
E7: Introduction to Computer Programming for Scientists and Engineers

University of California at Berkeley, Spring 2017

Instructor: Lucas A. J. Bastien

Lab Assignment 04: Iteration and Recursion

– Solutions –

Version: release

Most of the time, if not always, there are more than one correct way to implement the functions for E7 lab assignments. This set of solutions proposes only one, or a few, of the possible implementations for each function.

For this assignment, the required submissions were:

- my_sin_approx_fixed.m
- my_sin_approx_tolerance.m
- my_minimum_index.m
- my_sort.m
- my_reverse_without_recursion.m
- my_reverse_with_recursion.m
- my_parser.m
- my_calculator_inverse_precedence.m
- my_calculator_with_undo.m

1. Approximation of the sine function (20 points)

1.1. Approximation with a fixed number of terms (10 points)

We propose three different implementations as solutions for this question. The first proposed implementation uses a `for` loop:

my_sin_approx_fixed.m

```
1 function [approx] = my_sin_approx_fixed(x, n)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 1.1 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 approx = x;
9 for i = 1:n
10     approx = approx + (-1)^i * x^(2*i+1) / factorial(2*i+1);
```

```
11 end
12
13 end
```

The second implementation that we propose as a solution for this question uses recursion:

```
my_sin_approx_fixed_with_recursion.m
1 function [approx] = my_sin_approx_fixed_with_recursion(x, n)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 1.1 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 if n == 0
9     approx = x;
10 else
11     approx = my_sin_approx_fixed_with_recursion(x, n-1) + ...
12             (-1)^n * x^(2*n+1) / factorial(2*n+1);
13 end
14
15 end
```

The third implementation that we propose as a solution for this question uses neither iteration nor recursion, but uses array operations instead:

```
my_sin_approx_fixed_arrays.m
1 function [approx] = my_sin_approx_fixed_arrays(x, n)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 1.1 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 ns = 0:n;
9 approx = sum((-1).^ns .* x.^(2*ns+1) ./ factorial(2*ns+1));
10
11 end
```

The published test cases were:

```
>> % Published test case number 1 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(0, 0)

approx =
    0

>> % Published test case number 2 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(2*pi/3, 2)
```

```

approx =
    0.8990

>> % Published test case number 3 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(2*pi/3, 4)

approx =
    0.8661

>> % Published test case number 4 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(5*pi/2, 3)

approx =
   -189.6141

>> % Published test case number 5 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(5*pi/2, 10)

approx =
    1.0135

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(-1.2, 0)

approx =
   -1.2000

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(-1.2, 5)

approx =
   -0.9320

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(10, 10)

approx =
    2.7611

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(-10, 15)

approx =
    0.5441

>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1 point)
>> approx = my_sin_approx_fixed(pi/5, 7)

```

```
approx =  
    0.5878
```

1.2. Approximation with a specified tolerance (10 points)

The proposed solution for this question is:

my_sin_approx_tolerance.m

```
1 function [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(x, tolerance)  
2  
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.  
4 % Solution function for question 1.2 of Lab 04.  
5 %  
6 % Version: release.  
7  
8 n = 0;  
9 approx = x;  
10 exact = sin(x);  
11  
12 while abs(approx-exact) > tolerance  
13     n = n + 1;  
14     approx = approx + (-1)^n * x^(2*n+1) / factorial(2*n+1);  
15 end  
16  
17 end
```

The published test cases were:

```
>> % Published test case number 1 (1 point)  
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(pi/3, 1e-2)  
  
approx =  
    0.8663  
n =  
     2  
  
>> % Published test case number 2 (1 point)  
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(4*pi/5, 1e-3)  
  
approx =  
    0.5884  
n =  
     4  
  
>> % Published test case number 3 (1 point)  
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(pi/3, 1e-10)  
  
approx =  
    0.8660  
n =
```

```

6
>> % Published test case number 4 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(11*pi/2, 1e-5)

approx =
    -1.0000
n =
     26

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(pi/5, 1e-4)

approx =
    0.5878
n =
     2

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(-pi/5, 1e-4)

approx =
   -0.5878
n =
     2

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(-1.2, 1e-8)

approx =
   -0.9320
n =
     5

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(-1.2, 0.01)

approx =
   -0.9327
n =
     2

>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(3*pi+0.5, 1)

approx =
   -0.9465
n =
    11

