CODE OPTIMIZATION

	CODE OF ITHE ZATION
	INTRODUCTION TO CODE OPTIMIZATION -
(Organization of the code oftimizer
acore.	FRONT END CODE OPTIMIZER CODE GENERATOR
-	
	CONTROL FLOW ANALYSIS DATA FLOW ANALYSIS TRANSFORMATIONS
-	
2	Principal rouse of oftimization -
	Athansformation of a program à called local if it can be performed by
-	looking only at the statements in the banic block otherwise it is called global.
-	Function Preserving Transformation -
	Vanous types of transformation mèludes -
	(i) Common subenframon elimination - An occurrence of an enfremon E is
	called a common retrensmen if E was previously computed, and the value of
	variables in E have not changed since the previous computations
<u>:</u>	(in Copy propagation - In this transformation is to use g for f, whenever
	possible after the copy statement f := g.
	(iii) Dead Code Elimination - Remove dead or uselen code, statiments that
	computes values that never get und.
	(iv) Constant folding - Deducing at compile time that the value of an enpression
	is a constant and using the constant under instead.
->	hoop Optimization -
	Three techniques one-
	in Code Motion - Decuare the amount of code in a loop by morning code outside.
	g- while (1<=limit=2) => t=limit=2; while (i<=t)
	(ii) Induction variable elimination - A variable is uncremented / decumented by
1	tome constant every time then it is called induction variable. If throw or more
	indusction variables in a loop, it may be possible to get aid of all tol but one.
	(1) Reduction in theingth - It replaces on expensive operation by cheaps one wish
	eg- as a multiplication by an addition.
	2g - for (inti=10; ix10; i++)? > for (int i=1: ix10; i++) {
	wycompanion wycompanion County temps temp 47; 8
	mycompanion (1. temps temp 47; 8

3	Optimization of lane block - Europy constructing a DAL from a band block
	(1) Stucture - Preseuriz handrimater - Various transformations one -
	(1) Common rebenfremin climention
	(2) Dead code elimination
	(ii) Algelie transformations -
	(1) Reduction in strength. Eg → n & x ⇒ n2
	(is) (2) Use of algebric hamformation.
	(4) Constant folding , 2g - 2 × 3.14 => 6.28
R	hoohs in flow one has +
	(1) Dominators -
	In a flow graph, a mode of dominates in if every path to node in from
	initial node goes through d only. This can be denoted as 'd domn' Every initial
	harde dominates all the remaining nades in the flow graph. Similarly every node
	e - (initial rode) dominate rode
	olominate itely. eg - (initial node) dominate node <u>Sominator tree</u> -
	2 adominate node for 4,325
	Dominate To 3 9 5
	(5) = dominalis no node
	(2) Natural loops - (b dom a)
	The heads dominate their tails i.e. If a -> b is on edge, bis the
	head and a is this. then this edge is called back edge
,	Gurin a back edge n - d, we define the natural work of the edge to be
	d plus the set of nodes that can neach a without going through d. Node d
	is the header of the group.
	Algorithm for combuting the natural book is given as =
	procedure insert (m);
	if m is not in loop then begin
	Mycompanion

Į	
4	
_	loop!= loop U {m};
	push monto stack
-	end:
	/* main program follows */
-	stack := empty;
\parallel	100p1 = {d};
	insert(n); $6 \rightarrow 1 \hat{b} a$
\parallel	while stack is not empty do begin 6 natural loop
\parallel	pop m, the first element of strack of a strack.
	the each are described in
-	end going through 1
	(3) Innoc houps - It is a loop that contains no loop.
	ly-
	(2) 4+2 is times winer book that means edge given by 2-3-4
	(2) C2 work of the mions eage given by 2-3-4
	[PREHE PLOER]
	MEADER HEADER
	B0 B0
G	4) Pre-Heady - The fre-heady is a new block evated nuch that successor of
	this block is the header block. All the computations that can be made before the
_	header block can be made before the fre-header block
C.	5) Reducible flow graphs - 90 is flow graph in which there are two types of edges
	forward edges and backward edges. These edges have following properties -
	(1) The forward edge form an acyclic graph
	(il) The back edges one ruch edges whose head dominates their tail.
	The purpose thurston in which there is enally in
0	The purpose thurter in which there is enclusive use of if then, while do
	goto statements generates a flow graph which is always reducible.
_	
	3 G Varley 3 G
	mycomonion Non-reducible graph.

