
study
TE
seTE
g
95% CI
weight
Baker, 2019 0.04 0.0600 0.04 [−0.08; 0.16] 3.4%
Bayly, 2017 −0.09 0.1135 −0.09 [−0.31; 0.14] 2.8%
Binning, under review 0.36 0.1579 0.36 [0.05; 0.67] 2.3%
Borman, 2012 0.05 0.0557 0.05 [−0.05; 0.16] 3.5%
Borman, 2016 0.04 0.0657 0.04 [−0.09; 0.17] 3.4%
Borman, 2018 0.21 0.0661 0.21 [0.08; 0.34] 3.4%
Bowen, 2013 0.57 0.1778 0.57 [0.22; 0.92] 2.0%
Bratter, 2016 −0.06 0.1094 −0.06 [−0.28; 0.15] 2.9%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006 0.28 0.2842 0.28 [−0.28; 0.84] 1.2%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006 0.27 0.2420 0.27 [−0.20; 0.75] 1.5%
Cohen, 2009 0.41 0.2673 0.41 [−0.12; 0.93] 1.3%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016 −0.06 0.1203 −0.06 [−0.29; 0.18] 2.7%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016 −0.04 0.1513 −0.04 [−0.33; 0.26] 2.3%
Dee, 2015 0.01 0.0413 0.01 [−0.07; 0.09] 3.6%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017 0.75 0.2734 0.75 [0.21; 1.29] 1.3%
Goyer (Study 2), 2017 0.35 0.1761 0.35 [0.00; 0.70] 2.1%
Gutmann, 2019 −0.17 0.1436 −0.17 [−0.45; 0.11] 2.4%
Hadden, 2019 0.49 0.1800 0.49 [0.14; 0.84] 2.0%
Hanselman (Study 1), 2017 0.04 0.0703 0.04 [−0.10; 0.17] 3.3%
Hanselman (Study 2), 2017 −0.05 0.0519 −0.05 [−0.15; 0.05] 3.5%
Harackiewicz, 2014 0.30 0.1268 0.30 [0.06; 0.55] 2.6%
Harackiewicz, 2016 −0.11 0.0877 −0.11 [−0.28; 0.06] 3.1%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019 −0.30 0.2287 −0.30 [−0.74; 0.15] 1.6%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019 −0.11 0.2266 −0.11 [−0.56; 0.33] 1.6%
Jordt, 2017 0.32 0.0458 0.32 [0.23; 0.41] 3.6%
Kim, 2019 0.06 0.1353 0.06 [−0.20; 0.33] 2.5%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016 0.29 0.1387 0.29 [0.02; 0.56] 2.5%
Kost−Smith, 2010 0.46 0.2090 0.46 [0.05; 0.87] 1.7%
Kost−Smith, 2012 0.16 0.1671 0.16 [−0.17; 0.49] 2.2%
Lokhande, 2019 0.16 0.1246 0.16 [−0.09; 0.40] 2.7%
Miyake, 2010 0.31 0.1933 0.31 [−0.07; 0.69] 1.9%
Protzko, 2016 −0.09 0.1301 −0.09 [−0.35; 0.16] 2.6%
Purdie−Greenaway, under review 0.64 0.3070 0.64 [0.03; 1.24] 1.1%
Schwalbe, 2018 0.31 0.1839 0.31 [−0.05; 0.67] 2.0%
Serra−Garcia (Study 2), under review 0.17 0.3273 0.17 [−0.47; 0.81] 1.0%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013 0.31 0.1858 0.31 [−0.06; 0.67] 2.0%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013 0.54 0.2205 0.54 [0.11; 0.97] 1.6%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014 0.63 0.3387 0.63 [−0.04; 1.29] 0.9%
Simmons, 2011 −0.06 0.2901 −0.06 [−0.63; 0.51] 1.2%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016 0.31 0.1180 0.31 [0.08; 0.54] 2.7%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018 0.54 0.1296 0.54 [0.29; 0.80] 2.6%
Turetsky, under review 0.00 0.1830 0.00 [−0.36; 0.36] 2.0%
Woolf, 2009 0.18 0.1538 0.18 [−0.12; 0.48] 2.3%
Wynne, 2011 0.21 0.2942 0.21 [−0.37; 0.79] 1.1%
Overall effect 0.16 [0.09; 0.23] 100.0%
Prediction interval [−0.24; 0.56]
Heterogeneity: I^2 = 66%, p < 0.01
−1
−0.5
0
0.5
1