

fn make_sized_bounded_int_monotonic_sum

Bridget Ma

Proves soundness of `make_sized_bounded_int_monotonic_sum`.

`make_sized_bounded_int_monotonic_sum` returns a Transformation that computes the sum of bounded ints, where all values share the same sign.

1 Hoare Triple

Precondition

- T (atomic input type) is a type with trait `Integer`. `Integer` implies T has the trait bound:
 - `CheckNull` so that T is a valid atomic type for `AtomDomain`
 - `CheckAtom` to satisfy the preconditions of `new_closed`
 - `Zero` provides a way to retrieve T's representation of 0
 - `InfCast` for casting a dataset distance of type `usize` to T
 - `InfSub` so that the output domain is compatible with the output metric and for subtracting an upper bound of type T by a lower bound of type T

Pseudocode

```
1 def make_sized_bounded_int_checked_sum(  
2     size: usize,  
3     bounds: (T, T)  
4 ):  
5     input_domain: VectorDomain[AtomDomain[T].new_closed(bounds)].with_size(size), #  
6     input_metric: SymmetricDistance, #  
7     input_metric: SymmetricDistance, #  
8     output_domain = AtomDomain(T) #  
9  
10    if not signs_agree(bounds): #  
11        raise MakeTransformation("monotonic summation requires bounds to share" \  
12            " the same sign")  
13    (lower, upper) = bounds.clone() #  
14    range = upper.inf_sub(lower) #  
15  
16    def function(arg: Vec[T]) -> T: #  
17        return arg.iter().fold(T.zero(), sum, v sum.saturating_add(v)) #  
18  
19    output_metric = AbsoluteDistance(T)  
20  
21    def stability_map(d_in: IntDistance) -> AbsoluteDistance<T>:  
22        substitutions = T.inf_cast(d_in / 2) #  
23        return substitutions.inf_mul(range) #  
24  
25    return Transformation(  
26        input_domain, output_domain, function,  
27        input_metric, output_metric, stability_map)
```

Postcondition

Theorem 1.1. For every setting of the input parameters (`size`, `bounds`) to `make_sized_bounded_int_monotonic_sum` such that the given preconditions hold, `make_sized_bounded_int_monotonic_sum` raises an exception (at compile time or run time) or returns a valid transformation. A valid transformation has the following properties:

1. (Appropriate output domain). For every element x in `input_domain`, `function(x)` is in `output_domain` or raises a data-independent runtime exception.
2. (Stability guarantee). For every pair of elements x, x' in `input_domain` and for every pair (d_in, d_out) , where d_in has the associated type for `input_metric` and d_out has the associated type for `output_metric`, if x, x' are d_in -close under `input_metric`, `stability_map(d_in)` does not raise an exception, and `stability_map(d_in) ≤ d_out`, then `function(x), function(x')` are d_out -close under `output_metric`.

2 Proofs

Proof. (Part 1 – appropriate output domain). The `output_domain` is `AtomDomain(T)`, so it is sufficient to show that `function` always returns non-null values of type `T`. By the definition of the `SaturatingAdd` trait, `T.saturating_add` always returns a non-null value of type `T`. Thus, in all cases, the function (from line 16) returns a non-null value of type `T`. \square

Before proceeding with proving the validity of the stability map, we provide a couple lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. Let i, j, k be integers. $|\min(i, k) - \min(j, k)| \leq |i - j|$.

Proof. W.L.O.G. assume $i \geq j$. We have the following three cases

- $j \leq i \leq k$:

$$|\min(i, k) - \min(j, k)| = |i - j| \quad \text{by } j \leq i \leq k$$

- $k < j \leq i$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\min(i, k) - \min(j, k)| &= |k - k| && \text{by } k < j \leq i \\ &\leq |i - j| && \text{by } 0 \leq |i - j| \end{aligned}$$

- $j \leq k < i$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\min(i, k) - \min(j, k)| &= |k - j| && \text{by } j \leq k < i \\ &\leq |i - j| && \text{by } j \leq k < i \end{aligned}$$

The above three cases are exhaustive given $i \geq j$. \square

Lemma 2.2. Let i, j, k be integers. $|\max(i, k) - \max(j, k)| \leq |i - j|$.

