

RECURSIVELY DEFINED SET

EXAM2
APRIL 16TH

- structural induction + recursive definitions (8.3)
- inductive revision (8.1 + 8.2)
- solving combinatorial types of recurrences (8.2)
- principle of inclusion - exclusion (8.5)
- permutation/combinatorics (6.3) - review it
- trees + tree terminology (11.1)

BASIS:

$$5 \in S$$

Some elements that are in the set

RECURSIVE STEP:

generate more elements in the set
+ aside from the existing ones

If $x \in S$, then $5x \in S$

What are some elements of S ?

25, 125, 10, 35, 20

How do we put more elements in S ?

- 1) take existing elements in S
- 2) plug it into the recursive definition

nothing else in S $5 \in S \rightarrow 50, 5 \vee 5 \in S \rightarrow \{5, 25\}$

$25 \in S \in S \rightarrow \{5, 25, 125\}$

$125 \in S \in S \rightarrow \{5, 25, 125, 625\}$

$625 \in S \in S \rightarrow \{5, 25, 125, 625, 3125\}$

...

So on from here

KEY IDEAS:

- JUST BC WE SHOW THAT EVERYTHING IN A SET HAS A PROPERTY
- THAT DOESN'T MEAN THAT EVERYTHING W/ THAT PROPERTY IS IN THE RECURSIVELY DEFINED SET
- AND
- $((x-1)+1, (y-2)+2) = (x, y)$
- SO $(x-1, y-2)$ WORKS FOR $+1/+2$ RULE

CLAIM 1: every element of S is a power of 5

"TO SHOW THIS, WE'LL FIRST SHOW EVERY ELEMENT IN THE BASIS POWER OF 5. THEN, WE'LL SHOW THAT GIVEN A POWER OF 5, THE RECURSIVE STEP WILL PRODUCE A POWER OF 5."

INDUCTION WAY

BASIS:

$$5^1 = 5$$

INDUCTIVE:

"ASSUME FOR STRUCTURAL INDUCTION. K IS A POWER

→ ASSUMPTION 1

OF 5 [CRITICAL ASSUMPTION] * $k \in S$ [GARBAGE ASSUMPTION]. ~

ASSUMPTION 2: (WE DON'T EVEN USE IT)
JUST ASSUMING $k \in S$ + NOT
 k IS A POWER OF 5 IS AN USELESS
ASSUMPTION.

THE CRITICAL ASSUMPTION IS A MUST; BUT THE GARBAGE ASSUMPTION IS UNNECESSARY BUT WILL NOT BREAK THE PROOF.

ASSUMPTION 2
 $5k = 5(k) = 5(5^k) = 5^{k+1}$ for some integer k
thus, $5k$ is also power of 5

RESULT OF
EXISTENTIAL
INSTANTIATION



RECURSIVE WAY

BASIS:

$$(0, 0) \in S$$

RECURSIVE STEP:

if $(a, b) \in S$, then $(a+1, b+1) \in S$ and $(a+1, b+2) \in S$

CLAIM: for any $(x, y) \in S$, $x \leq y$

CAN WE SHOW THIS USING STRUCTURAL INDUCTION? LET'S TRY:

BASIS:

$$(0, 0) \quad 0 \leq 0 \quad \checkmark$$

RECURSIVE:

ASSUME FOR STRUCTURAL INDUCTION $c \leq d$

NEED TO SHOW $(c+1 \leq d+1) \vee (c+1 \leq d+2)$

$$c \leq d$$

$$c+1 \leq d+1 \quad \text{add 1 to both sides, what we need}$$

$$c+1 \leq d+1+1 \quad \text{add 1 onto d-side can do this because the } \leq \text{ is still true.}$$

$$c+1 \leq d+2 \quad \checkmark \quad \text{what we need}$$

doesn't guarantee just because
 $4 < 10, (4, 10)$ is in the set

ARE ANY OF THESE IN S ?

$(0, 0)$	$(2, 3)$	$(6, 6)$	$(4, 10)$	$(6, 5)$	(monkey, monkey)
yes	yes	yes	no	no	no

EXAMPLES THAT WORK

o say $(6, 10)$ is in S

$+1/+1$ rule :: $(5, 9)$ is in S since $(5+1, 9+1) = (6, 10)$

$+1/+2$ rule :: $(5, 8)$ is in S since $(5+1, 8+2) = (6, 10)$

WE'RE TRYING TO FIND HOW EACH ELEMENT, GENERICALLY, OF S HAS BEEN BUILT:

SEE:

if (x, y) in S

$$((x-1)+1, (y-1)+1) = (x, y)$$

so $(x-1, y-1)$ works for $+1/+1$ rule

CLAIM 2: $(x, y) \in S$ iff $x \leq y \leq 2x$; x, y integers ≥ 0

BASIS:

$$(0, 0) \in S$$

RECURSIVE STEP:

if $(a, b) \in S$, then $(a+1, b+1) \in S$ + $(a+1, b+2) \in S$

NEW CLAIM:

$(x, y) \in S$ iff $x \leq y \leq 2x$; x, y integers ≥ 0

THIS IS A STRONGER CLAIM \rightarrow AN IFF \leftrightarrow DOUBLE IMPLICATION

LET'S SHOW IF x, y ARE NON-NEGATIVE INTEGERS + $x \leq y \leq 2x$ then (x, y) is in S .

CASE 1: if $x = y = 0$, then by the basis, $(0, 0) \in S$

CASE 2: if $x = y, x > 0$

we want to generate (x, y) using the $+1/+1$ rule

what pair generates (x, y) using that rule?

$(x-1, y-1)$ generates (x, y) using $+1/+1$

TO USE THIS, WE NEED TO GUARANTEE:

$$x-1 \leq y-1 \leq 2(x-1) \text{ and } x, y \text{ are non-negative integers.}$$

shows how you can't build $(6, 10)$ from

$$(5, 8) \rightarrow (4, 6) \rightarrow (3, 4) \rightarrow (2, 2) \rightarrow (1, 1) \rightarrow (0, 0)$$

CASE 3: IF $x < y, x > 0$

BUILD (x, y) FROM $(x-1, y-2)$ USING $+1, +2$ RULE

$$x-1 \leq y-2 \text{ because } x < y$$

$$y \leq 2x$$

$$y-2 \leq 2(x-2) \text{ add 2 both sides}$$

$$y-2 \leq 2(x-1) \quad \checkmark \quad \text{factor out 2}$$

= valid pair

