

# CONCLUSION ON PESTICIDE PEER REVIEW

# Conclusion regarding the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance zeta-cypermethrin

# **Issued on 30 September 2008**

## **SUMMARY**

Zeta-cypermethrin is one of the 79 substances of the third stage Part A of the review programme covered by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002<sup>1</sup>. This Regulation requires the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) to organise upon request of the EU-Commission a peer review of the initial evaluation, i.e. the draft assessment report (DAR), provided by the designated rapporteur Member State and to provide within six months a conclusion on the risk assessment to the EU-Commission.

Belgium being the designated rapporteur Member State submitted the DAR on zeta-cypermethrin in accordance with the provisions of Article 10(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002, which was received by the EFSA on 10 July 2006. The peer review was initiated on 6 October 2006 by dispatching the DAR for consultation of the Member States and the applicant FMC Chemical sprl. Subsequently, the comments received on the DAR were examined and responded by the rapporteur Member State in the reporting table. This table was evaluated by EFSA to identify the remaining issues which were agreed during a written procedure in October 2007. The identified issues as well as further information made available by the applicant upon request were evaluated in a series of scientific meetings with Member State experts in May-June 2008.

A final discussion of the outcome of the consultation of experts took place during a written procedure with the Member States in August 2008 leading to the conclusions as laid down in this report.

The conclusion was reached on the basis of the evaluation of the representative uses as an insecticide as proposed by the notifier. Full details of the GAP can be found in the attached list of endpoints.

The representative formulated product for the evaluation was "Fury 10 EW (NP Free)", an emulsion, oil in water (EW) containing 100 g/L (96.9 g/kg) zeta-cypermethrin.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> OJ No L 224, 21.08.2002, p. 25, as amended by Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007 (OJ L 246, 21.9.2007, p. 19)



The technical specification for the active substance was based on pilot scale production and was only partially accepted by the PRAPeR meeting of experts (May 2008).

Sufficient analytical methods as well as methods and data relating to physical, chemical and technical properties are available to ensure that quality control measurements of the plant protection product are possible. Adequate methods are available to monitor all compounds given in the respective residue definitions in food/feed of plant and animal origin, environmental matrices and body fluids and tissues.

As for mammalian toxicology, the dossier submitted contained studies on both zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin. Considering the ratio of the most potent isomers between zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin and focusing on neurotoxicity (difference using the NOAELs and LOAELs of rat studies), a factor of 2 could be established showing that zeta-cypermethrin is more toxic than cypermethrin. When orally administered, zeta-cypermethrin is toxic (proposed to be classified T, R25 - "toxic if swallowed"). It is harmful for inhalation (Xn, R20). No toxic effects were observed after dermal exposure. It is not irritating to eyes or skin but is a skin sensitizer in a Buehler test (Xi, R43 – "irritant; may cause sensitisation by skin contact"). Neurotoxicity is the target effect of zetacypermethrin for short term exposures. The relevant NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is from the 2-year feeding study in dogs. The classification as R48/22 ("danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure, if swallowed") was proposed based on mortality in several studies. Overall, it was concluded that zeta-cypermethrin is neither genotoxic nor carcinogenic under experimental conditions (carcinogenicity was tested with cypermethrin). The relevant long term toxicity and carcinogenicity NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is from the 2-year rat study. The relevant parental and offspring NOAEL in multigeneration studies is 5.9 mg/kg bw/day, while the reproductive NOAEL is 22 mg/kg bw/day. Zeta-cypermethrin was negative for developmental toxicity when tested in rats. The relevant maternal NOAEL in rat and rabbit are 12.5 and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day, respectively; the relevant developmental NOAEL are 35 mg/kg bw/day in rat, and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day in rabbit. Zetacypermethrin is a neurotoxic agent (acute and subchronic NOAELs 10 mg/kg bw and 5 mg/kg bw/day, respectively). The acceptable daily intake (ADI) is 0.04 mg/kg bw/day, based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day and a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin vs. cypermethrin. The acute reference dose (ARfD) is 0.125 mg/kg bw based on the developmental toxicity study in rats supported by the acute neurotoxicity with zeta-cypermethrin, applying a safety factor (SF) of 100. An acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) of 0.02 mg/kg bw/day was based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day using a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin and correcting for 50% oral absorption. The estimated operator, worker and bystander exposure is below the AOEL even without the use of personal protective equipment (PPE).

In order to support the uses of zeta-cypermethrin the applicant submitted plant metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin. Several groups of plants were investigated; root crops (sugar beet),



cereals (maize), pulses/oilseeds (cotton), fruit crops (apples) and leafy crops (lettuce). The major degradation pathway of cypermethrin starts with the cleavage of the parent molecule to yield cis/trans DCVA<sup>2</sup> and the mPBAldehyde<sup>3</sup>, this latter metabolite being further oxidised or reduced to the corresponding mPBAcid<sup>4</sup> or mPBAlcohol<sup>5</sup> followed by conjugations. In most of the plant matrices investigated, unchanged cypermethrin was the predominant residue accounting for more than c.a. 50% of the TRR. The meeting discussed whether the plant metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin were relevant for zeta-cypermethrin and if a preferential metabolism may be suspected for some isomers with a special impact on toxicology. A bridging study on maize detailing the respective metabolism of both cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin was provided by the applicant, however, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review. However, and taking into account the no residue situation resulting from the intended uses on cereals, maize and peas, the meeting was of the opinion that the possible isomeric conversion from less to more toxic isomers would not be significant and concluded that a residue definition can be proposed based on the metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin. Finally, it was concluded that a global residue definition has to be proposed in order to take into account the various mixtures of cypermethrin isomers available on the market. Thus, for plants, the residue for monitoring and risk assessment was defined as "cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)".

Numerous supervised residue trials performed with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted to propose MRLs. Nevertheless, some of them were rejected since growth stages at application were not in compliance with the critical GAP and/or analytical methods were not sufficiently validated. Finally, MRLs were defined for wheat, triticale and pea without pods and, awaiting the evaluation of additional data provided by the applicant that were not considered during the meeting with regard to Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, provisional MRLs were proposed for maize, barley, oat, pea with pods and pulses. No degradation of residues was observed for zeta-cypermethrin in different plant- and animal matrices when stored under frozen conditions for up to 18 months. Some instability was observed at some time points for the metabolites mPBAcid and cis-DCVA in some animal matrices, but globally, the results were considered acceptable. No processing studies were provided since no significant residues were observed in any commodities supporting the representative uses. However, the EFSA noted that the cypermethrin hydrolysis breakdown product, mPBAldehyde, was found in a tomato processing study, and this compound is suspected of endocrine activity. This concern was considered to be not relevant for the intended uses supported in the DAR but the meeting noted that this point has to be re-considered if additional uses are envisaged.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> cis/trans DCVA: cis/trans (RS)-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> mPBAldehyde: 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde
 <sup>4</sup> mPBAcid: 3-phenoxybenzoic acid
 <sup>5</sup> mPBAcohol (3-phenoxyphenyl)methanol



Lactating cow and laying hen metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin were evaluated. The major route of degradation consisted of the hydrolysis of ester linkage to generate the cis and trans DCVA moiety and the mPBAldehyde that undergoes further oxidation and/or reduction to the corresponding acid (mPBAcid) or alcohol (mPBAlcohol) and their hydroxy products. The metabolism of the cyclopropyl moiety was considered as insufficiently investigated in the ruminant metabolism study as in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the additional data from literature presented in the addendum of May 2008, could not be considered in the peer review. This point remains open and has to be reconsidered. Nevertheless, and taking into account the complete metabolic pathway in poultry, and the data from the rat metabolism study indicating a similar pathway, the meeting of experts concluded that there was enough evidence to propose the residue for monitoring and risk assessment for animal products as "cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)". Considering the potential livestock exposure to zeta-cypermethrin residues through consumption of treated feed items (maize, cereals, pea and pulses), feeding studies indicate that no measurable residues may be present above the LOQ in the different animal-products and the MRLs for animal commodities were proposed at LOQ values.

Considering the representative uses and the toxicological endpoints set for zeta-cypermethrin, no chronic or acute concerns were observed, the theoretical maximum daily intake (TMDI) being less than 2% of the ADI and the maximum national estimated short term intake (NESTI) less than 1% of ARfD. In addition, the meeting concluded on the need for a global risk assessment taking into account the other sources of exposure resulting from the uses of all other cypermethrin isomer mixtures available on the market and their respective toxicological reference values.

In soil under aerobic conditions zeta-cypermethrin exhibits low to medium persistence forming the soil metabolites DCVA<sup>6</sup> (accounting for up to 24% of applied radioactivity (AR)) which exhibits low persistence and *m*PBAcid (accounting for up to 8.4 % AR in the available guideline study or 29.5% AR in a study with limited sampling intervals) which also exhibits low persistence. Mineralisation of both the cyclopropyl- and benzyl rings to carbon dioxide accounted for 25-27% AR after 91 days. The formation of unextractable residues was a significant sink, accounting for 12-26 % AR after 91 days. Isomers of cypermethrin are immobile in soil, DCVA exhibits very high mobility in soil and *m*PBAcid exhibits high to medium mobility. There was no indication that the soil adsorption of isomers of cypermethrin was pH dependent. There was no clear evidence that the soil adsorption of DCVA or *m*PBAcid was pH dependant. A data gap was identified for a satisfactory aerobic soil laboratory route of degradation study dosed with zeta-cypermethrin, which is necessary to ensure that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> information on isomer ratio not reported in the DAR / addendum to the DAR



all potential soil metabolites that might reach levels that trigger a groundwater exposure assessment have been identified. The available route of degradation study that used cypermethrin as the test substance was considered insufficient to address the presence of zeta-cypermethrin metabolites at the 5% AR trigger for leaching assessment level as prescribed in agreed EU guidance.

In dark natural sediment water systems zeta-cypermethrin partitioned rapidly from water to sediment where it degraded exhibiting low persistence to the metabolites *m*PBAcid and DCVA, which were present predominantly in the water phase where they exhibited medium to high persistence. The terminal metabolite, CO<sub>2</sub>, accounted for a maximum of 22 % AR (cyclopropyl label) and 58% AR (phenyl label) at 99 days (study end). Unextracted sediment residues were a significant sink representing 16-27 % AR at study end. The necessary surface water and sediment exposure assessments were appropriately carried out using the agreed FOCUS scenarios approach for component isomers of the active substance at step 1. Step 3 and 4 calculations are also available (but only for surface water and not sediment), with spray drift mitigation being applied at step 4. However, these calculations did not adhere to the FOCUS surface water guidance, so a data gap is identified for more appropriate calculations, therefore only relatively crude estimates of surface water exposure are available for the aquatic risk assessment. For the metabolites *m*PBAcid and DCVA appropriate FOCUS step 1 exposure assessments were agreed by the peer review. These values are the basis for the risk assessment discussed in this conclusion.

The potential for groundwater exposure from the applied for intended uses by component isomers of the active substance, mPBAcid and trans-DCVA above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1  $\mu g/L$ , was concluded to be low in geoclimatic situations that are represented by all 9 FOCUS groundwater scenarios. However the groundwater exposure assessment for metabolites cannot be finalised until the data gap identified for a satisfactory soil route of degradation study is filled.

The risk to mammals and the acute and short-term risk to birds were assessed as low but a potential high long-term risk to insectivorous birds cannot be excluded for all representative uses evaluated. A data gap was identified for further refinement of the risk assessment for insectivorous birds. Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. The aquatic risk assessment was based on preliminary FOCUS step 4 PECsw values which included a 20m no-spray buffer zone. The acute TERs for fish exceeded the Annex VI trigger of 100 in all scenarios for the use in cereals and in all scenarios except R3 and R4 for the use in peas. However no full scenario resulted in a TER of >100 for the use in maize and the long-term TERs were significantly below the Annex VI trigger of 10 in all scenarios even with a no-spray buffer zone of 20m. A data gap was identified for further refinement of the aquatic risk assessment. Two mesocosm studies with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted by the applicant, however, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review. The risk to aquatic organisms from the metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and 3-phenoxybenzoic acid



(mPBAcid) was assessed as low. Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to bees. A potential high risk was indicated in the first-tier risk assessment. The mortality of adult bees was increased in higher tier tests during the first 1-4 days after treatment. No impact on bee brood development was observed but it was noted that no reserves were accumulated in the bee hives. This observation may be explained by the repellent effect of zeta-cypermethrin. The experts considered the risk to bees as low for the use in cereals which are considered as not attractive for bees. Risk mitigation measures such as no application during flowering were proposed for the use in peas. The higher tier studies did not cover the application rate in maize and a data gap was identified to address the risk to bees further. A high in-field risk to non-target arthropods was indicated from the standard laboratory and the extended laboratory tests. The applied doses in the field tests were too low for the representative uses and a data gap was identified to address further the in-field and the off-field risk to non-target arthropods for all representative uses. Although formally not triggered, the experts considered it necessary to address the risk to soil dwelling mites and collembola because of the potential high risk to arthropods. It was suggested that investigation of soil dwelling mites and collembola should be included in a field study with non-target arthropods. The study on effects on respiration of activated sewage sludge was assessed as not valid. A new study was submitted by the applicant but in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review.

The risk to earthworms, soil non-target micro-organisms and non-target plants was assessed as low.

Key words zeta-cypermethrin, cypermethrin, peer review, risk assessment, pesticide, insecticide



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Summ	ary	1
Table	of Contents	7
	round	
	ctive Substance and the Formulated Product	
	ic Conclusions of the Evaluation	
1.	Identity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis	
2.	Mammalian toxicology	
2.1.	Absorption, Distribution, Excretion and Metabolism (Toxicokinetics)	
2.2.	Acute toxicity	
2.3.	Short term toxicity	
2.4.	Genotoxicity	
2.5.	Long term toxicity	
2.6.	Reproductive toxicity	
2.7.	Neurotoxicity	
2.8.	Further studies	
2.9.	Medical data	
2.10.	Acceptable daily intake (ADI), acceptable operator exposure level (AOEL) and acute reference	
	(ARfD)	
2.11.	Dermal absorption	
2.12.	Exposure to operators, workers and bystanders	
3.	Residues	
3.1.	Nature and magnitude of residues in plant	18
3.1.1.	Primary crops	18
3.1.2.	Succeeding and rotational crops	21
3.2.	Nature and magnitude of residues in livestock	22
3.3.	Consumer risk assessment	23
3.4.	Proposed MRLs	23
4.	Environmental fate and behaviour	24
4.1.	Fate and behaviour in soil	25
4.1.1.	Route of degradation in soil	25
4.1.2.	Persistence of the active substance and their metabolites, degradation or reaction products	27
4.1.3.	Mobility in soil of the active substance and their metabolites, degradation or reaction	29
4.2.	Fate and behaviour in water	
4.2.1.	Surface water and sediment	
4.2.2.	Potential for ground water contamination of the active substance their metabolites, degradation	or
	reaction products	32
4.3.	Fate and behaviour in air	
5.	Ecotoxicology	33
5.1.	Risk to terrestrial vertebrates	
5.2.	Risk to aquatic organisms	
5.3.	Risk to bees	36
5.4.	Risk to other arthropod species	36
5.5.	Risk to earthworms	
5.6.	Risk to other soil non-target macro-organisms	
5.7.	Risk to soil non-target micro-organisms	
5.8.	Risk to other non-target-organisms (flora and fauna)	
5.9.	Risk to biological methods of sewage treatment	
6.	Residue definitions	
	studies to be generated, still ongoing or available but not peer reviewed	
	usions and Recommendations	
Critica	ıl areas of concern	50



Appendix 1 – List of endpoints for the active substance and the representative formulation	5	1
Appendix 2 – Abbreviations used in the list of endpoints	11	(
Appendix 3 – Used compound code(s)	11	(



### BACKGROUND

Commission Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002 laying down the detailed rules for the implementation of the third stages of the work program referred to in Article 8(2) of Council Directive 91/414/EEC and amending Regulation (EC) No 451/2000, as amended by Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007 regulates for the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) the procedure of evaluation of the draft assessment reports provided by the designated rapporteur Member State. Zeta-cypermethrin is one of the 79 substances of the third stage, part A, covered by the Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002 designating Belgium as rapporteur Member State.

In accordance with the provisions of Article 10(1) of the Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002, Belgium submitted the report of its initial evaluation of the dossier on zeta-cypermethrin, hereafter referred to as the draft assessment report, received by EFSA on 10 July 2006. Following an administrative evaluation, the draft assessment report was distributed for consultation in accordance with Article 11(2) of the Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002 on 6 October 2006 to the Member States and the main applicant FMC Chemical sprl. as identified by the rapporteur Member State.

The comments received on the draft assessment report were evaluated and addressed by the rapporteur Member State. Based on this evaluation, EFSA identified and agreed with Member States during a written procedure in October 2007 on lacking information to be addressed by the notifier as well as issues for further detailed discussion at expert level.

Taking into account the requested information received from the notifier, a scientific discussion took place in experts' meetings in May-June 2008. The reports of these meetings have been made available to the Member States electronically.

A final discussion of the outcome of the consultation of experts took place during a written procedure with the Member States in August 2008 leading to the conclusions as laid down in this report.

During the peer review of the draft assessment report and the consultation of technical experts no critical issues were identified for consultation of the Scientific Panel on Plant Protection Products and their Residues (PPR).

In accordance with Article 11c (1) of the amended Regulation (EC) No 1490/2002, this conclusion summarises the results of the peer review on the active substance and the representative formulation evaluated as finalised at the end of the examination period provided for by the same Article. A list of the relevant endpoints for the active substance as well as the formulation is provided in appendix 1.



The documentation developed during the peer review was compiled as a **peer review report** comprising of the documents summarising and addressing the comments received on the initial evaluation provided in the rapporteur Member State's draft assessment report:

- the comments received;
- the resulting reporting table (revision 1-1 of 19 December 2007) as well as the documents summarising the follow-up of the issues identified as finalised at the end of the commenting period:
- the reports of the scientific expert consultation;
- the evaluation table (revision 2-1 of 19 September 2008).

Given the importance of the draft assessment report including its addendum (compiled version of September 2008 containing all individually submitted addenda) and the peer review report with respect to the examination of the active substance, both documents are considered respectively as background documents A and B to this conclusion.

By the time of the presentation of this conclusion to the EU-Commission, the rapporteur Member State has made available amended parts of the draft assessment report which take into account mostly editorial changes. Since these revised documents still contain confidential information, the documents cannot be made publicly available. However, the information given can be found in the original draft assessment report together with the peer review report, both of which are publicly available.

## THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THE FORMULATED PRODUCT

Zeta-cypermethrin is the ISO common name for mixture of the stereoisomers (S)- $\alpha$ -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl (1RS,3RS;1RS,3SR)-3-(2,2-dichlorovinyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate where the ratio of the (S);(1RS,3RS) isomeric pair to the (S);(1RS,3SR) isomeric pair lies in the ratio range 45-55 to 55-45, respectively (IUPAC).

Zeta-cypermethrin belongs to the class of pyrethroid ester insecticides. It acts by contact and by ingestion. Zeta-cypermethrin acts on the central and peripheral nervous system of target insects, disturbs the function of neurons by interaction with the sodium channel by modulating the opening and the closing of the channels, leading to synaptic discharge, repetitive discharge, depolarisation and ultimately death. Zeta-cypermethrin is used in agriculture against a broad range of foliar insects.

The representative formulated product for the evaluation was "Fury 10 EW (NP Free)", an emulsion, oil in water (EW) containing 100 g/L (96.9 g/kg) zeta-cypermethrin, registered under different trade names in Europe.



The representative uses evaluated comprise: foliar spraying to control European cornborer (*Ostrinia nubilalis*) and pink stalk borer (*Sesamia nonagrioides*) in maize, in all EU countries, at single application, at maximum application rate per treatment of 37.5 g a.s./ha;

- foliar spraying to control leaf, flag and ear aphids in cereals, up to growth stage of BBCH 69, in all EU countries, at a maximum of 2 treatments, at maximum application rate per treatment of 15 g a.s./ha, interval between applications of 2-4 weeks, and
- foliar spraying to control pea aphids, pea moth (*Cydia nigricana*) pea weevil (*Bruchus pisorum*) and *Thrips ssp* in peas, in all EU countries, at a maximum of 2 treatments, at maximum application rate per treatment of 15 g a.s./ha, interval between applications of 2-4 weeks.

# SPECIFIC CONCLUSIONS OF THE EVALUATION

# 1. Identity, physical/chemical/technical properties and methods of analysis

The minimum purity of zeta-cypermethrin is 850 g/kg (pilot scale production). Cis/trans-ratio should be in range of 55/45 - 45/55. There is no FAO specification available.

The revised technical specification for the active substance based on pilot scale production was only partially accepted by the PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008) and a data gap was proposed for the applicant to provide a new specification removing the impurities not detected in the batch analysis.

Toluene and tar residues were considered relevant impurities by the PRAPeR 49 meeting of experts (June 2008), but the levels proposed in the current specification are of no toxicological concern.

The assessment of the data package revealed no issues that need to be included as critical areas of concern with respect to the identity, physical, chemical and technical properties of zeta-cypermethrin or the respective formulation, however the following data gaps were identified by the PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts:

- further information or indications available to ensure that none of mentioned unknown compounds are present at a concentration higher than 1 g/kg.
- a 5-batch analysis for the actual industrial scale production
- an alternative method as replacement for the non-specific method for the determination of impurities (APG 428A) and data on the specificity of the methods used for the determination of significant impurities
- shelf-life study of the NPE-free formulation
- to address the stability of dilute emulsions and preparations which are emulsions
- to address the resistance of the packaging material to "Fury 10 EW (NP Free)"



The main data regarding the identity of zeta-cypermethrin and its physical and chemical properties are given in appendix 1.

Adequate analytical methods are available for the determination of zeta-cypermethrin in the technical material and in the representative formulation (HPLC-UV) as well as for the determination of the respective impurities in the technical material (HPLC-UV, GC-FID, GPC-Light Scattering). The total cypermethrin content can be determined by HPLC-UV and the ratio of enantiomers and zeta-cypermethrin content by HPLC-UV on a chiral column. The existing CIPAC methods (332/TC/M/3 and 332/EC/M/3.2) can be used for the determination of the total cypermethrin content in the technical material and formulation.

Sufficient test methods and data relating to physical, chemical and technical properties are available to ensure that quality control measurements of the plant protection product are possible.

The residue definition for monitoring purposes for all compartments was set to sum of component isomers of cypermethrin.

Adequate methods are available to monitor cypermethrin residues in food/feed of plant- and animal origin. Residues of cypermethrin in food of plant origin can be monitored by the multi method DFG S19 with GC-ECD with LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg (tomatoes, oranges, wheat) and also by GC-MSD with LOQ of 0.02 mg/kg (maize). Residues of cypermethrin in food/feed of animal origin can be monitored by the multi method DFG S19 with GC-ECD with LOQ of 0.01 mg/kg in milk and with LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg in egg, muscle and fat, respectively.

GC-ECD methods are available to monitor residues of cypermethrin in soil with LOQ of 0.005 mg/kg, in water with LOQ of 0.05  $\mu$ g/L (drinking and ground water) and LOQ = 0.001  $\mu$ g/L (surface water) and in air with LOQ = 1.7  $\mu$ g/m³.

Cypermethrin residues in body fluids and tissues can be monitored by GC-ECD, with LOQ of 0.05 mg/kg (liver, kidney and blood).

# 2. Mammalian toxicology

Zeta-cypermethrin was discussed in the PRAPeR 49 meeting of experts in June 2008.

The dossier submitted contained study on both zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin. Thus during the meeting of experts the relative toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin as well as the "bridging" concept were discussed.

The RMS provided in the addendum the differences in toxicity between cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin. Focusing on neurotoxicity a factor of 2 (difference using the NOAELs and LOAELs of rat studies) could be established showing that zeta-cypermethrin is more toxic than cypermethrin.



Furthermore, zeta-cypermethrin contains 44% of the most potent isomers compared to cypermethrin which contains these isomers at 22%. This represents a factor of 2.

The meeting agreed on the bridging approach with a factor of 2 as proposed in the DAR and in the addendum.

The dossier comprised studies performed with both zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin (as it is specified in the list of endpoints).

The compliance of batches tested in mammalian toxicology to the proposed specification was also discussed. Only the pilot plant is supported by the section on identity, physical and chemical properties.

Higher levels of several impurities were proposed in the current specification compared to the batches tested for mammalian toxicity. Clarification regarding those impurities was provided by the applicant and/or the RMS.

The PRAPeR meeting of experts agreed that impurity 6 and toluene are relevant but the levels proposed in the current specification are of no toxicological concern.

All other impurities were at comparable or higher levels in the batches tested for mammalian toxicity than in the current specification.

### 2.1. ABSORPTION, DISTRIBUTION, EXCRETION AND METABOLISM (TOXICOKINETICS)

Overall, oral absorption of zeta-cypermethrin is approximately 50% based on urinary excretion within 24 hours; faecal excretion represented a mean of 22% of the dose. It is widely distributed, with the majority of residues in fat and skin after 8 days. In humans, similarly to other mammals, ester cleavage and elimination of the cyclopropane carboxylic acid and phenoxybenzyl moieties are the major route of metabolism. Marked differences in the urinary metabolite profile were seen after dermal exposure.

