MATH 2XX3 - Advanced Calculus II

Sang Woo Park

February 3, 2017

Contents

1	Inti	roduction	2	
	1.1	Vector norm	2	
	1.2	Subset	3	
2	Functions			
	2.1	Limits and continuity	5	
		Differentiability		
		Chain rule		
	2.4	Directional derivatve	14	
3	Pat	hs and Curves	17	
	3.1	Parameterized path	17	
		Geometry of curves in \mathbb{R}^3		
		Dynamics		

1 Introduction

In this course, we are going to study calculus using the concepts from linear algebra.

1.1 Vector norm

Definition 1.1. Euclidean norm of $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is given as

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}} = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} x_j^2}$$

Theorem 1.1 (Properties of a norm).

- 1. $\|\vec{x}\| \ge 0$ and $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$ iff $\vec{x} = \vec{0} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$.
- 2. For all scalars $a \in \mathbb{R}$, $||a\vec{x}|| = |a| \cdot ||\vec{x}||$.
- 3. (Triangle inequality) $\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| \le \|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|$.

We say that this is a property of a norm because there are other norms, which measure distance in \mathbb{R}^n in different ways!

Example 1.1.1 (A non-pythagorian norm - *The Taxi Cab Norm*). Consider the following vector $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. The euclidean norm gives the length of the diagonal line. On the other hand,

$$\|\vec{p}\|_1 = |p_1| + |p_2|$$

gives us the total distance in a rectangular grid system.

For $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\vec{p}\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n |p_j|$. Note that the Taxi Cab norm is a valid norm because it satisfies all properties of a norm above. So it also gives us a valid alternative way to measure distance in \mathbb{R}^n , dist $(\vec{p}, \vec{q}) = \|\vec{p} - \vec{q}\|$. This way of measuring distance gives \mathbb{R}^n a different geometry.

Definition 1.2. Neighborhood of a point \vec{p} , or disks centered at \vec{p} is defined as

$$D_r(\vec{p}) = \left\{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| ||\vec{x} - \vec{p}|| < r \right\}$$

Remark. The neighborhood around \vec{a} of radius r may be written using any of the following notations:

$$D_r(\vec{a}) = B_r(\vec{a}) = B(\vec{a}, r)$$

Definition 1.3. Sphere is defined as

$$S_r(\vec{p}) = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | ||\vec{x} - \vec{p}|| = r \}$$

What neighboorhood and sphere look like depends on which norm you choose. First, let's start with the familiar euclidean norm. Then, the sphere is given by

$$\|\vec{x} - \vec{p}\| = r$$

$$\iff \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - p_j)^2} = r$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (x_j - p_j)^2 = r^2$$

If n = 3, we have $(x_1 - p_1)^2 + (x_2 - p_2)^2 + (x_3 - p_3)^2 = r^2$, usual sphere in \mathbb{R}^3 with center $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2, p_3)$

If n = 2, we have $(x_1 - p_1)^2 + (x_2 - p_2)^2 = r^2$, usual circle in \mathbb{R}^n with center $\vec{p} = (p_1, p_2)$.

If we replace Euclidean norm by the Taxi Cab norm (for simplicity, take $\vec{p}=\vec{0}$), we have

$$S_r^{\text{taxi}}(\vec{0}) = \left\{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \middle| ||\vec{x} - \vec{0}||_1 = r \right\}$$

In other words, we have

$$\vec{x} \in S_r^{\text{taxi}}(\vec{0}) \iff \sum_{j=1}^n |x_j| = r$$

Looking at it in \mathbb{R}^2 , we have $\vec{x} = (x_1, x_2)$. Then, $r = |x_1| + |x_2|$. This, in fact, is a diamond.

Remark. Note that $|x_1| + |x_2| = r$ is a *circle* in \mathbb{R}^2 under the Taxi Cab norm. Then, we have

$$\pi = \frac{\text{circumference}}{\text{diameter}} = \frac{8r}{2r} = 4$$

1.2 Subset

Let's introduce some properties of subsets in \mathbb{R}^n . $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ means A is a collection of points \vec{x} , drawn from \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 1.4. Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and $\vec{p} \in A$. We say \vec{p} is an interior point of A if there exists a neighbourhood of \vec{p} , i.e. an open disk disk, which is entirely contained in A:

$$D_r(\vec{p}) \subset A$$
.

Example 1.2.1.

$$A = \left\{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \vec{x} \neq \vec{0} \right\}$$

Take any $\vec{p} \in A$, so $\vec{p} \neq \vec{0}$. Then, let $r = ||\vec{p} - \vec{0}|| > 0$, and $D_r(\vec{p}) \subset A$, since $\vec{0} \notin D_r(\vec{p})$. (Notice: any smaller disk, $D_s(\vec{p}) \subset D_r(\vec{p}) \subset A$, where 0 < s < r works to show that \vec{p} is an interior point).

So every $\vec{p} \in A$ is an interior point to A.

Definition 1.5. If every $\vec{p} \in A$ is an interior point, we cal A an open set.

Example 1.2.2. $A = \left\{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n | \vec{x} \neq \vec{0} \right\}$ is an open set.

Example 1.2.3. $A = D_R(\vec{0})$ is an open set.

Proof. If $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$, $D_r(\vec{0}) \subseteq A = D_R(\vec{0})$ provided $r \leq R$. So $\vec{p} = \vec{0}$ is interior to A. Consider any other $\vec{p} \in A$. It's evident that $D_r(\vec{p}) \subset A = D_R(\vec{0})$ provided that $0 \leq r \leq R - ||\vec{p}||$. Therefore, $A = D_R(\vec{0})$ is an open set.

Example 1.2.4. Suppose we use Taxi Cab disks instead of Euclidean disk. It does not change which points are interior to A since the diamond is inscribed in a circle. In other words,

$$D_r^{\mathrm{taxi}}(\vec{p}) \subset D_r^{\mathrm{Euclid}}(\vec{p})$$

Definition 1.6. The complement of set A is

$$A^c = \{\vec{x} | \vec{x} \notin A\}$$

Definition 1.7. \vec{b} is a boundary point of A if for every r > 0, $D_r(\vec{b})$ contains both points in A and points not in A:

$$D_r(\vec{b}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$
 and $D_r(\vec{b}) \cap A^c \neq \emptyset$

In the example 1.2.3, the set of all boundary points of $A = D_R(\vec{0})$

$$\left\{ \vec{b} \left| \| \vec{b} \| = R \right. \right\}$$

is a sphere of radius R.

