

Seminar Report

---

# Applying Semi-Supervised Locally Linear Embedding

---

Department of Statistics  
Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München



By Lisa Wimmer  
Under the supervision of Jann Goschenhofer, Ph.D.  
Munich, month day<sup>th</sup>, 2021

# Abstract

## Storyline

- Goal: present SS-LLE as a local, graph-based manifold learning method incorporating prior knowledge
- Step 0: define basic mathematical concepts required to understand argumentation (plus notation)
- Step 1: introduce idea of **isometry** (most basic: MDS)
- Step 2: introduce idea of **graph-based** models
  - Achieve non-linearity
  - Common structure: build graph  $\rightarrow$  derive matrix as quadratic form over graph function  $\rightarrow$  derive embedding from eigenvalue problem
  - Most basic: ISOMAP (global, dense, convex)
- Step 3: introduce idea of **locality**
  - Relax global to local isometry
  - Find sparse rather than dense matrices
  - **Laplacian eigenmaps** as concept in which the others can be generalized
    - Define weighting scheme for neighborhood
    - Use Laplacian to derive matrix
    - Solve sparse eigenvalue problem
- Step 4: introduce **local linearity**
  - **LLE**
    - Obtain weights via linear reconstructions
    - Can be shown to approximate graph Laplacian (Belkin & Niyogi (2006))
  - **Hessian LLE**
    - Replace Laplacian by Hessian
- Step 5: introduce **prior knowledge**
  - **SS-LLE**
    - Improve results by pre-specifying some manifold coordinates

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Mathematical Framework</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1	Basic Topological Concepts . . . . .	1
2.2	Spectral Decomposition . . . . .	1
<b>3</b>	<b>Local Graph-Based Manifold Learning</b>	<b>2</b>
3.1	Concept of Isometry . . . . .	2
3.2	Graph-Based Models . . . . .	2
3.2.1	Neighborhoods . . . . .	2
3.2.2	Basics of Spectral Graph Theory . . . . .	2
3.2.3	General Structure of Graph-Based Models . . . . .	2
3.2.4	ISOMAP . . . . .	2
3.3	Laplacian Eigenmaps . . . . .	2
3.4	Locally Linear Embedding (LLE) . . . . .	2
3.5	Hessian Locally Linear Embedding (HLLE) . . . . .	2
<b>4</b>	<b>Semi-Supervised Locally Linear Embedding (SS-LLE)</b>	<b>3</b>
4.1	Employment of Prior Information . . . . .	3
4.2	SS-LLE Algorithm . . . . .	3
4.3	Strengths and Drawbacks of SS-LLE . . . . .	3
<b>5</b>	<b>Experiment Results</b>	<b>3</b>
5.1	Data . . . . .	3
5.2	Experimental Design . . . . .	3
5.3	Results and Discussion . . . . .	3
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Appendix</b>	<b>V</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Electronic Appendix</b>	<b>VI</b>

## List of Figures

## List of Tables

# 1 Introduction

Machine learning problems increasingly employ data of high dimensionality. While a large amount of samples is beneficial to learning, the same is typically not true for the number of features: complex feature spaces with many dimensions pose serious obstacles to the performance and convergence of most algorithms (Cayton, 2005). This issue is more generally addressed in the context of the *curse of dimensionality*, a term referring to various phenomena of high-dimensional spaces. Crucially, the rapidly rising volume of space induces sparsity in the data. Consequences of this behavior are, among others, a sharp incline in the number of points required to sample the feature space and a loss in meaningfulness of distances. It is not straightforward to infer properties of objects in high dimensions, as geometric intuition developed in two or three dimensions is frequently misleading and does not generalize well to more complex spaces (Verleysen and Francois, 2005).

- Why is dimensionality reduction desirable? Not only because it's easier to handle and visualize lower-dimensional data but because data-generating process is often truly of much lower dimension
- Our goal is to find the mapping from latent feature space embedded in the  $m$ -dimensional Euclidean space we observe to the  $d$ -dimensional space the embedding is locally homeomorphic to (unrolling the Swiss roll)
- This mapping can be constructed linearly or non-linearly (slapping the roll flat vs unrolling it), thereby defining the complexity of the manifolds we are able to learn
- Brief intuition to manifold learning with simple example (e.g., rotated letters A)
- Different methods out there (linear, non-linear, ...)

## 2 Mathematical Framework

### 2.1 Basic Topological Concepts

- Topology
- Topological space
- Topological manifold
- Riemannian manifold
- Curve/geodesic
- Tangent space

### 2.2 Spectral Decomposition

- Eigenvalues/eigenvectors
- Spectral decomposition

## 3 Local Graph-Based Manifold Learning

### 3.1 Concept of Isometry

- Notion of distance
- Preserving distances in manifold learning
- MDS (very brief)

### 3.2 Graph-Based Models

#### 3.2.1 Neighborhoods

- $k$ -/ $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods and neighborhood graphs
- Linear reconstruction and reconstruction error

#### 3.2.2 Basics of Spectral Graph Theory

- Degree and adjacency matrices
- Laplacian operators

#### 3.2.3 General Structure of Graph-Based Models

- Neighborhood graph
- Weight matrix
- Eigenwert problem

#### 3.2.4 ISOMAP

- (One of the) earliest, simplest variant(s)
- MDS with geodesics

### 3.3 Laplacian Eigenmaps

- Notion of locality
- Laplacian eigenmaps

### 3.4 Locally Linear Embedding (LLE)

- Notion of local linearity
- Approximation of graph Laplacian

### 3.5 Hessian Locally Linear Embedding (HLLE)

- Hessian instead of Laplacian (eigenmaps)
- Hessian instead of LS fit (LLE)

## 4 Semi-Supervised Locally Linear Embedding (SS-LLE)

### 4.1 Employment of Prior Information

- Why use labels in the first place?
- How will that help?
- How do we even find prior points?
- Exact vs inexact knowledge

### 4.2 SS-LLE Algorithm

- What is different wrt standard LLE?

### 4.3 Strengths and Drawbacks of SS-LLE

Potential shortcoming: what if manifold is not well-sampled? Not a problem with synthetic data, but IRL. But probably problematic with all manifold approaches

Also: generalization to new points (w/o recomputing everything) neighborhood-preserving propositions

## 5 Experiment Results

### 5.1 Data

### 5.2 Experimental Design

- Implementation details
- Hyperparameters
- Evaluation criteria

### 5.3 Results and Discussion

## 6 Conclusion

Lorem ipsum



## A Appendix

Lorem ipsum

## **B Electronic Appendix**

Data, code and figures are provided in electronic form.

## References

- Cayton, L. (2005). Algorithms for manifold learning, *Technical Report CS2008-0923*, University of California, San Diego (UCSD).
- Verleysen, M. and Francois, D. (2005). The curse of dimensionality in data mining and time series prediction, *in* J. Cabestany, A. Prieto and F. Sandoval (eds), *Computational Intelligence and Bioinspired Systems*, Springer.

## Declaration of Authorship

I hereby declare that the report submitted is my own unaided work. All direct or indirect sources used are acknowledged as references. I am aware that the Thesis in digital form can be examined for the use of unauthorized aid and in order to determine whether the report as a whole or parts incorporated in it may be deemed as plagiarism. For the comparison of my work with existing sources I agree that it shall be entered in a database where it shall also remain after examination, to enable comparison with future Theses submitted. Further rights of reproduction and usage, however, are not granted here. This paper was not previously presented to another examination board and has not been published.