The PRAPeR meeting of experts concluded that "danger of cumulative effects" (R33) was not applicable to zeta-cypermethrin. The RMS noted that it was usually applied for heavy metals, etc.

# 2.2. ACUTE TOXICITY

When zeta-cypermethrin is orally administered undiluted, it is toxic and therefore should be classified T, R25 ("toxic if swallowed") (LD50=86 mg/kg bw). Cypermethrin should be classified as "harmful for inhalation" (Xn, R20) and this would apply also to zeta cypermethrin (LC50=1.26 mg/L).

No toxic effects were observed after dermal exposure to zeta-cypermethrin.

Zeta-cypermethrin was not irritating to eyes or skin but is a skin sensitizer in a Buehler test (Xi, R43 – "irritant; may cause sensitisation by skin contact").



## 2.3. SHORT TERM TOXICITY

Oral administration of cypermethrin causes neurotoxic effects in rats and dogs: ataxia, splayed hindlimbs, unthriftiness, decreased activity, dehydration, abdomino-genital staining were observed in rats at doses near the MTD (70 mg/kg bw/day for zeta-cypermethrin). Dogs exhibited varying degrees of tremor activity and irregular gait, some animals were ataxic.

Dogs appeared to be more sensitive to neurotoxic effects of cypermethrin. When the 90-day dietary studies of rat and dog are compared, no signs of neurotoxicity were evident in rats at doses of 1500 ppm (150 mg/kg bw/d), while in dogs, neurotoxicity was evident at doses of 800 ppm (30 mg/kg bw/day) (dietary 90-day dog study). The relevant NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is derived from the 2-year feeding study in dogs (with cypermethrin).

During the meeting the rationale for proposing R48/22 ("danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure, if swallowed") was discussed: in several studies some mortalities were observed besides neurotoxic effects. In particular:

- 28-day rat study: neurotoxicity at 69 mg/kg bw/day and mortality at 102 mg/kg bw/day;
- 90-day rat study: mortality and neurotoxicity at 68 mg/kg bw/day;
- 2-generation rat study: mortality and clinical signs of neurotoxicity at 43 mg/kg bw/day.

## 2.4. GENOTOXICITY

Zeta-cypermethrin was tested in 4 in vitro and 1 in vivo study.

A slight increased mutation rate was seen in the Ames test in tester strain *TA 100* at 3333 and 10000 µg/plate with a maximum increase of 2-fold in mean revertants/plate at 10000 µg/plate (without metabolic activation). Chromosomal aberrations were not observed, zeta-cypermethrin did not cause a significant increase in the UDS DNA synthesis and did not induce *in vivo* chromosomal aberrations. Overall, it can be concluded that zeta-cypermethrin is not genotoxic.

## 2.5. LONG TERM TOXICITY

Only cypermethrin was tested in long term toxicity and carcinogenicity studies in rats and mice (2-year and 18- month studies, respectively).

The relevant NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is derived from the rat study, based on clinical signs of neurotoxicity as well as a decreased bodyweight gain accompanied by lower food consumption and less efficient food utilization.

Cypermethrin is not carcinogenic under experimental conditions.

## 2.6. REPRODUCTIVE TOXICITY

Zeta-cypermethrin was investigated in a 2-generation study in rats, at doses ranging from 7.5 to 750 ppm. The relevant parental and offspring NOAEL is 5.9 mg/kg bw/day (reduced pup bodyweights in



both generations during the lactation periods and pup mortality were reported at maternal toxic doses), while the reproductive NOAEL is 22 mg/kg bw/day.

Zeta-cypermethrin was tested in rats for developmental toxicity. While clear maternal toxicity occurred at 35 mg/kg bw/day, no foetotoxicity or developmental effects were observed in foetuses. In rabbits, cypermethrin was tested up to 120 mg/kg bw/day without producing maternal or developmental toxicity.

Relevant maternal NOAEL in rat and rabbit are 12.5 and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day, respectively; the relevant developmental NOAEL are 35 mg/kg bw/day in rat and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day in rabbit.

## 2.7. **NEUROTOXICITY**

Acute neurotoxicity was tested in rats, showing clinical signs and FOB effects at 50 mg/kg bw for zeta-cypermethrin (NOAEL 10 mg/kg bw) and at 100 mg/kg bw for cypermethrin (NOAEL 30 mg/kg bw). Subchronic toxicity NOAEL of zeta-cypermethrin was 5 mg/kg bw/day, based on increased landing foot splay and reduced motor activity in rats at 26 mg/kg bw/day, whereas for cypermethrin the NOAEL was set at 25 mg/kg bw/day for the occurrence of FOB effects in female rats at 65 mg/kg bw/day.

## 2.8. FURTHER STUDIES

No studies on metabolites were submitted.

A developmental neurotoxicity study in rats was summarised in the DAR showing a maternal and developmental toxicity NOAEL of 9 mg/kg bw/day based on reduced bodyweight gain. Zeta-cypermethrin did not show any developmental neurotoxic potential.

Placental and lactational transfer was investigated after dietary exposure of dams. Zeta-cypermethrin was identified in milk following dietary administration indicating that a transfer occurred from food to milk and that exposure of pups occurred via milk.

The proposal for classification of zeta-cypermethrin as R64 ("may cause harm to breastfed babies") was discussed in a meeting of experts. The RMS summarized the arguments for classification with R64 in an addendum. The proposal for classification is based on supplementary studies, where clear maternal toxicity was observed at 47.7 mg/kg bw/day. At this dose level, 7.8-11.55 ppm zeta-cypermethrin was measured in milk and toxic effects were noted in pups. At the lower dose of 17 mg/kg bw/day, there is no evidence of maternal toxicity, but there is a slight decrease of litter weight (not statistically significant) starting from PND11 to PND17. At this dose level, zeta-cypermethrin reaches 0.58-3.9 ppm in milk.

It was noted that the effects occurred when the pups began to eat treated diet and not very early during the lactation period. The RMS pointed out that this might be due to an accumulation of the



substance. However, there were no neurotoxic effects in the pups, just reduced bodyweight, even if the substance was found in the milk.

The meeting decided that classification with R64 is not warranted (to be confirmed by EChA).

### 2.9. MEDICAL DATA

According to the existing medical records from the manufacturing plant, none of the employees have experienced any detectable health effects apart from occasional and temporary paresthesia resulting from skin contact.

All recorded cases of poisoning involved mild symptoms of vertigo, nystagmus, drowsiness, emesis, hypertonia, nausea, headache, lassitude, anorexia, redness of the face, pyrexia, swelling of lymph nodes, slight conjunctivitis, cauterization of the eyes, slight effects on skin and ears and labored breathing. Severely poisoned patients may have convulsions, coma and pulmonary edema. Death may occur from respiratory paralysis. The prognosis is good with appropriate medical treatment with full recovery even in severely poisoned patients.

# 2.10. ACCEPTABLE DAILY INTAKE (ADI), ACCEPTABLE OPERATOR EXPOSURE LEVEL (AOEL) AND ACUTE REFERENCE DOSE (ARFD)

## <u>AD</u>I

During the meeting of experts, the value of 0.04 mg/kg bw/day was agreed for the ADI, based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day and a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin vs. cypermethrin.

#### **ARfD**

The ARfD of 0.125 mg/kg bw as proposed in the DAR was confirmed by the experts. The value was based on the developmental toxicity study in rats (NOAEL 12.5 mg/kg bw/day) supported by the acute neurotoxicity with zeta-cypermethrin, applying a SF of 100.

## **AOEL**

During the meeting, the experts discussed the most suitable study to be considered for setting the AOEL: the question was raised if the 1-year dog study should be used for the AOEL instead of the 90-day dog study because of a lower NOAEL. It was noted that also for cypermethrin and alphacypermethrin the 90-day study was used. It was concluded that the 1- and 2-year studies were more appropriate, with 7.5 mg/kg bw/day considered as the relevant overall NOAEL for subchronic dog studies.

An AOEL of 0.02 mg/kg bw/day was based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day using a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin and correcting for 50% oral absorption. This is supported by a read-across

through a 13-week neurotoxicity- and multigeneration study with zeta-cypermethrin with a NOAEL of 5.9 mg/kg bw/day.

### 2.11. DERMAL ABSORPTION

No specific studies were submitted. Based on the physico-chemical properties of zeta-cypermethrin (log Pow=5-6 and MW=416) a default value of 10% was proposed to be used for the operator exposure estimates to "Fury 10 EW". This was agreed in the PRAPeR meeting of experts.

## 2.12. EXPOSURE TO OPERATORS, WORKERS AND BYSTANDERS

Zeta-cypermethrin is formulated as an emulsion (100 g/L). It is proposed for applications in maize (maximum individual rate of 37.5 g a.s./ha) and in cereals and peas (maximum individual rate of 15 g a.s./ha).

Application in field crops occurs by tractor-mounted/trailed boom sprayer and application in high crops by tractor mounted/trailed broadcast air-assisted sprayer.

## **Operator**

Operator exposure was estimated according to the UK POEM model and the German model.

Model	Application method (crop)	Systemic exposure (mg/kg bw/day)		% of systemic AOEL	
		No PPE	PPE	No PPE	PPE*
UK POEM	Field crop	0.02422	0.01822	121	91
UK POEM	High crop	0.02236	0.02086	112	104
German	Field crop	0.00477	0.00182	24	9.1
German	High crop	0.00241	0.00188	12	9.4

<sup>\*</sup>Gloves during mixing/loading and during the application

## Worker

After the meeting the RMS has presented revised calculation of worker exposure in an addendum. The total absorbed dose by a worker was recalculated using a DFR of 3  $\mu$ g/cm²/kg a.s./ha, an inspection duration of 2 hours, and a bodyweight of 60 kg and compared with the revised AOEL=0.02 mg/kg bw/day.

$$D = DFR \times TF \times AR \times P,$$
 where:



- DFR is the dislodgeable foliar residue (0.003 mg a.s./cm² EUROPOEM agreed value),
- TF is the transfer factor (5000 cm<sup>2</sup>/person/h)
- WR is the working rate (2h/d (scouting task)
- AR is the application rate, which is maximally 0.0375 kg a.s./ha
- P is the penetration factor (100% if no PPE is used, 5% when PPE is used)
- Skin absorption of 10%

D=0.0019 mg a.s./kg bw/day

The estimated exposure for re-entry workers not wearing PPE is 9.5% of the AOEL.

The estimated exposure for re-entry workers wearing PPE is 0.47% of the AOEL.

### **Bystander**

After the meeting the RMS has presented revised calculation of bystander exposure in an addendum considering either Lloyd and Bell<sup>7</sup> or Ganzelmeier<sup>8</sup> models.

A surface area of 2 m<sup>2</sup> was used for calculation.

With the Lloyd and Bell model, using a bodyweight of 60 kg, the total systemic absorbed dose would be 0.000123 mg/kg bw/day, which would then represent 0.615% of the AOEL.

With the Ganzelmeier model, 2 m<sup>2</sup> surface area was considered, predicting a total exposure of 0.00004 mg/kg bw/day, which would account for 0.2% of the proposed AOEL.

## 3. Residues

Zeta-cypermethrin was discussed at the PRAPeR meeting of experts for residues (PRAPeR 50, subgroups 1 and 2, round 10) in June 2008.

## 3.1. NATURE AND MAGNITUDE OF RESIDUES IN PLANT

# 3.1.1. PRIMARY CROPS

No metabolism studies using <sup>14</sup>C-labelled zeta-cypermethrin were provided. In order to support the intended uses of zeta-cypermethrin on maize, cereals and peas, the applicant has submitted plant metabolism studies performed with <sup>14</sup>C-cypermethrin labelled either on the benzyl ring or the

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Lloyd GA, Bell GJ (1983). Hydraulic nozzles: Comparative spray drift study. AHU report n°122

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Ganzelmeier H, Rautmann D, Spangenberg R, Streloke M, Herrmann M, Wenzelburger H-J, Walter HF Studies on the spray drift of plant protection products. Mitteilungen aus der Biologischen Bundensanstalt für Land- und Forstwirtschaft Berlin-Dahlem. Heft 305, 1995. Updated: BBA (2000:1).



cyclopropyl moiety. Several groups of plants were investigated: root crops (sugar beet), cereals (maize), pulses/oilseeds (cotton), fruit crops (apples) and leafy crops (lettuce).

A metabolic pathway could be drawn for cypermethrin in the different crop categories and a residue definition could be established in plant matrices. The major degradation pathway of cypermethrin starts with the hydrolytic cleavage of the ester linkage of the parent molecule that yields *cis/trans* DCVA<sup>9</sup> under its free form and the *m*PBAldehyde<sup>10</sup>, this latter metabolite being further oxidised or reduced to the corresponding *m*PBAcid<sup>11</sup> or *m*PBAlcohol<sup>12</sup> followed by glycoside conjugation. The *cis/trans* DCVA, *m*PBAlcohol, *m*PBAcid and their hydroxy derivates are further conjugated mainly with sugars to form the glycosides, sulphate glycosides or malonyl glycosides. In a lower extent, a minor way identified was the initial hydroxylation of the parent cypermethrin with further hydrolysis, oxidation and reduction reactions. In all cases, unchanged cypermethrin was the predominant residue accounting for *c.a.* more than 50% of the TRR in beetroot foliage and roots, maize fodder and silage, apple peel and pulp and lettuce leaves. Cypermethrin was therefore considered as a valid indicator of the level of contamination of commodities. Every metabolite formed in plant was also observed in the rat metabolism.

In an addendum the RMS pointed out the respective characteristics of cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin. Cypermethrin is an ester pyrethroid having three chiral carbons:

- 1. At the third carbon of the cyclopropane ring giving the *cis/trans* isomers. The *trans*-isomer is much more readily hydrolysed by esterases, contributing to a lower toxic potential than the *cis*-isomer.
- 2. At the first carbon of cyclopropane ring leading to the 1R and 1S isomers,
- 3. At the cyano-carbon bearing group, leading to the  $\alpha S/\alpha R$  isomers. In mammals, as in insects, the  $\alpha S$  configuration greatly enhanced the acute neurotoxicity while the R epimer ( $\alpha R$ ) is not toxic (public literature: Soderlund et al., 2002).

Both cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin are mixtures of the 8 different isomers (comprising 4 pairs of diastereoisomers) having a *cis/trans* isomeric ratio of c.a. 50/50. The difference in the two active substances is that cypermethrin has a 50/50  $\alpha$ S/ $\alpha$ R ratio, whereas zeta-cypermethrin is enriched in the  $\alpha$ S-enantiomer, which is the most toxic isomer with a ratio of *c.a.* 88/12.

The meeting of experts discussed whether the plant metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin are relevant for zeta-cypermethrin, and especially if a preferential metabolism may be suspected for

19 of 119

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> cis/trans DCVA: (1R,3RS)-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid

mPBAldehyde: 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde
 mPBAcid: 3-phenoxybenzoic acid
 mPBAcohol (3-phenoxyphenyl)methanol



some isomers with a special impact on toxicology, taking into account the toxicological potency of each individual isomer. Experts were informed that the applicant provided by early 2008 a bridging study on maize to detail the respective metabolism of both cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin, and to clarify the ratios of *cis/trans* isomers and  $\alpha S/\alpha R$  enantiomers in the plant residues. However, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, this study could not be considered in the peer review.

Little information was available in the DAR concerning a possible isomeric conversion for cypermethrin, except in the metabolism study performed on apple where the *cis/trans* isomer ratio was followed. In this study an isomeric conversion from the *cis-* to the *trans*-isomer was observed in peel and leaves at a rate of 12% and 25%, respectively; so from the more toxic to the less toxic isomers. At the opposite, no data were available on the possible evolution of the  $\alpha$ S/ $\alpha$ R ratio. Nevertheless, taking into account the  $\alpha$ S/ $\alpha$ R ratio of 88/12% in zeta-cypermethrin, the meeting was of the opinion that the increase of the toxicity level of the residues would not be significant if a total conversion from the less toxic isomers ( $\alpha$ R) to the more toxic isomers ( $\alpha$ S) is envisaged. Moreover, the residue levels observed in the representative crops (cereals, maize and pea) account finally for a small portion of the ADI and ARfD values and the possible increase of toxicity due to an isomeric conversion would not cause a concern for the consumer.

Finally, the meeting was of the opinion that the possible isomeric conversion from the less toxic to the more toxic isomers would not be significant for the considered uses on cereals, maize and peas and that a residue definition can be proposed based on the metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin. Nevertheless, if future additional uses lead to a potential increase of the residues by consumers, the bridging metabolism study performed on maize and submitted early 2008 would have to be considered.

Taking into account the various cypermethrins available on the world market (alpha-cypermethrin, zeta-cypemethrin, theta-cypermethrin, etc.), the meeting of experts concluded that a global residue should be the most suitable for plant commodities and proposed for both monitoring and risk assessment to set the residue definition as **cypermethrin**, **including other mixtures of constituent isomers** (sum of isomers).

Numerous supervised residue trials conducted with zeta-cypermethtrin on maize, winter wheat, winter barley, triticale, oat and pea were submitted. Clarifications were provided in the addendum of May 2008 on the criteria used to retain or to exclude some trials. In particular, trials have been rejected since growth stages at last application were not in compliance with the critical GAP and/or the analytical methods were not sufficiently validated. It was agreed that for cereals, the growth stage is a better control point for the selection than the PHI since an application at a later stage than the



requested one leads to higher residues in straw and by means, to an overestimation of the residue intake by animals. Concerning the analytical methods, the applicant submitted additional validation data, however, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review. After the meeting the RMS updated the initial addendum (July 2008), giving clear indications on the selected trials retained for the MRL setting.

The meeting of experts discussed the validity of the storage stability studies with regard to the revised data provided by the RMS in the May 2008 addendum, where residue levels at the different sampling times from the original DAR were presented uncorrected for average procedural recoveries. On plant, no degradation was observed for zeta-cypermethrin in pea and cereal matrices for a storage period up to 15 months. In addition, storage stability studies performed with cypermethrin using field-incurred samples (lettuce, tomato and cottonseed) or spiked samples (apple, cabbage) indicated that residues were stable over 12 and 18 months, respectively, when stored at –18°C. The meeting agreed that there was no degradation for plant matrices and that residue trials were analysed within this time period. For livestock matrices, storage studies were conducted to determine the stability of cypermethrin and its metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and *m*PBAcid. Some instability was seen in eggs for *m*PBAcid (recovery 64%) and in poultry muscle and liver for *cis*-DCVA (56-58 %) within three and six months but this was not seen in the ruminant tissues. However, the experts agreed that this slight degradation observed at some time points was not an issue and that the results are globally acceptable.

No processing studies were provided, considering that no significant residues were observed in any commodities supporting the representative uses. Nevertheless, the EFSA noted that the cypermethrin hydrolysis breakdown product, mPBAldehyde, was found in a tomato processing study, and this compound is suspected of endocrine activity. The meeting noted that from literature there are evidences that mPBAldehyde has oestrogenic properties but this concern is not relevant for the intended uses supported by the applicant. This point should be re-considered when additional uses become relevant.

## 3.1.2. SUCCEEDING AND ROTATIONAL CROPS

A rotational crop study including wheat, sugar beet, lettuce and cotton was conducted with cypermethrin at the excessive application rate of 1000 g/ha (27X). The meeting discussed whether this rotational crop study should be considered acceptable with regard to the high application rate and the fact that non-negligible amounts of radioactivity were also detected in the control plants. The experts agreed that despite the high application rate, the total radioactivity at harvest remained limited with a maximum level of 0.06-0.07 mg/kg in wheat grain, wheat chaff and wheat straw and for the shorter plant-back interval. **This suggests that following normal agricultural practices, cypermethrin** 



residue levels in the edible parts of the rotational crops will be below 0.01 mg/kg. Moreover, and considering the highest  $DT_{90}$  of 93 days observed for cypermethrin (median  $DT_{90f}$  59 days for zeta-cypermethrin), the meeting was of the opinion that no further rotational crop study should be required.

#### 3.2. NATURE AND MAGNITUDE OF RESIDUES IN LIVESTOCK

As for plants, the applicant submitted animal metabolism studies performed with <sup>14</sup>C-cypermethrin in order to support the uses of zeta-cypermethrin. The metabolism has been investigated in lactating cows and laying hens using both labelling on cyclopropyl- and benzyl moiety. These studies demonstrated that following oral administration, the total radioactivity was rapidly eliminated in urine and faeces. In lactating cow, less than 0.2%-0.5% of the administered dose was recovered in milk and less than 0.05% in edible tissues. In laying hen, the majority of the administered radioactivity was recovered in the excreta (up to 99 %), against 0.1-0.3 % in the eggs and 0.7-1.8 % in the edible tissues.

No metabolite identification was performed in cow milk, muscle and fat due to the levels of total radioactivity (maximum TRR: 0.029 mg/kg in milk, <0.04 mg/kg in muscle). Parent cypermethrin was recovered at a low level in liver and kidney, the main compound in these organs being the *m*PBAcid and its amino acids conjugates with the glutamic acid and glycine. In the laying hen study, parent compound was recovered as the predominant residue in fat (up to 70% TRR) and egg yolk (up to 29% TRR), *m*PBAcid and its conjugates being major in liver.

Based on these studies a metabolic pathway was proposed for cypermethrin in animals. The major route of degradation consisted of ester linkage through hydrolysis to generate the *cis* and *trans* DCVA moiety (although not demonstrated in ruminants as no residue characterisation was performed in the study performed with the <sup>14</sup>C-cyclopropyl label) and the *m*PBAldehyde which undergoes further oxidation and/or reduction to the corresponding acid (*m*PBAcid) or alcohol (*m*PBAlcohol) and their hydroxy products. All the identified metabolites were also recovered in the rat metabolism.

The meeting of experts discussed whether the metabolism of the cyclopropyl moiety has been sufficiently investigated in ruminants. The additional data from literature concerning this moiety presented in the addendum of May 2008 were not considered in the peer review in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007. This point remains open and has to be re-considered. Nevertheless, taking into account the complete metabolic pathway in poultry, and the data from the rat study indicating a similar pathway, the meeting of experts considered that there was enough evidence to propose the following residue definition for monitoring and risk assessment for animal products "cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)".



Feeding studies on dairy cattle and poultry were performed using cypermethrin. The livestock dietary burden calculation was updated in the addendum of July 2008 and the RMS confirmed after the meeting that the dosages applied in the feeding study on ruminants were effectively those initially stated in the DAR, and that the values discussed during the meeting were correct. Samples were analysed for parent and for the metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and *m*PBAcid. For dairy cattle, at the lower dose rate investigated of 5 mg/kg diet, corresponding to *c.a.* 30 times the estimated animal burden for dairy cattle, the highest cypermethrin residue levels were 0.012 mg/kg in liver, 0.018 mg/kg in milk, 0.031 mg/kg in muscle, 0.103 in milk cream and 0.182 mg/kg in peritoneal fat. No metabolites were detected except *cis*-DCVA in peritoneal fat and milk cream (0.029 and 0017 mg/kg, respectively) and *trans*-DCVA in kidney and in peritoneal fat (0.026 mg/kg). In the poultry study, no residues of parent or of any metabolite were detected above the LOQ in eggs and poultry tissues at the minimum dose level of 2 mg/kg diet, corresponding to more than 50 times the estimated dietary burden. Therefore it was concluded that no measurable residues are expected above the LOQ in the different animal products through consumption of treated feed items (cereal grains, maize and pulses) and MRLs were proposed at LOQ values.

### 3.3. CONSUMER RISK ASSESSMENT

The meeting agreed that for zeta-cypermethrin a consumer risk assessment has to be conducted using the toxicological reference values set for this active substance and the representative uses supported in the DAR. Nevertheless, considering the various mixtures of cypermethrin isomers available on the world market (alpha-cypermethrin, zeta-cypemethrin, theta-cypermethrin, etc.), the meeting of experts concluded on the need of a global risk assessment taking into account the other sources of exposure resulting from the uses of all other possible isomer mixtures and the different toxicological reference values set for the different isomeric mixtures of cypermethrin.

For zeta-cypermethrin, considering the representative uses on maize, cereals, peas and pulses and the ADI value of 0.04 mg/kg bw/day, no chronic concern was observed, the theoretical maximum daily intake (TMDI) being less than 2% of the ADI, irrespective of the model used (WHO, German or UK). The acute exposure estimates based on UK consumption indicates that the maximum national estimated short term intake (NESTI) is less than 1% of ARfD for wheat.

### 3.4. PROPOSED MRLS

The additional residues trial data and validation data provided by the applicant early 2008 were not considered in the peer review in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007. Therefore some MRL proposals have to be considered as provisional awaiting the full evaluation of these data. The supervised residue trials were performed using zeta-cypermethrin and the residue was analysed and determined as total cypermethrin (sum of isomers).