Definition 1.8. A set A is closed if A^c is open.

Theorem 1.2. A is clossed if and only if A contains all its boundary points.

Example 1.2.5. Consider the following set:

$$A = \{(x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x_1 \ge 0, x_2 > 0\}$$

If $\vec{p_1} = (p_1, p_2)$, where $p_1 > 0, p_2 > 0$, then $\vec{p_1}$ is an interior point. Take $r = \min\{p_1, p_2\}$. Then, $D_r(\vec{p}) \subset A$. On the other hand, any \vec{p} that lies on either axes (including $\vec{0}$) is a boundary point. Since there are boundary points in A, A can't be open.

2 Functions

2.1 Limits and continuity

In this section, we will be considering vector values functions such that

$$F: A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$$
.

We can rewrite this using a matrix notation:

$$F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ \vdots \\ F_k(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 2.1.1. For a $(k \times n)$ matrix M,

$$F(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x}$$

First, we wish to study limits. What does $\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}} F(\vec{x}) = \vec{L}$ mean? Note that it's not enough to treat the variables $x_1, x_2, \dots x_n$ separately.

Example 2.1.2. Consider the following function:

$$F(x,y) = \frac{xy}{x^2 + 4y^2},$$

where $(x, y) \neq (0, 0)$. First, we can attempt to find its limit by considering them separately.

$$\lim_{x \to 0} \left(\lim_{y \to 0} F(x, y) \right) = \lim_{x \to 0} \left(\frac{0}{x^2} \right) = \lim_{x \to 0} = 0$$

Similarly, we have

$$\lim_{y \to 0} \left(\lim_{x \to 0} F(x, y) \right) = 0$$

However, if $(x, y) \to (0, 0)$ along a straight line path with y = mx, where m is constant, we have

$$F(x, mx) = \frac{mx^2}{x^2 + 4m^2x^2} = \frac{m}{1 + 4m^2}$$

In this case, we have

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y)\to(0,0)\\\text{along }y=mx}}F(x,y)=\frac{m}{1+4m^2}$$

Therefore, the values of F(x,y) don't approach any particular value as $(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0)$.

Example 2.1.3 (Worse). Consider the following function:

$$F(x,y) = \frac{y^2}{x^4 + y^2}.$$

If we approach (0,0) along y=mx, limit equals 1. However, if we approach along a parabola, $y=mx^2$, limit equals $m^2/(1+m^2)$. We get different limits alond different parabolas.

We showed that computing

$$\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}}=\vec{b}$$

is tricky because $\vec{x} \to \vec{a}$ has to be more precise. It can't depend on the path or direction on which \vec{x} approaches \vec{a} , but only on *proximity*. In other words, we want $||F(\vec{x}) - \vec{b}||$ to go to zero as $||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||$ goes to zero.

Definition 2.1. We say $\lim_{\vec{x}\to\vec{a}} F(\vec{x}) = \vec{b}$ if for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that whenever $0 < \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| < \delta$, we have $\|F(x) - \vec{b}\| < \varepsilon$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} F(x) = \vec{b} \iff \lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} \|F(\vec{x}) - \vec{b}\| = 0$$

Remark. Geometrically, for any given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(\vec{x}) \in D_{\varepsilon}(\vec{b}),$$

where $\vec{x} \in D_{\delta}(\vec{a})$.

Before doing examples, here's a useful observations. Take $\vec{v} = (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then, we have

$$\|\vec{v}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} v_j^2} \ge \sqrt{v_i^2} = |v_i|$$

for each coordinate i = 1, 2, ..., n.

Example 2.1.4. Show

$$\lim_{(x,y)\to(0,0)} \frac{2x^2y}{x^2+y^2} = 0$$

Proof. Note that $F: \mathbb{R} \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \to \mathbb{R}, b = 0, \vec{a} = (0,0)$. Call

$$R = \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| = \|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

Since $F(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|F(\vec{x}) - \vec{b}\| &= |F(\vec{x}) - b| \\ &= \left| \frac{2x^2y}{x^2 + y^2} - 0 \right| \\ &= \frac{2|x|^2|y|}{x^2 + y^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2 \cdot R^2 \cdot R}{R^2} \\ &= 2R \\ &= 2||\vec{x} - \vec{a}|| \end{aligned}$$

By letting $\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| = \|\vec{x}\| < \varepsilon/2$, we get $\|F(\vec{x}) = \vec{b}\| < \varepsilon$. Therefore, definition is satisfied with $\delta \le \varepsilon/2$

Example 2.1.5. Consider the following function, $F : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{\vec{0}\} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\frac{3z^2 + 2x^2 + 4y^2 + 6z^2}{x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2}.$$

Determine whether

$$\lim_{(x,y,z)\to(0,0,0)} F(x,y,z) = 2.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \|F(x,y,z) - \vec{b}\| &= |F(x,y,z) - 2| \\ &= \left| \frac{3z^3 + 2x^2 + 4y^2 + 6z^2}{x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2} - 2 \right| \\ &= \frac{3|z|^3}{x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2} \\ &\leq \frac{3R^3}{x^2 + y^2 + z^3} \\ &= \frac{3R^3}{R^2} \\ &= 3R \end{split}$$

Then,

$$||F(x,y,z) - \vec{b}|| < 3R < \varepsilon$$

provided that

$$R = \|\vec{x} - \vec{0}\| < \delta = \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

Definition 2.2. Consider a function $F : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^k$ with domain $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. For $\vec{a} \in A$, we say F is continuous at \vec{a} in the domain of F iff

$$F(a) = \lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} F(\vec{a})$$

Example 2.1.6. Going back the example 2.1.5, if we redefine F as follows,

$$F = \begin{cases} \frac{3z^2 + 2x^2 + 4y^2 + 6z^2}{x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2} & (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0) \\ 2 & (x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0) \end{cases}$$

then F is continuous at (0,0,0) (and in fact at all $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}$).

If F is continuous at every $\vec{a} \in A$, $(\forall \vec{x} \in A)$, we say F is continuous on the set A. Continuity is always preserved by the usual algebraic operations: sum. product, quotient, and composition of continuous functions is continuous 1 .

2.2 Differentiability

Definition 2.3. For a function $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, its derivative is defined as

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}.$$

If it exists, we say f is differentiable at x.

Theorem 2.1. If f is differentiable at x, f(x) is also continuous at x.