```

```

>> % Hidden test case number 6 (1 point)
>> [approx, n] = my_sin_approx_tolerance(3*pi+0.5, 1e-6)

approx =
    -0.4794
n =
    17

```

2. Sorting (25 points)

2.1. Minimum of a vector and its index (10 points)

The proposed solution for this question is:

```

                                my_minimum_index.m
1  function [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index(vector)
2
3  % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4  % Solution function for question 2.1 of Lab 04.
5  %
6  % Version: release.
7
8  index = 1;
9  minimum = vector(1);
10
11 for i = 2:numel(vector)
12     if vector(i) < minimum | isnan(minimum) & ~isnan(vector(i))
13         index = i;
14         minimum = vector(i);
15     end
16 end
17
18 end

```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index(5)

minimum =
     5
index =
     1

>> % Published test case number 2 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([5, 6, 1])

minimum =
     1

```

```

index =
    3

>> % Published test case number 3 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([5, 6, 1, -1, 1])

minimum =
    -1
index =
    4

>> % Published test case number 4 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([4, 10, NaN, 2, 5, 50])

minimum =
    2
index =
    4

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([-10])

minimum =
   -10
index =
    1

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([-10, 7, 0, -20.3, 5, 2, 0, -20])

minimum =
  -20.3000
index =
    4

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([-5*pi, -2*pi, 0, 1, 2, pi, 5*pi])

minimum =
  -15.7080
index =
    1

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([NaN, 3, 6, 0])

minimum =
    0
index =

```

```

4
>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([NaN, NaN, NaN, NaN])

minimum =
    NaN
index =
     1

>> % Hidden test case number 6 (1 point)
>> [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index([-10, 7, NaN, 0, -20.3, 5, NaN, 0, -20])

minimum =
   -20.3000
index =
     5

```

2.2. Sorting a row vector (15 points)

We propose two different implementations as solutions for this question. Neither of these implementations is particularly efficient (*i.e.* they sort vectors rather slowly) when compared to other, more advanced, algorithms. The two proposed implementations are, however, significantly less complex to implement than other, more advanced, algorithms. We will talk about more complex but more efficient sorting algorithms toward the end of the semester.

The first proposed solution for this question uses the function `my_minimum_index` that we wrote for the previous question:

my_sort.m

```

1 function [sorted] = my_sort(vector)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 2.2 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 sorted = zeros(size(vector));
9 for i = 1:numel(vector)
10     [minimum, index] = my_minimum_index(vector);
11     sorted(i) = minimum;
12     vector(index) = [];
13 end
14
15 end

```

The second implementation proposed as a solution for this question uses a sorting algorithm known as “bubble sort”. This algorithm consists of traversing the vector and swapping any two consecutive elements that are not in order. This process is repeated until the entire

vector is traversed without any swapping taking place (which indicates that the vector is fully sorted). Note that this implementation does not use the function `my_minimum_index` that we wrote for the previous question. The proposed implementation of the bubble sort algorithm is:

my_sort_bubble.m

```
1 function [sorted] = my_sort_bubble(vector)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 2.2 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % This implementation uses the bubble sort algorithm.
7 %
8 % Version: release.
9
10 n = numel(vector);
11 keep_sorting = true;
12 while keep_sorting
13     % We start by assuming that we will not need to keep sorting after this
14     % iteration of the while loop. We will set keep_sorting to true later
15     % on if necessary
16     keep_sorting = false;
17     for i = 1:n-1
18         % Swap consecutive elements if they are not in order
19         if vector(i) > vector(i+1) | isnan(vector(i)) & ~isnan(vector(i+1))
20             vector(i:i+1) = vector(i+1:-1:i);
21             % We keep sorting if at least one swap took place while
22             % traversing the vector
23             keep_sorting = true;
24         end
25     end
26 end
27
28 sorted = vector;
29
30 end
```

The published test cases were:

```
>> % Published test case number 1 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([0])

sorted =
     0

>> % Published test case number 2 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([2, 1])

sorted =
     1     2
```

```

>> % Published test case number 3 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([2, 1, 9, -10, pi])

sorted =
   -10.0000    1.0000    2.0000    3.1416    9.0000

>> % Published test case number 4 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([6, 3, 7, 1, 0, 1, 7])

sorted =
     0     1     1     3     6     7     7

>> % Published test case number 5 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([6, 3, 7, 1, NaN, 0, 1, 7])

sorted =
     0     1     1     3     6     7     7    NaN

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort(1:0.5:5)

sorted =
Columns 1 through 7
    1.0000    1.5000    2.0000    2.5000    3.0000    3.5000    4.0000
Columns 8 through 9
    4.5000    5.0000

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([5:-1:1, 5:-1:1])

sorted =
     1     1     2     2     3     3     4     4     5     5

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([14, -100, -16, -68, -81, 40, 52, 57, 44, -69, 12, 21])

sorted =
   -100   -81   -69   -68   -16    12    14    21    40    44    52    57

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1.5 point)
>> [sorted] = my_sort([7, -12.5, 71, NaN, 30, -40, 42, 49, -83, 71.25])

sorted =
Columns 1 through 7
   -83.0000  -40.0000  -12.5000    7.0000   30.0000   42.0000   49.0000
Columns 8 through 10
    71.0000    71.2500         NaN

>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1.5 point)

```

```
>> [sorted] = my_sort([-22, 84, 5, -77, -82, 75, 17, -48, -26, -80, 15, NaN])

sorted =
    -82    -80    -77    -48    -26    -22     5     15     17     75     84    NaN
```

3. Character string manipulation (55 points)

3.1. Reversing a vector without using recursion (10 points)

We propose two implementations as solutions for this question. The first proposed implementation uses a `for` loop:

my_reverse_without_recursion.m

```
1 function [reversed] = my_reverse_without_recursion(vector)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.1 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 reversed = vector;
9 for i = 1:numel(vector)
10     reversed(i) = vector(end-i+1);
11 end
12
13 end
```

The second implementation that we propose as a solution for this question does not use iteration. In the following implementation, the first clause of the `if` statement is used to ensure that if the size of `vector` is 0×0 , then the size of `reversed` is also 0×0 :

my_reverse_without_recursion_without_loop.m

```
1 function [reversed] = my_reverse_without_recursion_without_loop(vector)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.1 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 if numel(vector) == 0
9     reversed = vector;
10 else
11     reversed = vector(end:-1:1);
12 end
13
14 end
```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (2 points)
>> reversed_char = my_reverse_without_recursion('Hello E7!')

reversed_char =
!7E olleH

>> % Published test case number 2 (2 points)
>> reversed_logical = my_reverse_without_recursion([true; true; false; true])

reversed_logical =
4x1 logical array
     1
     0
     1
     1

>> % Published test case number 3 (2 points)
>> reversed_double = my_reverse_without_recursion(0:2:10)

reversed_double =
    10     8     6     4     2     0

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_without_recursion('')

reversed =
0x0 empty char array

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_without_recursion('thgiR ot tfeL')

reversed =
Left to Right

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_without_recursion([-2, 40, 31, 100, -92] > -10)

reversed =
1x5 logical array
     0     1     1     1     1

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_without_recursion([-2; 40; 31; 100; -92])

reversed =
    -92
    100
     31
     40

```

3.2. Reversing a vector using recursion (10 points)

The proposed solution for this question is:

my_reverse_with_recursion.m

```

1 function [reversed] = my_reverse_with_recursion(vector)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.2 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 n = numel(vector);
9 s = size(vector);
10
11 if n < 2
12     reversed = vector;
13 elseif s(1) == 1
14     reversed = [vector(end), my_reverse_with_recursion(vector(1:end-1))];
15 else
16     reversed = [vector(end); my_reverse_with_recursion(vector(1:end-1))];
17 end
18
19 end

```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (2 points)
>> reversed_char = my_reverse_with_recursion('Hello E7!')