- On maize, a provisional MRL of 0.02\* mg/kg was proposed based on Northern European trials and pending the results of further trials supporting the critical dose rate of 37.5 g a.s./ha with an application at the critical growth stage (BBCH 63-65; 50% male flowering) and using a validated analytical method. Moreover, residue trials are requested in Northern and Southern Europe on maize forage.
- On cereals, the residue database was considered sufficient to propose an MRL of 0.02\* mg/kg on winter wheat (and triticale by extrapolation) and, pending further residue trials and validation data, a provisional MRL of 0.05 mg/kg on winter barley (and oats by extrapolation).
- On peas the residue database was considered sufficient to propose an MRL of 0.02\* mg/kg on pea without pods and a provisional MRL of 0.02\* mg/kg on pea with pods, pending the validation of the analytical method for pea with pods.
- On pulses, a provisional MRL of 0.02\* mg/kg is proposed awaiting additional trials in Northern EU.

# 4. Environmental fate and behaviour

Zeta-cypermethrin was discussed at the PRAPeR experts' meeting for environmental fate and behaviour PRAPeR 47 in May 2008. Cypermethrins contain 8 enantiomers. When analysed using non-chiral chromatography techniques 4 peaks can be resolved. Zeta-cypermethrin contains predominantly 4 isomers (the 1S cis S, 1R cis S, 1S trans S and 1R trans S isomers), with the other 4 being defined as impurities so are present at low levels. It should also be noted that the methods of analysis used in the fate and behaviour studies with the exception of the sterile aqueous hydrolysis study were not stereo-selective. Therefore the regulatory dossier provides no information on the behaviour of individual enantiomers in the environment. Whilst in the non-chiral analyses information on the potentially resolvable diastereoisomers are potentially available, the results for individual diastereoisomers were not reported in the DAR. Therefore all active substance residues reported in this conclusion are for the sum of whichever of the 8 enantiomers were present in a sample. Therefore, based on the available information, it is unclear if any isomers are degraded preferentially compared to the others in the environmental matrices studied or if epimerisation may occur (as was observed under sterile alkaline aqueous hydrolysis conditions, see section 4.2.1, which were the only conditions where this was investigated).



## 4.1. FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN SOIL

#### 4.1.1. ROUTE OF DEGRADATION IN SOIL

A soil route of degradation study carried out with zeta-cypermethrin as dosing material is not available. The most pertinent information in the applicant's dossier (agreed as being relied on for regulatory assessment) were experiments in a single soil (USDA<sup>13</sup> sandy loam, pH6.9, organic carbon (oc) 1.04%) where cypermethrin labelled in either the cyclopropyl or benzyl rings was dosed. These experiments were carried out under aerobic conditions in the laboratory (25°C and 75% 1/3 bar moisture holding capacity (MHC) in the dark). The formation of residues not Soxhlet extracted by acetonitrile/water were a sink that accounted for 12 and 26 % of the different applied radiolabels (AR) respectively after 91 days. Mineralisation to carbon dioxide accounted for 25 and 27 % AR respectively after 91 days. The only metabolites identified were DCVA<sup>14</sup> (max. 24 % AR after 62 days) and mPBAcid (max. 8.4 % AR after 30 days).

The experts from the member states discussed the case made by the applicant that was evaluated by the RMS in the addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008 (section B.8.1.1), on why it would be appropriate to extrapolate the route of soil degradation evident from this study dosed with cypermethrin, to support the assessment of the route of soil degradation of zeta-cypermethrin. The experts confirmed that, based on the RMS evaluation presented in the DAR, this study as described above appeared to be an acceptable study for supporting the assessment of the route of degradation of cypermethrin and is probably also representative for the route of degradation of zeta-cypermethrin with regard to the main metabolites that will be formed. I.e. they agreed that the degradation pathway identified in the study will probably be representative of the degradation pathway of zetacypermetrhin. However, the level of potential breakdown products that may be formed from zetacypermethrin could be different to that observed in the available study dosed with cypermethrin. The experts considered that, based on the evidence of the cypermethrin study, zeta-cypermethrin metabolites above 5% AR may well occur over relatively prolonged periods (unidentified fractions in chromatography from the cypermethrin study were in the range of 3-6% AR; i.e. potentially equivalent to up to 6-12% AR had zeta-cypermethrin been dosed). The experts also noted that this potential concern is confounded by the fact that for the available cyclopropyl radiolabel experiment, recoveries were poor over the period from days 60 to 150. The experts therefore concluded that there was a data gap and that a new aerobic soil laboratory route of degradation study dosed with zetacypermethrin was necessary to enable an appropriate environmental exposure assessment to be completed (confirm if additional metabolites >5% AR that would trigger an assessment of leaching to groundwater are present). As a new study was considered necessary, the experts indicated that any new study carried out in the future should be carried out using chiral analytical methods, at least for some representative sampling times.

<sup>14</sup> information on isomer ratio not reported in the DAR / addendum to the DAR

. .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> United States Department of Agriculture soil classification



The experts also discussed the studies Harvey (1991), Standen (1976) and Standen (1978), as results from these references were an integral part of the argumentation put forward by the applicant (see addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008, final addendum pages 129 to 132) why further data on the route of degradation of zeta-cypermethrin were not necessary. The experts agreed that these studies should not be relied on for regulation due to the deficiencies already highlighted by the RMS in the DAR. However, in one of these studies (Standen, 1976, where the deficiencies identified were that the material balance was not available, as volatiles were not trapped and samples were only taken at 4 intervals) the metabolite mPBAcid was reported to have been present at up to 29% AR after 112 days. Consequently, experts agreed that mPBAcid had to be considered as a major metabolite. Whilst it was agreed that the study Sakata (1986) could be considered to provide useful supporting information (conclusion of the RMS in the DAR), there is little other reliable information the results from this study can support. As a consequence, whilst the applicant's argumentation as presented in the addendum regarding the relative degradation rates of the cis and trans isomers of zetacypermethrin being equivalent to that seen for cypermethrin is plausible, the experimental data for cypermethrin assessed were considered insufficient to be relied on as a basis to extrapolate to the likely behaviour of *cis* and *trans* isomers of zeta-cypermethrin.

There was no reliable information on the soil degradation of cypermethrin or zeta-cypermethrin under anaerobic laboratory conditions provided by the applicant. The experts from member states discussed if anaerobic conditions could be excluded for the applied for intended uses being assessed at EU level (this was the position of the RMS). The experts agreed that soil residues were unlikely to encounter anaerobic soil conditions considering the degradation rate of zeta-cypermethrin in soil under aerobic conditions (see section 4.1.2) when considering the timing of application associated with the uses requested on peas and maize. However, it was concluded that this could not be excluded completely for the uses on cereals (specifically the potential autumn applications to winter cereals for virus vector control) in all EU territories. Therefore, a data gap was identified for a laboratory anaerobic soil degradation study, but these data are only necessary to support the applied for intended use of autumn application to winter cereals or any other use that may be requested with autumn applications, in territories where anaerobic soil conditions cannot be excluded.

A laboratory natural light soil photolysis study dosed with cypermethrin was agreed by the experts from member states to indicate that degradation by photolysis would not be expected to be a process that significantly influences the dissipation of zeta-cypermethrin in the environment (see addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008 pages 137 to 139 of the final addendum, where the RMS re-evaluation of this study (Estigoy *et. al*, 1991) can be found). The study Swales (2003) summarised on final addendum pages 139 to 143 was not considered in the peer review in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007.



# 4.1.2. PERSISTENCE OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THEIR METABOLITES, DEGRADATION OR REACTION PRODUCTS

In the single satisfactory route of degradation study described at section 4.1.1 above, where cypermethrin was dosed a single first order linear regression  $DT_{50}$  of 60 days was estimated (25°C and 75% 1/3 bar MHC). When normalised to FOCUS reference conditions 15 (20°C using a Q10 of 2.2 and -10kPa soil moisture) this  $DT_{50}$  is 89 days. The conclusion of the peer review was that no other reliable laboratory soil  $DT_{50}$  were available (including cypermethrin dosed studies) in the applicant's dossier, as already discussed in section 4.1.1 above. However, contrary to the RMS' proposal in the DAR, the member state experts considered that additional laboratory soil degradation studies where zeta-cypermethrin was dosed were not necessary, as  $DT_{50}$  for the active substance derived from the available field studies where zeta-cypermethrin was dosed were sufficient to finalise the environmental exposure assessment for the active substance.

For the identified major soil metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and *m*PBAcid, satisfactory laboratory soil degradation studies (20°C and 42-50% maximum water holding capacity (MWHC)) were available in 3 soils where these materials were applied as test substances. In the DCVA dosed studies single first order linear regression DT<sub>50</sub> were 2.7 to 11 days (FOCUS reference condition values 2.4 to 9.5 days, geometric mean 4.2 days). For *m*PBAcid in 2 of the soils biphasic fitting was carried out. The range of single first order linear regression DT<sub>50</sub> for *m*PBAcid were 3 to 7 days (slower second phase values for the 2 soils where a biphasic fit was employed, FOCUS reference condition values 2.7 to 7 days, geometric mean 4.3 days). Further details regarding the kinetic fitting for these metabolite values can be found in the addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008 on pages 177 to 182 of the final addendum.

Satisfactory field soil dissipation studies (bare soil) were provided from six sites, four of which were located in Germany, 1 in southern France and 1 in Italy where applications were made in May and June, where zeta-cypermethrin was applied as the test substance. At the German field trial sites only residues of component isomers of the active substance were analysed for. At the French and Italian trial sites, in addition to analysis for residues of component isomers of the active substance, the methods used also determined residues of *m*PBAcid and isomers of DCVA. At the 4 German sites, residues were determined over the 0-5cm soil layer (though sampled to 30cm residues were not detected (> 0.001mg/kg) below 5cm). At these trial sites DT<sub>50</sub> for the sum of the component isomers of the active substance were estimated to be 6 to 24 days with the associated DT<sub>90</sub> being 42 to 80 days (best fit kinetics using linear regression and with the exception of the Rodenbach trial site, the pattern of decline did not conform to a first order curve). At the southern French and Italian sites, residues were determined over the 0-5cm soil layer (though sampled to 100cm, residues were not detected (> 0.003mg/kg) below 5cm). At these trial sites non linear regression single first order DT<sub>50</sub> for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Using section 2.4.2 of the generic guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios, version 1.1 dated April 2002.



sum of the component isomers of the active substance were estimated to be 21 and 11 days ( $DT_{90}$  71 and 37 days, kinetic fits presented in the addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008 on pages 185 to 186 of the final addendum). For *m*PBAcid, single first order  $DT_{50}$  of 4.8 and 5.9 days were estimated (associated kinetic formation fractions 0.033 and 0.068, respectively; see addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008, pages 187 and 189 of the final addendum). At the Italian site only, a single first order  $DT_{50}$  of 2.9 days was estimated for *trans*-DCVA (associated kinetic formation fractions 0.062; see addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008, page 188 of the final addendum). *Cis*-DCVA was not detected in the samples taken at either trial site. *Trans*-DCVA was not detected in the samples taken at the French trial site.

The peer review agreed that the field dissipation studies evaluated in the DAR that were carried out in the USA were not useful to support the EU assessment.

The member state experts agreed the kinetic assessment of the six European field studies that was carried out for residues of component isomers of the active substance that follows the time step normalisation approach to FOCUS reference conditions (20°C using a Q10 of 2.2 and -10kPa soil moisture using a Walker equation coefficient of 0.7) and followed FOCUS kinetics guidance. This agreed assessment is set out in the addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008, section B.8.1.3.1. This assessment results in reference condition single first order DT<sub>50</sub> of 8.6, 9.3 and 12.8 days (from the 3 German sites excluding Christinenthal). For the other three trial sites the first order multi compartment model better described the residue decline and the DT<sub>50</sub> were 7.8<sup>16</sup>, 8.4<sup>17</sup> and 11<sup>18</sup> days (associated DT<sub>90</sub> 50.5, 89.2 and 93.3 days respectively). To calculate a geometric mean single first order value for use in FOCUS modelling the FOMC DT<sub>90</sub> were divided by 3.32 to provide an appropriate estimate of a normalised first order DT<sub>50</sub> to represent these three trial sites (the resulting values are 15.2, 26.9 and 28.1 days). The resulting FOCUS reference condition geometric mean single first order DT<sub>50</sub> for residues of component isomers of the active substance is 15.1 days.

The experts agreed that for PEC soil for zeta-cypermethrin it was appropriate to use the longest not normalised field first order  $DT_{50}$  of 24 days in calculations (as the  $DT_{90}$  at this site (80 days) was also the longest value). For the metabolites it was agreed that the longest not normalised first order  $DT_{50}$  values (laboratory values) would be the appropriate values to use (DCVA 11 days and *m*PBAcid 7 days), though for the applied for intended uses in the EU level assessment it was sufficient to just use the initial PEC for the maize use to cover the applied for intended uses. The PEC soil of the metabolites were calculated assuming 100% formation from the active substance due to uncertainties in the reliability of the measured formation fractions in the available laboratory studies dosed with

 $^{17}$   $\alpha$ = 0.881522  $\beta$ =7.0614

1

 $<sup>^{16} \</sup>alpha = 1.41678 \quad \beta = 12.3728$ 

 $<sup>^{18} \</sup>alpha = 1.05707 \quad \beta = 11.9102$ 



cypermethrin (that with one exception had employed limited numbers of sampling intervals). The values agreed are in appendix 1.

# 4.1.3. MOBILITY IN SOIL OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THEIR METABOLITES, DEGRADATION OR REACTION PRODUCTS

The adsorption/desorption of cypermethrin (results reported as sum of isomers) was investigated in 4 soils in satisfactory batch adsorption experiments. Calculated adsorption  $K_f$ oc values varied from 72405 to 285652 mL/g, (arithmetic mean 121786 mL/g) (1/n 1.15 – 1.47, mean 1.3). There was no evidence of a correlation of adsorption with pH.

The adsorption/desorption of mPBAcid was investigated in 3 soils in satisfactory batch adsorption experiments. Calculated adsorption  $K_f$ oc values varied from 118 to 215 mL/g, (arithmetic mean 152 mL/g) (1/n 0.65 – 0.67, mean 0.66). There was no clear evidence of a correlation of adsorption with pH, though no alkaline soils were investigated.

The adsorption/desorption of *trans*-DCVA was investigated in 3 soils in satisfactory batch adsorption experiments. Calculated adsorption  $K_f$  or values varied from 18 to 48 mL/g, (arithmetic mean 28 mL/g) (1/n 0.56 – 0.81, mean 0.64). There was no clear evidence of a correlation of adsorption with pH, though no alkaline soils were investigated, all adsorption values are low.

No information was provided on the soil adsorption of *cis*-DCVA. (*Note*: whilst this metabolite was not detected (>0.003mg/kg) in the European field dissipation studies, it was detected in the not relied on USA field studies when cypermethrin was applied as test substance at up to 0.04mg/kg (see appendix 1 section B.8 of the DAR)). The data gap identified in section 4.1.1 for a satisfactory aerobic laboratory soil route of degradation study where zeta-cypermethrin is dosed, needs to be filled to allow further consideration of whether information on the soil adsorption of *cis*-DCVA might be necessary to complete the soil mobility assessment.

## 4.2. FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN WATER

# 4.2.1. SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT

Zeta-cypermethrin was stable under sterile hydrolysis conditions at 50°C at pH 4. At pH 7 at 25°C a first order DT<sub>50</sub> of 25 days was estimated (calculated as sum of the component isomers); at this temperature at pH 9 zeta-cypermethrin was very labile. In the pH 9 experiment analysis by chiral chromatography indicated that epimerisation occurred in a way that all 8 isomers were in equilibrium (12.5% of each isomer). This analysis was not done at pH 7. The hydrolytic breakdown products of zeta-cypermethrin were not identified (test substance was not radiolabelled). The metabolites mPBAcid, mPBAlcohol, cis-DCVA and trans-DCVA were shown to be stable to aqueous hydrolysis (evidence from pH 4, 7 and 9, 50°C experiments).



In a laboratory study where the direct aqueous photolysis of zeta-cypermethrin as test substance under sterile conditions was investigated, a rate of degradation (single first order DT<sub>50</sub>) of 3.05 test system days (calculated as sum of the component isomers) continuous illumination for a light path length of 1cm was determined (dark control value 6.7 days). When equated to Central European May sunlight for the 1cm light path length this value is 1.5 days, for a 1m light path length the value is 14 days. The photolytic breakdown products of zeta-cypermethrin were not identified (the test substance was not radiolabelled). In a laboratory study where the direct aqueous photolysis of *m*PBAcid as test substance under sterile conditions was investigated at pH 4, 7 and 9, the rates of degradation (single first order DT<sub>50</sub>) of 1.07, 2.83 and 4.07 days continuous illumination respectively for a light path length of 1cm were determined. When equated to Central European May sunlight for the 1cm light path length these values as calculated by the applicant are 77, 120 and 170 days, respectively. For a 1m light path length the values are 900, 1800 and 1600 days, respectively.

Though hydrolysis and photolysis metabolites were not identified, the EFSA considers that exceptionally in this case the exposure assessment can be completed without these data utilising the results of the dark aerobic sediment water study as a good indication of the expected breakdown products in natural aquatic systems, as the expected rapid partitioning of the active substance to sediment (active substance has very high adsorption values, see section 4.1.3) will limit the potential for aqueous photolysis.

A data gap exists for a ready biodegradability test. In the absence of a peer reviewed ready biodegradability study zeta-cypermethrin must be considered not readily biodegradable. The study Dengler (2007) summarised in section B.8.4.3 of the addendum to the DAR B.8 dated July 2008 could not be considered in the peer review in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007.

In water-sediment studies (2 systems studied at 20°C in the laboratory, sediment pH 7.6 and 8.1, water pH 8.6 and 8.4) the applied zeta-cypermethrin (labelled in either the cyclopropyl or benzyl rings) partitioned very rapidly from the water phase to sediment where it consequently degraded with low persistence (biphasic pattern of decline, DT<sub>50</sub> 1.5 to 2.5 days, DT<sub>90</sub> 16 to 26 days). The metabolites formed: *m*PBAcid, *cis*-DCVA and *trans*-DCVA were then found predominantly in the water phase (max. 33 to 38 % AR, 16 to 20 % AR and 35 to 47 % AR in water, respectively, but only max. 5 to 6 % AR, 2 to 3 % AR and 5 to 8 % AR in sediment, respectively). Whole system DT<sub>50</sub> estimated for the three metabolites from the maximum observed occurrence considered by EFSA to represent graphical estimates, were 13 to 145 days, 63 to 79 days and 95 to 117 days respectively. The formation of sediment residues not Soxhlet extracted by acetonitrile/water were a sink that accounted for 16 to 22% AR and 26 to 27 % AR of the different radiolabels, respectively after 99



days. Mineralisation to carbon dioxide accounted for 16 to 21 % AR and 52 to 58 % AR, respectively after 99 days.

The experts agreed that for step 3 and 4 FOCUSsw calculations, sum of the component isomers of the active substance, a surface water DT<sub>50</sub> of 1000 days (default) and sediment single first order DT<sub>50</sub> of 6.12 days (geometric mean whole system value, estimated by dividing the biphasic DT<sub>90</sub> of 16 and 26 days by 3.32) were the most appropriate values to use as input in FOCUS scenario modelling. The other component isomers of the active substance properties agreed as the most appropriate for step 3 and 4 calculations were: the geometric mean single first order soil DT<sub>50</sub> of 15.1 days and the arithmetic mean  $K_{foc}$  of 121786 mL/g (1/n 1.3). For the metabolites, when using the FOCUSsw step 1 and 2 calculator, the substance input parameters as set out on pages 8-71 and 8-72 of the DAR were agreed as appropriate (close enough to the correct parameters). However, it was noted that the step 2 calculations were not correct as the run-off percentages assumed were not appropriate for the applied for intended uses. Of greater concern was the issue that in the further step 3 and 4 simulations provided before the meeting of member state experts, an inappropriate water dissipation single first order DT<sub>50</sub> of 0.1 days had still been used (as clarified in addendum to the DAR B.8 as revised in July 2008, pages 173 to 174 of the final addendum). This was done even though this had been identified as inappropriate in the comments provided by member states on the step 3 simulations that had been presented in the original DAR. Therefore, the time weighted average values from these step 3 and 4 simulations as reported in the addendum must not be used for risk assessment (and are not included in appendix 1). It was clear, that for a substance with a  $K_{foc}$  of 121786 mL/g, spray drift will usually be the dominant route of entry to surface water, so in these FOCUS step 3 and 4 calculations the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile spray drift values from a single application should have been simulated for the applied for intended uses on cereals and peas to give global maximum surface water concentrations for the risk assessment. This was not done, the lower spray drift assumptions resulting from 2 applications (82<sup>nd</sup> percentiles) were used in the simulations. Therefore, the only global maximum PEC in surface water available generated in accordance with the agreed FOCUS surface water guidance in relation to drift parameterisation are those for the applied for intended use on maize (where only a single application was applied for). The results of the 2 application simulations for peas and cereals would have been appropriate to generate the PEC in sediment for these applied for intended uses. However, the simulated sediment concentrations were not provided in the report of the modelling. Therefore, a data gap is identified for FOCUS surface water step 4 simulations for component isomers of cypermethrin that utilise appropriate DT<sub>50</sub> values, simulate single applications as well as multiple applications and report results for sediment concentrations as well as surface water concentrations. In the absence of these calculations the EFSA considers that risk managers should take as the best characterisation of exposure concentrations for use in aquatic risk assessment the following maximum surface water values. These are SWASH drift calculator estimates for cereals, peas and 25m for maize but the global maximum PEC at baseline distance and 20m from addendum B.8 as revised in



July 2008 for maize and the R4 stream scenario result for peas, where a run-off event must have contributed to the PECsw estimated:

	FOCUS baseline distance		20m no spray drift buffer		25m <sup>19</sup> no spray
	PECsw (µg/L)		zone PECsw (µg/L)		drift buffer zone
					PECsw (µg/L)
	Ditch or stream	pond	Ditch or stream	pond	Ditch or stream
Maize	0.131-0.19	0.00759	0.015 to 0.021	0.00323	*0.0145
Cereal and peas	*0.0964	*0.0033	*0.0072	*0.0014	*0.0058
peas			0.0122 R4 stream		_

<sup>\*</sup>Note: these values that are derived from the SWASH drift calculator exclude run-off and drainage inputs which may become of significance as drift is increasingly mitigated.

# **4.2.2.** POTENTIAL FOR GROUND WATER CONTAMINATION OF THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE, THEIR METABOLITES, DEGRADATION OR REACTION PRODUCTS

Following FOCUSgw scenarios guidance<sup>20</sup> the most appropriate substance parameters for use in FOCUSgw scenario modelling based on the available (June 2008) data were: component isomers of the active substance single first order soil  $DT_{50}$  15.1 days,  $K_{foc}$  121786 mL/g, 1/n=1.3; mPBAcid single first order soil  $DT_{50}$  4.3 days, formation fraction from isomers of the active substance 1,  $K_{foc}$  152 mL/g, 1/n=0.66; DCVA single first order soil  $DT_{50}$  4.2 days, formation fraction from isomers of the active substance 1,  $K_{foc}$  28 mL/g, 1/n=0.64.

The applied for representative use of Spring / Summer applications (28 days after the emergence date defined for each scenario) to maize was simulated using FOCUSPELMO 3.3.2 using the following input parameters: component isomers of the active substance single first order soil DT<sub>50</sub> 31.1.1 days,  $K_{foc}$  18326 mL/g, 1/n=0.87; mPBAcid single first order soil DT<sub>50</sub> 5.3 days, formation fraction from isomers of the active substance 1,  $K_{foc}$  118 mL/g, 1/n=0.65; DCVA single first order soil DT<sub>50</sub> 4.8 days, formation fraction from isomers of the active substance 1,  $K_{foc}$  for trans-DCVA 18 mL/g, 1/n=0.56. The results of this simulation were that at all 9 FOCUS groundwater scenarios the sum of the component isomers of the active substance, mPBAcid and sum of isomers of DCVA were calculated to be present in leachate leaving the top 1m soil layer at 80th percentile annual average concentrations of <0.001 $\mu$ g/L. The experts accepted that these simulations demonstrated a low potential for groundwater contamination from the applied for intended uses of zeta-cypermethrin from isomers of the active substance and the identified soil metabolites mPBAcid and trans-DCVA. However, as already discussed in section 4.1.1, the groundwater exposure assessment regarding

1 /

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Note: a 30m no spray zone gives > 95% drift reduction which is the maximum admissible following FOCUS Landscape and mitigation (2007) guidance (Sanco/10422/2005, version 2.0, September 2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Version 1.1 (April 2002) Generic guidance for FOCUS groundwater scenarios



metabolites cannot be finalised until the data gap for a satisfactory soil route of degradation study is filled and it is confirmed that there are no additional soil metabolites for which a groundwater exposure assessment would be triggered.

### 4.3. FATE AND BEHAVIOUR IN AIR

The vapour pressure of zeta-cypermethrin (2.53x10<sup>-7</sup> Pa at 25°C) means that zeta-cypermethrin would be classified under the national scheme of The Netherlands as very slightly volatile, indicating that losses due to volatilisation would not be expected. Based on the results of a laboratory controlled air flow experiment where a cypermethrin formulation was applied to a soil and dwarf bush bean plants, measurements demonstrated that none of the cypermethrin applied was lost to the air compartment (collected in volatile traps) in 24 hours. Calculations using the method of Atkinson for indirect photooxidation in the atmosphere through reaction with hydroxyl radicals resulted in an atmospheric half-life estimated at 6 hours (assuming an atmospheric hydroxyl radical concentration of 1.5x10<sup>6</sup> radicals cm<sup>-3</sup>) indicating that the small proportion of applied zeta-cypermethrin that will volatilise would be unlikely to be subject to long range atmospheric transport.