Note that differentiable functions, f(x), are well approximated by their tangent lines (also known as linearization). We wish to extend this idea to $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

First, we try dealing with the independent variables, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , one at a time by using partial derivatives. We start by introducing the standard basis in \mathbb{R}^n :

$$\vec{e}_1 = (1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

 $\vec{e}_2 = (0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$
 \vdots
 $\vec{e}_n = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 1)$

(In \mathbb{R}^3 , $\vec{e}_1 = \vec{i}$, $\vec{e}_2 = \vec{j}$, $\vec{e}_3 = \vec{k}$).

For any $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and $h \in \mathbb{R}$, $(\vec{x} + h\vec{e_j})$ moves from \vec{x} parallel to the x_j axis by distance h. In other words,

$$\vec{x} + h\vec{e}_i = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i + h, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n).$$

¹Provided we remain in the domain of continuity of both functions and denominators aren't zero

Definition 2.4. Partial derivatives of f(x) is defined as

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(\vec{x}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(\vec{x} + h\vec{e}_j) - f(\vec{x})}{h},$$

for all j = 1, 2, ..., n.

Partial derivatives calculate the derivatives of f, treating of \vec{x}_j as the only variable, and all others treated as constants. For a vector valued function $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$F(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} F_1(\vec{x}) \\ F_2(\vec{x}) \\ \vdots \\ F_m(\vec{x}) \end{bmatrix},$$

we treat each component $F_i(\vec{x}): \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ separately as a real valued function. Each has n partial derivatives, and so $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ has $(m \times n)$ partial derivatives, which form an $(m \times n)$ matrix:

$$\left(\frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}\right)_{\substack{i=1,2,\ldots,m\\j=1,2,\ldots,n}}.$$

We call this the derivative matrix or Jacobian matrix, $DF(\vec{x})$.

Example 2.2.1. Consider a function $F: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$F(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_1 x_2 \\ x_2^4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Jacobian of the function is given by

$$DF(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1}{\partial x_2} \\ \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial F_2} & \frac{\partial F_2}{\partial F_3} \\ \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_3}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & 0 \\ x_2 & x_1 \\ 0 & 4x_2^3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Do we get the same properties for $DF(\vec{x})$ as we did for single-value calculus?

Example 2.2.2. Consider the following function:

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{xy}{(x^2+y^2)^2}, & (x,y) \neq (0,0) \\ 0, & (x,y) = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

Do the partial derivatives exist at (0,0)?

By definition,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}(0,0) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(0+h,0) - f(0,0)}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\frac{h \cdot 0}{(h^2 + 0^2)^2} - 0}{h}$$

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{0}{h} = 0$$

Similarly, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}(0,0) = 0$ (symmetry of x, y). Therefore,

$$Df(0,0) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Although partial derivatives exist, f is not cotinuous at (0,0)! (For example, $f(x, mx) \to \pm \infty$ as $x \to 0^{\pm}$ for $m \neq 0$).

To get reasonable information from $Df(\vec{x})$, we need to say more. First, let's go back to $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. Note

$$f'(x) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$\iff \lim_{h \to 0} \left(\frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} - f'(x) \right) = 0$$

$$\iff \lim_{h \to 0} \left(\frac{f(x+h) - [f(x) + hf'(x)]}{h} \right) = 0$$

Numerator is the difference between f(x+h) and its linear approximation, L (i.e. the tangent line). So f is differentiable at x if its linear approximation gives an estimate of the values f(x+h) within an error which is small compared to $\Delta x = h$. More precisely, the linearization of f(x) at x = a (or the tangent line) is given by

$$L_a(x) = f(a) + f'(a)(x - a)$$

We wish to extend this idea to higher dimensions. For $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $F(\vec{x})$ has $(m \times n)$ partial derivates (see definition 2.4). Then, the linearization of F at \vec{a} is

$$L_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x}) = \underbrace{F(\vec{a})}_{m \times 1} + \underbrace{DF(\vec{a})}_{m \times n} \underbrace{(\vec{x} - \vec{a})}_{n \times 1}.$$

So, $L: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, just like F. The derivative matrix $DF(\vec{a})$ is a linear transformation of $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Notice that when n=2 and m=1, For $F:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$DF(\vec{a}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(\vec{a}) & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(\vec{a}) \end{bmatrix},$$

a (1×2) row vector and

$$\vec{x} - \vec{a} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 - a_1 \\ x_2 - a_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

so we have

$$L_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x}) = F(\vec{a}) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(x_1 - a_1) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(x_2 - a_2),$$

a familiar equation of the tangent plane to $z = F(x_1, x_2)$.

Finally, we can introduce the idea of differentiable:

Definition 2.5 (Differentiability). We say $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable if

$$\lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{a}} \frac{\|F(\vec{x}) - F(\vec{a}) - DF(\vec{a})(\vec{x} - \vec{a})\|}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\|} = 0.$$

Equivalently,

$$\lim_{\vec{h} \to \vec{0}} \frac{\|F(\vec{x} + \vec{h}) - F(\vec{x}) = DF(\vec{x})\vec{h}\|}{\|\vec{h}\|} = 0$$

In summary, F is differentiable if $||F(\vec{x}) - L_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x})||$ is small compared to $||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||$. Or, $F(\vec{x})$ is approximated by $L_{\vec{a}}(\vec{x})$ with and error which is much smaller than $||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||$. Note that we write $o(||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||)$ "little-oh" for quantity which is small compred to $||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||$. Using this notation, differentiability can be written as

$$||F(\vec{x}) - F(\vec{a} - Df(\vec{a})(\vec{x} - \vec{a}))|| = o(||\vec{x} - \vec{a}||).$$

Example 2.2.3. Is the following function differentiable at $\vec{a} = \vec{0}$?

$$F(x_1, x_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{x_2^2 \sin x_1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}, & \vec{x} \neq \vec{0} \\ 0, & \vec{x} = \vec{0} \end{cases}$$

First, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1}(\vec{0}) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{F(\vec{0} + h\vec{e}_1) - F(\vec{0})}{h}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{0 - 0}{h} = 0$$

Similarly, we have

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}(\vec{0}) = 0$$

So we have

$$DF(\vec{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

For differentiability, we have to look at:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{x_2^2 \sin x_1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} - 0 - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{x_2^2 |\sin x_1|}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}}$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \frac{|F(\vec{x}) - L_{\vec{0}}(\vec{x})|}{\vec{x} - \vec{0}} &= \frac{x_2^2 |\sin x_1|}{\left(\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}\right)^2} = \frac{x_2^2 |\sin x_1|}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \\ &\leq \frac{R^2 \cdot R}{R^2} = R = \|\vec{x} - \vec{0}\| \end{split}$$

