

## CHAPTER 2

### Many Electron Wave Functions and Operators

#### 2.1 The Electron Problem

##### 2.1.1 Atomic Units

##### 2.1.2 The Born-Oppenheimer Approximation

##### 2.1.3 The Antisymmetry or Pauli Exclusion Principle

#### 2.2 Orbitals, Slater Determinants, and Basis Functions

##### 2.2.1 Spin Orbitals and Spatial Orbitals

###### Exercise 2.1

Given a set of  $K$  orthonormal spatial functions,  $\{\psi_i^\alpha(\mathbf{r})\}$ , and another set of  $K$  orthonormal functions,  $\{\psi_i^\beta(\mathbf{r})\}$ , such that the first set is not orthogonal to the second set, i.e.,

$$\int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^\beta(\mathbf{r}) = S_{ij}$$

where  $\mathbf{S}$  is an overlap matrix, show that the set  $\{\chi_i\}$  of  $2K$  spin orbitals, formed by multiplying  $\psi_i^\alpha(\mathbf{r})$  by the  $\alpha$  spin function and  $\psi_i^\beta(\mathbf{r})$  by the  $\beta$  spin function, i.e.,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \chi_{2i-1}(\mathbf{x}) &= \psi_i^\alpha(\mathbf{r})\alpha(\omega) \\ \chi_{2i}(\mathbf{x}) &= \psi_i^\beta(\mathbf{r})\beta(\omega) \end{aligned} \right\} i = 1, 2, \dots, K$$

is an orthonormal set.

###### Solution 2.1

It is easy to verify the normalization of any  $\chi_{2i-1}$  or  $\chi_{2j}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$ ,

$$\langle \chi_{2i-1} | \chi_{2j-1} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \chi_{2i-1}^*(\mathbf{x}) \chi_{2j-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \int d\omega \alpha^*(\omega) \alpha(\omega) = \delta_{ij} \times 1 = \delta_{ij},$$

$$\langle \chi_{2i} | \chi_{2j} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \chi_{2i}^*(\mathbf{x}) \chi_{2j}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^{\beta*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \int d\omega \beta^*(\omega) \beta(\omega) = \delta_{ij} \times 1 = \delta_{ij}.$$

and the orthogonality between  $\chi_{2i-1}$  and  $\chi_{2j}$ , where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, K$  and  $j = 1, 2, \dots, K$ ,

$$\langle \chi_{2i-1} | \chi_{2j} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \chi_{2i-1}^*(\mathbf{x}) \chi_{2j}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^{\alpha*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^\beta(\mathbf{r}) \int d\omega \alpha^*(\omega) \beta(\omega) = S_{ij} \times 0 = 0,$$

$$\langle \chi_{2i} | \chi_{2j-1} \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x} \chi_{2i}^*(\mathbf{x}) \chi_{2j-1}(\mathbf{x}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_i^{\beta*}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_j^\alpha(\mathbf{r}) \int d\omega \beta^*(\omega) \alpha(\omega) = S_{ji}^* \times 0 = 0.$$

Thus, we can that the set  $\{\chi_i\}$  of  $2K$  spin orbitals is an orthonormal set.

### 2.2.2 Hartree Products

#### Exercise 2.2

Show that the Hartree product of (2.30) is an eigenfunction of  $\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N h(i)$  with an eigenvalue given by (2.32).

#### Solution 2.2

The verification is easy. With (2.29), we find that

$$\mathcal{H}\Psi^{\text{HP}} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^N h(i) \right) \left[ \prod_{j=1}^N \chi_{j'}(\mathbf{x}_j) \right] = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^N h(i) \chi_{j'}(\mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N \prod_{j=1}^N \varepsilon_i \chi_{j'}(\mathbf{x}_j) = \sum_{i=1}^N \varepsilon_i \prod_{j=1}^N \chi_{j'}(\mathbf{x}_j). \quad (2.2-1)$$

### 2.2.3 Slater Determinants

#### Exercise 2.3

Show that  $\Psi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2)$  of Eq.(2.34) is normalized.

#### Solution 2.3

The verification is direct, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Psi | \Psi \rangle &= \int d\vec{x} \langle \Psi | \vec{x} \rangle \langle \vec{x} | \Psi \rangle \\ &= \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)]^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) + \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (1 - 0 - 0 + 1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

#### Exercise 2.4

Suppose the spin orbitals  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$  are eigenfunctions of a one-electron operator  $h$  with eigenvalues  $\varepsilon_i$  and  $\varepsilon_j$  as in Eq.(2.29). Show that the Hartree products in Eqs.(2.33a, b) and the antisymmetrized wave function in Eq.(2.34) are eigenfunctions of the independent-particle Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = h(1) + h(2)$  (c.f. Eq.(2.28)) and have the same eigenvalue namely,  $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ .

#### Solution 2.4

Firstly, we check the Hartree products of  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$ . With the conclusion of Exercise 2.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle &= (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle, \\ \mathcal{H}|\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle &= (\varepsilon_j + \varepsilon_i)|\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle = (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)|\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the eigenvalue of the Hartree product of  $\chi_i$  and  $\chi_j$  is irrelevant to their order. Note that

$$\Psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}} - \Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}),$$

we find that

$$\mathcal{H}|\Psi\rangle = \mathcal{H} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle - |\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\mathcal{H}|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle - \mathcal{H}|\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle - (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)|\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle] \\
&= (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi_{12}^{\text{HP}}\rangle - |\Psi_{21}^{\text{HP}}\rangle) = (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)|\Psi\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that the Hartree products in Eqs.(2.33a, b) and the antisymmetrized wave function in Eq.(2.34) are eigenfunctions of the independent-particle Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H} = h(1) + h(2)$  and have the same eigenvalue  $\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$ .

### Exercise 2.5

Consider the Slater determinants

$$|K\rangle = |\chi_i \chi_j\rangle, \quad |L\rangle = |\chi_k \chi_l\rangle.$$

Show that

$$\langle K|L\rangle = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}.$$

Note that the overlap is zero unless: 1)  $k = i$  and  $l = j$ , in which case  $|L\rangle = |K\rangle$  and the overlap is unity and 2)  $k = j$  and  $l = i$  in which case  $|L\rangle = |\chi_j \chi_i\rangle = -|K\rangle$  and the overlap is minus one.

### Solution 2.5

We calculate the inner product firstly,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle K|L\rangle &= \int d\vec{x} \langle K|\vec{x}\rangle \langle \vec{x}|L\rangle \\
&= \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_i(\mathbf{x}_2)]^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_l(\mathbf{x}_2) - \chi_l(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_2)] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_2)\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_l(\mathbf{x}_2) - \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_2)\chi_l(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_2)\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_l(\mathbf{x}_2) + \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_2)\chi_l(\mathbf{x}_1)\chi_k(\mathbf{x}_2) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} - \delta_{jk}\delta_{il} + \delta_{jl}\delta_{ik}) = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{jk}\delta_{il}.
\end{aligned}$$

The conclusion is obvious.

- When  $k = i$  and  $l = j$ , in which case  $|L\rangle = |K\rangle$  and the overlap is 1.
- When  $k = j$  and  $l = i$  in which case  $|L\rangle = |\chi_k \chi_l\rangle = |\chi_j \chi_i\rangle = -|K\rangle$  and the overlap is  $-1$ .
- Otherwise, the overlap is 0.

## 2.2.4 The Hartree-Fock Approximation

## 2.2.5 The Minimal Basis $\text{H}_2$ Model

### Exercise 2.6

Show that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  form an orthonormal set.

### Solution 2.6

Similar to Solution 2.1, we have to verify the normalization of any  $\psi_1$  or  $\psi_2$ , with  $S_{ij} = S_{ij}^* = S_{ji}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi_1|\psi_1\rangle &= \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_1^*(\mathbf{r})\psi_1(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_2(\mathbf{r}))^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_2(\mathbf{r})) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1+S_{12})} (1 + S_{12} + S_{21} + 1) = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi_2 | \psi_2 \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_2^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_2(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_2(\mathbf{r}))^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_2(\mathbf{r})) \\
&= \frac{1}{2(1-S_{12})} (1 - S_{12} - S_{21} + 1) = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

and the orthogonalization between  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \psi_1 | \psi_2 \rangle &= \int d\mathbf{r} \psi_1^*(\mathbf{r}) \psi_2(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1+S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) + \phi_2(\mathbf{r}))^* \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(1-S_{12})}} (\phi_1(\mathbf{r}) - \phi_2(\mathbf{r})) \\
&= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{(1-(S_{12})^2)}} (1 - S_{12} + S_{12} - 1) = 0 = \langle \psi_2 | \psi_1 \rangle^*.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Thus, we can conclude that  $\psi_1$  and  $\psi_2$  form an orthonormal set.

### 2.2.6 Excited Determinants

### 2.2.7 Form of the Exact Wave Function and Configuration Interaction