

ECE368: Probabilistic Reasoning

Lab 1: Classification with Multinomial and Gaussian Models

Name: Lisa Li

Student Number: 1003924532

You should hand in: 1) A scanned .pdf version of this sheet with your answers (file size should be under 2 MB); 2) one figure for Question 1.2.(c) and two figures for Question 2.1.(c) in the .pdf format; and 3) two Python files classifier.py and lda_qda.py that contain your code. All these files should be uploaded to Quercus.

1 Naïve Bayes Classifier for Spam Filtering

1. (a) Write down the estimators for p_d and q_d as functions of the training data $\{\mathbf{x}_n, y_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ using the technique of "Laplace smoothing". (1 pt)

$$\hat{p}_d = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_{di} \mathbb{I}(y_i=1) + 1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D x_{di} \mathbb{I}(y_i=1) + \text{len}(D)}$$

of words in all SPAM ↑ # of words in vocab

$$\hat{q}_d = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N x_{di} \mathbb{I}(y_i=0) + 1}{\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^D x_{di} \mathbb{I}(y_i=0) + \text{len}(D)}$$

- (b) Complete function learn_distributions in python file classifier.py based on the expressions. (1 pt)
2. (a) Write down the MAP rule to decide whether $y = 1$ or $y = 0$ based on its feature vector \mathbf{x} for a new email $\{\mathbf{x}, y\}$. The d -th entry of \mathbf{x} is denoted by x_d . Please incorporate p_d and q_d in your expression. Please assume that $\pi = 0.5$. (1 pt)

$$\log(\pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D x_d \log(\hat{p}_d) \underset{y_n=0}{\overset{y_n=1}{\geq}} \log(1-\pi) + \sum_{d=1}^D x_d \log(\hat{q}_d)$$

- (b) Complete function classify_new_email in classifier.py, and test the classifier on the testing set. The number of Type 1 errors is 2, and the number of Type 2 errors is 4. (1 pt)
- (c) Write down the modified decision rule in the classifier such that these two types of error can be traded off. Please introduce a new parameter to achieve such a trade-off. (0.5 pt)

$$\text{If } L_{FN} = c L_{FP} \\ p(y=1|x) > \tau \cdot p(y=0|x) \quad \text{where } \tau = \frac{c}{1+c}$$

Write your code in file classifier.py to implement your modified decision rule. Test it on the testing set and plot a figure to show the trade-off between Type 1 error and Type 2 error. In the figure, the x -axis should be the number of Type 1 errors and the y -axis should be the number of Type 2 errors. Plot at least 10 points corresponding to different pairs of these two types of error in your figure. The two end points of the plot should be: 1) the point with zero Type 1 error; and 2) the point with zero Type 2 error. Please save the figure with name **nb.pdf**. (1 pt)

- (d) If we do not use Laplace smoothing and simply use maximum likelihood estimation in the training phase, what will go wrong? What kind of emails such a classifier would fail to classify? (0.5 pt)

say wd is in SPAM but not HAM ; $P(w_d | \text{HAM}) = 0$. This will cause $y_{\text{pred, HAM}} = 0$. It'd fail to classify emails w/ words that were found in one but not the other during training.

2 Linear/Quadratic Discriminant Analysis for Height/Weight Data

1. (a) Write down the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters μ_m , μ_f , Σ , Σ_m , and Σ_f as functions of the training data $\{\mathbf{x}_n, y_n\}, n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. (1 pt)

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_m &= \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \mathbb{I}(y=1) & \Sigma_m &= \frac{1}{N_m} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_m)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_m)^T \mathbb{I}(y=1) \\ \mu_f &= \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n \mathbb{I}(y=2) & \Sigma_f &= \frac{1}{N_f} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_f)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mu_f)^T \mathbb{I}(y=2) \\ \mu &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \mathbf{x}_n & \Sigma &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (\mathbf{x}_n - \mu)(\mathbf{x}_n - \mu)^T\end{aligned}$$

- (b) In the case of LDA, write down the decision boundary as a linear equation of \mathbf{x} with parameters μ_m , μ_f , and Σ . Note that we assume $\pi = 0.5$. (0.5 pt)

$$\log(\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_m^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_m + \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_m = \log(1-\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_f^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_f + \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mu_f$$

In the case of QDA, write down the decision boundary as a quadratic equation of \mathbf{x} with parameters μ_m , μ_f , Σ_m , and Σ_f . Note that we assume $\pi = 0.5$. (0.5 pt)

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_m^T \Sigma_m^{-1} \mu_m + \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma_m^{-1} \mu_m - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma_m^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_m| &= \\ \log(1-\pi) - \frac{1}{2} \mu_f^T \Sigma_f^{-1} \mu_f + \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma_f^{-1} \mu_f - \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{x}^T \Sigma_f^{-1} \mathbf{x} - \frac{1}{2} \log |\Sigma_f|\end{aligned}$$

- (c) Complete function `discrimAnalysis` in `lda_qda.py` to visualize LDA and QDA models and the corresponding decision boundaries. Please name the figures as `lda.pdf`, and `qda.pdf`. (1 pt)

2. The misclassification rates are 11.8% for LDA, and 10.9% for QDA. (1 pt)