By squeeze theorem, we have

$$\lim_{\vec{x} \to \vec{0}} \frac{|F(\vec{x}) - L_{\vec{0}}(\vec{x})|}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{0}\|} = 0$$

Therefore, F is differentiable at $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$

Example 2.2.4. Verify that F is differentiable at $\vec{a} = \vec{0}$.

$$F(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_1 + x_2^2 \\ 2x_2 - x_1^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

First, note that

$$F(\vec{a}) = F(\vec{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We also need to compute the Jacobian at $\vec{0}$:

$$DF(\vec{0}) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, we get the following linearization of the function:

$$L_{\vec{0}}(\vec{x}) = F(\vec{0}) + DF(\vec{x})(\vec{x} - \vec{0})$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 + x_1 \\ 2x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Then, look at

$$\frac{\|F(\vec{x}) - L_{\vec{0}}(\vec{x})\|}{\|\vec{x} - \vec{0}\|} = \frac{\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x_2^2 \\ -x_1^2 \end{bmatrix} \right\|}{\|\vec{x}\|} = \frac{\sqrt{x_2^4 + x_1^4}}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2}} \le \frac{R^4 + 4}{R} = \sqrt{2}R = \sqrt{2}\|\vec{x} - \vec{0}\|$$

As $\vec{x} \to \vec{0}$, $||\vec{x} - \vec{0}|| = R \to 0$, so by the squeeze theorem, the desired limit goes to 0. Therefore, F is differentiable at $\vec{0}$.

Theorem 2.2. Suppose $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$, and $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. If there exists a disk $D_r(\vec{a})$ in which all the partial derivatives $\partial(F_i(\vec{x}))/\partial x_j$ exist and are continuous, then F is differentiable at $\vec{x} = \vec{a}$.

Definition 2.6. A function which satisfies Theorem 2.2 is called continuously differentiable, of C^1 .

So far as our example, we calculate the partial for $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_1} &= x_2^2 \left(\cos x_1 \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}} 2x_1 \right) \sin x_1 \right) \\ &= \frac{x_2^2}{(x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{3/2}} \left[\cos x_1 \left(x_1^2 + x_2^2 \right) - x_1 \sin x_1 \right] \end{split}$$

which is continuous as long as $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$. We do the same for $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_2}$ and conclude that F is C^1 at all $\vec{x} \neq \vec{0}$. We summarize these ideas in the figure below:

2.3 Chain rule

Definition 2.7. Suppose $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is open, and we have a function

$$F:A\subseteq\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m.$$

Similarly, supposed $B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m$ is open, and we have a function

$$G: B \subseteq \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$$
.

Assume $\vec{a} \in A$ and $F(\vec{a}) = \vec{b} = B$. The composition

$$H(\vec{x}) = G \circ F(\vec{x}) = G(F(\vec{x}))$$

is a function $\mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^p$.

Example 2.3.1. Consider the following linear functions:

$$\begin{cases} F(\vec{x}) = M\vec{x} & M \text{ an } (m \times n) \text{ matrix} \\ G(\vec{y}) = N\vec{y} & N \text{ an } (p \times m) \text{ matrix} \end{cases}$$

Then,

$$H(\vec{x}) = G(F(\vec{x})) = NM\vec{x}$$

is also a linear and represented by the product NM.

Theorem 2.3. Assume $F: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is differentiable at $\vec{x} = \vec{a}$ and $G: \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^p$ is differentiable at $\vec{b} = F(\vec{a})$. Then, $H = G \circ F$ is differentiable at $\vec{x} = \vec{a}$ and

$$DH(\vec{a}) = \underbrace{DG(\vec{b})}_{DG(F(\vec{a}))} DF(\vec{a})$$

Note that all of the various forms of Chain Rule done in first year calculus can be derived directly from this general formula.

Example 2.3.2. Consider the following functions, $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ and $G: \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$.

$$F(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^2 + x_2 x_3 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 \end{bmatrix}, G(\vec{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} -y_2^3 \\ y_1 + y_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $H = G \circ F(\vec{x})$. Find $DH(\vec{a})$ where a = (1, -1, 0).

First, we have

$$DF(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 & x_3 & x_2 \\ 2x_1 & 0 & 2x_3 \end{bmatrix}, DF(1, -1, 0) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Similarly, we have

$$DG(\vec{y}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3y_2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, DG(1,1) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

By Chain Rule, we get

$$DH(1, -1, 0) = DG(1, 1)DF(1, -1, 0)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & -1 \\ 2 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} -6 & 0 & 0 \\ 4 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

2.4 Directional derivatve

Definition 2.8. A path is $\vec{C} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a vector-valued function of a scalar independent variable, usually, t:

$$\vec{c}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1(t) \\ c_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ c_n(t) \end{bmatrix}$$

 $\vec{c}(t)$ can be thought of as a moving vector. It takes out a curve in \mathbb{R}^n as t increases. Basically, path is a way of describing a curve using functions. Note that this is not the only way to describe a curve.

Example 2.4.1. A unit circle in \mathbb{R}^2 described as a path is

$$\vec{c}(t) = (\cos t, \sin t),$$

where $t \in [0, 2\pi)$. But we could also describe the unit circle non-parametrically as

$$x^2 + y^2 = 1$$

Note that the same curve can be described by diffrent paths. Going back to unit circle, we can also write

$$\vec{b}(t) = (\sin(t^2), \cos(t^2)).$$

Using different paths can change (1) time dyanmics and (2) direction of the curve. This curve has a non-constant speed and reversed orientation.

If \vec{c} is differentiable, $D\vec{c}(t)$ is an $(n \times 1)$ matrix. Since each component $\vec{c}_j(t)$ is a real-valued function of only one variable, the *partial-derivative* is the usual derivative:

$$\frac{\partial c_j}{\partial t} = \frac{dc_j}{dt} = c_j'(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{c_j(t+h) - c_j(t)}{h}$$

So $D\vec{c}(t) = \vec{c}'(t)$ is written as a column vector:

$$D\vec{c}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} c'_1(t) \\ c'_2(t) \\ \vdots \\ c'_3(t) \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{\vec{c}(t+h) - \vec{c}(t)}{h}$$

which is a vector which is tangent to the curve traced out at $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(t)$. Physically, $\vec{c}'(t)$ is the velocity vector for motion along the path.

