cluster	TE seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05 0.0599	-	0.05	[-0.07; 0.16]	7.9%
Borman, 2012	-0.04 0.0442	-		[-0.07, 0.10]	9.0%
Cohen et al.	0.02 0.1700			[-0.13, 0.04] [-0.32; 0.35]	2.7%
Dee, 2015	0.02 0.1700	<u> </u>		[-0.32, 0.33] [-0.08; 0.08]	9.2%
	-0.12 0.1002	E		-	5.2 % 5.3%
Gutmann, 2019	0.05 0.0961			[-0.32; 0.08]	5.5% 5.6%
Hadden, 2019		<u> </u>		[-0.14; 0.24]	
Harackiewicz et al.	-0.02 0.0817	7		[-0.19; 0.14]	6.4%
Harackiewicz, 2016	0.06 0.0877	<u> </u>		[-0.11; 0.23]	6.1%
Jordt, 2017	0.28 0.0457	_ =		[0.19; 0.37]	8.9%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	-0.14 0.1117	<u> </u>		[-0.35; 0.08]	4.8%
Lokhande, 2019	-0.02 0.0934			[-0.20; 0.17]	5.7%
Miyake et al.	-0.15 0.1158			[-0.37; 0.08]	4.6%
MWAP	0.04 0.0659			[-0.09; 0.16]	7.5%
Protzko, 2016	0.04 0.1415			[-0.23; 0.32]	3.6%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.53 0.3954	-		[-0.25; 1.30]	0.6%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under review	ew -0.08 0.2604		-0.08	[-0.59; 0.43]	1.4%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	-0.02 0.1834	- +	-0.02	[-0.38; 0.34]	2.4%
Sherman et al.	-0.13 0.1771		-0.13	[-0.47; 0.22]	2.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.24 0.1733	-	0.24	[-0.10; 0.58]	2.7%
Woolf, 2009	0.05 0.1573	-	0.05	[-0.26; 0.36]	3.1%
Overall effect		>	0.02	[-0.04; 0.08]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-0.20; 0.24]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 57\%$, $p < 0.01$					
		-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1			