS solves the score equations. the identity link in Figure 4.5. • There are other commonly used methods for solving score equations:

where

and Table 4. 5 a 5 o Fisher scoring:

flare Table 4.3 R · Newton-Raphson:

for the results

from INLS in

Table 4.4, P.68

In addition I

IWLS vs. Other Algorithms

 $\hat{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \hat{\beta}^{(t)} + (\mathbf{I}^{(t)})^{-1} U^{(t)},$ 

 $\mathbf{I}^{(t)} = -E \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T} \right]_{\beta = \hat{\beta}^{(t)}}, \quad \mathcal{Z}_{i} = \eta_{i} + (y_{i} - \mu_{i}) \frac{\partial \eta_{i}}{\partial \mu_{i}} \\ = \eta_{i} + (y_{i} - \mu_{i})$ 

the total information matrix for the sample.

 $\hat{\beta}^{(t+1)} = \hat{\beta}^t + (\hat{\mathbf{I}}^{(t)})^{-1} U^{(t)}, \qquad \begin{aligned} W_i &= \frac{1}{V_{ar}(Y_i)} (\frac{\partial \eta_i}{\partial \mu_i})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{V_{ar}(Y_i)} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_i} = \frac{1}{\beta_i + \beta_i X_i} \end{aligned}$ 

Poisson Regression with

G(Mi) = ni => Mi=ni

then 2nc = 1 and

In this example,

to do the Same where Calculation 7

 $\hat{\mathbf{I}}^{(t)} = -\frac{\partial^2 \log L}{\partial \beta \partial \beta^T}|_{\beta = \hat{\beta}^{(t)}} \quad \text{The IWLS is} \quad \text{The IWLS is$ 

where the information matrix I:  $J = X^T W X = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} & \frac{1}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} \\ \frac{N}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} & \frac{N}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} & \frac{N}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} \end{bmatrix} \times WZ = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{N}{2} & \frac{y_i \cdot 12}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} \\ \frac{N}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} & \frac{N}{b_1 + b_2 X_i} \end{bmatrix}$