reversed_char =
!7E olleH

>> % Published test case number 2 (2 points)
>> reversed_logical = my_reverse_with_recursion([true; true; false; true])

reversed_logical =
4x1 logical array
     1
     0
     1
     1

>> % Published test case number 3 (2 points)
>> reversed_double = my_reverse_with_recursion(0:2:10)

reversed_double =
    10     8     6     4     2     0

```

The hidden test cases are:

```
>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_with_recursion('')

reversed =
    0x0 empty char array

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_with_recursion('thgiR ot tfeL')

reversed =
Left to Right

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_with_recursion([-2, 40, 31, 100, -92] > -10)

reversed =
    1x5 logical array
     0     1     1     1     1

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> reversed = my_reverse_with_recursion([-2; 40; 31; 100; -92])

reversed =
    -92
    100
     31
     40
     -2
```

3.3. Character string parsing (15 points)

The proposed solution for this question is:

my_parser.m

```
1 function [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(string, delimiters)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.3 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 % We look at each character of the string until we find one of the
9 % delimiters
10 n = numel(string);
11 i = 1;
12 while i <= n & ~any(delimiters==string(i))
13     i = i + 1;
14 end
15
```

```

16 % If i is equal to n+1, it means that we did not find any delimiter in the
17 % string
18 if i == n + 1
19     delimiter = '';
20     left = string;
21     right = '';
22 else
23     delimiter = string(i);
24     left = string(1:i-1);
25     right = string(i+1:end);
26 end
27
28 % Adjust the size of the empty character strings, if any
29 if numel(left) == 0
30     left = '';
31 end
32 if numel(right) == 0
33     right = '';
34 end
35
36 end

```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (2.5 points)
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('Hello+World', '+')

delimiter =
+
left =
Hello
right =
World

>> % Published test case number 2 (2.5 points)
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('Another-test', '-')

delimiter =
-
left =
Another
right =
test

>> % Published test case number 3 (2.5 points)
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('NO DELIMITER??', '!()')

delimiter =
0x0 empty char array
left =
NO DELIMITER??

```

```
right =  
    0x0 empty char array
```

The hidden test cases are:

```
>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1.5 point)  
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('2*3!+6^2', '!')  
  
delimiter =  
!  
left =  
2*3  
right =  
+6^2  
  
>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1.5 point)  
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('No seven here.', '7')  
  
delimiter =  
    0x0 empty char array  
left =  
No seven here.  
right =  
    0x0 empty char array  
  
>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1.5 point)  
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('2.5*3.14+6^2/10', '+-*/^')  
  
delimiter =  
*  
left =  
2.5  
right =  
3.14+6^2/10  
  
>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1.5 point)  
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('First delimiter', 'Ff')  
  
delimiter =  
F  
left =  
    0x0 empty char array  
right =  
irst delimiter  
  
>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1.5 point)  
>> [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser('Last delimiter', 'Rr')  
  
delimiter =  
r  
left =
```



```
Last delimiter
right =
    0x0 empty char array
```

3.4. The order of operations has been changed! (10 points)

For this question, it is convenient to use a function that is similar to the function `my_parser` that we wrote for the previous question, but that parses from the right instead of from the left (*i.e.* it parses the character string around the last delimiter that appears in the character string). Such a function is implemented as a sub-function of the proposed solution for this question:

`my_calculator_inverse_precedence.m`

```
1 function [result] = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(expression)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.4 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 % This question will be solved using recursion. The stopping criterion for
9 % the recursion is that there is no operator in the expression
10 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(expression, '+-*/^');
11 if numel(delimiter) == 0
12     result = str2num(expression);
13     return
14 end
15
16 % We are going to calculate recursively expressions of the form:
17 %
18 % left (some operation) right
19 %
20 % For example:
21 %
22 % left * right
23 % left ^ right
24 %
25 % The values of "left" and "right" are calculated using recursion
26 %
27 % To respect the order of operations using this approach, we have to find
28 % the operators with the least precedence first, meaning starting with the
29 % right-most ^
30
31 % The operator with lowest precedence is exponentiation
32 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(expression, '^');
33 if strcmp(delimiter, '^')
34     left = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(left);
35     right = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(right);
36     result = left ^ right;
37     return
38 end
```

```

39
40 % Then come multiplication and division, which have equal precedence
41 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(expression, '* /');
42 if numel(delimiter) > 0
43     left = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(left);
44     right = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(right);
45     if strcmp(delimiter, '*')
46         result = left * right;
47     elseif strcmp(delimiter, '/')
48         result = left / right;
49     end
50     return
51 end
52
53 % Finally come addition and subtraction, which have equal precedence. If we
54 % reach this point of the code, we are sure that there is at least one
55 % addition or one subtraction
56 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(expression, '+ -');
57 left = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(left);
58 right = my_calculator_inverse_precedence(right);
59 if strcmp(delimiter, '+')
60     result = left + right;
61 elseif strcmp(delimiter, '-')
62     result = left - right;
63 end
64
65 end
66
67 function [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(string, delimiters)
68
69 % This function is similar to the function my_parser, except that it parses
70 % from the right of "string" instead of from the left. This implementation
71 % is not the most efficient implementation, but is possibly one of the
72 % least complex ones, since we already wrote my_parse and my_reverse (with
73 % or without recursion).
74
75 [delimiter, l, r] = my_parser(my_reverse_without_recursion(string), delimiters);
76 left = my_reverse_without_recursion(r);
77 right = my_reverse_without_recursion(l);
78
79 end

```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('4-3.14')

result =
    0.8600

>> % Published test case number 2 (1 point)

```

```

>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('4-2-2')
result =
    0

>> % Published test case number 3 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('3+5*2')
result =
    16

>> % Published test case number 4 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('2^3/3')
result =
    2

>> % Published test case number 5 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('8-2-2*4^2')
result =
    256

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('4')
result =
    4

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('3.1416')
result =
    3.1416

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('4+2+2/10')
result =
    0.8000

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('4+2/3/20.5')
result =
    0.0976

>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_inverse_precedence('42+2/10.5-1*0.5^2+1.1')

```

```
result =  
13.5073
```

3.5. Calculator with undo (10 points)

We propose two implementations as solutions for this question. The first proposed implementation uses the concept of stacks. This implementation parses the expression from left to right around operators. When an operator that is not an undo is found, the number to its left is added to a stack of numbers and the operator itself is added to a stack of operators. When an undo operator is found, the last number on the stack of numbers is removed and the last operator on the stack of operators is removed. Once the parsing is completed, we have a stack of number and a stack of operators where none of the operators are undo operators. Additionally, these stacks do not contain the operations that were undone by undo operators. The result of the expression is calculated from these two stacks using an iterative process without recursion. This proposed implementation is:

my_calculator_with_undo.m

```
1 function [result] = my_calculator_with_undo_other(expression)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.5 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 % Create empty stacks for numbers and for operators
9 numbers = [];
10 operators = '';
11
12 % We parse the expression from the left, adding the corresponding numbers
13 % and operators to their respective stacks
14 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(expression, '+-*/^!');
15 while numel(delimiter) > 0
16
17     % The character string "left" might be empty (if, for example, there
18     % were two undo operators in a row). If it is not empty, we need to add
19     % the corresponding number to the stack of numbers
20     if numel(left) > 0
21         numbers(end+1) = str2num(left);
22     end
23
24     % If we encounter an undo operator, we remove the last number and
25     % operator from their respective stacks. Otherwise, we add the operator
26     % to the stack
27     if strcmp(delimiter, '!')
28         operators(end) = [];
29         numbers(end) = [];
30     else
31         operators(end+1) = delimiter;
32     end
33 end
```

