study	TE	seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05	0.0600		0.05	[−0.07; 0.17]	3.4%
Bayly, 2017	−0.09	0.1135		−0.09	[−0.31; 0.14]	2.8%
Binning, under review	0.36	0.1579		0.36	[0.05; 0.67]	2.2%
Borman, 2012	0.05	0.0557		0.05	[−0.05; 0.16]	3.5%
Borman, 2016	0.05	0.0652		0.05	[−0.07; 0.18]	3.4%
Borman, 2018	0.19	0.0887		0.19	[0.01; 0.36]	3.1%
Bowen, 2013	0.57	0.1778		0.57	[0.22; 0.92]	2.0%
Bratter, 2016	−0.04	0.1094		−0.04	[−0.25; 0.18]	2.8%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.28	0.2426		0.28	[−0.19; 0.76]	1.4%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.27	0.2420		0.27	[−0.20; 0.75]	1.4%
Cohen, 2009	0.24	0.1517		0.24	[−0.06; 0.54]	2.3%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	−0.06	0.1203		−0.06	[−0.29; 0.18]	2.7%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	−0.04	0.1513		−0.04	[−0.33; 0.26]	2.3%
Dee, 2015	0.01	0.0413		0.01	[−0.07; 0.09]	3.6%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	0.46	0.1484		0.46	[0.17; 0.75]	2.4%
Gutmann, 2019	−0.17	0.1436		−0.17	[−0.45; 0.11]	2.4%
Hadden, 2019	0.49	0.1800		0.49	[0.14; 0.84]	2.0%
Hanselman (Study 1), 2017	0.04	0.0703		0.04	[−0.10; 0.17]	3.3%
Hanselman (Study 2), 2017	−0.04	0.0502		−0.04	[−0.14; 0.06]	3.5%
Harackiewicz, 2014	0.30	0.1268		0.30	[0.06; 0.55]	2.6%
Harackiewicz, 2016	−0.11	0.0877		−0.11	[−0.28; 0.06]	3.1%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	−0.30	0.2287		−0.30	[−0.74; 0.15]	1.5%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	−0.11	0.2266		−0.11	[−0.56; 0.33]	1.6%
Jordt, 2017	0.35	0.0463		0.35	[0.26; 0.44]	3.6%
Kim, 2019	0.24	0.1349		0.24	[−0.02; 0.51]	2.5%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29	0.1387		0.29	[0.02; 0.56]	2.5%
Kost−Smith, 2010	0.46	0.2090		0.46	[0.05; 0.87]	1.7%
Kost−Smith, 2012	0.09	0.1670		0.09	[−0.24; 0.42]	2.1%
Lokhande, 2019	0.16	0.1246		0.16	[−0.09; 0.40]	2.7%
Miyake, 2010	0.31	0.1933		0.31	[−0.07; 0.69]	1.9%
Protzko, 2016	−0.07	0.1434		−0.07	[−0.35; 0.21]	2.4%
Purdie−Greenaway, under review	0.64	0.3070		0.64	[0.03; 1.24]	1.0%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.21	0.1151		0.21	[−0.01; 0.44]	2.8%
Serra−Garcia (Study 2), under review	−0.02	0.2673		−0.02	[−0.55; 0.50]	1.3%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	0.43	0.1872		0.43	[0.07; 0.80]	1.9%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54	0.2205		0.54	[0.11; 0.97]	1.6%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63	0.3387		0.63	[−0.04; 1.29]	0.9%
Simmons, 2011	−0.25	0.2930		−0.25	[−0.82; 0.33]	1.1%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	0.26	0.1487		0.26	[−0.03; 0.55]	2.4%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018	0.54	0.1296		0.54	[0.29; 0.80]	2.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.00	0.1830		0.00	[−0.36; 0.36]	2.0%
Woolf, 2009	0.18	0.1538		0.18	[−0.12; 0.48]	2.3%
Wynne, 2011	0.23	0.2909		0.23	[−0.34; 0.80]	1.1%

Overall effect 0.16 [0.09; 0.22] 100.0%
Prediction interval [−0.23; 0.55]
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 67\%$, $p < 0.01$

−1 −0.5 0 0.5 1