study	TE	seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.04 (0.0600		0.04	[-0.08; 0.16]	3.4%
Bayly, 2017	-0.09 (0.1135	-		[-0.31; 0.14]	2.8%
Binning, under review	0.36 (0.1579			[0.05; 0.67]	2.2%
Borman, 2012	0.05 (0.0557	-		[-0.05; 0.16]	3.5%
Borman, 2016	0.04 (0.0657			[-0.09; 0.17]	3.4%
Borman, 2018	0.21 (0.0661	-		[0.08; 0.34]	3.4%
Bowen, 2013	0.57 (0.1778	-	- 0.57	[0.22; 0.92]	2.0%
Bratter, 2016	-0.06 (0.1094	_	-0.06	[-0.28; 0.15]	2.8%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.28 (0.2842			[-0.28; 0.84]	1.2%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.27 (0.2420		0.27	[-0.20; 0.75]	1.5%
Cohen, 2009	0.41 (0.2673			[-0.12; 0.93]	1.3%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	-0.06 (0.1203			[-0.29; 0.18]	2.7%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	-0.04 (0.1513		-0.04	[-0.33; 0.26]	2.3%
Dee, 2015	0.01 (0.0413			[-0.07; 0.09]	3.6%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	0.75 (0.2734	-		[0.21; 1.29]	1.2%
Goyer (Study 2), 2017	0.35 (0.1761	-	0.35	-	2.0%
Gutmann, 2019	-0.17 (0.1436		-0.17	[-0.45; 0.11]	2.4%
Hadden, 2019	0.49 (0.1800	-		[0.14; 0.84]	2.0%
Hanselman (Study 1), 2017	0.04 (0.0703	-	0.04	[-0.10; 0.17]	3.3%
Hanselman (Study 2), 2017	-0.05 (0.0519	-	-0.05	[-0.15; 0.05]	3.5%
Harackiewicz, 2014	0.30 (0.1268	-		[0.06; 0.55]	2.6%
Harackiewicz, 2016	-0.11 (0.0877	-	-0.11	[-0.28; 0.06]	3.1%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	-0.30 (0.2287		-0.30	[-0.74; 0.15]	1.6%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	-0.07 (0.1463		-0.07	[-0.36; 0.21]	2.4%
Jordt, 2017	0.32 (0.0458	-	0.32	[0.23; 0.41]	3.5%
Kim, 2019	0.06 (0.1353	- • - 		[-0.20; 0.33]	2.5%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29 (0.1387	-	0.29	[0.02; 0.56]	2.5%
Kost-Smith, 2010	0.46 (0.2090	-	0.46	[0.05; 0.87]	1.7%
Kost-Smith, 2012	0.16 (0.1671	- ii -	0.16	[-0.17; 0.49]	2.1%
Lokhande, 2019	0.16 (0.1246	+-	0.16	[-0.09; 0.40]	2.6%
Miyake, 2010	0.31 (0.1933	+ -	0.31	[-0.07; 0.69]	1.9%
Protzko, 2016	-0.09 (0.1301	-	-0.09	[-0.35; 0.16]	2.6%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.64 (0.3070	-	 0.64	[0.03; 1.24]	1.1%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.31 (0.1839	+ -	0.31	[-0.05; 0.67]	2.0%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under review	0.17 (0.3273		0.17	[-0.47; 0.81]	1.0%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	0.31 (0.1858	+ -	0.31	[-0.06; 0.67]	1.9%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54(0.2205	-	- 0.54	[0.11; 0.97]	1.6%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63 (0.3387	-	—— 0.63	[-0.04; 1.29]	0.9%
Simmons, 2011	-0.06 (0.2901	-		[-0.63; 0.51]	1.2%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	0.31 (0.1180	-	0.31	[0.08; 0.54]	2.7%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018		0.1296			[0.29; 0.80]	2.6%
Turetsky, under review		0.1830	- 		[-0.36; 0.36]	2.0%
Woolf, 2009		0.1538	+=-		[-0.12; 0.48]	2.3%
Wynne, 2011	0.21 (0.2942	-	0.21	[-0.37; 0.79]	1.1%
Overall effect			♦	0.16	[0.09; 0.23]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.24; 0.56]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 66\%$, $p < 0.01$					- , ,	
			-1 -0.5 0 0.5	1		