# 5. Ecotoxicology

Zeta-cyptermethrin was discussed in the meeting of experts on ecotoxicology, PRAPeR 48 in May 2008 on the basis of the draft assessment report and the addendum from May 2008 and the updated draft assessment report from May 2008. The representative uses evaluated are spray applications as insecticide in maize, cereals and peas. Zeta-cypermethrin consists of 4 enantiomers. Potential different degradation rates or possible epimerisation of the different enantiomers in the environment was not taken into account in the environmental risk assessment. This adds some uncertainty to the environmental risk assessment and needs to be addressed further.

The risk assessment was conducted according to the following guidance documents: Risk Assessment for Birds and Mammals, SANCO/4145/2000 September 2002; Aquatic Ecotoxicology, SANCO/3268/2001 rev.4 final, October 2002; Terrestrial Ecotoxicology, SANCO/10329/2002 rev.2 final, October 2002; Risk Assessment for non-target arthropods, ESCORT 2, March 2000, SETAC.

### **5.1.** RISK TO TERRESTRIAL VERTEBRATES

No studies with zeta-cypermethrin and birds were submitted. The endpoints for birds were based on studies with cypermethrin. It was evident from studies with mammals that zeta-cypermethrin is more toxic than cypermethrin. The experts agreed to a correction factor of 2 to extrapolate the toxicity of cypermethrin to zeta-cypermethrin based on the comparison of the toxicity to mammals. It was also noted that the content of the toxic isomers 1R cis \( \delta \)S and 1R trans \( \delta \)S is increased by 2 times in zeta-cypermethrin. The RMS presented a re-calculation of the TERs based on a 2-fold increased toxicity in the updated DAR from July 2008. The acute and short-term TERs were well above the Annex VI



trigger values. However the long-term TERs were below the trigger of 5 for the use in maize (herbivorous and insectivorous birds). The trigger of 5 was exceeded for herbivorous birds in cereals and peas but the TERs for insectivorous birds were calculated as 4.78. The refinement of the risk assessment based on measured residues in plants was agreed by the experts. The suggested mean residue value of 0.49 mg zeta-cypermethin/kg was questioned in the meeting. In the updated addendum from July 2008 the maximum measured initial concentration of 1.02 mg zeta-cypermethrin/kg was used. The refined long-term TER for herbivorous birds was calculated as 8.96 for the use in maize. The suggested RUD (residue per unit dose) values of 5.1 and 0.5 for foliar- and soil dwelling insects was not accepted by the experts to refine the risk assessment for insectivorous birds since data supporting the assumption that birds would feed only on large insects were not provided. The experts identified a data gap for a refined long-term risk assessment for insectivorous birds for all representative uses evaluated.

The first-tier acute and long-term TERs for herbivorous and insectivorous mammals exceeded the Annex VI trigger values except for small herbivorous mammals for the use in maize where a long-term TER of 2.81 was observed. The refined risk assessment for herbivorous mammals in the updated DAR from July 2008 was based on the highest measured initial residues (see also above refinement for herbivorous birds) resulting in a TER of 7.87.

The risk from secondary poisoning of earthworm- and fish-eating birds and mammals was assessed as low for all representative uses. No risk assessment was conducted for the uptake of contaminated drinking water. However, the risk to birds and mammals is likely to be low given the low concentration of the active substance in the spray solution.

Overall it is concluded that the risk to mammals and the acute and short-term risk to birds is low, but a potential high risk to insectivorous birds cannot be excluded for all representative uses evaluated.

# 5.2. RISK TO AQUATIC ORGANISMS

Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. The lowest endpoints were observed in tests with technical and formulated zeta-cypermethin and *Gammarus pulex* (EC50 = 0.0013 μg a.s./L) The TERs were far below the trigger of 100 and 10 when compared to PECsw from FOCUS step 3. A data requirement for a mesocosm study with zeta-cypermethrin was set by the RMS. Two mesocosm studies with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted by the applicant and evaluated by the RMS in the addendum to the DAR. However, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review. In view of the expert meeting the applicant announced to have obtained access to a mesocosm study with cypermethrin and suggested extrapolating the results to zeta-cypermethrin. This approach was not



required by the RMS or EFSA. It was not presented in the addendum from May 2008 and also not discussed in the experts' meeting. The approach was included and assessed by the RMS in the updated (not peer-reviewed) addendum from July 2008. From a scientific point of view it would be preferable to base the aquatic risk assessment on mesocosm studies conducted with zeta-cypermethrin instead of extrapolating from the results of a mesocosm study with cypermethrin.

During the peer-review it was noted that a fish early life stage study would be triggered since the BCF is >100 and the LC<sub>50</sub> is <1mg a.s./L. The available fish juvenile growth test with zeta-cypermethrin was considered as not valid by the experts because of large deviations of measured concentrations from nominal concentrations. The applicant submitted a fish full life cycle test with cypermethrin. The experts were of the opinion that an early life stage test with rainbow trout and zeta-cypermethrin should have been conducted. However, because of animal welfare considerations it was agreed to use the endpoint from an early life stage study with cypermethrin together with a correction factor of 2 which resulted in a NOEC of  $0.015 \,\mu g$  a.s./L.

The risk assessment was based on endpoints from standard laboratory tests and maximum initial PECsw values from FOCUS step 4 calculations including a 20 m no-spray buffer zone. The PECsw values were not agreed by the experts on fate and behaviour (see point 4.2) but may be used as a rough estimate. The acute TERs for fish exceeded the Annex VI trigger of 100 in all scenarios for the use in cereals and in all scenarios except R3 and R4 for the use in peas. No full scenario resulted in a TER of >100 for the use in maize. The TERs for aquatic invertebrates were still more than 2 orders of magnitude below the trigger of 100 in all scenarios. The Annex VI long-term trigger of 10 was not met for fish and aquatic invertebrates in any of the FOCUS scenarios.

Formally a bioconcentration study with zeta-cypermethrin and fish was triggered because of the log  $P_{ow}$  of 5-6. The log  $P_{ow}$  of cypermethrin of 5.3-5.6 is similar and the RMS agreed that it may be appropriate to use the BCF value of cypermethrin also for zeta-cypermethrin. Unnecessary animal testing should be avoided and the experts in the PRAPeR meeting agreed to the suggested BCF of 356-443 which breaches the Annex VI trigger of 100 for not ready biodegradable substances. However, the risk of bioaccumulation in aquatic food chains was considered to be low since zeta-cypermethrin is applied only once-twice per season and degrades rapidly in the water/sediment studies (DT<sub>50</sub> whole system = 1.5-2.5 days).

The major metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA, and *m*PBAcid were of much lower toxicity to aquatic organisms than zeta-cypermethrin. The observed endpoints were > 1 mg/L and the TERs were well above the Annex VI trigger values with initial PEC<sub>sw</sub> values from FOCUS step 1 calculations.

Overall it is concluded that a high risk to aquatic organisms is indicated for all representative uses evaluated on the basis of the peer-reviewed data.



## 5.3. RISK TO BEES

Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to bees. The acute oral and contact LD<sub>50</sub> values for zeta-cypermethrin formulated as "Fury 10 EW" were 0.044 and 0.002 μg a.s./bee. The HQ values were above the trigger of 50 indicating a potential high risk to bees. Higher tier cage, tunnel and field tests were submitted. Repellency was observed during the first 1-4 days after treatment. Mortality of adult bees increased for about 4 days following the treatment. No impact on bee brood development was observed in the studies. However, it was noted that no reserves were accumulated in the bee hives. This observation may be explained by the repellent effect of zeta-cypermethrin. The highest application rate used in the studies was 150 mL/ha. This application rate would not cover the use in maize where 0.0375 kg a.s./ha are applied. The experts considered the risk to bees as low for the use in cereals. Risk mitigation measures such as no application during flowering were proposed for the use in peas. A data gap was identified to address the risk to bees further for the use in maize since the available studies do not cover the application rate in maize.

### 5.4. RISK TO OTHER ARTHROPOD SPECIES

A high in-field risk to non-target arthropods was indicated from the standard laboratory and extended laboratory tests. The applied doses in the field tests were too low for the representative uses. The RMS concluded on a high risk to non-target arthropods and set a data requirement for field studies at appropriate application rates. The experts agreed that the potential high in-field risk and also the off-field risk (initial effects were observed in the field studies, but recovery/recolonisation should not be taken into account in the off-field risk assessment) were not sufficiently addressed by the available studies. The in-field and off-field risk to non-target arthropods needs to be refined further for all representative uses demonstrating that recovery/recolonisation of the in-field area is possible within one year.

## 5.5. RISK TO EARTHWORMS

The acute toxicity of formulated zeta-cypermethrin and the soil metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and *m*PBAcid was tested. The acute TERs based on PECsoils of 0.038 mg zeta-cypermethrin/kg soil and 0.019 mg/kg soil for each metabolite were several orders of magnitude above the Annex VI trigger value of 10 indicating a low risk to earthworms from the representative uses evaluated. No long-term studies with earthworms were conducted since the DT<sub>90</sub> in soil is <100 days and zeta-cypermethrin is applied less than 3 times per year.

## 5.6. RISK TO OTHER SOIL NON-TARGET MACRO-ORGANISMS

The RMS identified in the DAR the need for studies with soil-dwelling arthropods because of the high toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin to non-target arthropods, and HQ values >2 for the standard indicator species *Aphidius rhopalosiphi* and *Typhlodromus pyri*. The experts agreed that studies with



soil-dwelling mites or collembola are formally not triggered since the  $DT_{90}$  in soil is <100 days. However, because of the potential high risk to arthropods it was considered necessary that the risk to soil-dwelling arthropods should be addressed in the new field study with non-target arthropods (see data gap above).

#### 5.7. RISK TO SOIL NON-TARGET MICRO-ORGANISMS

No effects of >25 % on soil respiration and nitrification were observed in tests with the formulation "Fury 10 EW" at the highest tested concentration of 2 mg formulation/kg soil equivalent to 0.2 mg a.s./kg soil. The maximum initial PECsoils are about one order of magnitude lower than the tested concentrations.

The RMS set a data requirement for the applicant to submit a risk assessment for the soil metabolites *cis*-DCVA, *trans*-DCVA and *m*PBAcid. The applicant commented that the metabolites would have been formed during the test and thus are covered by the risk assessment for the active substance. This line of argumentation was agreed by the experts.

Overall it is concluded that the risk to soil-dwelling micro-organisms is considered to be low for the representative uses evaluated.

#### 5.8. RISK TO OTHER NON-TARGET-ORGANISMS (FLORA AND FAUNA)

No effects were observed on seedling emergence of 1 monocotyledon and 2 dicotyledon plant species up to the highest concentration of 100 mg zeta-cypermethrin/kg soil. However, the growth rate of seedlings was reduced at 10 and 100 zeta-cypermethrin/kg soil resulting in an EC<sub>50</sub> of 43.2 mg zeta-cypermethrin/kg soil. The TERs were far above the trigger of 5 for seedling emergence and growth rate at an off-field dose of 1.39  $\mu$ g a.s./kg soil (2.77% of the application rate in maize = 1.04 g a.s./ha assuming a soil depth of 5cm and a soil density of 1.5 kg/dm<sup>3</sup>). The risk to non-target plants in the off-field area was assessed as low for all representative uses evaluated.

#### 5.9. RISK TO BIOLOGICAL METHODS OF SEWAGE TREATMENT

The study which was submitted by the applicant was considered as not valid by the RMS since it was not conducted according to guidelines and cypermethrin was tested instead of zeta-cypermethrin. A new study with zeta-cypermethrin was submitted by the applicant and evaluated by the RMS in the addendum to the DAR from May 2008. However, in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1095/2007, the new study could not be considered in the peer review. Therefore a data gap remains.



## 6. Residue definitions

Soil

Definition for risk assessment: component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA and mPBAcid

Definition for monitoring: sum of component isomers of cypermethrin

Water

**Ground water** 

Definition for exposure assessment: at least component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA and

mPBAcid, though a data gap needs to be filled before this

definition can be finalised.

Definition for monitoring: a data gap needs to be filled before this can be finalised

**Surface water** 

Definition for risk assessment:

surface water: component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA and mPBAcid sediment: component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA and mPBAcid

Definition for monitoring: sum of component isomers of cypermethrin

Air

Definition for risk assessment: component isomers of cypermethrin.

Definition for monitoring: sum of component isomers of cypermethrin.

Food of plant origin

Definition for risk assessment: cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers

(sum of isomers)

Definition for monitoring: cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers

(sum of isomers)

Food of animal origin

Definition for risk assessment: cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers

(sum of isomers)

Definition for monitoring: cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers

(sum of isomers)



Overview of the risk assessment of compounds listed in residue definitions for the environmental compartments

# Soil

Compound (name and/or code)	Persistence	Ecotoxicology
Component isomers of cypermethrin	Low to medium persistence  Single first order DT <sub>50</sub> 89 days (20°C, -10kPa soil moisture)  DT <sub>50</sub> 6-24 days DT <sub>90</sub> 37-80 days (field studies)	The risk to earthworms and soil micro-organisms was assessed as low. However data are needed for soil-dwelling arthropods.
DCVA (sum of isomers)	Low persistence Single first order DT <sub>50</sub> 2.4-9.5 days (20°C, -10kPa soil moisture)	The risk to soil-dwelling organisms was assessed as low.
mPBAcid	Low persistence Single first order DT <sub>50</sub> 2.7-7.0 days (20°C, -10kPa soil moisture)	The risk to soil-dwelling organisms was assessed as low.

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 39 of 119



# **Ground water**

Compound (name and/or code)	Mobility in soil	> 0.1 µg / L 1m depth for the representative uses (at least one FOCUS scenario or relevant lysimeter)	Pesticidal activity	Toxicological relevance	Ecotoxicological activity
Component isomers of cypermethrin	immobile K <sub>foc</sub> 72405-285652 mL/g	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
trans-DCVA	Very high mobility $K_{foc}$ 18-48 mL/g	No	No information available, not needed	No information available, not needed	No Low risk to aquatic organisms.
mPBAcid	High to medium mobility K <sub>foc</sub> 118-215 mL/g	No	No information available, not needed	No information available, not needed	No Low risk to aquatic organisms.

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 40 of 119



# **Surface water and sediment**

Compound (name and/or code)	Ecotoxicology
Component isomers of cypermethrin	Very toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. A high risk was indicated in the risk assessment for all representative uses.
DCVA (sum of isomers)	Toxicity several orders of magnitude less than for zeta-cypermethrin. Low risk to aquatic organisms.
mPBAcid	Toxicity several orders of magnitude less than for zeta-cypermethrin. Low risk to aquatic organisms.

#### Air

Compound (name and/or code)	Toxicology
Component isomers of cypermethrin	Harmful by inhalation (risk phrase R20 proposed)

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 41 of 119



# LIST OF STUDIES TO BE GENERATED, STILL ONGOING OR AVAILABLE BUT NOT PEER REVIEWED

- Further information or indications available to ensure that none of mentioned unknown compounds is present at a concentration higher than 1 g/kg (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), date of submission unknown; refer to chapter 1)
- 5-batch analysis for the actual industrial scale production. (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), date of submission unknown; refer to chapter 1)
- A revised technical specification taking into account the recommendations of the PRAPeR 46
  meeting (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46
  meeting of experts (May 2008), date of submission unknown; refer to chapter 1)
- An alternative method as replacement for the non-specific method for the determination of impurities (APG 428A) and data on the specificity of the methods used for the determination of significant impurities (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 4 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 1)
- Shelf-life study of the NPE-free formulation (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 1)
- To address the stability of dilute emulsions and preparations which are emulsions (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 1)
- To address the resistance of the packaging material to "Fury 10 EW NP Free" (relevant for all representative uses evaluated, data gap identified by PRAPeR 46 meeting of experts (May 2008), data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 1)



- To address a cypermethrin/zeta-cypermethrin bridging study confirming that there is any preferential metabolism of certain isomers in plant and that the metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin only are also relevant for zeta-cypermethrin. These data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 3.1)
- Depending on the outcome of the requested residue trials on maize silage and its impact on the animal burden calculations, additional information on the fate of the cyclopropyl moiety in ruminant metabolism, including available data from public literature should be provided. These data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 3.2)
- To address validation data for the analytical methods used for the generation of the residue trials performed on maize, cereals and peas. These data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 3.4)
- To address additional residue trials on maize in northern and southern EU supporting the critical dose rate of application and the critical growth stage in order to confirm the provisional MRL value. A complete residue data set is also requested on maize silage. These data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 3.1)
- To address additional residue data on barley, pulses in order to confirm the provisional MRL value. These data were submitted in August 2007, presented in an addendum to Vol. 3 in May 2008, however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to chapter 3.1).
- An aerobic soil laboratory route of degradation study dosed with zeta-cypermethrin; it is advised that any new study carried out should utilise chiral analytical methods (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the notifier: unknown; data gap identified in the DAR and confirmed in the meeting of experts; refer to point 4.1.1)
- An anaerobic soil laboratory degradation study (only relevant for the use evaluated of autumn
  applications to winter cereals in territories where anaerobic conditions cannot be excluded;
  submission date proposed by the notifier: unknown; data gap confirmed in the meeting of
  experts; refer to point 4.1.1)



- A ready biodegradability test (relevant for all representative uses evaluated), study already
  provided by the notifier and evaluated by the RMS in addendum to B.8 dated May 2008 but in
  accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new study could not be
  considered in the peer review; data gap identified in the DAR and confirmed in the meeting of
  experts; refer to point 4.2.1)
- FOCUS surface water modelling at step 4 for component isomers of cypermethrin. Simulations to utilise single first order soil DT<sub>50</sub> 15.1 days, water DT<sub>50</sub> 1000 days, sediment DT<sub>50</sub> 6.1 days, K<sub>foc</sub> 121786 mL/g, 1/n=1.3 and include single as well as multiple applications. Sediment as well as surface water concentrations must be reported. Drift inputs to be mitigated by a maximum of 95%. If run-off is mitigated, the mitigation must not exceed 90% (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; submission date proposed by the notifier: unknown; data gap confirmed when EFSA was drafting the conclusion; refer to point 4.2.1)
- Zeta-cypermethrin consists of 4 enantiomers. This needs to be taken into account in the
  environmental risk assessment. Information on the ecotoxicity and/or on the degradation/
  possible epimerisation of the different enantiomers in the environment is needed. (relevant for
  all representative uses evaluated; no submission date proposed by the applicant; refer to
  sections 4 and 5).
- A refined long-term risk assessment for insectivorous birds is required (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; data gap identified in the PRAPeR 48 meeting of experts in May 2008; no submission date proposed by the applicant; refer to point 5.1.)
- A refined long-term risk assessment for herbivorous birds is required (relevant for the representative use in maize; data gap identified in the PRAPeR 48 meeting of experts in May 2008; no submission date proposed by the applicant; refer to point 5.1.)
- The aquatic risk assessment needs further refinement (relevant for all representative uses evaluated; data requirement for a mesocosm study with zeta-cypermethrin was identified in the DAR by the RMS; two mesocosm studies with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted by the applicant and evaluated by the RMS in the addendum from May 2008; however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to point 5.2.)
- The risk to bees needs to be addressed further (relevant for the representative use in maize; data requirement identified in the DAR by the RMS and confirmed as a data gap in the PRAPeR 48 meeting of experts in May 2008; no submission date proposed by the applicant; refer to point 5.3.)
- The risk to non-target arthropods needs to be addressed further (e.g. field studies) including the risk to soil-dwelling non-target arthropods (relevant for all representative uses; data



requirement identified in the DAR by the RMS and confirmed as a data gap in the PRAPeR 48 meeting of experts in May 2008; no submission date proposed by the applicant; refer to points 5.4. and 5.6.)

A study investigating effects of zeta-cypermethrin on biological methods of sewage treatment (relevant for all representative uses; study submitted by the applicant and evaluated by the RMS in the addendum from May 2008; however in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new data could not be considered in the peer review; refer to point 5.9.)

#### CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### **Overall conclusions**

The conclusion was reached on the basis of the evaluation of the representative uses as an insecticide as proposed by the applicant, which comprise: foliar spraying to control European cornborer (*Ostrinia nubilalis*) and pink stalk borer (*Sesamia nonagrioides*) in maize, in all EU countries, at single application, at maximum application rate per treatment of 37.5 g a.s./ha;

- foliar spraying to control leaf, flag and ear aphids in cereals, up to growth stage of BBCH 69, in
   all EU countries, at a maximum of 2 treatments, at maximum application rate per treatment of 15
   g a.s./ha, interval between applications of 2-4 weeks, and
- foliar spraying to control pea aphids, pea moth (*Cydia nigricana*) pea weevil (*Bruchus pisorum*) and *Thrips ssp* in peas, in all EU countries, at a maximum of 2 treatments, at maximum application rate per treatment of 15 g a.s./ha, interval between applications of 2-4 weeks.

The representative formulated product for the evaluation was "Fury 10 EW (NP Free)", an emulsion, oil in water (EW) containing 100 g/L (96.9 g/kg) zeta-cypermethrin, registered under different trade names in Europe.

The technical specification for the active substance was based on pilot scale production and was only partially accepted by the PRAPeR meeting of experts (May 2008).

Sufficient analytical methods as well as methods and data relating to physical, chemical and technical properties are available to ensure that quality control measurements of the plant protection products are possible.

Adequate methods are available to monitor cypermethrin (sum of isomers) residues in food/feed of plant- and animal origin, environmental matrices and in body fluids and tissues.

18314732, 2009, 1, Downloaded from https://efsa.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.196r by University College London UCL Library Services, Wiley Online Library on [14/05/2025]. See the Terms



As for mammalian toxicology, the dossier submitted contained studies on both zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin. Considering the ratio of the most potent isomers between zeta-cypermethrin and cypermethrin and focusing on neurotoxicity (difference using the NOAELs and LOAELs of rat studies), a factor of 2 could be established showing that zeta-cypermethrin is more toxic than cypermethrin. When orally administered, zeta-cypermethrin is toxic (proposed to be classified T, R25 - "toxic if swallowed"). It is harmful for inhalation (Xn, R20). No toxic effects were observed after dermal exposure. It is not irritating to eyes or skin but is a skin sensitizer in a Buehler test (Xi, R43 -"irritant; may cause sensitisation by skin contact"). Neurotoxicity is the target effect of zetacypermethrin for short term exposures. The relevant NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is derived from the 2-year feeding study in dogs. The classification as R48/22 ("danger of serious damage to health by prolonged exposure, if swallowed") was proposed based on mortality in several studies. Overall, it was concluded that zeta-cypermethrin is neither genotoxic nor carcinogenic under experimental conditions (carcinogenicity was tested with cypermethrin). The relevant long term toxicity and carcinogenicity NOAEL of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day is from the 2-year rat study. The relevant parental and offspring NOAEL in multigeneration studies is 5.9 mg/kg bw/day, while the reproductive NOAEL is 22 mg/kg bw/day. Zeta-cypermethrin was negative for developmental toxicity when tested in rats. The relevant maternal NOAEL in rat and rabbit are 12.5 and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day, respectively; the relevant developmental NOAEL are 35 mg/kg bw/day in rat and ≥120 mg/kg bw/day in rabbit. Zetacypermethrin is a neurotoxic agent (acute and subchronic NOAELs 10 mg/kg bw and 5 mg/kg bw/day, respectively). The ADI is 0.04 mg/kg bw/day, based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day and a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin vs. cypermethrin. The ARfD is 0.125 mg/kg bw based on the developmental toxicity study in rats supported by the acute neurotoxicity with zeta-cypermethrin, applying a SF of 100. An AOEL of 0.02 mg/kg bw/day was based on the overall cypermethrin NOAEL for dogs of 7.5 mg/kg bw/day using a 100-fold safety factor with an additional factor of 2 for the higher toxicity of zeta-cypermethrin and correcting for 50% oral absorption. The estimated operator, worker and bystander exposure is below the AOEL even without the use of PPE.

In order to support the uses of zeta-cypermethrin the applicant submitted plant metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin. Several groups of plants were investigated: root crops (sugar beet), cereals (maize), pulses/oilseeds (cotton), fruit crops (apples) and leafy crops (lettuce). The major degradation pathway of cypermethrin starts with the cleavage of the parent molecule to yield *cis/trans* DCVA and the *m*PBAldehyde, this latter metabolite being further oxidised or reduced to the corresponding *m*PBAcid or *m*PBAlcohol followed by conjugations. In most of the plant matrices



investigated, unchanged cypermethrin was the predominant residue accounting for more than c.a. 50% of the TRR. The meeting discussed on whether the plant metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin were relevant for zeta-cypermethrin and if a preferential metabolism may be suspected for some isomers with a special impact on toxicology. A bridging study on maize detailing the respective metabolism of both cypermethrin and zeta-cypermethrin was provided by the applicant, but in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new study could not be considered in the peer review. However, and taking into account the no residue situation resulting from the intended uses on cereals, maize and peas, the meeting was of the opinion that the possible isomeric conversion from less to more toxic isomers would not be significant, and concluded that a residue definition can be proposed, based on the metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin. Finally, it was concluded that a global residue definition has to be proposed in order to take into account the various mixtures of cypermethrin isomers available on the market. Thus for plants, the residue for monitoring and risk assessment was defined as "cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)".

