

Written Part:

Q1.

a.

Algorithm PegDisks(num, from_peg, to_peg, aux_peg)

Input: an integer num and three characters from_peg, to_peg and aux_peg

Output: prints a protocole of all disk movements

difference \leftarrow from_peg - to_peg

if difference is -1 or 1 **then**

if num=1 **then**

print "Disk 1 moved from ", from_peg, "to ", to_peg

return

END if

PegDisks(num-1, from_peg, aux_peg, to_peg)

print"Disk", num, "moved from", from_peg, " to ", to_peg

PegDisks(num-1, aux_peg, to_peg, from_peg)

END if

else

 PegDisks(num, from_peg, aux_peg, to_peg)

print "Disk", num, "moved from", from_peg, " to ", to_peg

 PegDisks(num, aux_peg, to_peg, from_peg)

b.

For n=3, here is the output:

Disk 1 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from B to C

Disk 2 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from C to B

Disk 1 moved from B to A

Disk 2 moved from B to C

Disk 1 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from B to C

Disk 3 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from C to B

Disk 1 moved from B to A

Disk 2 moved from C to B

Disk 1 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from B to C

Disk 2 moved from B to A

Disk 1 moved from C to B

Disk 1 moved from B to A

Disk 3 moved from B to C

Disk 1 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from B to C

Disk 2 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from C to B

Disk 1 moved from B to A

Disk 2 moved from B to C

Disk 1 moved from A to B

Disk 1 moved from B to C

c.

The time complexity of the PegDisks algorithm can be analysed recursively based on the number of disks (num) being moved.

For num=1, the algorithm returns immediately, so it's a constant time, $O(1)$.

For num>1, the algorithm makes two recursive calls to PegDisks with num-1 disks, followed by some constant time operations. The two recursive calls are independent of each other and move num-1 disks each. Therefore, the time complexity can be expressed as follows: $O(2^{num})$.

Q2.

a.

Algorithm RecursivePermutation(A, n)

Input: An array A, an integer n

Output: Generates all the permutations of the numbers of length n

if n=1 **then**

return A

else

for i ← 0 **to** n-1 **do**

 A[i], A[n-1] = A[n-1], A[i]

 RecursivePermutation(A, n-1)

 A[i], A[n-1] = A[n-1], A[i]

The time complexity of this algorithm can be calculated as follows:

- The outer loop iterates n times

- For each outer loop iteration, the function recursively calls itself with a smaller n value of $n-1$
- Each recursive call has an inner loop that iterates n times
- The time complexity of this algorithm can therefore be written as $O(n*(n-1))$. In Big-oh notation this is equivalent to **$O(n!)$** .

b.

Algorithm NonRecursivePermutation(n)

Input: An integer n

Output: Generates all the permutations of the numbers of length n

Queue = []

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** n **do**

 Append i in Queue[]

while Queue[] is not empty

if length of permutation = n **then**

return permutation

else

for $i \leftarrow 1$ **to** n **do**

if i is not in permutation **then**

 Append permutation concatenated with i to Queue[]

At each iteration of the while loop, the algorithm dequeues a permutation from the queue and enqueues up to $n-1$ new permutations.

Therefore, the total number of permutations that the algorithm generates is **$(n-1) * (n-2) * \dots * 2 * 1 = (n-1)!$**

Therefore, the time complexity of the algorithm is **$O((n-1)!)$**

Q3.

a.

Algorithm generatePowerSet(T)**Input:** an array of integers T**Output:** list of sets of integers

powerSet \leftarrow empty List of Sets of Integers

n \leftarrow length of T

// Add empty set to power set

powerSet.add(new empty Set of Integers)

// Create stack and queue for generating subsets

stack \leftarrow empty Stack of Sets of Integers

queue \leftarrow empty Queue of Sets of Integers

// Enqueue all singleton sets into the queue

For i \leftarrow 0 **to** n-1 **do**

 set \leftarrow new Set of Integers

 set.add(T[i])

 queue.offer(set)

END For

// Generate subsets using stack and queue

generatedSubsets \leftarrow empty Set of Sets of Integers

While queue is not empty **do**

 subset \leftarrow queue.poll()

If subset is not in generatedSubsets **then**

 powerSet.add(subset)

 generatedSubsets.add(subset)

END if

For $i \leftarrow 0$ to $n-1$ **do**

if $T[i]$ is not in subset **then**

 newSubset \leftarrow copy of subset

 newSubset.add($T[i]$)

 stack.push(newSubset)

END if

END For

While stack is not empty **do**

 //takes a set into a queue

 queue.offer(stack.pop())

END while

END while

Return powerSet

b.

The time complexity of the given pseudocode can be analysed as follows:

- The initialization of the powerSet list, stack, and queue take constant time.
- The for loop that adds all singleton sets into the queue runs n times, where n is the length of the input array T . Each iteration of the loop creates a new set and adds it to the queue, which takes constant time. Therefore, the total time complexity of this loop is $O(n)$.
- The main loop that generates subsets runs until the queue is empty. In each iteration, it performs the following steps:

- Dequeue a subset from the queue (constant time).
- Check if the subset has been generated before by checking if it is in the generatedSubsets set (constant time if a good hash function is used). If it has not been generated before, add it to the powerSet list and the generatedSubsets set (constant time for each operation).
- Iterate over all elements of T. For each element that is not already in the subset, create a new subset by copying the existing subset and adding the new element. This takes $O(|\text{subset}|)$ time, where $|\text{subset}|$ is the size of the subset. Since the size of the largest subset in the power set is 2^n , this loop runs in $O(n \cdot 2^n)$ time.
- Push all newly created subsets onto the stack (constant time for each operation).
- Transfer all subsets from the stack to the queue (constant time for each operation).

