study	TE seT	E	g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05 0.059	9 🙀	0.05	[-0.07; 0.16]	6.7%
Borman, 2012	-0.04 0.044	2	-0.04	[-0.13; 0.04]	7.7%
Borman, 2018	0.04 0.065	9	0.04	[-0.09; 0.16]	6.3%
Cohen (Study 1), 2006	0.09 0.189	9 —	0.09	[-0.28; 0.46]	1.9%
Cohen (Study 2), 2006	0.03 0.174	1 —	0.03	[-0.31; 0.37]	2.2%
Cohen, 2009	-0.07 0.145	9 —	-0.07	[-0.36; 0.22]	2.8%
Dee, 2015	0.00 0.041	3	0.00	[-0.08; 0.08]	7.9%
Goyer (Study 1), 2017	-0.16 0.146	7	-0.16	[-0.45; 0.12]	2.8%
Gutmann, 2019	-0.12 0.100	2	-0.12	[-0.32; 0.08]	4.4%
Hadden, 2019	0.05 0.096	1 —	0.05	[-0.14; 0.24]	4.6%
Harackiewicz, 2014	-0.07 0.086	6	-0.07	[-0.24; 0.10]	5.1%
Harackiewicz, 2016	0.06 0.087	7		[-0.11; 0.23]	5.1%
Jordt, 2017	0.28 0.045	7	0.28	[0.19; 0.37]	7.6%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	-0.14 0.111	·		[-0.35; 0.08]	3.9%
Kost–Smith, 2012	-0.10 0.104			[-0.31; 0.11]	4.2%
Lokhande, 2019	-0.02 0.093	4	-0.02	[-0.20; 0.17]	4.8%
Miyake, 2010	-0.19 0.126	l l		[-0.44; 0.06]	3.4%
Protzko, 2016	0.04 0.141	i i		[-0.23; 0.32]	2.9%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.53 0.395	•		[-0.25; 1.30]	0.5%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under review		,		[-0.59; 0.43]	1.1%
Sherman (Study 1), 2013	-0.10 0.197	į į		[-0.49; 0.29]	1.8%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	-0.02 0.183	•		[-0.38; 0.34]	2.0%
Tibbetts (Study 1a), 2016	-0.00 0.078			[-0.16; 0.15]	5.6%
Turetsky, under review	0.24 0.173	t e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		[-0.10; 0.58]	2.2%
Woolf, 2009	0.05 0.157	3	0.05	[-0.26; 0.36]	2.5%
Overall effect		\	0.01	[-0.04; 0.06]	100.0%
Prediction interval				[-0.20; 0.21]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 52\%$, $p < 0.01$		1 1 1 1			
		-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1			