(5)

Non-reducible graph.

vous edge

3	Introduction to global data flow analysis -
	An oftenizing collects by a process data flow information by a process
	prown as data flow analysis
	out[s] = gen[s] U (in[s] - kill[s])
	It was as the information of the end of a statement is either generated
	within the Flotement, or extens at the beginning and is not killed as control
	flow through the Flatement. Such equations are called data-flow equations.
	Three factions on how data-flow equations one xt up and policed one-
	(i) Notion of generating & kelling defend on the derived information,
	(ii) Dota-flow analysis is offeeted by the control constructs in the purpose.
-	and There are rebelleties like procedure calls, posite variables array variables
	Points & Paths -
	Within a bane block, we talk about of the point between two adjoint
	statement, as well as the point between before the first statement & after the last.
_	Reaching definitions -
	A definition of a variable n is a statement that arrigns, or may arrign, a
	value to k. Those statements certainly define a called as unambigious statements
	If those retements may define a value of a called as ambiguous reducents like-
	(1) A call of a procedure with n as parameter.
	(2) An amoniment through a pointer that could refer to h.
	By defining reaching definitains as we have, we cometime allow
	inocarreio. However, "They are all in the "rafe" or "consevative," direction.
	A decision is conservative of it never bods to a change in what the
	program computes. In afflications of maching definitions, it is himsely consumatives
	to assume that a definition can reach a point even if it night not
	Data flow analysis of thurtened purposes -
	-> Data flow equations for recubing definitions -
	(1) J gin $(s] = \{d\}$ S $\rightarrow [d: 0:=bte]$ kill $[s] = D_0 - \{d\}$ out $[s] = un(s) \cup [in:[s] - kill[s]$
	out [5] = gen[5] v [in[5] - ki4[1]
	Mycompanion

	** 1974
	This is related to definition of whether I reach the end
_	(2) (3) -> gen[s,] - kill[s,])
	J (52) kill[s] = kill[s2] U (kill[s]) - gen[s2])
	In [s] = In[s] In [s] = out[s] out[s] = out[s]
	gen [s] = gen [s,] U gen [s,]
	(3) 7 (3) (S2) kill [s] = KU[s,] () Kill [s,]
_	[2] 1/123 OW 12, 1 4 OW [2]
	(4) gen[s] = gen[s ₁]
	$(4) \qquad gen[s] = gen[s_1]$ $(4) \qquad kill[s] = kill[s_1]$
_	in (s) = in (s) O quils, I out (s) = out (s)
•	Consciuative Estimation of data flow information -
	Consenative refers to making rafe anumptions when imufficient
-	information is available at compile time, ic. the compiler has to guarante
	not to change the meaning of the optimized code
	take refers to the fact that a repent of reaching definition is rake
	Accuracy - the larger the referret of reaching definitions, the less
	informations we have to opply code optimization,
	Kininatatur of ets -
	Set of definitions, such as gen[s] and kile [s], can be represented compactly
1	uning ht vectors. We arrise a number to each definition of interests in the
	flow graph. Then the bit vector representing a set of definitions will have 1 in
	oponition i if and only if the definition numbered i is in the xt.
	Code impuring hamformations -
	> Elimination of global common interprimon - counder only enforcemon
	Invited by work and not with whether it is recomputed scurrent lines
	within a block & - u! = 4 x i
	t2! 441 (18)!=4ei
	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline \{ \frac{1}{3} : \alpha [b, \overline{1}] \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \hline \\ \hline \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c c} \hline \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c cc \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c cc \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c cc \\ \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c cc \\ \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\$
	h : /w:
+	to: 4 = i
+	$\frac{t_1! \ a[t_i]}{\text{mycompanion}} \qquad \qquad \frac{t_2! \ a[t_i]}{\text{trie}(18)}$
1	

0

	> Copy profagation elimination - statement tick like 11 = y.
	> Detection of loop-invariant computation -
	hoof-invarient are those whose value does not change as long as
	controls within the loop, which can be remove by performing Evil notion.
	-> Elemination of induction variables -
	A variable in is called indular variable of a work if every time
	the variable in changes values, it is minemented (decemented by some constant
	It can be climinated by strength adulion
•	
(1)	Data flow analysis of shurtured flow graph -
	Depth furt reach fording.
	Depth first spanning tree gives depth of a flow graph.
	Interval partitions
	Interval graphs
	Node splotting
	A Region in a flow graph is a set of nodes N that includes a header, which dominates all the other nodes in a region.
	which dominates all the other modes in a region.
•	
(§)	hymbollic debrugging of optimized code -
	A symbolic debrigger is a system that allows us to look at a
	programs data while that program is running
	The debugger is usually called when a program error occurs.
	Deducing values of variables in Vanie blocks
	Effects of Global of temispation
	Moderation - variable elimination
	Global common subenfumor elimination
	Code Motion

mycompanion