


study	TE	seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.13	0.0600		0.13	[0.02; 0.25]	6.6%
Borman, 2012	-0.04	0.0442		-0.04	[-0.13; 0.04]	7.8%
Borman, 2018	0.04	0.0659		0.04	[-0.09; 0.16]	6.2%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.09	0.1899		0.09	[-0.28; 0.46]	1.7%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.03	0.1741		0.03	[-0.31; 0.37]	2.0%
Cohen, 2009	-0.07	0.1459		-0.07	[-0.36; 0.22]	2.6%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	-0.07	0.2610		-0.07	[-0.58; 0.44]	1.0%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	-0.26	0.7702		-0.26	[-1.77; 1.25]	0.1%
Dee, 2015	0.00	0.0413		0.00	[-0.08; 0.08]	8.0%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	-0.21	0.2302		-0.21	[-0.67; 0.24]	1.2%
Goyer (Study 2), 2017	-0.14	0.1646		-0.14	[-0.47; 0.18]	2.2%
Gutmann, 2019	-0.12	0.1002		-0.12	[-0.32; 0.08]	4.2%
Hadden, 2019	0.05	0.0961		0.05	[-0.14; 0.24]	4.4%
Harackiewicz, 2014	-0.07	0.0866		-0.07	[-0.24; 0.10]	4.9%
Harackiewicz, 2016	0.06	0.0877		0.06	[-0.11; 0.23]	4.9%
Jordt, 2017	0.16	0.0456		0.16	[0.07; 0.25]	7.7%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	-0.14	0.1117		-0.14	[-0.35; 0.08]	3.7%
Kost-Smith, 2010	-0.02	0.1436		-0.02	[-0.30; 0.26]	2.6%
Kost-Smith, 2012	-0.03	0.1047		-0.03	[-0.23; 0.18]	4.0%
Lokhande, 2019	-0.02	0.0934		-0.02	[-0.20; 0.17]	4.6%
Miyake, 2010	-0.19	0.1268		-0.19	[-0.44; 0.06]	3.2%
Protzko, 2016	0.09	0.1797		0.09	[-0.26; 0.44]	1.9%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.53	0.3954		0.53	[-0.25; 1.30]	0.5%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under review	0.09	0.2917		0.09	[-0.48; 0.66]	0.8%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	-0.22	0.1977		-0.22	[-0.61; 0.17]	1.6%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	-0.02	0.1834		-0.02	[-0.38; 0.34]	1.8%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	0.05	0.0753		0.05	[-0.10; 0.20]	5.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.24	0.1733		0.24	[-0.10; 0.58]	2.0%
Woolf, 2009	0.05	0.1573		0.05	[-0.26; 0.36]	2.3%

Overall effect

Prediction interval

Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 10\%$, $p = 0.31$



0.01 [-0.03; 0.05] 100.0%

[-0.18; 0.19]