Example 2.4.2 (Lines). Given two points, $\vec{p_1}, \vec{p_2} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, there is a unique line connecting them. One path which represents this line is

$$\vec{c}(t) = \vec{p}_1 + t\vec{v},$$

where $\vec{v} = \vec{p_2} - \vec{p_1}$. Velocity is then given by $\vec{c}'(t) = \vec{v}$, a constant.

Definition 2.9. $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar-valued function.

If $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable, $Df(\vec{x})$ is a $(1 \times n)$ matrix:

$$Df(\vec{x}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix}$$

We use paths $\vec{c}(t)$ to explore f(x) by looking at

$$h(t) = f \circ \vec{c}(t) = f(\vec{c}(t)).$$

where $h: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, By chain rule,

$$Dh(t) = h'(t) = \underbrace{Df(\vec{c}(t))}_{1 \times n} \underbrace{D\vec{c}(t)}_{n \times 1}$$

$$= Df(\vec{c}(t)) \vec{c}'(t)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c'_1 \\ c'_2 \\ \vdots \\ c'_n \end{bmatrix}$$

We can think of this as a dot product of $\vec{c}'(t)$ with a vector $Df^T = \nabla f$, the gradient vector:

$$h'(t) = \nabla f(\vec{c}(t)) \cdot \vec{c}'(t)$$

Suppose $f: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is differentiable at $\vec{a} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, and we have a path $\vec{c}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ with $\vec{c}(0) = \vec{a}$. Let $\vec{v} = \vec{c}'(0)$. Then, h'(0) measures rate of change of f along the path as we cross through \vec{a} :

$$h'(0) = \nabla f(\vec{c}(0)) \cdot \vec{c}'(0)$$
$$= \nabla f(\vec{c}(0)) \cdot \vec{v}$$

Note that we get the same value for h'(0) for any path $\vec{c}(t)$ going through \vec{a} with velocity $\vec{c}'(t) = \vec{v}$. In other words, h'(0) says something about f at \vec{a} , and not the path $\vec{c}(t)$.

Definition 2.10 (Directional derivative). The directional derivative of f at \vec{a} in direction \vec{v} is given by

$$D_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = Df(\vec{a})\vec{v} = \nabla f(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{v}.$$

Now, we can make some observations. Using the Chain Rule, directional derivatives can be rewritten as

$$D_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = \lim_{t \to 0} \frac{f(\vec{a} + t\vec{v}) - f(\vec{a})}{t}.$$

Note the similarity to partial derivatives, where $\vec{v} = \vec{e}_j$.

Second, notice that $D_{2\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = \nabla f(\vec{a}) \cdot (2\vec{v}) = 2D_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$. To get the information on how fast f is changing at \vec{a} , we need to restrict to unit vectors $||\vec{v}|| = 1$.

Directional derivatives also gives a geometrical interpretation of the gradient vector, $\nabla f(\vec{a})$. We use the Cauchy-Schwartz Inequality² to do so. By applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, we have

$$D_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a}) = \nabla f(\vec{a}) \cdot \vec{v} \le ||\nabla f(\vec{a})|| \cdot ||\vec{v}|| = ||\vec{v}f(\vec{a})||.$$

Therefore, we can conclude that the length of $\|\nabla f(\vec{a})\|$ is the largest of $D_{\vec{v}}f(\vec{a})$ among all choices of unit directions \vec{v} . In other words, the direction \vec{v} in which $f(\vec{x})$ increases most rapidly is the direction of $\nabla f(\vec{a})$, i.e.

$$\vec{v} = \frac{\nabla f(\vec{a})}{\|\nabla f(\vec{a})\|},$$

provided that $\nabla f(\vec{a}) \neq \vec{0}$.

Similarly, $-\nabla f(\vec{a})$ points in the direction of largest of $f(\vec{x})$, i.e.

$$\vec{v} = -\frac{\nabla f(\vec{a})}{\|\nabla f(\vec{a})\|},$$

gives the most negative directional derivative.

²For any vectors $\vec{u} \cdot \vec{v} \leq ||\vec{y}|| ||\vec{v}||$, and equality holds if and only if $\vec{u} = t\vec{v}$ for a scalar t.

3 Paths and Curves

3.1 Parameterized path

A path is a function, $\vec{v}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\vec{c}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} c_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ c_n(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$

 $\vec{c}(t)$ is {continuous, differentiable, and C^1 } provided that each component $c_j(t), j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ are. Note that $\{\vec{c}(t): t \int [a, b]\}$ traces out a curve in \mathbb{R}^n , with initial endpoint \vec{a} and final endpoint \vec{b} . Therfore, the path $\vec{c}(t)$ parameterizes the curve drawn out.

Recall that for any function $F: \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^n$, differentiability means that tangets i.e. linearization) make a good approximation. For a differentiable path, $\vec{c}'(t)$ is a tangent vector to the curve drawn out when $\vec{c}'(t) \neq 0$. We call $\vec{v}(t) = \vec{c}'(t)$ the velocity vector $(v = ||\vec{v}|| = ||\vec{c}'(t)||$ is speed).

Finally, we can define the unit tangent vector:

Definition 3.1. Unit tangent vector is defined as

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\vec{\mathbf{v}}}{\|\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t)\|} = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|}$$

Example 3.1.1. Consider a curve $\vec{c}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (\cos^3 t, \sin^3 t),$$

where $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$. This is a C^1 path³ and its velocity vector is given by

$$\vec{c}'(t) = \left(-3\cos^2 t \sin t, 3\sin^2 t \cos t\right).$$

To find the unit tangent, we have to find its speed:

$$v = \| (-3\cos^2 t \sin t, 3\sin^2 t \cos t) \|$$

= $3|\sin t \cos t| \| (-\cos t \sin t, \sin \cos t) \|$
= $3|\sin t \cos t|$

Finally, its unit tanget is given by

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\vec{v}(t)}{\|\vec{v}(t)\|} = \left(-|\cos t| \frac{\sin t}{|\sin t|}, |\sin t| \frac{\cos t}{|\cos t|}\right)$$

Note that its tanget is undefined when $\sin t = 0$ or $\cos t = 0$, i.e. at multiples of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Worse, $\frac{\sin t}{|\sin t|}, \frac{\cos t}{|\cos t|}$ flip discontinuously as t crosses a multiple of $\pi/2$ from -1 to +1, or vice versa. Although the path is C^1 , the curve is not smooth! When $\vec{v}(t) = \vec{c}'(t) = 0$, it allows the curve to have cusps.

