cluster	TE s	seTE		g	95% CI	weight
Baker, 2019	0.05 0.	0600	-	0.05	[-0.07; 0.17]	4.3%
Bayly, 2017	-0.09 0.	1135		-0.09	[-0.31; 0.14]	3.5%
Binning, under review	0.36 0.	1579	-	0.36	[0.05; 0.67]	2.9%
Borman, 2012	0.05 0.	0557	=	0.05	[-0.05; 0.16]	4.3%
Bowen, 2013	0.57 0.	1778		0.57	[0.22; 0.92]	2.6%
Bratter et al.	-0.04 0.	1094	- 	-0.04	[-0.25; 0.18]	3.6%
Cohen et al.	0.27 0.	2242	-		[-0.17; 0.71]	2.1%
de Jong (Study 1), 2016	-0.06 0.	1203	-	-0.06	[-0.29; 0.18]	3.4%
de Jong (Study 2), 2016	-0.04 0.		- 1		[-0.33; 0.26]	3.0%
Dee, 2015	0.01 0.			0.01	[-0.07; 0.09]	4.5%
Gutmann, 2019	− 0.17 0.	1436		-0.17	[-0.45; 0.11]	3.1%
Hadden, 2019	0.49 0.		-		[0.14; 0.84]	2.6%
Harackiewicz et al.	0.28 0.		_		[0.02; 0.55]	3.2%
Harackiewicz, 2016	− 0.11 0.				[-0.28; 0.06]	3.9%
Hayes (Study 1), 2019	− 0.30 0.				[-0.74; 0.15]	2.1%
Hayes (Study 2), 2019	− 0.11 0.				[-0.56; 0.33]	2.1%
Jordt, 2017	0.35 0.		-		[0.26; 0.44]	4.4%
Kim, 2019	0.24 0.		-		[-0.02; 0.51]	3.2%
Kinias (Study 2), 2016	0.29 0.				[0.02; 0.56]	3.2%
Lokhande, 2019	0.16 0.		+		[-0.09; 0.40]	3.4%
Miyake et al.	0.22 0.		1:-		[-0.14; 0.58]	2.6%
MWAP	0.03 0.		_		[-0.09; 0.16]	4.2%
Protzko, 2016	-0.07 0.				[-0.35; 0.21]	3.1%
Purdie-Greenaway, under review	0.64 0.		-		[0.03; 1.24]	1.4%
Schwalbe, 2018	0.21 0.				[-0.01; 0.44]	3.5%
Serra-Garcia (Study 2), under revie					[-0.55; 0.50]	1.7%
Sherman (Study 2), 2013	0.54 0.				[0.11; 0.97]	2.1%
Sherman et al.	0.44 0.		 • _		[0.10; 0.78]	2.7%
Silverman (Study 2), 2014	0.63 0.				[-0.04; 1.29]	1.2%
Simmons, 2011	-0.25 0.				[-0.82; 0.33]	1.5%
Tibbetts (Study 1b), 2018	0.54 0.				[0.29; 0.80]	3.3%
Turetsky, under review	0.00 0.				[-0.36; 0.36]	2.6%
Woolf, 2009	0.18 0.		T		[-0.12; 0.48]	3.0%
Wynne, 2011	0.23 0.	2909		0.23	[-0.34; 0.80]	1.5%
Overall effect			♦	0.15	[0.06; 0.23]	100.0%
Prediction interval					[-0.28; 0.57]	
Heterogeneity: $I^2 = 69\%$, $p < 0.01$						
			-1 -0.5 0 0.5 1			