Numerous supervised residue trials performed with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted to propose MRLs. Nevertheless, some of them were rejected since growth stages at application were not in compliance with the critical GAP and/or analytical methods were not sufficiently validated. Finally, MRLs were defined for wheat, triticale and pea without pods and, awaiting the evaluation of additional data provided by the applicant that could not be considered in the peer review in accordance with Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, provisional MRLs were proposed for maize, barley, oat, pea with pods and pulses. No degradation of residues was observed for zeta-cypermethrin in different plant and animal matrices when stored under frozen conditions for up to 18 months. Some instability was observed at some time points for the metabolites mPBAcid and cis DCVA in some animal matrices, but globally, the results were considered acceptable. No processing studies were provided since no significant residues were observed in any commodities supporting the representative uses. However, the EFSA noted that the cypermethrin hydrolysis breakdown product mPBAldehyde, was found in a tomato processing study, and this compound is suspected of endocrine activity. This concern was considered to be not relevant for the intended uses supported in the DAR but the meeting noted that this point has to be re-considered if additional uses are envisaged.

Lactating cow and laying hen metabolism studies performed with cypermethrin were evaluated. The major route of degradation consisted of the hydrolysis of ester linkage to generate the *cis* and *trans* DCVA moiety and the *m*PBAldehyde, which undergoes further oxidation and/or reduction to the



corresponding acid (mPBAcid) or alcohol (mPBAlcohol) and their hydroxy products. The metabolism of the cyclopropyl moiety was considered as insufficiently investigated in the ruminant metabolism study, since the additional data from literature presented in the addendum of May 2008 could not be considered in the peer review in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007. This point remains open and has to be re-considered. Nevertheless, and taking into account the complete metabolic pathway in poultry, and the data from the rat metabolism study indicating a similar pathway, the meeting of experts concluded that there was enough evidence to propose the residue for monitoring and risk assessment for animal products as "cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)". Considering the potential livestock exposure to zeta-cypermethrin residues through consumption of treated feed items (maize, cereals, pea and pulses), feeding studies indicate that no measurable residues may be present above the LOQ in the different animal products and the MRLs for animal commodities were proposed at LOQ values.

Considering the representative uses and the toxicological endpoints set for zeta-cypermethrin, no chronic or acute concerns were observed, the theoretical maximum daily intake (TMDI) being less than 2% of the ADI and the maximum national estimated short term intake (NESTI) less than 1% of ARfD. In addition, the meeting concluded on the need for a global risk assessment taking into account the other sources of exposure resulting from the uses of all other cypermethrin isomer mixtures available on the market and their respective toxicological reference values.

The information available on the fate and behaviour in the environment is sufficient to carry out an environmental exposure assessment at EU level with the notable exception that a satisfactory aerobic soil route of degradation study dosed with zeta-cypermethrin is not available. These data are necessary to confirm whether or not additional metabolites >5% AR are formed in soil, which would trigger an assessment of leaching to groundwater. Therefore the assessment of groundwater exposure by metabolites cannot be finalised. For the applied for intended uses, the potential for groundwater exposure by component isomers of cypermethrin or the known metabolites *m*PBAcid and *trans*-DCVA above the parametric drinking water limit of 0.1 µg/L is low. In territories where anaerobic soil conditions cannot be excluded and autumn or winter applications are requested, the necessary data pertaining to the fate and behaviour of zeta-cypermethrin under anaerobic soil conditions are not available. The peer review did not determine any information on the behaviour of individual component isomers of cypermethrin in the environment following application of zeta-cypermethrin, due to a combination of the way analytical results were conveyed in the dossier and DAR and the



fact that chiral methods of analysis were not employed in the available studies (with the exception of the investigation of alkaline sterile aqueous hydrolysis conditions). Finally, though not requiring additional experimental information, the available FOCUS surface water simulations for components of the active substance are not satisfactory at steps 3 and 4 and a data gap is identified for new simulations at step 4. Some relatively crude estimates of surface water exposure have been provided by EFSA, or in the case of maize extracted from the available simulations, to enable an aquatic risk characterisation to be made. However, risk managers should be aware that these exposure assessments do not comply with agreed methodologies in EU FOCUS surface water guidance.

The risk to mammals was assessed as low but further refinement of the long-term risk assessment is needed for insectivorous birds for all representative uses. Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to fish and aquatic invertebrates. The aquatic risk assessment was based on preliminary FOCUS step 4 PECsw values which included a 20m no-spray buffer zone. The acute TERs for fish exceeded the Annex VI trigger of 100 in all scenarios for the use in cereals and in all scenarios except R3 and R4 for the use in peas. However no full scenario resulted in a TER of >100 for the use in maize and the long-term TERs were significantly below the Annex VI trigger of 10 in all scenarios even with a no-spray buffer zone of 20m. A data gap was identified for further refinement of the aquatic risk assessment. Two mesocosm studies with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted by the applicant, but in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review. The risk to aquatic organisms from the metabolites cis-DCVA, trans-DCVA and mPBAcid was assessed as low. Zeta-cypermethrin is very toxic to bees and a potential high risk was indicated in the first-tier risk assessment. On the basis of higher tier data the risk to bees was considered to be addressed for the use in cereals but not for the use in maize. Risk mitigation measures such as no application during flowering were proposed for the use in peas. A high in-field risk to non-target arthropods was indicated from the standard laboratory and the extended laboratory tests. A data gap was identified to address further the in-field and the off-field risk to non-target arthropods for all representative uses. Although formally not triggered, the experts considered it necessary to address the risk to soil dwelling mites and collembola because of the potential high risk to arthropods. It was suggested that investigation of soil dwelling mites and collembola should be included in a field study with non-target arthropods. The study on effects on respiration of activated sewage sludge was assessed as not valid. A new study was submitted by the applicant, but in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review.



The risk to earthworms, soil non-target micro-organisms and non-target plants was assessed as low.

#### Particular conditions proposed to be taken into account to manage the risk(s) identified

 Risk mitigation is necessary for the use in peas to protect bees. The product should not be applied during flowering/bee flight activity. Appropriate labelling is required at Member State level.

## Critical areas of concern

- When considering new applications for uses beyond those supported in this review, particular attention should be given to the possible presence of the hydrolysis breakdown product 3-phenoxybenzaldehyde (mPBAldehyde), found in a cypermethrin tomato processing study and suspected of endocrine activity.
- The groundwater exposure assessment for metabolites cannot be finalised without the
  provision of additional data. It was considered necessary to at least have a guideline laboratory
  aerobic soil route of degradation study dosed with zeta-cypermethrin available to progress such
  an assessment.
- The long-term risk to insectivorous birds needs to be refined further for all representative uses.
- A high risk to aquatic organisms was indicated.
- The risk to bees is not sufficiently addressed for the use in maize.
- The risk to non-target arthropods needs to be addressed further for all uses evaluated.



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# APPENDIX 1-LIST OF ENDPOINTS FOR THE ACTIVE SUBSTANCE AND THE REPRESENTATIVE FORMULATION

(Abbreviations used in this list are explained in appendix 2)

### Identity, Physical and Chemical Properties, Details of Uses, Further Information

Active substance (ISO Common Name) ‡	Zeta-cypermethrin
Function (e.g. fungicide)	Insecticide
Rapporteur Member State	Belgium
<b>Identity</b> (Annex IIA, point 1)	
Chemical name (IUPAC) ‡	Mixture of the stereoisomers
	$(S)$ - $\alpha$ -cyano-3-phenoxybenzyl $(1RS,3RS;1RS,3SR)$ -
	3-(2,2-dichlorovinyl)-2,2
	dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate
	where the ratio of the $(S)$ ; $(1RS,3RS)$ isomeric pair to
	the $(S)$ ; $(1RS,3SR)$ isomeric pair lies in the ratio
	range 45-55 to 55-45 respectively
Chemical name (CA) ‡	(S)-cyano(3-phenoxyphenyl)methyl 3-(2,2-
	dichloroethenyl)-2,2-
	dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylate
CIPAC No ‡	733
CAS No ‡	52315-07-8 (undefined stereochemistry, also used
	for cypermethrin)
EEC No (EINECS or ELINCS) ‡	257-842-9
FAO Specification (including year of	No FAO specification available
publication)‡	
Minimum purity of the active substance as	850 g/kg (pilot scale production)
manufactured (g/kg) ‡	Cis/trans-ratio should be in range 55/45 – 45/55
Identity of relevant impurities (of	toluene, max. 2 g/kg
toxicological, environmental and/or other	tars, max. 12.5 g/kg
significance) in the active substance as	,
manufactured (g/kg)	



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Molecular formula ‡

Molecular mass ‡

Structural formula ‡



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# **Physical-chemical properties** (Annex IIA, point 2)

Melting point (state purity) ‡	- 3°C (85.3%)
Boiling point (state purity) ‡	> 360°C (86.8%)
Temperature of decomposition (state purity)	Not applicable
Appearance (state purity) ‡	Pale yellow, viscous liquid, no significant odour (86.8%)
	Dark reddish brown, very viscous liquid, very faint aromatic odour (83%)
Vapour pressure (state temperature, state purity) ‡	2.53 x 10 <sup>-7</sup> Pa at 25°C (86.8%)
Henry's law constant ‡	2.3 x 10 <sup>-3</sup> Pa.m <sup>3</sup> .mol <sup>-1</sup>
Solubility in water (state temperature, state purity and pH) ‡	distilled water (neutral pH), 20°C: 0.0387 mg/L (85.3%)
	No effect of pH (zeta-cypermethrin does not dissociate)
Solubility in organic solvents (state temperature, state purity) ‡	At 20°C (84.43%):  n-heptane: 40.12 g/L;  p-xylene, 1,2-dichloroethane, acetone and ethyl acetate: > 1000 g/L;  At 25°C (85.3%):
	methanol, ethyl acetate: readily soluble (>1000 g/L)
Surface tension (state concentration and temperature, state purity) ‡	59.5 mN/m at 25°C (90% saturated solution) (84.43%)
Partition co-efficient (state temperature, pH and purity) ‡	pH 2, 24°C: log P <sub>OW</sub> = 5 – 6 (85.3%)
	Effect of pH was not investigated, since there is no dissociation in water in the environmentally relevant pH range.
Dissociation constant (state purity) ‡	Not applicable (no dissociation in water occurs) (theoretical justification)



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

UV/VIS absorption (max.) incl.  $\epsilon$  (state purity, pH) ‡

Flammability (state purity) ‡

Explosive properties (state purity) ‡

Oxidising properties (state purity)) ‡

85 3%	nure	in	methanol:
05.570	puic.	Ш	memanor.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
	$\lambda_{\text{max}}$ (nm)	$\varepsilon$ (L.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> )						
acidic	278	2472						
	at λ 290 nm	765						
neutral	278	2468						
	at λ 290 nm	765						
alkaline	formation of hydrolysis product							
	with absorption max. at 308 nm;							
	no significant change upon							
	neutralisation							

86.8% pure, in acetonitrile:

$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\varepsilon$ (L.mol <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> )
277	2170

Auto-flammable (auto-ignition temperature =  $390^{\circ}$ C) (84.7%)

Flash point =  $181^{\circ}$ C (82.6%)

Not explosive (statement)

Not oxidising (theoretical justification)



# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

Summary of representative uses evaluated (zeta-cypermethrin)\*

Crop and/or situation	Member State or Country	Product name	F G or I	Pests or Group of pests controlled	Form	nulation	Application			Application rate per treatment			PHI (days)	Remarks : (m)	
					Typ e (d-f)	Conc. of a.s.	method kind (f-h)	growth stage & season (j)	number min max (k)	interval between application s (min)	kg as/hl min max	water 1/ha min max	kg as/ha min max		
Maize ZEAMX	EU	Fury 10 EW		nubilalis (European cornborer)	EW	100 g/l		1 to 1.20 m of crop or 50 % male flowering	1	-	0.025-0.009	150 - 400	0.0375	56	[1] [2]
				Sesamia nonagrioides (Pink stalk borer)				Early stages, Apply acc. warnings from officials							

<sup>\*</sup> Uses for which the risk assessment cannot be concluded are marked grey.

http://www.efsa.europa.eu



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Cereals NNNGG (winter/spring wheat, barley, triticale and spring oat)	EU	Fury 10 EW	Leaf, flag and ear aphids	EW	100 g/l	Apply acc. warnings from officials (1) The latest time of application is BBCH 69 (End of flowering-35 days before harvest).		2- 4 weeks	0.01 - 0.0037	150 - 400	0.015	28	[1]
Peas PIBST	EU	Fury 10 EW	Pea aphids Pea moth (Cydia nigricana)		100 g/l	Apply acc. warnings from officials	2	2 - 4 weeks	0.01 - 0.0037	150 - 400	0.015	14	[1]
			Pea weevil (Bruchus pisorum) Thrips ssp			During early stages of crop developmen t							

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 56 of 119



# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

- [1] A high risk and/or data gaps were identified for insectivorous birds, aquatic organisms and non-target arthropods.
- [2] The risk to bees needs to be addressed further.
- **Remarks** (a) For crops, the EU and Codex classifications (both) should be used; where relevant, the use situation should be described (*e.g.* fumigation of
  - (b) a structure)
  - (c) Outdoor or field use (F), glasshouse application (G) or indoor
  - (d) application (I)
  - (e) e.g. biting and suckling insects, soil born insects, foliar fungi, weeds
  - (f) e.g. wettable powder (WP), emulsifiable concentrate (EC), granule (GR)
  - (g) GCPF Codes GIFAP Technical Monograph No 2, 1989
  - (h) All abbreviations used must be explained Method, *e.g.* high volume spraying, low volume spraying, spreading, dusting, drench

Kind, e.g. overall, broadcast, aerial spraying, row, individual plant,

between

the plants - type of equipment used must be indicated

- (i) g/kg or g/l
- (j) Growth stage at last treatment (BBCH Monograph, Growth Stages of Plants, 1997, Blackwell, ISBN 3-8263-3152-4), including where relevant, information on season at time of application
- (k) The minimum and maximum number of application possible under practical conditions of use must be provided
- (l) PHI minimum pre-harvest interval
- (m) Remarks may include: Extent of use/economic importance/restrictions

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 57 of 119

<sup>(1):</sup> For the pests intended to be controlled, spraying is only recommended when official warnings are given that the pests are likely to reach economically damaging levels. This avoids the use of prophylactic treatments and is a key component of integrated pest management. It is therefore impossible to provide exact growth stages but applications are most likely to be made late spring/summer.



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### METHODS OF ANALYSIS

### Analytical methods for the active substance (Annex IIA, point 4.1)

Technical as (analytical technique)	Zeta-cypermethrin: HPLC-UV
Impurities in technical as (analytical	GPC-Light Scattering
technique)	Karl Fischer (CIPAC MT 30)
	HPLC-UV
	GC-FID
Plant protection product (analytical technique)	Zeta-cypermethrin: HPLC-UV
	(CIPAC method 332/EC/M/3.2 available for the
	determination of the total cypermethrin content)

**Analytical methods for residues** (Annex IIA, point 4.2)

### RESIDUE DEFINITIONS FOR MONITORING PURPOSES

Food of plant origin	Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
Food of animal origin	Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of
	constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
Soil	Sum of component isomers of cypermethrin
Water Surface	Sum of component isomers of cypermethrin
Drinking/ground	Sum of component isomers of cypermethrin
Air	Sum of component isomers of cypermethrin



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

### MONITORING/ENFORCEMENT METHODS

Food/feed of plant origin (analytical technique	Multi method DFG S19:
and LOQ for methods for monitoring	GC-ECD, conf. by GC-MSD; or GC with column
purposes)	of different polarity;
	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	LOQ = 0.01 mg/kg (whole orange, tomato,
	wheat)
	CC MCD.
	GC-MSD;
	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	LOQ = 0.02 mg/kg (maize)
Food/feed of animal origin (analytical	Multi method DFG S19:
technique and LOQ for methods for	GC-ECD, conf. by GC-MSD;
monitoring purposes)	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	LOQ = 0.01  mg/kg (milk), 0.05  mg/kg (egg,
	muscle, fat)
Soil (analytical technique and LOQ)	GC-ECD (conf. method: column of different
	polarity);
	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	LOQ = 0.005  mg/kg
Water (analytical technique and LOQ)	GC-ECD (conf. by GC-MS/MS);
	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	$LOQ = 0.05 \mu g/L$ (drinking water and ground
	water); LOQ = $0.001 \mu g/L$ (surface water)
Air (analytical technique and LOQ)	GC -ECD, conf. by GC-MSD;
	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	$LOQ = 1.7 \mu g/m^3$
Body fluids and tissues (analytical technique	GC-ECD, conf. by GC-MSD;
and LOQ)	Cypermethrin (sum of isomers):
	LOQ = 0.05 mg/kg (liver, kidney and blood)

# Classification and proposed labelling (Annex IIA, point 10)

With regard to physical/chemical data	None

### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

### **Impact on Human and Animal Health**

#### Absorption, distribution, excretion and metabolism in mammals (Annex IIA, point 5.1)

(cypermethrin)

(cypermeinrin)	
Rate and extent of absorption ‡	50% based on urinary excretion within 24 h
	Lower absorption at high dose levels
Distribution ‡	Initially widely distributed; highest residues in fat and
	skin at 8 days
Potential for accumulation‡	Fat $(T_{1/2} > 24 \text{ h})$
Rate and extent of excretion ‡	Rapid and extensive (> 95%) within 1-3 days, mainly
	via urine (53-74%) and feces (19-35.5%)
Metabolism in animals ‡	Extensively metabolized (>95%) by ester cleavage to
	2, 2-dimethyl-3- (2, 2-dichlorovinyl)cyclopropane
	carboxylic acid and 3-phenoxybenzoyl moiety; further
	conjugation and oxidation. Most faecal radioactivity
	was unchanged parent compound
Toxicologically relevant compounds	Parent compound
(animals and plants and environment) ‡	
Toxicologically relevant compounds	Parent compound
(environment) ‡	

# Acute toxicity (Annex IIA, point 5.2)

Rat LD <sub>50</sub> oral ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	LD <sub>50</sub> male=
	LD <sub>50</sub> male= LD <sub>50</sub> femal
Rat $LD_{50}$ dermal ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	LD <sub>50</sub> >2000
Rat $LC_{50}$ inhalation ‡ (cypermethrin)	$LC_{50} = 1.26$
Skin irritation ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	Not irritatii
Eye irritation ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	Not irritatii
Skin sensitization (test method used and	Sensitiser (
result) ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	

LD <sub>50</sub> male= 134 mg/kg bw LD <sub>50</sub> female= 86 mg/kg bw	T, R25
LD <sub>50</sub> >2000 mg/kg bw	
LC <sub>50</sub> = 1.26 mg/L	Xn, R20
Not irritating	
Not irritating	
Sensitiser (Buehler test)	R43

## **Short term toxicity (Annex IIA, point 5.3)**

Target / critical effect ‡
Lowest relevant oral NOAEL ‡
(cypermethrin)
Lowest relevant dermal NOAEL ‡ (zeta
cypermethrin)

Nervous system (block closing gate sodium channels), mortality and severe clinical signs at high dose levels	
2-year dog study: 7.5 mg/kg bw/d	Xn, R48/22
< 100 mg/kg bw/d for local effects, > 1000 mg/ kg bw/d for systemic effects	



	1
Appendix 1 – list of endpoints	
Lowest relevant inhalation NOAEL ‡	10 μg/L (2.7mg/kg bw)
(cypermethrin)	
<b>Genotoxicity</b> (Annex IIA, point 5.4) ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	No evidence of genotoxicity
Long term toxicity and carcinogenicity (A	Annex IIA, point 5.5) (cypermethrin)
Target/critical effect ‡	Nervous system toxicity (rat)
Lowest relevant NOAEL ‡	7.5 mg/kg bw/d, 2-year, rat
Carcinogenicity ‡	No carcinogenic potential
Reproductive toxicity (Annex IIA, point 5 Reproduction target / critical effect ‡ Relevant parental NOAEL ‡	Reduced pup body weight during lactation and pup mortality at parental toxic doses  5.9 mg/kg bw/d
Relevant reproductive NOAEL ‡	22 mg/kg bw/d
Relevant offspring NOAEL ‡	5.9 mg/kg bw/d
Developmental toxicity	(zeta-cypermethrin)
Developmental target / critical effect ‡	Decreased body weight at maternal toxic doses
Relevant maternal NOAEL ‡	Rat: 12.5 mg/kg bw/d Rabbit ≥120 mg/kg bw/d
Relevant developmental NOAEL‡	Rat: 35 mg/kg bw/d Rabbit ≥120 mg/kg bw/d
Neurotoxicity / Delayed neurotoxicity (Ar	nnex IIA, point 5.7) ‡
Acute neurotoxicity‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	NOAEL = 10 mg/kg bw
Repeated neurotoxicity ‡ (zeta-cypermethrin)	NOAEL = 5 mg/kg bw/d
Delayed neurotoxicity‡	No data-not required
Other toxicological studies (Annex IIA, po	pint 5.8) ‡
Mechanistic studies‡	- Developmental neurotoxicity study in rats:
: 	NOAEL material and development of the Co.

cypermethrin)

NOAEL maternal and developmental toxicity = 9 mg/kg bw/d. (maternal and offspring: reduced bw gain) no developmental neurotoxic potential (zeta-



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

- Detection/measurement of cypermethrin in maternal plasma and milk as well as in fetuses<sup>21</sup>

#### Medical data (Annex IIA, point 5.9) ‡

With the voltage-dependent sodium channel as the target site, pyrethroids induce pronounced repetitive activity characterized grossly by tremor, hypersensitivity, choreoathetosis, and salivation. Cyano-pyrethroids cause transient skin paraesthesia in workers.

Summary (Annex IIA, point 5.10)	Value	Study	Safety factor
ADI ‡	0.04	Dog, 2 and 1 year study (overall NOAEL)	100×2*
AOEL ‡	0.02	Dog, 2 and 1 year study (overall NOAEL)	100×2*×2**
ARfD ‡	0.125	Rat, developmental study and acute neurotoxicity study	100

<sup>\*</sup>Assessment factor is increased by a factor of 2: in the absence of a dog study with zeta-cypermethrin, a cypermethrin study was used. (zeta-cypermethrin is 2 times more neurotoxic than cypermethrin)

### **Dermal absorption** (Annex IIIA, point 7.3) ‡

Fury 10 EW

10% default value

#### **Exposure scenarios** (Annex IIIA, point 7.2) ‡

Operator

The estimated exposure for Fury 10 EW according to

-UK POEM model (application rate 37.5 g a.i/ha):

Tractor mounted equipment:

Field crop with PPEs: 91% of AOEL High crop with PPEs: 104% of AOEL

-German Model:

Field crop with PPEs: 9.1% High crop with PPEs: 9.4%

62 of 119

<sup>\*\*</sup>Correction for oral absorption (50%)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> R64 to be considered by EChA



### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Workers 0.47% of AOEL with PPEs

Bystanders 0.6% of AOEL w/o PPEs (Lloyd and Bell)

### Classification and proposed labelling with regard to toxicological data (Annex IIA, point 10)

Zeta cypermethrin RMS proposal/peer review proposal

T; R25 Xn; R20 Xn; R48/22 Xi; R43

# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

## Metabolism in plants (Annex IIA, point 6.1 and 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.1 and 8.6)

Root and tuber vegetables (sugar beet); cereals (corn); oilseeds (cotton); fruit (apples); leafy vegetables (lettuce) – Cypermethrin metabolism data.  Cereals (corn): Zeta-cypermethrin metabolism study
Wheat, sugar beet, cotton and lettuce –
Cypermethrin data.
Yes.
Processing studies not required.
Not relevant.
Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
none

### Metabolism in livestock (Annex IIA, point 6.2 and 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.1 and 8.6)

Animals covered	Lactating cows, laying hens – Cypermethrin
	metabolism data.
Time needed to reach a plateau concentration	*Milk: The residue levels reached a plateau on the
in milk and eggs	4 <sup>th</sup> day of dosing
	*Eggs:yolks: The plateau level occurred on day 8
	of exposure.
	*Egg whites: The total residues plateaued on
	dosing day 7.
Animal residue definition for monitoring	Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of
	constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
Animal residue definition for risk assessment	Cypermethrin, including other mixtures of
	constituent isomers (sum of isomers)
Conversion factor (monitoring to risk	none
assessment)	
Metabolism in rat and ruminant similar	Yes
(yes/no)	
Fat soluble residue: (yes/no)	Yes
	Log Po/w for cypermethrin = $5.3-5.6$ at $25$ °C.
	Log Po/w for zeta-cypermethrin = $5-6$ at pH : 2.