³In fact, it is C^{∞} , differentiable to all orders!

Note that it is possible to have a nice tangent direction even when $\vec{c}'(t) = 0$:

Example 3.1.2. Consider a parameterized straight line:

$$\vec{c} = \vec{a} + \vec{w}t^3$$

Its velocity vector, $\vec{c}'(t) = 3\vec{w}t^2$, is equal to $\vec{0}$ when t = 0. However, it still has a tangent direction which is parallel to \vec{w} .

Definition 3.2. We say a parameterized curve is smooth⁴ (or regular) if its path is C^1 , i.e. if it can be parameterized by a path $\vec{c}(t)$, and $\|\vec{c}'(t) \neq 0\|$ for any t.

If $\vec{c}(t)$ is twice-differentiable, $\vec{c}''(t) = \vec{a}(t)$ is the acceleration vector. In order to do calculus with path, we use these differentiation rules.

1. If $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, $\vec{c}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$, both differentiable,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(f(t)\vec{c}(t) \right) = f(t)\vec{c}'(t) + f'(t)\vec{c}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(f(t)\vec{c}_{j}(t) \right) \vec{e}_{j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{d}{dt} \left(f'(t)\vec{c}_{j}(t) + f(t)\vec{c}_{j}'(t) \right) \vec{e}_{j}$$

2. If $\vec{c}, \vec{d} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^n$ are differentiable,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{c}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(t) \right) = \vec{c}'(t) \cdot \vec{d}(t) + \vec{c}(t) \cdot \vec{c}'(t)$$

3. If $\vec{c}, \vec{d} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^3$ are differentiable,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{c}(t) \times \vec{d}(t) \right) = \vec{c}(t) \times \vec{d}'(t) + \vec{c}'(t) \vec{d}(t),$$

where $\vec{c} \times \vec{d} = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^{3} = c_i d_j \vec{e}_k \varepsilon_{ijk}$. ε_{ijk} is defined in the footnotes⁵.

Example 3.1.3. Suppose \vec{c} is a twice differentiable path and $\vec{a}(t) = k\vec{c}(t)$ for some constant $k \neq 0$. Show that $\vec{c}(t)$ describes a motion in a fixed plane.

Define a vector

$$\vec{n} = \vec{c}(t) \times \vec{v}(t) = \vec{c}(t) \times \vec{c}'(t)$$

 $^{^4}$ For a smooth curve, the unit tangent $\vec{T}(t)$ is continuous.

Notice $\vec{n} \perp \vec{c}(t)$ and $\vec{v}(t)$, i.e. \vec{n} is normal to the plane.

$$\frac{d\vec{n}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\vec{c}(t) \times \vec{c}'(t) \right) = \vec{c}(t) \times \underbrace{\vec{c}''(t)}_{\vec{a}(t)} + \underbrace{\vec{c}'(t) \times \vec{c}'(t)}_{\vec{0}} \\
= \vec{c}(t) \times k\vec{c}(t) \\
= \vec{0}$$

Therefore, \vec{n} is constant in time!

So $\vec{c}(t)$ and $\vec{v}(t)$ are, for all t, perpendicular to the constant vector \vec{n} . Then,

$$P = \{ \vec{w} \mid \vec{w} \cdot \vec{n} = 0 \}$$

is the plane through $\vec{0}$. So $\vec{c}(t) \in P$ for all t.

Definition 3.3 (Arclength). The arclength (or distance travelled along the parameterized curve) for $a \le t \le b$ is

$$\int_{a}^{b} \underbrace{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|}_{speed} dt$$

For a variable time interval, the arclength function

$$s(t) = \int_{a}^{t} \|\vec{c}'(u)\| du$$

is a distance traveled from time a to time t.

Example 3.1.4. Consider the following curve:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (3\cos t, 3\sin t, 4t), t \in [0, 4\pi]$$

Its velocity vector is given by

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) = (-3\sin t, 3\cos t, 4).$$

Then, it follows that its speed is exactly equal to 5. Finally, we can compute the arclength:

$$s(t) = \int_0^t v(t)dt = \int_0^t 5du = 5t$$

Therefore, total arclength is $s(4\pi) = 20\pi$.

Definition 3.4. When the path $\vec{c}(t)$ traces out the curve with speed $\|\vec{v}(t)\| = 1$ for all t, we say that the curve is arclength parameterized.

If a curve is arclength parameterized, arclength function becomes

$$s(t) = t$$

Then, we can use s instead of t as a parameterization in the path.

Example 3.1.5. In example 3.1.4, helix is not arclength parameterized but we can re-parameterize it so that it is. To do so, we need to solve for $t = \varphi(s)$ to invent the function s(t).

Going back the example, we had s(t) = 5t. It follows that $t = \frac{1}{5}s$. Then,

$$\vec{c}(s) = \vec{c}(\varphi(s)) = \vec{c}\left(\frac{s}{5}\right) = \left(3\cos\left(\frac{s}{5}\right), 3\sin\left(\frac{s}{5}\right), \frac{4s}{5}\right)$$

is an arclength parameterization of the original helix, i.e. $\|\vec{c}'(s)\| = 1$, $\forall s$.

3.2 Geometry of curves in \mathbb{R}^3

Path,

$$\vec{c}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} x(t) \\ y(t) \\ z(t) \end{bmatrix} = (x, y, z)(t),$$

traces out a curve, for $t \in [a, b]$, in space, and its velocity vector and speed are given by $\vec{c}'(t)$ and $\|\vec{c}'(t)\|$, respectively. This is a smooth parameterization if $\vec{c} \in C^1$ and $\|\vec{c}'(t)\| \neq 0$ for any $t \in [a, b]$.

We introduced the arclength function.

$$s(t) = \int_a^t \|\vec{c}'(u)\| du,$$

the total distance along the curve up to time t.

We also introduced the idea of arclength parameterization, where s(t) = t. Then, since

$$\frac{ds}{dt} = \|\vec{c}'(t)\|,$$

arclength parameterization is a path that travels along the curve with unit speed, ds/dt = 1, throughout. Therefore, any path with $\|\vec{c}'(t)\| \neq 0$ can be parameterized by arclength by inverting s = s(t) such that $t = \varphi(s)$. Note that we can always do this for a smooth path ds/dt > 0 so s(t) is monotonically increasing. In practice, however, you may not be able to find an explicit formula for the arclength parameterization!

