study	TE seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05 0.0600	=	0.05	[-0.07; 0.17]	3.5%
Bayly, 2017	-0.09 0.1135			[-0.31; 0.14]	2.8%
Binning, under review	0.36 0.1579			[0.05; 0.67]	2.3%
Borman, 2012	0.05 0.0557	-		[-0.05; 0.16]	3.6%
Borman, 2016	0.05 0.0652	-		[-0.07; 0.18]	3.5%
Borman, 2018	0.19 0.0887	-		[0.01; 0.36]	3.2%
Bowen, 2013	0.57 0.1778	-		[0.22; 0.92]	2.0%
Bratter, 2016	-0.04 0.1094	-		[-0.25; 0.18]	2.9%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.28 0.2426			[-0.19; 0.76]	1.4%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.27 0.2420	- •		[-0.20; 0.75]	1.4%
Cohen, 2009	0.24 0.1517	+ -		[-0.06; 0.54]	2.3%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	-0.06 0.1203			[-0.29; 0.18]	2.7%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	-0.04 0.1513			[-0.33; 0.26]	2.3%
Dee, 2015	0.01 0.0413			[-0.07; 0.09]	3.7%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	0.46 0.1484			[0.17; 0.75]	2.4%
Gutmann, 2019	-0.17 0.1436	 :	-0.17	[-0.45; 0.11]	2.4%
Hadden, 2019	0.49 0.1800	-	0.49	[0.14; 0.84]	2.0%
Hanselman (Study 1), 2017	0.04 0.0703		0.04	[-0.10; 0.17]	3.4%
Hanselman (Study 2), 2017	-0.04 0.0502	-	-0.04	[-0.14; 0.06]	3.6%
Harackiewicz, 2014	0.30 0.1268		0.30	[0.06; 0.55]	2.7%
Harackiewicz, 2016	-0.11 0.0877	-	-0.11	[-0.28; 0.06]	3.2%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	-0.30 0.2287		-0.30	[-0.74; 0.15]	1.5%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	-0.11 0.2266		-0.11	[-0.56; 0.33]	1.6%
Jordt, 2017	0.35 0.0463	-	0.35	[0.26; 0.44]	3.7%
Kim, 2019	0.24 0.1349	 	0.24	[-0.02; 0.51]	2.5%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29 0.1387	-	0.29	[0.02; 0.56]	2.5%
Kost-Smith, 2010	0.46 0.2090	-	0.46	[0.05; 0.87]	1.7%
Kost–Smith, 2012	0.09 0.1670	- • 	0.09	[-0.24; 0.42]	2.2%
Lokhande, 2019	0.16 0.1246	+=-	0.16	[-0.09; 0.40]	2.7%
Miyake, 2010	0.31 0.1933	+ -	0.31	[-0.07; 0.69]	1.9%
Protzko, 2016	-0.07 0.1434		-0.07	[-0.35; 0.21]	2.4%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.64 0.3070	-	- 0.64	[0.03; 1.24]	1.0%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.21 0.1151	 (a	0.21	[-0.01; 0.44]	2.8%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under revie				[-0.55; 0.50]	1.3%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	0.43 0.1872			[0.07; 0.80]	1.9%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54 0.2205	•		[0.11; 0.97]	1.6%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63 0.3387	•		[-0.04; 1.29]	0.9%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	0.26 0.1487	:		[-0.03; 0.55]	2.4%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018	0.54 0.1296			[0.29; 0.80]	2.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.00 0.1830			[-0.36; 0.36]	2.0%
Woolf, 2009	0.18 0.1538	I :		[-0.12; 0.48]	2.3%
Wynne, 2011	0.23 0.2909	-	0.23	[-0.34; 0.80]	1.1%
Overall effect		;	0.16	[0.09; 0.23]	100.0%
Prediction interval			5115	[-0.22; 0.54]	/ 0
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67\%$, $p < 0.01$				[0.22, 0.04]	
		-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1			