**Residues in succeeding crops** (Annex IIA, point 6.6, Annex IIIA, point 8.5)

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

The experimental design to conduct the rotational crop study (Woods T.M., 1980) consisted of a soil application at a dose rate of 1 kg a.s./ha and is considered as acceptable. Although non-negligible amounts of radioactivity were recovered in the control plants, it can be assumed that the residue levels in the edible parts of the rotational crops at harvest will be below 0.01 mg/kg.

According to the guidance doc. 7524/VI/95 rev.2, no rotational crop study should be triggered (median DT <sub>90f</sub> Cypermethrin - US studies = 93 days/DT 90f Zeta-cypermethrin – European field studies = 59 days).

#### Stability of residues (Annex IIA, point 6 introduction, Annex IIIA, point 8 introduction)

-Residues of zeta-cypermethrin were stable in dry pea seeds for of 5 months under frozen storage conditions (-25°C).

The cereal study showed that the compound was stable for the duration of the storage period (440

- -The residues of cypermethrin and its metabolites were considered as stable in poultry eggs, muscle and liver for up to 6 months. No data were given for
- No significant degradation of cypermethrin and its metabolites occurred in cow muscle and fat stored under frozen conditions for 12 months and in liver and milk for 3 months.

For livestock matrices there was some instability seen in eggs for m-PBA at 6 months (64 % recovery).

In poultry muscle and liver cis-DCVA also had a low result at 56 % and 58 % but this was not seen in the ruminant tissue.

## Residues from livestock feeding studies (Annex IIA, point 6.4, Annex IIIA, point 8.3)

Intakes by livestock  $\geq 0.1$  mg/kg diet/day:

Expected intakes by livestock  $\geq 0.1$  mg/kg diet (dry weight basis) (yes/no-If yes, specify the level):

Potential for accumulation (yes/no):

Ruminant:	Poultry:	Pig:
Yes	No: 0.040	No: 0.04
Dairy cattle: 0.179	mg/kg diet	mg/kg diet)
mg/kg diet		
Beef cattle:		
0.193 mg/kg diet		
Yes	Yes	Yes
L		-



< 0.025

# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

Metabolism studies indicate potential level of residues $\geq 0.01$ mg/kg in edible tissues (yes/no)	No	No	A metabolism study was not required.
Available feeding studies	15-50 mg/kg diet, dry weight basis.  - Overdosing dry weight requirements factor: 25 basis.  - Overdosing factor: 50 met path rat a rum		A feeding study in pig was not required considering the similar metabolic pathway in rat and ruminants.
	Residue lev	vels in matrices (1	mg/kg)
Muscle	<0.01-0.01	< 0.05	-
Liver	<0.01-0.01	< 0.05	-
Kidney	<0.01-0.02	< 0.05	-
Fat	<0.01-0.02	< 0.05	-
Milk	< 0.005	-	-

Limits of quantification of the analytical method : 0.01 mg/kg for milk , 0.025 mg/kg for eggs and 0.05 mg/kg for tissues.

Eggs



# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

Summary of critical residues data (Annex IIA, point 6.3, Annex IIIA, point 8.2)

Crop	Northern or Mediterrane	Trials results relevant to the critical GAP	Recommendation/comments	MRL	STMR
	an Region	(a)			(b)
	In a	all trials, residues of zeta-cypermethrin were calculated	d as total cypermethrin (sum of isomers).		
Maize	North Europe	4 trials performed in France and Germany using a dose rate of 0.030-0.038 kg a.s./ha, 1 application at growth stage BBCH 53/59-61 (Middle of heading: half of inflorescence emerged – End of heading: inflorescence beginning of flowering: first anthers visible).  - Maize grain: 4x <0.02 mg/kg  - Plants without cobs: <0.02, 0.02, 2x 0.03  No trial was considered as acceptable due to the non	- Provisional MRL proposal on maize grain: 0.02* mg/kg,  pending the results of further residue trials performed with the critical dose and critical growth stage and using a completely validated analytical method.	0.02* (provisiona l)	0.02
Winter wheat	Europe  North Europe	validated analytical method.  - Maize grain:  - Silage:  7 trials performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha with 2 applications, the latest at BBCH growth stages	The database was considered as complete.	0.02*	0.02
	Luiope	ranging from 67 (full flowering) to 83-85 (grain content soft but dry).  - Wheat grain: 3x <0.01, (<0.01), 2x 0.01, (0.01),	MRL proposal on wheat grain: 0.02 mg/kg.		
		<0.02, 0.02 mg/kg - Wheat straw: 0.12, 2x 0.14, 0.15, 0.18, 0.21, 0.25, (1.0), (1.35) mg/kg	The additional residue values in brackets will be taken into account when the validation data package of the analytical		

http://www.efsa.europa.eu



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

	South Europe	10 trials performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha with 2 applications, the latest at BBCH growth stages ranging from 69 (end of flowering) to 77 (Late milk).  - Wheat grain: 9 x <0.02, 0.01  - Wheat straw: 2x<0.05, 0.08, 0.12, 0.14, 0.26, 0.27, 0.30, 2x 0.38	method is accepted.  The residue database for winter/spring wheat can be extrapolated to triticale.		
Winter barley	North Europe South Europe	6 trials were performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha, 2 applications with the latest at the BBCH growth stages ranging between 61 (beginning of flowering) and 73 (early milk).  - Barley grain: (<0.01), 0.01, <0.02, .3x 0.02, (0.03), 0.11  The value 0.11 was considered as an outlier.  - Barley straw: 0.14, 0.18, 0.19, 0.20, 0.31, (0.32), (0.25), 0.52  7 trials were performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha, 2 applications with the latest at the BBCH growth stages ranging between 61 (beginning of flowering) and 75 (Medium milk).  - Barley grain: 4x <0.02, 0.02, 0.03, 0.04  - Barley straw 2x <0.05, 0.08, 0.13, 0.17, 0.33, 0.67	Provisional MRL proposal on barley grain: 0.05 mg/kg.  The values in brackets will be taken into account when the validation data package of the analytical method is accepted.  The residue database for winter/spring barley can be extrapolated to spring oat.	0.05 (provisiona l)	0.02
Triticale	North Europe	No data	MRL for triticale extrapolated from wheat	0.02*	0.02

http://www.efsa.europa.eu 68 of 119



# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

	South Europe	2 trials performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha, 2 applic. and BBCH 75-77 (medium/late milk): - Triticale grain: 2x <0.02 - Triticale straw: <0.05, 0.28			
Oat	North Europe South Europe	No data  2 trials performed at a dose rate of 15 g a.s./ha, 2 applic. and BBCH 75-76 (medium milk):  - Triticale grain: 2x < 0.02  - Triticale straw: 0.18, 0.39	Provisional MRL for oat extrapolated from winter barley	0.05 (provisiona l)	0.02
Peas, green without pods	North Europe South Europe	10 trials for peas, green without pods: 10 x <0.01.  3 trials for peas, green without pods: 3x <0.02	The database considered as complete both for Northern and Southern Europe on the basis of the no residue situation and considering the non systemic properties of zeta-cypermethrin.	0.02*	0.01
Peas with pods (mange tout)	North Europe South Europe	6 trials for peas with pods: 2x (<0.01), 3x (0.01), (0.016) mg/kg 3 trials: 3x <0.02 mg/kg	Provisional MRL for pea with pods: 0.02* mg/kg. The values in brackets will be taken into account when the validation data package of the analytical method is accepted.	0.02* (provisiona l)	0.02
Pulses, dry	North Europe South Europe	No trial provided.  6 trials for shelled peas, dry: 6x <0.02 mg/kg	A complete residue database should be provided for Northern Europe unless a situation of no residue can be demonstrated for North EU, based on a minimum of 4 trials.	0.02* (provisiona l)	0.02

<sup>(</sup>a) Numbers of trials in which particular residue levels were reported *e.g.* 3 x <0.01, 1 x 0.01, 6 x 0.02, 1 x 0.04, 1 x 0.08, 2 x 0.1, 2 x 0.15, 1 x 0.17 (b) Supervised Trials Median Residue *i.e.* the median residue level estimated on the basis of supervised trials relating to the critical GAP

69 of 119 http://www.efsa.europa.eu

# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

## Consumer risk assessment (Annex IIA, point 6.9, Annex IIIA, point 8.8)

ADI	0.04 mg/kg b.w./day
TMDI (European Diet) (% ADI)	-WHO European diet for an adult : 0.6 % ADI -German diet for a 4-6 years old girl : 1.35 % ADI -Most sensitive categories of UK consumers : toddlers (2% of ADI) and 4-6 years old children (2% of ADI).
NEDI (% ADI)	-
Factors included in NEDI	-
ARfD	0.125 mg/kg b.w./day
Acute exposure (% ARfD)	The acute exposure estimates based on UK consumption data and the provisional MRLs do not indicate any of the considered consumer subgroup being at risk (maximum of 0.6 % of ARfD for 4-6 year old child for wheat).

### **Processing factors** (Annex IIA, point 6.5, Annex IIIA, point 8.4)

Crop/processed crop	Number of studies	Transfer factor	% Transference *
No processing studies were required.			

<sup>\*</sup> Calculated on the basis of distribution in the different portions, parts or products as determined through balance studies

### Proposed MRLs (Annex IIA, point 6.7, Annex IIIA, point 8.6)

Maize	0.02* mg/kg (provisional)
Winter/spring Wheat	0.02* mg/kg
Winter/spring Barley	0.05 mg/kg (provisional)
Triticale	0.02* mg/kg
Oat	0.05 mg/kg (provisional)
Peas, green without pods	0.02* mg/kg
Peas green with pods (mange tout)	0.02* mg/kg (provisional)
Pulses (dry)	0.02* mg/kg (provisional)



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# Route of degradation (aerobic) in soil (Annex IIA, point 7.1.1.1.1)

	, <u>.</u>
Mineralization after 100 days ‡	(cypermethrin )
	35.8 % after 122 d, [ <sup>14</sup> C-cyclopropyl]-label (n= 1)
	36.2 % after 122 d, [ <sup>14</sup> C-benzyl]-label (n= 1)
Non-extractable residues after 100 days ‡	19.3 % after 122 d, [ <sup>14</sup> C-cyclopropyl]-label (n= 1)
	30.0 % after 122 d, [14C-benzyl]-label (n= 1)
Relevant metabolites - name and/or code, % of	DCVA, max 24.2% at day 62 [ <sup>14</sup> C-cyclopropyl]-
applied (range and maximum) ‡	label
	mPBAcid, max 8.4% at day 30 [14C-benzyl]-label
	(this metabolite is found at level > 10% in several
	other studies)
Relevant metabolites - name and/or code, % of	(zeta-cypermethrin)
applied (range and maximum) ‡	Data gap

### **Route of degradation in soil - Supplemental studies** (Annex IIA, point 7.1.1.1.2)

Anaerobic degradation ‡	Data gap
Soil photolysis ‡	(cypermethrin)
	Mineralisation: max 0.2-3.3% AR after 21 days
	non-extractable residues: max 31.7% after 28 days
	Cyparamida formad et similar lavale in both
	Cyperamide formed at similar levels in both
	irradiated and dark controls (9.2-13.2% at day 35)
	[14C-benzyl]- and [14C-cyclopropyl]- labels
	[ C-belizyij- and [ C-cyclopropyij- labels



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Rate of degradation in soil (Annex IIA, point 7.1.1.2, Annex IIIA, point 9.1.1)

Method of calculation

Laboratory studies (range or median, with n value, with  $r^2$  value)  $\ddagger$ 

Laboratory: 1<sup>st</sup> order (ModelManager 1.1) cypermethrin and DCVA. For mPBAcid biphasic first order

Not normalised field studies Timme linear regression best fit

Normalised field studies: SFO and FOMC (Modelmaker 4.0)

cypermethrin  $DT_{50lab}$  (25°C, aerobic, 75% 1/3 bar MHC): 60 days normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C (with Q10 of 2.2), 89 days.

Though only 1 reliable value is available it was agreed that the available satisfactory field data meant further laboratory soil rate of degradation data was not required.

mPBAcid:  $DT_{50lab}$  (20°C, aerobic): 3-7 d (single first order or slower phase of biphasic single first order, n=3,  $r^2=0.8107-0.967$ )

cis-DCVA: DT<sub>50lab</sub> (20°C, aerobic): 2.7-8 d (single first order n= 3,  $r^2 = 0.923-0.964$ )

Trans-DCVA:  $DT_{50lab}$  (20°C, aerobic): 3.1-11 d (single first order n= 3,  $r^2 = 0.815-0.972$ )

For FOCUS gw modelling

mPBAcid, geomean DT<sub>50lab</sub> of 4.3 d (aerobic, 1<sup>st</sup> order kinetics, normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2).

DCVA, geomean DT<sub>50lab</sub> of 4.2 d (aerobic, 1<sup>st</sup> order kinetics, normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2).

 $DT_{50lab}$  (10°C, aerobic): cypermethrin 196 d (by calculation, with Q10 of 2.2).

anaerobic study: data gap

degradation in the saturated zone: not required

Field studies (state location, range or median n value) ‡

DT<sub>50f</sub>: (zeta-cypermethrin) not normalised values. S France, bare soil, 21 d (n= 1,  $r^2$ =0.908) 1<sup>st</sup> order Italy, bare soil, 10-11 d (n= 1,  $r^2$ = 0.930) 1<sup>st</sup> order Germany, bare soil, 6.21 d (n=1,  $r^2$ =0.9751) sqr root

Germany, bare soil, 24.24 d (n=1,  $r^2$ =0.8675) 1<sup>st</sup> order Germany, bare soil, 9.52 d (n=1,  $r^2$ =0.9722) 1.5<sup>st</sup> order Germany, bare soil, 3.85 d (n=1,  $r^2$ =0.9402) sqr root

DT<sub>90f</sub>: (zeta-cypermethrin) not normalised values.

S France, bare soil, 70-71 d

Italy, bare soil, 34-37 d

Germany, bare soil, 68.50 d

Germany, bare soil, 80.54 d

Germany, bare soil, 49.71 d

Germany, bare soil, 42.47 d

DT<sub>50f/90f</sub>: (zeta-cypermethrin) normalised to FOCUS reference conditions (10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2) using time step normalisation

Site	Kineti c Model						
		χ² erro r	DT <sub>50</sub> (days)	DT <sub>90</sub> (days)	Modelling DT <sub>50</sub> (days) ‡		
Borsum	SFO	24.	8.62	28.65	8.62		
Christinent hal	FOMC 22	6.	7.81	50.48	15.20*		
Erpolzheim	SFO	10.	12.78	42.44	12.78		
Rodenbach	SFO	6.	9.31	30.93	9.31		
France (S1)	FOMC 23	20.	11.04	93.27	28.09*		
Italy (S2)	FOMC 24	16.	8.44	89.16	26.86*		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> P<0.1 for all SFO models

For FOCUS scenario modelling:

Geomean = 15.08 days (aerobic,  $1^{st}$  order kinetics,

<sup>\*</sup> DT<sub>50</sub> calculated by dividing FOMC DT<sub>90</sub> by 3.32 (FOCUS, 2006)

 $<sup>^{22} \</sup>alpha = 1.41678 \quad \beta = 12.3728$ 

 $<sup>^{23} \</sup>alpha = 1.05707$   $\beta = 11.9102$ 

 $<sup>^{24}</sup>$   $\alpha$ = 0.881522  $\beta$ =7.0614

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

DT<sub>50f</sub>: (mPBAcid)

S France, bare soil, 4.8 d (n= 1,  $r^2$ =0.908) 1<sup>st</sup> order Italy, bare soil, 5.9 d (n= 1,  $r^2$ = 0.9.21) 1<sup>st</sup> order

DT<sub>90f</sub>: (mPBAcid)

S France, bare soil, 16 d

Italy, bare soil, 20 d

DT<sub>50f</sub>: (trans-DCVA)

S France, bare soil, not detected

Italy, bare soil, 2.9 d (n= 1,  $r^2$ = 0.930) 1<sup>st</sup> order

DT<sub>90f</sub>: (trans-DCVA)

S France, bare soil, not detected

Italy, bare soil, 9.5 d

DT<sub>50f</sub>: (cis-DCVA)

S France, bare soil, not detected

Italy, bare soil, not detected

Soil accumulation and plateau concentration ‡

Not required. Field DT90 of zeta-cypermethrin are < 1 year.

#### **Soil adsorption/desorption** (Annex IIA, point 7.1.2)

 $K_f \, / K_{oc~(mL/g)} \, \ddagger$ 

 $K_{\text{foc}}$  cypermethrin 72405-285562 (mean 121786,

 $^{1}/_{n}$ = 1.15-1.47, 4 soils)

mPBAcid 118-215 (mean 151.67,  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.65-0.67, 3

soils

trans-DCVA 18-48 (mean 28.33,  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.56-0.81, 3

soils

pH dependence (yes / no) (if yes type of dependence) ‡

No

.\*For FOCUS gw modelling -

 $K_{foc}$ : cypermethrin mean 121786,  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 1.30

 $K_{\text{foc}}$ : mPBAcid, mean 151.67,  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.66

 $K_{foc}$ : trans-DCVA, mean 28.336,  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.64



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

**Mobility in soil** (Annex IIA, point 7.1.3, Annex IIIA, point 9.1.2)

Column leaching † (cypermethrin)

EPA and SETAC Guidelines Time period (d): 1-2 d

Precipitation (mm): 508 mm

Leachate: 0.26% total residues/radioactivity in

leachate

92.69% total residues/radioactivity retained in top 6

cm (benzyl label)

Leachate: 2.06% total residues/radioactivity in

leachate

88.14% total residues/radioactivity retained in top 6

cm (cyclopropyl label)

Aged residues leaching ‡ (cypermethrin)

**EPA** and **SETAC** Guidelines

Aged for (d): 30 d Time period (d): 1-2 d Precipitation (mm): 508 mm

Leachate: 0.70% total residues/radioactivity in

leachate

92.79% total residues/radioactivity retained in top 6

cm (benzyl label)

Leachate: 14.53% total residues/radioactivity in

leachate

0.3% a.s., 11.7% DCVA

73.15% total residues/radioactivity retained in top 6

cm (cyclopropyl label)

Lysimeter/ field leaching studies ‡

Not required

**PEC** (soil) (Annex IIIA, point 9.1.3)

**Parent** 

Method of calculation DT<sub>50</sub> (zeta-cypermethrin): 24.24 days

Kinetics: 1<sup>st</sup> order

representative worst case from not normalised field

studies.

Application rate Crop: maize

25 % plant interception: Post-emergence BBCH 15

-30

Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

$PEC_{(s)}$	Single	Single	Multiple	Multiple
(mg/kg)	application	application	application	application
	Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average
Initial	0.038	average -	-	-
Short term 24h	0.036	0.037	-	-
2d	0.035	0.036		
4d	0.033	0.035		
Long term 7d	0.031	0.034	-	-
28d	0.017	0.026		
50d	0.009	0.020		
100d	0.002	0.012		

#### Metabolites

Kinetics: 1<sup>st</sup> order Worst case lab data

Application rate

Crop: maize 25 % plant interception: Post-emergence BBCH 15

-30

Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha

Assuming complete degradation of the a.s. into

DCVA,

Molecular mass ratio DCVA/a.s.: 209.07/416.31

$\mathbf{PEC}_{(s)}$		Single	Single	Multiple	Multiple
(mg/kg)		application	application	application	application
		Actual	Time weighted average	Actual	Time weighted average
Initial		0.019	-	-	-
Short term 2	24h	0.017	0.018	-	-
	2d	0.015	0.017		
	4d	0.013	0.016		
Long term	7d	0.009	0.014	=	=
2	28d	0.001	0.006		
5	0d	0.000	0.004		
10	00d	0.000	0.002		



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Method of calculation

Application rate

DT<sub>50</sub> (mPBAcid): 7 days

Kinetics: 1<sup>st</sup> order Worst case lab data

Crop: maize

25 % plant interception: Post-emergence BBCH 15

-30

Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha

Assuming complete degradation of the a.s. into

mPBAcid

Molecular mass ratio mPBAcid /a.s.:

214.22/416.31

PEC <sub>(s)</sub> (mg/kg)	Single application	Single application	Multiple application	Multiple application
	Actual	Time weighted	Actual	Time weighted
		average		average
Initial	0.019	-	-	-
Short term 241	n 0.017	0.018	-	-
20	d 0.016	0.018		
40	d 0.013	0.016		
Long term 7	d 0.009	0.014	ı	-
280	0.000	0.007		
500	0.000	0.004		
1000	0.000	0.002		

#### Route and rate of degradation in water (Annex IIA, point 7.2.1)

Hydrolysis of active substance and relevant
metabolites (DT <sub>50</sub> ) (state pH and temperature)
‡

(zeta-cypermethrin) pH 4: Hydrolytically stable

pH 7, 25°C: 25 d

pH 9: hydrolytically labile

Metabolites mPBAcid, mPBAlcolhol, cis-DCVA, trans-DCVA are hydrolytically stable at pH 4, 7, 9

Photolytic degradation of active substance and relevant metabolites ‡

(zeta-cypermethrin)

At 20°C DT<sub>50</sub> (direct phototransformation): 3.05 test system days when equated to central European May sunlight for a 1m light path length 14 days

**MPBAcid** 

pH4,  $20^{\circ}$ C: DT<sub>50</sub> = 1.07 d test system days, 77 days pH7, 20°C:  $DT_{50} = 2.83$  d test system days, 120

pH9,  $20^{\circ}$ C:  $DT_{50} = 4.07$  d test system days, 170

second values are equated to central European May sunlight for a 1cm light path length

Data gap, no in the absence of peer reviewed data

Readily biodegradable (yes/no) ‡

Degradation in - DT<sub>50</sub> water ‡ water/sediment - DT<sub>90</sub> water ‡

> - DT<sub>50</sub> whole system ‡ - DT<sub>90</sub> whole system ‡

(zeta-cypermethrin)

0.1 days (dissipation values)

2.1-3..5 days (dissipation values biphasic degr.,  $r^2$ = 0.992-1.000, n= 4)

1.5-2.5 days

16.0-26.0 days (biphasic degr.,  $r^2 = 0.9912-0.9983$ , n=4

Selected for FOCUSsw modelling (single first order  $DT_{50}$ ):

water 1000 days (default)

sediment 6.12 days (geomean of whole system biphasic DT90 divided by 3.32)

15.91-21.05% AR (at 99 d, n=2, cyclopropyl label) 51.81-57.43% AR (at 99 d, n=2, benzyl label)

15.97-21.55% AR (at 99 d, n=2, cyclopropyl label) 25.97-26.61% AR (at 99 d, n=2, benzyl label)

Maximum of 44.11-46.57 % AR in sediment after  $0.25 \text{ day. } DT_{50} \text{ in sediment } 4.9-8.2 \text{ days } (DT_{90})$ 31.8-53.4 days, biphasic degr.,,  $r^2 = 0.9804-0.9917$ ,

Mineralization

Non-extractable residues

Distribution in water / sediment systems (active substance) ‡



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Distribution in water / sediment systems (metabolites) ‡

Water:

mPBAcid: max of 33.44-37.58% (8 days, n= 2, benzyl label)

trans-DCVA: max of 47.23-35.22% (14 days, n= 2, cyclopropyl label)

cis-DCVA: max of 20.16-15.94% (62 days, n= 2, cyclopropyl label)

Sediment:

mPBAcid: max of 4.96-6.25% (8 days, n= 2,

benzyl label)
trans DCVA: may of 4.72.8.5% (0)

trans-DCVA: max of 4.72-8.5% (99-29 days, n= 2, cyclopropyl label)

cis-DCVA: max of 2.13-3.11% (62 days, n= 2,

cyclopropyl label)

 $DT_{50}$  cis-DCVA 79.1-63.0 days, (whole system, graphical estimate from max. observed, n= 2)  $DT_{50}$  trans-DCVA 117.5-94.9 days, (whole system graphical estimate from max. observed, n= 2)  $DT_{50}$  mPBAcid 12.9-145.2 days, (whole system graphical estimate from max. observed, n= 2)



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### PEC (surface water) and PEC sediment (Annex IIIA, point 9.2.3)

Parent
--------

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1

Molecular weight (g/mol): 416.31 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.0387

Koc (L/kg): 18326

DT<sub>50</sub> soil (d): 31.1 days (median lab. In accordance

with FOCUS SFO)

DT50 water/sediment system (d): 1.90

DT50 water (d): 0.10 DT50 sediment (d): 6.00 Crop interception (%): 25%

partitioning to top 5 cm layer of sediment

Vapour pressure: 2.53 10<sup>-7</sup> Pa

Koc: 18326 1/n: 0.873

Application rate Crop: maize

Crop interception: 25% Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha Depth of water body: 30 cm

Application window:

Main routes of entry 2.759 % drift from 1 meter

10% runoff/drainage respectively at FOCUSsw

Step 1

80 of 119



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

FOCUS STEP	Day after overall	PEC <sub>SW</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>SED</sub> (μg/kg)	
1 Scenario	maximum		Actual TWA		TWA
	0	0.84	-	90.06	-
	24	0.35	0.59	64.26	77.16
	2d	0.24	0.44	44.62	65.50
	4d	0.12	0.31	21.51	48.59
	7d	0.04	0.21	7.20	33.37
	14d	0.00	0.11	0.56	17.98
	21d	0.00	0.07	0.04	12.06
	28d	0.00	0.06	0.00	9.05
	42d	0.00	0.04	0.00	6.03

#### **Parent**

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 3 and 4

Molecular weight (g/mol): 416.31 Water solubility (mg/L): 0.0387

Koc (L/kg): 18326 1/n: 0.873

DT<sub>50</sub> soil (d): 31.1 days (median lab. In accordance with FOCUS SFO (though lab studies were not reliable this value is longer than the geomean normalised field value (15.1 days))

DT50 water (d): 0.10, Note 1000 days should have been used but this would not impact on maximum

initial PEC retained as reliable endpoints

DT50 sediment (d): 6.00

Spring cereals, peas: 2 x 15 g a.s. /ha at 14 day interval, note simulations with 1 x 15 g a.s. /ha should have been simulated to generates the highest PECsw due to spray drift assumptions but were not so there is a data gap for PEC for the uses on cereals and peas.

