cluster	TE seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.04 0.0600		0.04	[-0.08; 0.16]	4.3%
Bayly, 2017	-0.09 0.1135		-0.09	[-0.31; 0.14]	3.6%
Binning, under review	0.36 0.1579		0.36	[0.05; 0.67]	2.9%
Borman, 2012	0.05 0.0557	<u> </u>	0.05	[-0.05; 0.16]	4.3%
Bowen, 2013	0.57 0.1778		0.57	[0.22; 0.92]	2.7%
Bratter et al.	-0.06 0.1094	-	-0.06	[-0.28; 0.15]	3.6%
Cohen et al.	0.33 0.2203	:		[-0.10; 0.77]	2.2%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	-0.06 0.1203	·		[-0.29; 0.18]	3.5%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	-0.04 0.1513	·		[-0.33; 0.26]	3.0%
Dee, 2015	0.01 0.0413	:		[-0.07; 0.09]	4.4%
Gutmann, 2019	-0.17 0.1436			[-0.45; 0.11]	3.1%
Hadden, 2019	0.49 0.1800			[0.14; 0.84]	2.6%
Harackiewicz et al.	0.31 0.1246		0.31	[0.06; 0.55]	3.4%
Harackiewicz, 2016	-0.11 0.0877			[-0.28; 0.06]	
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	-0.30 0.2287			[-0.74; 0.15]	2.1%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	-0.07 0.1463	:		[-0.36; 0.21]	3.1%
Jordt, 2017	0.32 0.0458			[0.23; 0.41]	4.4%
Kim, 2019	0.06 0.1353			[-0.20; 0.33]	3.3%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29 0.1387			[0.02; 0.56]	
Lokhande, 2019	0.16 0.1246	· ·		[-0.09; 0.40]	3.4%
Miyake et al.	0.25 0.1824 0.02 0.0611	_ i i		[-0.11; 0.61]	2.6%
MWAP Protzko, 2016	-0.09 0.1301	•		[-0.10; 0.14] [-0.35; 0.16]	4.3% 3.3%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.64 0.3070	•		[0.03; 1.24]	3.3 <i>%</i> 1.5%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.31 0.1839	:		[-0.05; 0.67]	2.6%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under revie				[-0.47; 0.81]	1.3%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54 0.2205	•		[0.47, 0.97]	2.2%
Sherman et al.	0.66 0.2559	:		[0.16; 1.16]	1.8%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63 0.3387			[-0.04; 1.29]	1.3%
Simmons, 2011	-0.06 0.2901			[-0.63; 0.51]	1.6%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018	0.54 0.1296			[0.29; 0.80]	3.3%
Turetsky, under review	0.00 0.1830	•		[-0.36; 0.36]	2.6%
Woolf, 2009	0.18 0.1538			[-0.12; 0.48]	3.0%
Wynne, 2011	0.21 0.2942	- 1		[-0.37; 0.79]	1.5%
Overall effect		÷	0.15	[0.06; 0.23]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-0.29; 0.58]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 68\%$, $p < 0.01$				-	
		-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1			