Proof. W.L.O.G. assume $i \geq j$. We have the following three cases

- $j \leq i \leq k$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\max(i, k) - \max(j, k)| &= |k - k| && \text{by } j \leq i \leq k \\ &\leq |i - j| && \text{by } 0 \leq |i - j| \end{aligned}$$

- $k < j \leq i$:

$$|\max(i, k) - \max(j, k)| = |i - j| \quad \text{by } k < j \leq i$$

- $j \leq k < i$:

$$\begin{aligned} |\max(i, k) - \max(j, k)| &= |i - k| && \text{by } j \leq k < i \\ &\leq |i - j| && \text{by } j \leq k < i \end{aligned}$$

The above three cases are exhaustive given $i \geq j$. \square

Lemma 2.3. For vector u, v with each element $\ell \in u, z \in v$ drawn from domain \mathcal{X} and $\text{len}(u) = \text{len}(v) = \text{size}$, denote U and V as the multisets of the elements u and v respectively. Let $A = U \cap V$. $|\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| \leq |\sum_{z \in U \setminus A} z - \sum_{z \in V \setminus A} z|$.

Proof. We have that

$$|\sum_{z \in U} z - \sum_{z \in V} z| = |(\sum_{z \in U \setminus A} z + \sum_{z \in A} z) - (\sum_{z \in V \setminus A} z + \sum_{z \in A} z)| \quad \text{by properties of sets} \quad (1)$$

$$= |\sum_{z \in U \setminus A} z - \sum_{z \in V \setminus A} z| \quad \text{by algebra} \quad (2)$$

By the definition of `SaturatingAdd`, the invocation of `T.saturating_add` on line 17 saturates the sum at the relevant high or low boundary of `T`. Therefore, $\text{function}(u) = \min(\sum_{i \in u} i, c)$ where $c = T$. Therefore, $\sum_{z \in U} z$ and $\sum_{z \in V} z$ is equivalent to $\text{sum}(v)$ and $\text{sum}(u)$ respectively.

$$|\sum_{z \in U} z - \sum_{z \in V} z| = |\text{sum}(u) - \text{sum}(v)| = |\sum_{z \in U \setminus A} z - \sum_{z \in V \setminus A} z|$$

\square

Lemma 2.4. For vector u, v with each element $\ell \in u, z \in v$ drawn from domain \mathcal{X} and $\text{len}(u) = \text{len}(v) = \text{size}$, $|\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| \leq \frac{d_{\text{Sym}}(u, v)}{2} \cdot \text{range}$

Proof. Using the same notation as in 2.3, given $\text{len}(u) = \text{len}(v) = \text{size}$, by the definition of `ChangeOneDistance`, $|U \setminus A| = |V \setminus A| = d_{CO}(u, v) = d_{\text{Sym}}(u, v)/2$.

By pseudocode line 17, $\text{function}(u) = \text{sum}(u)$. Let $\alpha = \max_{(x, y) \in (U \setminus A \times V \setminus A) \mid |x - y|} |x - y|$. Given that every $\ell \in u$ must satisfy $\text{lower} \leq \ell \leq \text{upper}$, $\alpha \leq \text{upper} - \text{lower} = \text{range}$, where $\text{upper} - \text{lower} = \text{range}$ by pseudocode line 14.

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| &= |\text{sum}(u) - \text{sum}(v)| \\ &= |\sum_{z \in U \setminus A} z - \sum_{z \in V \setminus A} z| && \text{by 2.3} \\ &\leq |U \setminus A| \cdot \alpha && \text{by algebra} \\ &= \frac{d_{\text{Sym}}(u, v)}{2} \cdot \alpha \\ &\leq \frac{d_{\text{Sym}}(u, v)}{2} \cdot \text{range} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof. **(Part 2 – stability map).** Take any two elements u, v in the `input_domain` and any pair (d_in, d_out) , where d_in has the associated type for `input_metric` and d_out has the associated type for `output_metric`. Assume u, v are d_in -close under `input_metric` and that `stability_map(d_in) ≤ d_out`. These assumptions are used to establish the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\text{function}(u) - \text{function}(v)| &\leq \frac{d_{Sym}(u, v)}{2} \cdot \text{range} && \text{by 2.4} \\
&\leq \frac{d_in}{2} \cdot \text{range} && \text{by InfCast} \\
&\leq T.\text{inf_cast}(d_in/2).\text{inf_mul}(\text{range}) && \text{by InfMul} \\
&= \text{stability_map}(d_in) && \text{by pseudocode line 23} \\
&\leq d_out && \text{by the second assumption}
\end{aligned}$$

It is shown that `function(u), function(v)` are d_out -close under `output_metric`. □