Maize: once at 37.5 g a.s. /ha

Application window: 1<sup>st</sup> March to 14<sup>th</sup> June

depending on scenarios

Step 3: standard scenarios

Step 4: 20 meter buffer implemented

Application rate

Main routes of entry



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# **FOCUS step 3 PECsw**

Сгор	Location	Water body	Global Max in ppb
Cereals and peas	Swash drift	Ditch /	0.0964
Cerears and peas	calculator	stream	0.0704
Cereals and peas	nominal concentration	pond	0.0033
Maize	D3	ditch	0.190000
Maize	D4	pond	0.007590
Maize	D4	stream	0.160000
Maize	D5	pond	0.007590
Maize	D5	stream	0.162000
Maize	D6	ditch	0.190000
Maize	R1	pond	0.007590
Maize	R1	stream	0.131000
Maize	R2	stream	0.175000
Maize	R3	stream	0.186000
Maize	R4	stream	0.131000

# **FOCUS step 4 PECsw**

Сгор	Location	Water body	Global Max in ppb
Cereals and peas	Swash drift calculator	Ditch / stream	0.0072
Cereals and peas	nominal concentration	pond	0.0014
Legume – Pea	R4	stream	0.01220
Maize	D3	ditch	0.01700
Maize	D4	pond	0.00323
Maize	D4	stream	0.01850
Maize	D5	pond	0.00323
Maize	D5	stream	0.01860
Maize	D6	ditch	0.01700
Maize	R1	pond	0.00323
Maize	R1	stream	0.01510
Maize	R2	stream	0.02010
Maize	R3	stream	0.02140
Maize	R4	stream	0.01760

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### PEC sediment Data gap

M	[eta]	bol	lite	D	C٦	V	A

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1

Molecular weight: 209.07 Water solubility (mg/l): -Soil or water metabolite:

Koc (L/kg): 18

DT<sub>50</sub> soil (d): 4.8 days Crop interception (%): 25%

Maximum occurrence observed (69.58% molar basis with respect to the parent) in w/s system Maximum occurrence observed (51.40% molar basis with respect to the parent) in soil system

Application rate

Crop: maize

Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha Depth of water body: 30 cm

Application window:

Main routes of entry

2.759 % drift from 1 metre

10 % runoff/drainage (at FOCUSsw Step 1)

FOCUS		$PEC_{SW}(\mu g/L)$		PEC <sub>SED</sub> (μg/kg)	
STEP 1 Scenario Metabolite DCVA	Day after overall maximum	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA
	0h	0.25	-	23.25	-
	24h	0.09	0.17	16.74	20.00
	2d	0.06	0.12	11.63	17.01
	4d	0.03	0.08	5.60	12.63
	7d	0.01	0.06	1.88	8.68
	14d	0.00	0.03	0.15	4.68
	21d	0.00	0.02	0.01	3.14
	28d	0.00	0.02	0.00	2.35
	42d	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.57



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Metabolite mPBAcid

Parameters used in FOCUSsw step 1

Molecular weight: 214.22

Water solubility (mg/l): -

Soil or water metabolite:

Koc (L/kg): 118

DT<sub>50</sub> soil (d): 5.3 days Crop interception (%): 25%

Maximum occurrence observed (48.3% molar basis

with respect to the parent) in w/s system

Maximum occurrence observed (59.9% molar basis

with respect to the parent) in soil system

Application rate Crop: maize

Number of applications: 1

Interval (d): -

Application rate(s): 37.5 g as/ha Depth of water body: 30 cm

Application window:

Main routes of entry 2.759 % drift from 1 metre

10 % runoff/drainage (at FOCUSsw Step 1)

FOCUS		PEC <sub>SW</sub> (µg/L)		PEC <sub>SED</sub> (μg/kg)		
STEP 1 Scenario	Day after overall	Actual	TWA	Actual	TWA	
Metabolite mPBAcid	maximum					
	0h	0.23	-	27.76	-	
	24h	0.11	0.17	19.66	23.71	
	2d	0.07	0.13	13.65	20.09	
	4d	0.04	0.09	6.58	14.89	
	7d	0.01	0.06	2.20	10.22	
	14d	0.00	0.03	0.17	5.51	
	21d	0.00	0.02	0.01	3.69	
	28d	0.00	0.02	0.00	2.77	
	42d	0.00	0.01	0.00	1.85	

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### PEC (ground water) (Annex IIIA, point 9.2.1)

Method of calculation and type of study (*e.g.* modelling, field leaching, lysimeter )

Modelling using FOCUS model(s), with appropriate FOCUS gw scenarios, according to FOCUS guidance.

Model(s) used: FOCUS PELMO 3.2.2

Scenarios: Chateaudun, irrigated; Hamburg; Kremsmunster; Okehampton; Piacenza, irrigated;

Porto; Sevilla, irrigated; Thiva

Crop: maize

median parent  $DT_{50lab}$  31.1 d (normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2).

 $K_{oc}$ : parent, 18326  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.873.

arithmetic mean DCVA DT<sub>50lab</sub> 4.8 d (normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2).

 $K_{oc}$ : DCVA,  $18^{-1}/_{n} = 0.555$ .

arithmetic mean mPBAcid  $DT_{50lab}$  5.3 d (normalisation to 10kPa or pF2, 20°C with Q10 of 2.2).

 $K_{oc}$ : mPBAcid, 118  $^{1}/_{n}$ = 0.6452.

Application rate: 37.5 g/ha, 25% plant interception

No. of applications: 1

Time of application (month or season): post-

emergence, BBCH 15-30

**PEC(gw)** - FOCUS modelling results (80<sup>th</sup> percentile annual average concentration at 1m)

Scenario		Parent	Metabolite (µg/L	Metabolite (µg/L)		
Model /Crop		(µg/L)	DCVA	mPBAcid		
$\sim$	Chateaudun, irrigated	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
rop	Hamburg	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Kremsmunster	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Okehampton	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Piacenza, irrigated	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Porto	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Sevilla, irrigated	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		
	Thiva	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		

Application rate



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Fate and behaviour in air (Annex IIA, point 7.2.2, Annex III, point 9.3)

Direct photolysis in air

Quantum yield of direct phototransformation

Photochemical oxidative degradation in air

Volatilisation

Not	studied	- no	data	req	uested	
						Ī

active substance:  $\phi = 0.0511$ , mPBAcid (pH 7):  $\phi = 0.462 \times 10^{-3}$ 

DT<sub>50</sub> of 0.499 hours (12-hrs-day) derived by the Atkinson method of calculation

(cypermethrin)

from plant surfaces (BBA guideline): 0 % after 24 hours

(cypermethrin)

from soil (BBA guideline): 0% after 24 hours

PEC (air)

Method of calculation

Not required

PEC(a)

Maximum concentration

Not required

#### **Definition of the Residue** (Annex IIA, point 7.3)

Residue for which assessment by other disciplines is triggered or for which a groundwater exposure assessment is required. Data gap needs to be filled before the definition for groundwater can be finalised

For risk assessment:

Soil: component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA, mPBAcid

Groundwater: component isomers of cypermethrin,

DCVA, mPBAcid

Surface water: component isomers of cypermethrin, DCVA, mPBAcid

Sediment: component isomers of cypermethrin,

DCVA, mPBAcid

Air: component isomers of cypermethrin



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Monitoring data, if available (Annex IIA, point 7.4	M	lonitoring	data, if	'available (	(Annex ]	IIA,	point 7.4	)
-----------------------------------------------------	---	------------	----------	--------------	----------	------	-----------	---

Soil (indicate location and type of study)	Not available
Surface water (indicate location and type of study)	The monitoring data from France are rather ancient (1987-1989). They show that the contamination of surface water and groundwater by cypermethrin is limited. These data are considered as confirmatory information.
Ground water (indicate location and type of study)	The monitoring data from France are rather ancient (1987-1989). They show that the contamination of surface water and groundwater by cypermethrin is limited. These data are considered as confirmatory information.
Air (indicate location and type of study)	Not available

# Classification and proposed labelling (Annex IIA, point 10)

with regard to fate and behaviour data	R53



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Effects on terrestrial vertebrates (Annex IIA, point 8.1, Annex IIIA, points 10.1 and 10.3)

Species	Test substance	Time scale	End point (mg/kg bw/day)	End point (mg/kg feed)
Birds ‡				
Anas platyrhynchos	cypermethrin	Acute	> 10248 (m)	-
			> 12085 (f)	-
	zeta-cypermethrin	Acute	> 5124 (m)	-
			> 6043 (f)	-
Anas platyrhynchos	cypermethrin	Short-term	> 1201	> 20000
	zeta-cypermethrin	Short-term	> 601	-
Colinus virginianus	cypermethrin	Short-term	> 1600	> 20000
	zeta-cypermethrin	Short-term	> 800	-
Colinus virginianus	cypermethrin	Long-term	4.29	50
	zeta-cypermethrin	Long-term	2.15	-
Anas platyrhynchos	cypermethrin	Long-term	5.58	50
	zeta-cypermethrin	Long-term	2.79	-
Mammals ‡	•		-	1
rat	zeta-cypermethrin	Acute	86	-
rat	zeta-cypermethrin	Long-term	5.9	-
Additional higher tier str	udies ‡	•	•	•
Not required.				

As RMS, we accepted to conduct the risk assessment of zeta-cypermethrin for birds based on studies conducted with cypermethrin.

- The toxicological endpoints and the subsequent risk assessment have shown an acceptable risk for birds. The acute and short-term risk is acceptable with a large margin of safety. The reproductive risk to birds is also acceptable based on a low NOEL value due to low testing dosage in the test and assuming a worst case assumption of ingestion of 100 % contaminated diet.
- The pyrethroid compounds (cypermethrin, alpha-cypermethrin) are known to have an acceptable risk to birds.
- For reasons of animal welfare, it is not recommended to conduct studies on birds with zeta-cypermethrin.

In the meeting of experts PRAPeR 48 in May 2008 it was agreed that the endpoints for cypermethrin should be divided by a factor of 2 to extrapolate to zeta-cypermethrin.

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Toxicity/exposure ratios for terrestrial vertebrates (Annex IIIA, points 10.1 and 10.3)

Crop and application rate: maize, 1 x 0.0375 kg a.s./ha

Indicator species/Category <sup>2</sup>	Time scale	ETE	TER <sup>1</sup>	Annex VI Trigger <sup>3</sup>			
Tier 1 (Birds)			1				
	Acute	2.34	> 2190	10			
Large herbivorous bird (early crop stage)	Short-term	1.25	> 481	10			
· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Long-term	0.66	3.26	5			
	Acute	2.03	> 2524	10			
Insectivorous bird (early/late crop stage)	Short-term	1.13	> 532	10			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Long-term	1.13	1.91	5			
Earthworm-eating bird	Long-term	0.12	18	5			
Fish-eating bird	Long-term	0.00027	7963	5			
Higher tier refinement (Birds)	)						
Large herbivorous bird (early crop stage)	Long-term	0.24 (residues)	8.96	5			
Notifier to refine the long-term	m risk to insective	orous birds.					
Tier 1 (Mammals)							
Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	7.40	11.6	10			
(early crop stage)	Long-term	2.10	2.81	5			
Insectivorous mammal (late	Acute	0.33	260	10			
crop stage)	Long-term	0.12	49.0	5			
Earthworm-eating mammal	Long-term	0.15	39.3	5			
Fish-eating mammal	Long-term	0.00017	34911	5			
Higher tier refinement (Mamı	Higher tier refinement (Mammals)						
Small herbivorous mammal (early crop stage)	Long-term	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75\\ (\text{max}\\ \text{residue},\\ f_{\text{twa}}) \end{array}$	7.87	5			

in higher tier refinement provide brief details of any refinements used (e.g., residues, PT, PD or AV) for cereals indicate if it is early or late crop stage

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If the Annex VI Trigger value has been adjusted during the risk assessment of the active substance (e.g. many single species data), it should appear in this column.

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Crop and application rate: cereals, 1-2 x 0.015 kg a.s./ha

Indicator species/Category <sup>2</sup>	Time scale	ETE	TER <sup>1</sup>	Annex VI Trigger <sup>3</sup>			
Tier 1 (Birds)	Tier 1 (Birds)						
Large herbivorous bird (early crop stage)	Acute	1.12	> 4575	10			
	Short-term	0.70	> 859	10			
	Long-term	0.37	5.81	5			
	Acute	0.81	> 6326	10			
Insectivorous bird (early/late crop stage)	Short-term	0.45	> 1336	10			
(comply control of the control of th	Long-term	0.45	4.78	5			
Higher tier refinement (Birds)	)						
Notifier to refine the long-term	n risk to insective	orous birds.					
Tier 1 (Mammals)							
Small herbivorous mammal	Acute	3.55	24.2	10			
(early crop stage)	Long-term	1.18	5.02	5			
Insectivorous mammal (late	Acute	0.13	650	10			
crop stage)	Long-term	0.048	122	5			
Higher tier refinement (Mammals)							
Not required.							

in higher tier refinement provide brief details of any refinements used (e.g., residues, PT, PD or AV) for cereals indicate if it is early or late crop stage

Crop and application rate: peas, 1-2 x 0.015 kg a.s./ha

Indicator species/Category <sup>2</sup>	Time scale	ETE	TER <sup>1</sup>	Annex VI Trigger <sup>3</sup>	
Tier 1 (Birds)					
	Acute	1.19	> 4306	10	
Large herbivorous bird (early crop stage)	Short-term	0.64	> 939	10	
	Long-term	0.34	6.32	5	
Insectivorous bird (early/late crop stage)	Acute	0.81	> 6326	10	
	Short-term	0.45	> 1336	10	
(carry, race erop stage)	Long-term	0.45	4.78	5	
Higher tier refinement (Birds)					
Notifier to refine the long-term risk to insectivorous hirds					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If the Annex VI Trigger value has been adjusted during the risk assessment of the active substance (e.g. many single species data), it should appear in this column.

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Indicator species/Category <sup>2</sup>	Time scale	ETE	TER <sup>1</sup>	Annex VI Trigger <sup>3</sup>	
Tier 1 (Mammals)					
Medium herbivorous	Acute	0.44	196	10	
mammal (early/late crop stage)	Long-term	0.12	47.3	5	
Higher tier refinement (Mammals)					
Not required.					

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> in higher tier refinement provide brief details of any refinements used (e.g., residues, PT, PD or AV)
<sup>2</sup> for cereals indicate if it is early or late crop stage

# Toxicity data for aquatic species (most sensitive species of each group) (Annex IIA, point 8.2, Annex IIIA, point 10.2)

Group	Test substance	Time-scale	End point	Toxicity <sup>1</sup>
		(Test type)		(mg/L)
Laboratory tests	3 ‡			
Fish				
Oncorhynchus mykiss	zeta- cypermethrin	96 h (flow-through)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	<b>0.00069 mg a.s./L</b> (mm)
Cyprinodon variegatus	zeta- cypermethrin	96 h (flow-through)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	0.00237 mg a.s./L (mm)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	cypermethrin	96 h (flow-through)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	0.0009 mg a.s./L (mm)
Cyprinodon variegatus	cypermethrin	96 h (flow-through)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	0.00345 mg a.s./L (mm)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	Fury 10 EW	96 h (flow-through)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	0.013 mg form/L ( <b>0.0013 mg a.s./L</b> ) (nom)
Pimephales promelas	cypermethrin	34 d (flow-through)	Growth NOEC	0.000030 mg cypermethrin/L (0.000015 mg zeta- cypermethrin/L) (mm)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	cis-DCVA	96 h (static)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	trans-DCVA	96 h (static)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Oncorhynchus mykiss	3-phenoxy- benzoic acid	96 h (static)	Mortality, LC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> If the Annex VI Trigger value has been adjusted during the risk assessment of the active substance (e.g. many single species data), it should appear in this column.



Group	Test substance	Time-scale (Test type)	End point	Toxicity <sup>1</sup> (mg/L)
Aquatic inverte	brate			
Daphnia magna	zeta- cypermethrin	48 h (semistatic)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	0.000141 mg a.s./L (mm)
Gammarus pulex	zeta- cypermethrin	96 h (static)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	<b>0.0000013 mg a.s./L</b> (nom)
Daphnia magna	Fury 100 EW	48 h (semistatic)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	0.000827 mg form/L (0.000085 mg a.s./L) (mm)
Gammarus pulex	Fury 100 EW	96 h (static)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	0.0000069 mg form/L ( <b>0.00000069 mg a.s./L</b> ) (nom)
Daphnia magna	Fury 10 EW	21 d (semistatic)	Reproduction, NOEC	0.0001 mg form/L ( <b>0.00001 mg a.s./L</b> ) (nom)
Daphnia magna	cis-DCVA	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Daphnia magna	trans-DCVA	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Daphnia magna	3-phenoxy- benzoic acid	48 h (static)	Mortality, EC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Sediment dwell	ing organisms			
Chironomus riparius	Fury 10 EW	28 d (static) (spiked water)	NOEC	0.001 mg form/L ( <b>0.0001 mg a.s./L</b> ) (nom)
Algae				•
Pseudokirchne -riella subcapitata	zeta- cypermethrin	96 h (static)	EC <sub>50</sub>	> 1.0 mg a.s./L (nom)
Pseudokirchne -riella subcapitata	Fury 10 EW	96 h (static)	Biomass: $E_bC_{50}$ Growth rate: $E_rC_{50}$	1.1 mg form/L ( <b>0.11 mg a.s./L</b> ) 1.6 mg form/L (0.16 mg a.s./L) (96 h measured)
Pseudokirchne -riella subcapitata	cis-DCVA	72 h (static)	Biomass: $E_bC_{50}$ Growth rate: $E_rC_{50}$	> 1.0 mg/L (nom) > 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Pseudokirchne -riella subcapitata	trans-DCVA	72 h (static)	Biomass: $E_bC_{50}$ Growth rate: $E_rC_{50}$	> 1.0 mg/L (nom) > 1.0 mg/L (nom)
Pseudokirchne -riella subcapitata	3-phenoxy- benzoic acid	72 h (static)	Biomass: $E_bC_{50}$ Growth rate: $E_rC_{50}$	> 1.0 mg/L (nom) > 1.0 mg/L (nom)

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Group	Test substance	Time-scale	End point	Toxicity <sup>1</sup>
		(Test type)		(mg/L)
Higher plant				
Not required.				
Microcosm or	mesocosm tests			
		• •		e applicant and evaluated by the

Two mesocosm studies with zeta-cypermethrin were submitted by the applicant and evaluated by the RMS in the addendum to the DAR. However in view of the restrictions concerning the acceptance of new (i.e. newly submitted) studies after the submission of the DAR to EFSA, as laid down in Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1095/2007, the new studies could not be considered in the peer review.

Fury 10 EW : equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin

Toxicity/exposure ratios for the most sensitive aquatic organisms (Annex IIIA, point 10.2)

**FOCUS Step1** 

**FOCUS Step 2** 

No acceptable aquatic risk assessment based on FOCUS step 1 and step 2 calculations.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> indicate whether based on nominal (nom) or mean measured concentrations (mm). In the case of preparations indicate whether end points are presented as units of preparation or a.s.



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# Refined aquatic risk assessment using higher tier FOCUS modelling. FOCUS Step 3

Crop and application rate: maize, 1 x 0.0375 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Scena- rio	Water body type	Test species	Time- scale	End- point (µg a.s./L)	Buffer- zone	PEC <sub>sw</sub> , max (µg a.s./L)	TER	Annex VI Trigge r value
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	3.63	100
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	90.9	100
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	4.31	100
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	90.9	100
	D 5	stream	Oncorhy			1 m	0.162000	4.26	100
zeta- cypermethrin	D 6	ditch	nchus	96 h	0.69	1 m	0.190000	3.63	100
• j permemm	R 1	pond	mykiss			1 m	0.007590	90.9	100
	R 1	stream				1 m	0.131000	5.27	100
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	3.94	100
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	3.71	100
	R 1 strea R 2 strea R 3 strea R 4 strea D 3 ditch	stream	1			1 m	0.131000	5.27	100
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	6.84	100
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	171	100
	D 4	stream	1			1 m	0.160000	8.13	100
	D 5	pond	1			1 m	0.007590	171	100
	D 5	stream	Oncorhy			1 m	0.162000	8.02	100
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	nchus	96 h	1.3	1 m	0.190000	6.84	100
	R 1	pond	mykiss			1 m	0.007590	171	100
	R 1	stream	1			1 m	0.131000	9.92	100
	R 2	stream	1			1 m	0.175000	7.43	100
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	6.99	100
	R 4	stream	1			1 m	0.131000	9.92	100



		1					T		
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	0.079	10
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	1.98	10
zeta-cypermethrin  zeta-cypermethrin  Fury 10 EW	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	0.094	10
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	1.98	10
	D 5	stream	Pimephal			1 m	0.162000	0.093	10
	D 6	ditch	es	34 d	0.015	1 m	0.190000	0.079	10
)	R 1	pond	promelas			1 m	0.007590	1.98	10
	R 1	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.11	10
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	0.086	10
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	0.081	10
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.11	10
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	0.01	100
	D 4	pond		96 h	0.0013	1 m	0.007590	0.17	100
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	0.01	100
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	0.17	100
	D 5	stream				1 m	0.162000	0.01	100
	D 6	ditch	Gammar us pulex			1 m	0.190000	0.01	100
сурсинении	R 1	pond	is purex			1 m	0.007590	0.17	100
	R 1	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.01	100
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	0.01	100
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	0.01	100
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.01	100
Fury 10 EW	D 3	ditch	Gammar	96 h	0.0006	1 m	0.190000	0.004	100
	D 4	pond	us pulex		9	1 m	0.007590	0.091	100
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	0.004	100
	D 5	pond	1			1 m	0.007590	0.091	100
	D 5	stream				1 m	0.162000	0.004	100
	D 6	ditch				1 m	0.190000	0.004	100
	R 1	pond				1 m	0.007590	0.091	100
	R 1	stream	$\dashv$			1 m	0.131000	0.005	100
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	0.004	100



						1 m			
	R 3	stream					0.186000	0.004	100
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.005	100
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	0.05	10
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	1.32	10
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	0.06	10
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	1.32	10
	D 5	stream				1 m	0.162000	0.06	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	Daphnia magna	21 d	0.01	1 m	0.190000	0.05	10
	R 1	pond				1 m	0.007590	1.32	10
	R 1	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.08	10
	R 2	stream stream stream ditch			1 m	0.175000	0.06	10	
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	0.05	10
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.08	10
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	5263	10
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	131752	10
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	6250	10
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	131752	10
	D 5	stream	Pseudoki rch-			1 m	0.162000	6173	10
zeta- cypermethrin	D 6	ditch	rcn- neriella	96 h	> 1000	1 m	0.190000	5263	10
Суретшешш	R 1	pond	subcapita			1 m	0.007590	131752	10
	R 1	stream	ta ta			1 m	0.131000	7634	10
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	5714	10
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	5376	10
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	7634	10

	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	572	10
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	14493	10
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	688	10
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	14493	10
	D 5	stream	Pseudoki rch-			1 m	0.162000	679	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	neriella	96 h	110	1 m	0.190000	579	10
	R 1	pond	subcapita ta			1 m	0.007590	14493	10
	R 1	stream	]			1 m	0.131000	840	10
	R 2	stream	1 m 0.186	0.175000	629	10			
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	591	10
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	840	10
	D 3	ditch				1 m	0.190000	0.53	10
	D 4	pond				1 m	0.007590	13.2	10
	D 4	stream				1 m	0.160000	0.63	10
	D 5	pond				1 m	0.007590	13.2	10
	D 5	stream	Chirono			1 m	0.162000	0.62	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	mus	28 d	0.1	1 m	0.190000	0.53	10
	R 1	pond	riparius			1 m	0.007590	13.2	10
	R 1	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.76	10
	R 2	stream				1 m	0.175000	0.57	10
	R 3	stream				1 m	0.186000	0.54	10
	R 4	stream				1 m	0.131000	0.76	10

