study	TE	seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05	0.0600		0.05	[−0.07; 0.17]	3.4%
Bayly, 2017	−0.09	0.1135		−0.09	[−0.31; 0.14]	2.8%
Binning, under review	0.36	0.1579		0.36	[0.05; 0.67]	2.3%
Borman, 2012	0.05	0.0557		0.05	[−0.05; 0.16]	3.5%
Borman, 2016	0.04	0.0657		0.04	[−0.09; 0.17]	3.4%
Borman, 2018	0.21	0.0661		0.21	[0.08; 0.34]	3.4%
Bowen, 2013	0.57	0.1778		0.57	[0.22; 0.92]	2.0%
Bratter, 2016	−0.06	0.1094		−0.06	[−0.28; 0.15]	2.9%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.28	0.2842		0.28	[−0.28; 0.84]	1.2%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.27	0.2420		0.27	[−0.20; 0.75]	1.5%
Cohen, 2009	0.41	0.2673		0.41	[−0.12; 0.93]	1.3%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	−0.06	0.1203		−0.06	[−0.29; 0.18]	2.7%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	−0.04	0.1513		−0.04	[−0.33; 0.26]	2.3%
Dee, 2015	0.01	0.0413		0.01	[−0.07; 0.09]	3.6%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	0.75	0.2734		0.75	[0.21; 1.29]	1.3%
Goyer (Study 2), 2017	0.35	0.1761		0.35	[0.00; 0.70]	2.1%
Gutmann, 2019	−0.17	0.1436		−0.17	[−0.45; 0.11]	2.4%
Hadden, 2019	0.49	0.1800		0.49	[0.14; 0.84]	2.0%
Hanselman (Study 1), 2017	0.04	0.0703		0.04	[−0.10; 0.17]	3.3%
Hanselman (Study 2), 2017	−0.05	0.0519		−0.05	[−0.15; 0.05]	3.5%
Harackiewicz, 2014	0.30	0.1268		0.30	[0.06; 0.55]	2.6%
Harackiewicz, 2016	−0.11	0.0877		−0.11	[−0.28; 0.06]	3.1%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	−0.30	0.2287		−0.30	[−0.74; 0.15]	1.6%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	−0.11	0.2266		−0.11	[−0.56; 0.33]	1.6%
Jordt, 2017	0.32	0.0458		0.32	[0.23; 0.41]	3.6%
Kim, 2019	0.06	0.1353		0.06	[−0.20; 0.33]	2.5%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29	0.1387		0.29	[0.02; 0.56]	2.5%
Kost−Smith, 2010	0.46	0.2090		0.46	[0.05; 0.87]	1.7%
Kost−Smith, 2012	0.16	0.1671		0.16	[−0.17; 0.49]	2.2%
Lokhande, 2019	0.16	0.1246		0.16	[−0.09; 0.40]	2.7%
Miyake, 2010	0.31	0.1933		0.31	[−0.07; 0.69]	1.9%
Protzko, 2016	−0.09	0.1301		−0.09	[−0.35; 0.16]	2.6%
Purdie−Greenaway, under review	0.64	0.3070		0.64	[0.03; 1.24]	1.1%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.31	0.1839		0.31	[−0.05; 0.67]	2.0%
Serra−Garcia (Study 2), under review	0.17	0.3273		0.17	[−0.47; 0.81]	1.0%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	0.31	0.1858		0.31	[−0.06; 0.67]	2.0%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54	0.2205		0.54	[0.11; 0.97]	1.6%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63	0.3387		0.63	[−0.04; 1.29]	0.9%
Simmons, 2011	−0.06	0.2901		−0.06	[−0.63; 0.51]	1.2%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	0.31	0.1180		0.31	[0.08; 0.54]	2.7%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018	0.54	0.1296		0.54	[0.29; 0.80]	2.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.00	0.1830		0.00	[−0.36; 0.36]	2.0%
Woolf, 2009	0.18	0.1538		0.18	[−0.12; 0.48]	2.3%
Wynne, 2011	0.21	0.2942		0.21	[−0.37; 0.79]	1.1%

Overall effect 0.16 [0.09; 0.23] 100.0%

Prediction interval [−0.23; 0.56]

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 66\%$, $p < 0.01$

−1   −0.5   0   0.5   1