```

33
34     % Keep parsing what remains of the expression (i.e. the right-hand side)
35     [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(right, '+-*/^!');
36
37 end
38
39 % If the last operation was not an undo, we need to add the last number to
40 % the corresponding stack ("left" will be an empty character string if and
41 % only if the last operator was an undo)
42 if numel(left) > 0
43     numbers(end+1) = str2num(left);
44 end
45
46 % At this point of the code, we have stacks of numbers and of operators
47 % without any undos. We calculate the result using iteration
48 result = numbers(1);
49 for i = 1:numel(operators)
50     operator = operators(i);
51     if strcmp(operator, '+')
52         result = result + numbers(i+1);
53     elseif strcmp(operator, '-')
54         result = result - numbers(i+1);
55     elseif strcmp(operator, '*')
56         result = result * numbers(i+1);
57     elseif strcmp(operator, '/')
58         result = result / numbers(i+1);
59     elseif strcmp(operator, '^')
60         result = result ^ numbers(i+1);
61     end
62 end
63
64 end

```

The second implementation proposed as solution for this question does not rely on the concept of stacks. Rather, it first removes all the operations that need to be undone from the expression, and then uses recursion to evaluate the remaining expression (which does not contain any undo operator):

my_calculator_with_undo_alternative.m

```

1 function [result] = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(expression)
2
3 % E7 Spring 2017, University of California at Berkeley.
4 % Solution function for question 3.5 of Lab 04.
5 %
6 % Version: release.
7
8 % First, we remove all the undos (and the corresponding operations that are
9 % undone) from the expression
10 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(expression, '!');
11 while strcmp(delimiter, '!')
12

```

```

13 % Remove the last operation before the undo operator (i.e. the last
14 % operation in "left")
15 [d, left, r] = my_parser_from_right(left, '+-*/^');
16
17 % Attach the updated left-hand side and the right-hand side of the
18 % expression together
19 expression = [left, right];
20
21 % Look for another undo operator
22 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser(expression, '!');
23
24 end
25
26 % At this point of the code, there is no more undo operators in the
27 % expression. We calculate the expression from left to right using
28 % recursion. To respect the order of operations using this approach, we
29 % have to find the operators with the least precedence first, meaning
30 % starting with the right-most operator
31 [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(expression, '+-*/^');
32 if strcmp(delimiter, '+')
33     result = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(left) + str2num(right);
34 elseif strcmp(delimiter, '-')
35     result = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(left) - str2num(right);
36 elseif strcmp(delimiter, '*')
37     result = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(left) * str2num(right);
38 elseif strcmp(delimiter, '/')
39     result = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(left) / str2num(right);
40 elseif strcmp(delimiter, '^')
41     result = my_calculator_with_undo_alternative(left) ^ str2num(right);
42 else
43     % The base case for the recursion is that there is no operator in the
44     % expression
45     result = str2num(right);
46 end
47
48 end
49
50 function [delimiter, left, right] = my_parser_from_right(string, delimiters)
51
52 % This function is similar to the function my_parser, except that it parses
53 % from the right of "string" instead of from the left. This implementation
54 % is not the most efficient implementation, but is possibly one of the
55 % least complex ones, since we already wrote my_parse and my_reverse (with
56 % or without recursion).
57
58 [delimiter, l, r] = my_parser(my_reverse_without_recursion(string), delimiters);
59 left = my_reverse_without_recursion(r);
60 right = my_reverse_without_recursion(l);
61
62 end

```

The published test cases were:

```

>> % Published test case number 1 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('2.5-2')

result =
    0.5000

>> % Published test case number 2 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('2.5-2!')

result =
    2.5000

>> % Published test case number 3 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('2*3+6!^2')

result =
    36

>> % Published test case number 4 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('2*3+6!^2!')

result =
     6

>> % Published test case number 5 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('2*3+6!!^2')

result =
     4

```

The hidden test cases are:

```

>> % Hidden test case number 1 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('0')

result =
     0

>> % Hidden test case number 2 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('3.1416+3.1416!')

result =
    3.1416

>> % Hidden test case number 3 (1 point)
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('1+2-3!*4*5^6!')

result =
    60

>> % Hidden test case number 4 (1 point)

```

```
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('4+2/3!!/20.5')  
result =  
    0.1951  
  
>> % Hidden test case number 5 (1 point)  
>> result = my_calculator_with_undo('42+2/10.5-1*0.5^2+1.1!!!^2-4')  
result =  
    6.1791
```