Fury 10 EW : equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Crop and application rate: cereals / peas, 1-2 x 0.015 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Water body type	Test species	Time - scale	End- point (µg a.s./L)	Buffer- zone	PEC <sub>SW</sub> , max (µg a.s./L)	TER	Annex VI Trigger value
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	0.69	1m	0.0964	7.16	100
	pond				1m	0.0033	209	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	1.3	1m	0.0964	13.5	100
	pond				1m	0.0033	394	100
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Pimephales promelas	34 d	0.015	1m	0.0964	0.16	10
	pond				1m	0.0033	4.5	10
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.0013	1m	0.0964	0.01	100
	pond				1m	0.0033	0.39	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.0006	1m	0.0964	0.01	100
	pond				1m	0.0033	0.23	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Daphnia magna	21 d	0.01	1m	0.0964	0.10	10
	pond				1m	0.0033	3.03	10
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Pseudokirch- neriella subcapitata	96 h	> 1000	1m	0.0964	10373	10
	pond	_			1m	0.0033	303030	10
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Pseudokirch- neriella subcapitata	96 h	110	1m	0.0964	1141	10
	pond				1m	0.0033	33333	10



# **Appendix 1 – list of endpoints**

Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Chironomus riparius	28 d	0.1	1m	0.0964	1141	10
	pond				1m	0.0033	33333	10

Fury 10 EW: equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# **FOCUS Step 4**

Crop and application rate: maize, 1 x 0.0375 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Scen a-rio	Water body type	Test species	Time - scale	End- point (µg a.s./L)	Buffer- zone	PEC <sub>sw</sub> , max (µg a.s./L)	TER	Annex VI Trigge r value
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	40.6	100
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	214	100
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	37.3	100
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	214	100
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	37.1	100
zeta- cypermethrin	D 6	ditch	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	0.69	20 m	0.01700	40.6	100
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	214	100
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	45.7	100
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	34.3	100
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	32.2	100
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	39.2	100
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	76.5	100
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	402	100
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	70.3	100
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	402	100
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	69.9	100
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	1.3	20 m	0.01700	76.5	100
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	402	100
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	86.1	100
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	64.7	100
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	60.8	100
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	73.9	100
zeta-	D 3	ditch	Pimephales	34 d	0.015	20 m	0.01700	0.88	10
cypermethrin	D 4	pond	promelas			20 m	0.00323	4.64	10
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	0.81	10
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	4.64	10



1									
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	0.81	10
	D 6	ditch				20 m	0.01700	0.88	10
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	4.64	10
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	0.99	10
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	0.75	10
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	0.70	10
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	0.85	10
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	0.08	100
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.40	100
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	0.07	100
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.40	100
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	0.07	100
zeta- cypermethrin	a- D6 di	ditch	-	96 h	0.001	20 m	0.01700	0.08	100
суретнешти	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.40	100
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	0.09	100
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	0.06	100
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	0.06	100
	R 4	stream	_			20 m	0.01760	0.07	100
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	0.04	100
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.21	100
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	0.04	100
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.21	100
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	0.04	100
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch		96 h	0.000 69	20 m	0.01700	0.04	100
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	0.21	100
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	0.05	100
	R 2	stream	n			20 m	0.02010	0.03	100
F	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	0.03	100
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	0.04	100



	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	0.59	10
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	3.10	10
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	0.54	10
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	3.10	10
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	0.54	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch		21 d	0.01	20 m	0.01700	0.59	10
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	3.10	10
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	0.66	10
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	0.50	10
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	0.47	10
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	0.57	10
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	58824	10
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	30959 8	10
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	54054	10
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	30959 8	10
zeta-	D 5	stream	Pseudokirch-		>	20 m	0.01860	53763	10
cypermethrin	D 6	ditch	neriella subcapitata	96 h	1000	20 m	0.01700	58824	10
	R 1	pond				20 m	0.00323	30959 8	10
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	66225	10
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	49751	10
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	46729	10
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	56818	10



		1				1			
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	6471	10
Fury 10 EW	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	34056	10
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	5946	10
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	34056	10
	D 5	stream	Pseudokirch-			20 m	0.01860	5914	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	neriella	96 h	110	20 m	0.01700	6471	10
	R 1	pond	subcapitata			20 m	0.00323	34056	10
	R 1	stream				20 m	0.01510	7285	10
	R 2	stream				20 m	0.02010	5473	10
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	5140	10
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	6250	10
	D 3	ditch				20 m	0.01700	5.88	10
	D 4	pond				20 m	0.00323	31.0	10
	D 4	stream				20 m	0.01850	5.41	10
	D 5	pond				20 m	0.00323	31.0	10
	D 5	stream				20 m	0.01860	5.38	10
Fury 10 EW	D 6	ditch	Chironomus riparius	28 d	0.1	20 m	0.01700	5.88	10
	R 1	pond	riparius			20 m	0.00323	31.0	10
	R 1	stream	]			20 m	0.01510	6.62	10
	R 2	stream	]			20 m	0.02010	4.98	10
	R 3	stream				20 m	0.02140	4.67	10
	R 4	stream				20 m	0.01760	5.68	10



# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Crop and application rate: cereals and peas, 1-2 x 0.015 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Water body type	Test species	Time - scale	End- point (µg a.s./L)	Buffer- zone	PEC <sub>SW</sub> , max (µg a.s./L)	TER	Annex VI Trigger value
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	0.69	20m	0.0072	95.8	100
	pond				20m	0.0014	493	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Oncorhynchu s mykiss	96 h	1.3	20m	0.0072	180	100
	pond				20m	0.0014	928	100
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Pimephales promelas	34 d	0.015	20m	0.0072	2.08	10
	pond				20m	0.0014	10.71	10
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.0013	20m	0.0072	0.18	100
	pond				20m	0.0014	0.93	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.0006	20m	0.0072	0.09	100
	pond				20m	0.0014	0.49	100
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Daphnia magna	21 d	0.01	20m	0.0072	1.39	10
	pond				20m	0.0014	7.1	10
zeta- cypermethri n	Ditch /strea m	Pseudokirch- neriella	96 h	>1000	20m	0.0072	138889	10
	pond	subcapitata			20m	0.0014	714286	10
Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Pseudokirch- neriella	96 h	110	20m	0.0072	15278	10
	pond	subcapitata			20m	0.0014	78571	10



Fury 10 EW	Ditch /strea m	Chironomus riparius	28 d	0.1	20m	0.0072	13.9	10
	pond				20m	0.0014	71.4	10

Fury 10 EW: equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Crop and application rate: peas, 1-2 x 0.015 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Scena- rio	Water body type	Test species	Time- scale	End- point (µg a.s./L)	Buffer- zone	PEC <sub>SW</sub> , max (µg a.s./L)	TER	Annex VI Trigger value
zeta- cypermethrin	R 4	stream	Oncorhynchus mykiss	96 h	0.69	20 m	0.01220	56.6	100
Fury 10 EW	R 4	stream	Oncorhynchus mykiss	96 h	1.3	20 m	0.01220	107	100
zeta- cypermethrin	R 4	stream	Pimephales promelas	34 d	0.015	20 m	0.01220	1.23	10
zeta- cypermethrin	R 4	stream	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.0013	20 m	0.01220	0.11	100
Fury 10 EW	R 4	stream	Gammarus pulex	96 h	0.00069	20 m	0.01220	0.06	100
Fury 10 EW	R 4	stream	Daphnia magna	21 d	0.01	20 m	0.01220	0.82	10
zeta- cypermethrin	R 4	stream	Pseudokirch- neriella subcapitata	96 h	> 1000	20 m	0.01220	81967	10
Fury 10 EW	R 4	stream	Pseudokirch- neriella subcapitata	96 h	110	20 m	0.01220	9016	10
Fury 10 EW	R 4	stream	Chironomus riparius	28 d	0.1	20 m	0.01220	8.20	10

Fury 10 EW: equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin



Bioconcentration	
	Zeta-cypermethrin
$log P_{O/W}$	5 – 6
Bioconcentration factor (BCF) <sup>1</sup> ‡	356 ± 107 (benzyl-labeled, whole fish)
	443 ± 261 (cyclopropyl-labeled, whole fish)
Annex VI Trigger for the bioconcentration factor	100
Clearance time (days) (CT <sub>50</sub> )	1.2 ± 0.26 days (benzyl-labeled)
	$1.2 \pm 0.5$ days (cyclopropyl-labeled)
$(CT_{90})$	$3.9 \pm 0.85$ days (benzyl-labeled)
	$4.1 \pm 1.7$ days (cyclopropyl-labeled)
Level and nature of residues (%) in	Benzyl-labeled residues :
organisms after the 14 day depuration	- in fillet: 19 %
phase	- in viscera : 18 %
	- in whole fish: 23 %
	Cyclopropyl-labeled:
	- in fillet : 5 %
	- in viscera: 15 %
	- in whole fish: 17 %



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Effects on honeybees (Annex IIA, point 8.3.1, Annex IIIA, point 10.4)

Test substance	Acute oral toxicity (LD <sub>50</sub> μg/bee)	Acute contact toxicity (LD <sub>50</sub> μg/bee)
Fury 10 EW <sup>1</sup>	0.436 µg form/bee (48 h) (0.044 µg a.s./bee)	0.021 µg form/bee (48 h) (0.002 µg a.s./bee)
Field or semi-field tests		

#### Conclusions of the cage tests:

The application of Fury at 300 mL/ha in Phacelia in the evening after flight activity of the bees caused a visible repellent effect for 1-2 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 1-2 days and no impact on the bee brood development.

The application of Fury at 150 mL/ha in Phacelia during foraging activity of the bees caused a visible repellent effect for 1-3 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 1-3 days and no impact on the bee brood development.

#### Conclusions of the field tests:

The application of Fury at 150 mL/ha in oilseed rape caused a repellent effect for 1 day, a higher mortality than in the water control for 4 days and no impact on the bee brood.

The application of Fury at 150 mL/ha in Phacelia during flight activity caused a repellent effect for 1 day, a higher mortality than in the water control for 2 days and no impact on the bee brood.

The application of Fury at 300 mL/ha in Phacelia after flight activity caused no repellent effect, a higher mortality than in the water control for 1 day and no impact on the bee brood.

The application of Fury at 15 g a.s./ha in Phacelia during flight activity caused a repellent effect for 1-4 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 1-8 days and no impact on the bee brood.

#### Conclusions of the tunnel tests:

The application of Fury at 150 mL/ha on honeydew on wheat during foraging activity caused a repellent effect for 8 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 2 days and no accumulation of reserves whereas an increase of 7 kg in the water control was observed.

In a second tunnel test, the application of Fury at 150 mL/ha on honeydew on wheat during foraging activity caused a repellent effect for 6 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 1 day and no accumulation of reserves whereas an increase of 7 kg in the water control was observed.

In a third tunnel test, the application of Fury at 150 mL/ha on honeydew on wheat during foraging activity caused a repellent effect for 4 days, a higher mortality than in the water control for 6 days and no accumulation of reserves whereas an increase of 3.5 kg in the water control and the reference substance tunnel was observed.

The tunnel tests on flower of oilseed rape were not acceptable due to bad weather conditions or irregular mortality evolution.

for preparations indicate whether end point is expressed in units of a.s. or preparation

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# Hazard quotients for honey bees (Annex IIIA, point 10.4)

Crop and application rate: maize, 1 x 0.0375 kg a.s./ha

Test substance	Route	Hazard quotient	Annex VI Trigger
zeta-cypermethrin	oral	852	50
	contact	18750	50

Crop and application rate: cereals and peas, 1-2 x 0.0015 kg a.s./ha

Tt1t	D	II	A 37T
Test substance	Route	Hazard quotient	Annex VI
			Trigger
zeta-cypermethrin	oral	341	50
	contact	7500	50

# Effects on other arthropod species (Annex IIA, point 8.3.2, Annex IIIA, point 10.5)

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose	Endpoint	% effect	Trigger value
Laboratory tes	ts					
Typhlodromu s pyri	pyri nymph EW, glass	1.6 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality	3.9 %	50 %	
	S	plates, 7 d	8 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality	21.6 %	50 %
			40 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality	70.6 %	50 %
		200 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality	90.2 %	50 %	
		1000 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality	100.0 %	50 %	
			$LR_{50} = 23.18 \text{ n}$	ng a.s./ha		

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose	Endpoint	% effect	Trigger value
Extended labor	ratory test	s				
Aphidius adults rhopalosiphi	barley seedlings,	1.02 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	5.13 % + 33.74 %	50 % 50 %	
		48 h + 11 d	2.04 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	20.51 % - 4.71 %	50 % 50 %
			4.08 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	55.92 % + 45.57 %	50 % 50 %
		8.16 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	61.54 % + 6.32 %	50 % 50 %	
			16.32 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	75.71 % - 68.97 %	50 % 50 %
			$LR_{50} = 5.48 \text{ m}$	L a.s./ha	1	ı
Typhlodromu s pyri	proto- nymph s	Fury 10 EW, bean plants, 7 d	0.010 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	3.5 % + 2.0 %	50 % 50 %
			0.029 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	5.3 % - 10.9 %	50 % 50 %
			0.086 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	54.4 %	50 % 50 %
			0.254 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	92.9 %	50 % 50 %
			0.750 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	100.0 %	50 % 50 %
			$LR_{50} = 0.085 \text{ g}$	a.s./ha		



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

Species	Life stage	Test substance, substrate and duration	Dose	Endpoint	% effect	Trigger value
Typhlodromu s pyri	proto- nymph s	Fury 10 EW, maize plants, 7 d	1.04 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	100.0 %	50 % 50 %
			2.81 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	100.0 %	50 % 50 %
			37.5 g a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	100.0 %	50 % 50 %
			1.04 g a.s./ha, 7 DAA	Corrected mortality Reproduction	4.4 % 0.0 %	50 % 50 %
			2.81 g a.s./ha, 7 DAA	Corrected mortality Reproduction	4.4 % + 20.6 %	50 % 50 %
			37.5 g a.s./ha, 14DAA	Corrected mortality Reproduction	48.9 % - 33.7 %	50 % 50 %
			37.5 g a.s./ha, 21 DAA	Corrected mortality Reproduction	1.1 % + 37.0 %	50 % 50 %
Episyrphus balteatus	larvae	Fury 10 EW, barley plants, 6-12 d	15.9 mL a.s./ha, initial	Corrected mortality Reproduction	66 % - 79.4 %	50 % 50 %

Fury 10 EW : equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin

Corrected mortality: positive values: adverse effects

Reproduction: negative values: adverse effects; positive values: no adverse effects

DAA: days after application



#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Field tests

In a first field test, Fury 10 EW was applied at a single application rate of 75 mL/ha (equivalent to 8.5 mL a.s./ha) in winter sown wheat at BBCH 65.

Shortly after treatment, the number of carabid beetles decreased with full recovery for all the carabid taxa within 36 days (*Pterostichus madidus*, *Loricera pilicornis*, *Trechus quadristriatus*).

Due to bad weather conditions the number of staphylinid beetles declined. No clear effects of Fury could be demonstrated however one species had not recovered after 1 month (*Tachyporus chrysomelinus*).

A transitional increase in the number of spiders with subsequent population reduction after 1 month was observed in the control plots. In the Fury plots a decrease of the population was observed after application and no evolution of the population occurred during the whole study period.

There was no indication of any harmful effect on Collembola (Entomobryoidea and Sminthuridae).

Cereal aphids, mostly *Sitobion avenae*, are target organisms. In control plots the number of *Sitobion avenae* continued to rise whereas in the Fury treated plots the numbers per ear fell almost to zero. Some recovery took place during the remainder of the study.

The emergence of parasitoids from aphid mummies collected in the field was assessed. The number of parasitoids collected in the Fury plot was limited and did not allow to perform very robust statistics. However, the emergence of parasitoids was similar in the Fury and the control plots.

Larvae of the noctuid moth *Agrotis segetum* are also target organisms. The larval mortality in the Fury treated plots was significantly higher than in the control plots.

In a second field test, at application of 150 mL/ha (equivalent to 15 mL a.s./ha) in apple orchards, Fury has an effect on target insects (*Panonychus ulmi*), non-target insects (predatory Heteroptera, Coccinellidae (*Stethorus*), Neuroptera), predatory mites (*Neoseiulus californicus*) and Hymenoptera (genus *Encarsia*). This leads to an important increase of the target organism for 1.5 months and afterwards a natural decline in the population is observed.

Overall, the product Fury is non-selective to non-target arthropods.

The PRAPeR 48 meeting concluded that the in-field risk to non-target arthropods needs to be further addressed by field studies and off-field risk also needs to be addressed.

# Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

# Effects on earthworms, other soil macro-organisms and soil micro-organisms (Annex IIA points 8.4 and 8.5. Annex IIIA, points, 10.6 and 10.7)

Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	End point <sup>1</sup>
Earthworms			
Eisenia foetida	Fury 10 EW	Acute	$LC_{50} = 750$ mg form/kg soil d.w. (75 mg a.s/kg soil d.w.) $LC_{50corr} = 37.5$ mg a.s./kg soil d.w.
Eisenia foetida	cis-DCVA	Acute	$LC_{50} = 103$ mg/kg soil d.w. $LC_{50corr} = 51.5$ mg/kg soil d.w.
Eisenia foetida	trans-DCVA	Acute	$LC_{50} = 111$ mg/kg soil d.w. $LC_{50corr} = 55.5$ mg/kg soil d.w.
Eisenia foetida	3-phenoxybenzoic acid	Acute	$LC_{50} = 148 \text{ mg/kg soil d.w.}$ $LC_{50\text{corr}} = 74 \text{ mg/kg soil d.w.}$
Other soil macro-o	organisms		
triggered (DT <sub>90</sub> < covered by a new :	100 days). However, the field study for non-targe	e meeting agrees	lembola and mites were not formally s that the in-field risk assessment should be
Soil micro-organis		01.1	100/ 66 1 01 . 02 6 //
	Fury 10 EW	91 d	+ 19 % effect at day 91 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 1
			- 5 % effect at day 91 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 2
N-transformation			+ 40 % effect at day 91 at 2.0 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 1
			- 4 % effect at day 91 at 2.0 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 2
	Fury 10 EW	59 d	+ 2 % effect at day 59 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.)

soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.)

Test organism	Test substance	Time scale	End point <sup>1</sup>
	Fury 10 EW	91 d	+ 34 % effect at day 91 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 1
			+ 9 % effect at day 91 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 2
C-transformation			+ 37 % effect at day 91 at 2.0 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 1
			+ 4 % effect at day 91 at 2.0 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.) in soil 2
	Fury 10 EW	59 d	+ 12 % effect at day 59 at 0.2 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.02 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.)
			+ 10 % effect at day 59 at 2.0 mg form/kg soil d.w. (0.2 mg a.s./kg soil d.w.)

The PRAPeR 48 meeting concluded that studies with Collembola and mites were not formally triggered (DT<sub>90</sub> < 100 days). However, the meeting agrees that the in-field risk assessment should be covered by a new field study for non-target arthropods.

Fury 10 EW: equivalent to Fury 100 EW, both formulations containing 100 g/L zeta-cypermethrin

#### Toxicity/exposure ratios for soil organisms

Crop and application rate: maize, 1 x 0.0375 kg a.s./ha

Test organism	Test substance	Time	Max plateau	TER	Trigger
		scale	PEC <sub>SOIL</sub> <sup>2</sup> (mg/kg)		
Earthworms					
Eisenia foetida	zeta-cypermethrin ‡	Acute	0.038	987	10
Eisenia foetida	cis-DCVA	Acute	0.019	2711	10
Eisenia foetida	trans-DCVA	Acute	0.019	2921	10
Eisenia foetida	3-phenoxybenzoic acid	Acute	0.019	3895	10

#### Other soil macro-organisms

A study addressing the risk to Collembola reproduction or gamasid mites at the correct dose is

required.

indicate where end point has been corrected due to log Pow >2.0 (e.g. LC<sub>50corr</sub>)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> litter bag, field arthropod studies not included at 8.3.2/10.5 above, and earthworm field studies

to be completed where first Tier triggers are breached

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> indicate which PEC soil was used (e.g. plateau PEC)

#### Appendix 1 – list of endpoints

#### Effects on non target plants (Annex IIA, point 8.6, Annex IIIA, point 10.8)

Preliminary screening data

Not required.		
Mot required.		

Laboratory dose response tests

Most sensitive species	Test substance	EC <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg soil d.w.) <sup>2</sup> vegetative vigour	ER <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg soil d.w.) <sup>2</sup> emergence	Exposure <sup>1</sup> (µg/kg soil d.w.) <sup>2</sup>	TER	Trigger
ryegrass	zeta- cypermethrin	> 100	> 100	1.39	> 71942	5
radish	zeta-	-	> 100	1.39	> 71942	5
	cypermethrin	43.2	-	1.39	31079	5
red clover	zeta- cypermethrin	> 100	> 100	1.39	> 71942	5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> explanation of how exposure has been estimated should be provided (e.g. based on Ganzelmeier drift data)

Additional studies (e.g. semi-field or field studies)

realizational studies (e.g. semi field of field studies)	
Not required.	·

#### Effects on biological methods for sewage treatment (Annex IIA 8.7)

Test type/organism	Endpoint
Activated sludge	EC <sub>50</sub> (3 h) > 100 mg a.s./L

# Classification and proposed labelling with regard to ecotoxicological data (Annex IIA, point 10 and Annex IIIA, point 12.3)

	RMS/peer review proposal
zeta-cypermethrin	N, R50
	RMS/peer review proposal
Fury 10 EW	N, R50

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> for preparations indicate whether dose is expressed in units of a.s. or preparation



# Appendix 2 – abbreviations used in the list of endpoints

#### APPENDIX 2 – ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE LIST OF ENDPOINTS

ADI acceptable daily intake

AOEL acceptable operator exposure level

ARfD acute reference dose a.s. active substance

BCF bioconcentration factor

bw body weight

CA Chemical Abstract

CAS Chemical Abstract Service

CIPAC Collaborative International Pesticide Analytical Council Limited

d day

DAR draft assessment report
DFR dislodgeable foliar residue

DM dry matter

 $DT_{50}$  period required for 50 percent dissipation (define method of estimation)  $DT_{90}$  period required for 90 percent dissipation (define method of estimation)

ε decadic molar extinction coefficient

EC<sub>50</sub> effective concentration

EEC European Economic Community

EINECS European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances

ELINKS European List of New Chemical Substances

EMDI estimated maximum daily intake

ER50 emergence rate, median

EU European Union

FAO Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations

FOB functional observation battery

FOCUS Forum for the Co-ordination of Pesticide Fate Models and their Use

FOMC first order multi compartment
GAP good agricultural practice
GC gas chromatography

GC-EC gas chromatography with electron capture detector
GC-FID gas chromatography with flame ionisation detector
GC-MSD gas chromatography with mass-selective detection

GCPF Global Crop Protection Federation (formerly known as GIFAP)

GPC gel-permeation chromatography

GS growth stage h hour(s)

#### Appendix 2 – abbreviations used in the list of endpoints

ha hectare hL hectolitre

HPLC high pressure liquid chromatography

or high performance liquid chromatography

HQ hazard quotients

ISO International Organisation for Standardisation

IUPAC International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry

K<sub>oc</sub> organic carbon adsorption coefficient

L litre

LC liquid chromatography

LC-MS liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry

LC-MS-MS liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry

LC<sub>50</sub> lethal concentration, median

LOAEL lowest observable adverse effect level

LOD limit of detection

LOQ limit of quantification (determination)

μg microgram

MHC moisture holding capacity

mN milli-Newton

MRL maximum residue limit or level

MS mass spectrometry
MTD maximum tolerable dose

MW molecular weight

NESTI national estimated short term intake

NIR near-infrared-(spectroscopy)

nm nanometer

NOAEC no observed adverse effect concentration

NOAEL no observed adverse effect level NOEC no observed effect concentration

NOEL no observed effect level NPE nonyl-phenyl-ethoxylate

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{PEC} & \text{predicted environmental concentration} \\ \text{PEC}_{A} & \text{predicted environmental concentration in air} \\ \text{PEC}_{S} & \text{predicted environmental concentration in soil} \end{array}$ 

PEC<sub>SW</sub> predicted environmental concentration in surface water PEC<sub>GW</sub> predicted environmental concentration in ground water

PND post-natal-day

# Appendix 2 – abbreviations used in the list of endpoints

PHI pre-harvest interval

 $pK_a$  negative logarithm (to the base 10) of the dissociation constant

PPE personal protective equipment

ppm parts per million (10<sup>-6</sup>)
ppp plant protection product
r<sup>2</sup> coefficient of determination
RPE respiratory protective equipment

RUD residue per unit dose

STMR supervised trials median residue

TER toxicity exposure ratio

TMDI theoretical maximum daily intake
UDS unscheduled DNA synthesis

UV ultraviolet

WHO World Health Organisation
WG water dispersible granule

yr year

# Appendix 3 – used compound code(s)

# APPENDIX 3 – USED COMPOUND CODE(S)

Code/Trivial name	Chemical name	Structural formula
cis-DCVA	(1 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>R</i> )-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid	H <sub>3</sub> C CH <sub>3</sub> OH
trans-DCVA	(1 <i>R</i> ,3 <i>S</i> )-3-(2,2-dichloroethenyl)-2,2-dimethylcyclopropanecarboxylic acid	H <sub>3</sub> C OH
mPBAcid (3PBA)	3-phenoxybenzoic acid	но
mPBAlcohol	(3-phenoxyphenyl)methanol	но
mPBAldehyde	3-phenoxybenzaldehyde	