Example 3.2.1. Consider the following path:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (t, \frac{1}{2}t^2)$$
:

Since $y = x^2/2$, it's a parabola. Then, we observe that

$$c'(t) = (1, t), \|\vec{c}'(t)\| = \sqrt{1 + t^2} \ge 1 > 0$$

so the path is smooth. Then, we have

$$s(t) = \int_0^t \|\vec{c}'(u)\| du = \int_0^t \sqrt{1 + u^2} dy = \frac{1}{2} \left(\ln \left| \sqrt{1 + t^2} + t \right| + t\sqrt{1 + t^2} \right).$$

Clearly, there's no way we can solve for t as a function of s. The way out of this trouble is to treat all \vec{c} as if they were parameterized by arclength and use Chain rule with $ds/dt = ||\vec{c}'(t)||$ to compensate.

Recall that unit tangent vector to $\vec{c}(t)$ is

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|}.$$

We wish to understand how direction of the curve changes over time:

Definition 3.5. The curvature of a curve is defined as rate of change of unit tangent:

$$\kappa = \left\| \frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} \right\|.$$

By chain rule,

$$\frac{d\vec{T}}{dt} = \frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} \cdot \frac{ds}{dt}$$

So, in the original time parameter, t,

$$\kappa(t) = \left\| \frac{1}{\frac{ds}{dt}} \frac{d\vec{T}}{dt} \right\| = \frac{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|}$$

Example 3.2.2. Consider a circle of radius R > 0 in xy-plane:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (R \sin t, R \cos t).$$

Then, we have

$$\vec{c}'(t) = (R\cos t, -R\sin t)$$
$$\|\vec{c}'(t)\| = R$$

Notice that this travels with constant speed but is not arclength parameterized. Its unit tangent is given by

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{R} = (\cos t, -\sin t)$$

Then,

$$\vec{N}(t) = \vec{T}'(t) = (-\sin t, -\cos t)$$

Again, notice that $\vec{N}(t)$ is perpendicular to $\vec{T}(t)$.

Finally, we have

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{1}{R}.$$

Therefore, circle with large radius has less curvature.

Example 3.2.3. Consider the following helix:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (3\cos t, 3\sin t, 4t).$$

Following the same approach as the previous question, we get

$$\begin{split} \vec{c}'(t) &= (-3\sin t, 3\cos t, 4) \\ \|\vec{c}'(t)\| &= 5 \\ \vec{T}(t) &= \left(-\frac{3}{5}\sin t, \frac{3}{5}\cos t, \frac{4}{5}\right) \\ \vec{T}'(t) &= \left(-\frac{3}{5}\cos t, -\frac{3}{5}\sin t, 0\right) \end{split}$$

Then, we get

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{3/5}{5} = \frac{3}{25}$$

We observe that this curve also has a constant curvature.

Definition 3.6 (Principal normal vector).

$$\vec{N} = \frac{\vec{T}'(s)}{\|\vec{T}'(s)\|} = \frac{\vec{T}'(t)}{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|}$$

Since $\|\vec{T}(s)\| = 1$ for all $s, \vec{T}(s) \cdot \vec{T}(s) = \|\vec{T}(s)\|^2 = 1$. By implicit differentiation, we have

$$\frac{d}{ds}(1) = \frac{d}{ds}(\vec{T}(s) \cdot \vec{T}(s))$$
$$0 = \vec{T}'(s) \cdot \vec{T}(s) + \vec{T}(s) \cdot \vec{T}'(s)$$
$$= 2\vec{T}'(s) \cdot \vec{T}(s)$$

Therefore, $\vec{T}'(s) \perp \vec{T}(s)$ for all s. So, as long as $\vec{T}'(s) \neq 0$, i.e. $\kappa \neq 0$, we have $\vec{N}(s) \perp \vec{T}(s)$. In fact, $\vec{T}'(s) = \|\vec{T}'(s)\|\vec{N} = \kappa \vec{N}$, so the tangent turns in the direction of \vec{N} . For motion in a line, $\kappa(s) = 0$ for all s, \vec{N} cannot be defined!

 \vec{T}, \vec{N} determines a plane in \mathbb{R}^3 , the osculating plane. The normal vector to the osculating plane is given by

$$\vec{B} = \vec{T} \times \vec{N}$$
.

Definition 3.7 (Binormal vector). $\vec{B} = \vec{T} \times \vec{N}$

We observe that $\vec{B} \perp \vec{T}$, $\vec{B} \perp \vec{N}$, and

$$\|\vec{B}\| = \|\vec{T}\| \|\vec{N}\| |\sin \theta| = 1 \cdot 1 \cdot \sin(\pi/2) = 1$$

Therefore, $\{\vec{T}(s), \vec{N}(s), \vec{B}(s)\}$ is a moving *orthonormal basis* for \mathbb{R}^3 at each point along the curve. This plane is also referred to as *moving frame* or *frenet frame*. Now, we introduce the following consequences:

(1). If curvature $\kappa(s) = 0$ for all s, then the curve is a straight line.

To see this, $\vec{T}'(s) = \kappa \vec{N}(s) = 0$ for all s. Therefore, $\vec{T}(s) = \vec{u}$ is a constant

$$\vec{r}(s) = \vec{u}s + \vec{p},$$

a line thorugh $\vec{p} = \vec{r}(0)$ with direction vector \vec{u} .

- (2). When $\kappa = 0$, \vec{N} and \vec{B} cannot be defined.
- (3). If $\vec{B}(s)$ is a constant vector, then $\vec{c}(t)$ ($\vec{r}(t)$) move in a fixed plane, with normal vector \vec{B} .

