



# General Assembly

Distr.: Limited  
19 February 2025

Original: English

---

## Special Committee on the Charter of the United Nations and on the Strengthening of the Role of the Organization

New York, 18–26 February 2025

### Draft report

*Rapporteur:* Ms. Eliza Grisle (Latvia)

## II. Maintenance of international peace and security

### B. Consideration of the revised proposal submitted by Libya with a view to strengthening the role of the United Nations in the maintenance of international peace and security

1. The revised proposal submitted by Libya with a view to strengthening the role of the United Nations in the maintenance of international peace and security ([A/53/33](#), para. 98) was referred to during the general exchange of views held at the 312th and 313th meetings of the Special Committee, on 18 February, and at the 1st meeting of the Working Group of the Whole, on 19 February.

2. The sponsor delegation recalled the background to the proposal and highlighted that it had been considered by the Special Committee since 1998. It also recalled that the proposal was aimed at, inter alia, reforming the work of the United Nations and reorganizing its organs based on the principles of justice, democracy and the sovereign equality of States. The sponsor delegation stated that the proposal was based on the following key ideas: considering ways and means by which the role of the General Assembly in the maintenance of international peace and security could be strengthened, in line with Articles 10, 11 and 14 of the Charter of the United Nations, given the common responsibility of all Member States in the maintenance of international peace and security; considering ways to enhance the relationship between the General Assembly and the Security Council, in line with Articles 15 and 24 of the Charter, ensuring that membership of the latter reflects equitable geographical distribution; and considering ways in which Chapter VII of the Charter had been evoked. The sponsor delegation further recalled comments from other delegations suggesting that the proposal duplicated ongoing revitalization efforts in other forums. In that regard, the sponsor delegation stated that there were elements of the proposal that remained valid and were not subject to contradictions or duplication, which needed to be highlighted.



3. Several delegations reiterated their support for the continued consideration of the proposal and emphasized that the proposal merited further meaningful, results-based deliberations and discussions. It was stated that the proposal, if implemented, would have a positive impact on strengthening the work of the Organization.

4. Other delegations reiterated their view that the proposal was unnecessary, as it was among those that were duplicative or inconsistent with ongoing revitalization efforts undertaken elsewhere within the Organization. Some delegations stated that the relationship between the different organs within the Organization was adequately and well defined in the Charter, and that clarification by the Special Committee was thus not needed. It was also stated that the proposal had not developed into an actionable proposal, nor had it been aimed at filling gaps in existing revitalization processes. The sponsor delegation was encouraged to withdraw the proposal, as it had been on the agenda of the Special Committee for years without generating consensus.

---