The overall time complexity of the algorithm is therefore **$O(n \cdot 2^n)$** , where n is the length of the input array T. This is because the size of the power set is 2^n , and the algorithm generates all of these subsets by iterating over each element of T for each subset, and performing a constant amount of work for each operation.

Q4.

We will start by computing the time complexity in big-Oh notation for each operation:

$n^4 + (\log n)^2$ has a time complexity of **$O(n^4)$**

$\log \log n$ has a time complexity of **$O(\log \log n)$**

\sqrt{n} has a time complexity of **$O(\sqrt{n})$**

$n! + n$ has a time complexity of **$O(n!)$**

$\frac{n}{2}$ has a time complexity of **$O(n)$**

$$\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n!}{2(n-2)!} = \frac{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n - 2 \cdot n - 1 \cdot n}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \dots \cdot n - 2} = \frac{(n-1)n}{2} \text{ and therefore, has a time complexity of}$$

$O(n^2)$

2^n has a time complexity of **$O(2^n)$**

$n \log n$ has a time complexity of **$O(n \log n)$**

n^n has a time complexity of $O(n^n)$

$2^{\log n} = n$ has a time complexity of $O(n)$

$2^{n!} + n^2$ has a time complexity of $O(2^{n!})$

2^{2^n} has a time complexity of $O(2^{2^n})$

Therefore, below is the non-decreasing order of the functions according to their big-Oh time complexities:

$$\log \log n \leq \sqrt{n} \leq n \log n \leq \frac{n}{2} \leq 2^{\log n} \leq \binom{n}{2} \leq 2^n \leq n^4 + (\log n)^2 \leq n! + n \leq n^n \leq 2^{2^n} \leq 2^{n!} + n^2$$

Programming part:

valstk \leftarrow Stack which holds the values

opstk \leftarrow Stack which holds the operators

Algorithm operatorPrec(op)

if op is "^" **then**

return 5

else if op is "*" **or** op is "/" **then**

return 4

else if op is "+" **or** op is "-" **then**

return 3

else if op is ">" **or** op is "<" **or** op is "<=" **or** op is ">=" **then**

return 2

else if op is "=" or op is "!=" then

return 1

else

return 0

Algorithm calculate(x, y, op)

result \leftarrow " "

if op is "^" then

result += power(x, y)

else if op is "*" then

result += multiplication(x, y)

else if op is "/" then

result += division(x, y)

else if op == "+" then

result += addition(x, y)

else if op is "-" then

result += subtraction(x, y)

else if op is "<" then

result += lessThan(x, y)

else if op is ">" then

result += moreThan(x, y)

else if op is "<=" then

result += lessThanOrEqualTo(x, y)

else if op is ">=" then

result += moreThanOrEqualTo(x, y)

else if op is "==" **then**

result += Equals(x, y)

else if op is "!=" **then**

result += notEquals(x, y)

return result

Algorithm operation(op)

y ← valstk.pop()

x ← valstk.pop()

if op is "=" **then**

op ← opstk.pop() + op

result = calculate(x, y, op)

valstk.push(result)

Algorithm precedenceOp(input)

if input is "(" **then**

opstk.push(input)

return

if opstk.isEmpty() **then**

inner ← 0

else

inner = operatorPrec(opstk.top())

outer = operatorPrec(input)

if inner < outer **then**

opstk.push(input)

else

```

if input is ")" and opstk.top() is "(" then

    opstk.pop()

    return

else if input is "=" and (opstk.top() is "=" or opstk.top() is "!" or opstk.top() == "<" or
opstk.top() is ">") then

    opstk.push(input)

    return

else

    operation(opstk.pop())

    precedenceOp(input)

```

Algorithm arithmeticCalculator(equation)

```

numHolder ← " "

for each character i in equation do

    if i is ' ' then

        continue

    if i is Numeric then

        numHolder ← numHolder + i

    else

        if numHolder isEmpty then

            values ← push(numHolder)

            numHolder ← ""

        precedenceOp(i)

if numHolder is not Empty then

    values ← push(numHolder)

```

while operations is not Empty **do**

doOp(operations \leftarrow pop())

finalResult \leftarrow values \leftarrow pop()

print(finalResult)

c.

The operatorPrec algorithm has a time complexity of

$O(1)$ \rightarrow No loops, No recursion.

and a space complexity of

$O(1)$ \rightarrow Only 1 variable gets used.

The calculate algorithm has a time complexity of

$O(1)$ \rightarrow No loops, No recursion, performs only once when called.

and a space complexity of

$O(1)$ \rightarrow Only a constant number of variables are created every time.

The precedenceOp has a time complexity of

$O(n)$ \rightarrow This function can call itself $n/2$ times, going character by character,
as it might have to call itself up to $n/2$ times.

and a space complexity of

$O(n)$ \rightarrow While the code is recursive, nothing is returned by the function, as
such, the space taken will be a constant times the amount of recursions that
takes place.

The arithmeticCalculator has a time complexity of

$O(n^2)$ → there is a loop going character by character (up to n times), and the precedenceOp that might call itself n times.

and a space complexity of

$O(n)$ → Gotten from the precedenceOp, this function itself will only have a constant number of variables.