Suppose $\vec{B}(s)$ isn't constant. First, $||\vec{B}(s)|| = 1$ for all s. Then,

$$1 = \|\vec{B}(s)\|^2 = \vec{B}(s) \cdot \vec{B}(s)$$

holds for all s. So we can do implicit differentiation:

$$0 = \frac{d}{dS}(1) = \frac{d}{dS} \left(\vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} \right) = 2\vec{B}' \cdot \vec{B}.$$

Then, it follows that $\vec{B}' \perp \vec{B}$, for every s. Next, since $\vec{B}(s) \perp \vec{T}(s)$ for all s, we have $\vec{B} \cdot \vec{T} = 0$ for all s. Then,

$$\frac{d}{ds}\left(\vec{B}\cdot\vec{T}\right) = \vec{B}'(s)\cdot\vec{T}(s) + \vec{B}(s)\cdot\vec{T}'(s) = 0.$$

Since $\vec{T}' = \kappa \vec{N}$ and $\vec{B} \cdot \vec{N} = 0$, it follows that

$$\vec{B}'(s) + \vec{T}(s) = 0 \iff \vec{B}'(s) \perp \vec{T}(s)$$

Since $\left\{ \vec{T}, \vec{N}, \vec{B} \right\}$ form a orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 , we must hve $\vec{B}^{\,\prime}(s)$ parallel to \vec{N} . Therefore,

$$\vec{B}'(s) = -\tau(s)\vec{N}(s)$$

for a function $\tau(s)$ called the *torsion*. Since $\tau = \left\| d\vec{B}/ds \right\|$, it measures how fast the normal \vec{B} to the osculating plane is twisting

Definition 3.8 (Torsion).

$$\tau = \left\| \frac{d\vec{B}}{ds} \right\| = \frac{\|\vec{B}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|}$$

Putting all the information together we get Frenet formulas:

Theorem 3.1 (Frenet formula).

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} = \kappa \vec{N} \\ \frac{d\vec{B}}{ds} = -\tau \vec{N} \\ \frac{d\vec{N}}{ds} = -\kappa \vec{T} + \tau \vec{B} \end{cases}$$

Example 3.2.4. Consider the following helix:

$$\vec{c}(t) = (3\cos t, 3\sin t, 4t)$$

Then, we have

$$\begin{split} &\|\vec{c}'(t)\| = 5, \\ &\vec{T}(t) = \left(-\frac{3}{5}\sin t, \frac{3}{5}\cos t, \frac{4}{5}\right), \\ &\vec{T}'(t) = \left(-\frac{3}{5}\cos t, -\frac{3}{5}\sin t, 0\right), \\ &\kappa = \frac{3}{25}, \\ &\vec{N}(t) = (-\cos t, -\sin t, 0), \\ &\vec{B}(t) = \vec{T} \times \vec{N} = \left(\frac{4}{5}\sin t, -\frac{4}{5}\cos t, \frac{3}{5}\right), \\ &\vec{B}' = \left(\frac{4}{5}\cos t, \frac{4}{5}\sin t, 0\right), \\ &\tau = -\frac{4}{5}. \end{split}$$

3.3 Dynamics

How do these quantities relate to dynamical quantities? $\vec{c}(t)$ is a position vector along the curve. $\vec{c}'(t) = \vec{v}(t) = \vec{T}(t)ds/dt$ is a velocity vector, and $\|\vec{c}'(t)\| = ds/dt$ is speed.

Definition 3.9 (Acceleration). $\vec{a}(t) = \vec{v}'(t) = \vec{c}''(t)$

First, observe that

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \vec{c}'(t) = \frac{ds}{dt} \vec{T}(t)$$

Then,

$$\begin{split} \vec{a}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \vec{T}(t) \right) = \frac{d^2s}{dt^2} \vec{T}(t) + \frac{ds}{dt} \vec{T}^{\,\prime}(t) \\ &= \frac{d^2s}{dt^2} \vec{T} + \frac{ds}{dt} \left(\frac{d\vec{T}}{ds} \cdot \frac{ds}{dt} \right) \end{split}$$

So we have

$$\vec{a}(t) = \underbrace{\frac{d^2s}{dt^2}\vec{T}}_{\text{Linear acceleration}} + \underbrace{\kappa \left(\frac{ds}{dt}\right)^2 \vec{N}}_{\text{Steering-term}}$$

By looking at the steering term, we see that acceleration to turn on a curve is proportional to the curvature and (speed) 2 .

Example 3.3.1. Consider the following path

$$\vec{c}(t) = (e^t \cos t, e^t \sin t, e^t)$$

that drwas a spiral in xy direction and monotonically increases along z coordinate.

First, observe that

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \vec{c}'(t) = (-e^t \sin + e^t \cos t, e^t \cos t + e^t \sin t, e^t)$$
$$\frac{ds}{dt} = ||\vec{c}'(t)|| = \sqrt{3}e^t$$

Then, we have

$$\vec{T}(t) = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-\sin t + \cos t, \cos t + \sin t, 1),$$
$$\vec{T}'(t) = \frac{\vec{c}'(t)}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}(-\cos t - \sin t, -\sin t + \cos t, 0).$$

Since $\|\vec{T}'(t)\| = \sqrt{2/3}$, we can easily find the principal normal vector:

$$\vec{N}(t) = \frac{\vec{T}'(t)}{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(-\cos t - \sin t, -\sin t + \cos t, 0)$$

Then,

$$\kappa = \frac{\|\vec{T}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{3}e^{-t}.$$

Furthermore,

$$\vec{B}(t) = \vec{T}(t) \times \vec{N}(t) = \dots = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (\cos t - \sin t, -\sin t - \cos t, 2)$$
$$\vec{B}'(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} (-\sin t - \cos t, -\cos t + \sin t, 0)$$

Therefore, torsion of the curve is given by

$$\tau(t) = \frac{\|\vec{B}'(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|} = \frac{1}{3}e^{-t}$$

We can then verily formula for \vec{a} in terms of \vec{T}, \vec{N}, κ , (and verify that it agrees with $\vec{a} = \vec{v}'(t)$ calculated directly).

Now, we present an alternative equation for curvature using dynamical quantities:

Theorem 3.2.

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\|\vec{c}'(t) \times \vec{c}''(t)\|}{\|\vec{c}'(t)\|^3} = \frac{\|\vec{v}(t) \times \vec{a}(t)\|}{\|\vec{v}(t)\|^3}$$

Proof. To verify it, we use the decomposition of \vec{a} :

$$\vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \vec{a} = \vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \left(\frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} \vec{T} + \kappa \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 \vec{N} \right)$$

$$= \frac{d^2 s}{dt^2} \left(\vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \vec{T} \right) + \kappa \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^2 \left(\vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \vec{N} \right)$$

$$= \kappa \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^3 \left(\vec{T} \times \vec{N} \right)$$

$$= \kappa \left(\frac{ds}{dt} \right)^3 \vec{B}$$

Then, $\kappa (ds/dt)^3 \|\vec{B}\| = \|\vec{\mathbf{v}} \times \vec{a}\|$. Since \vec{B} is a unit vector, the desired result has been achieved.