

# CHAPTER 4

## Configuration Interaction

### 4.1 Multiconfigurational Wave Functions and the Structure of the Full CI Matrix

#### 4.1.1 Intermediate Normalization and an Expression for the Correlation Energy

##### Exercise 4.1

Obtain Eq.(4.12) from Eq.(4.11). It will prove convenient to use unrestricted summations.

##### Solution 4.1

Note that the index  $r$  must be included in the set  $\{t, u, v\}$  and the index  $a$  must be included in the set  $\{c, d, e\}$  for a matrix element of  $\langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle$ . Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{cde \\ tuv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} \\ &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{de \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{ruv} \rangle c_{ade}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{de \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{trv} \rangle c_{ade}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{de \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{tur} \rangle c_{ade}^{tur} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{ruv} \rangle c_{cae}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{trv} \rangle c_{cae}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{tur} \rangle c_{cae}^{tur} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{ruv} \rangle c_{cda}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{trv} \rangle c_{cda}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tur} \rangle c_{cda}^{tur} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, these dummy indices should be converted into the same one, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{tru} \rangle c_{acd}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{tur} \rangle c_{acd}^{tur} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{rtu} \rangle c_{cad}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{tru} \rangle c_{cad}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{tur} \rangle c_{cad}^{tur} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{rtu} \rangle c_{cda}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tru} \rangle c_{cda}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tur} \rangle c_{cda}^{tur} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \times 9 \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} = \frac{1}{(2!)^2} \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} = \sum_{\substack{c < d \\ t < u}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} = \sum_{\substack{c < d \\ t < u}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu}. \quad (4.1)$$

With this equation, it is clear that (4.12) can be obtained from (4.11).

#### Exercise 4.2

Using the secular determinant approach show that the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & K_{12} \\ K_{12} & 2\Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is given by Eq.(4.23).

#### Solution 4.2

The introduction of the secular determinant approach is demonstrated in the page 18. The matrix in the exercise 4.2 is denoted as  $H$ , then

$$\det(H - \varepsilon I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\varepsilon & K_{12} \\ K_{12} & 2\Delta - \varepsilon \end{vmatrix} = \varepsilon^2 - 2\Delta\varepsilon - K_{12}^2 = 0,$$

The discriminant  $\Delta_E$  of this quadratic equation is

$$\Delta_E = 4\Delta^2 - 4 \times (-K_{12}^2) = 4(\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2)$$

Thus, the root are

$$E_1 = \Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}, \quad E_2 = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}.$$

Therefore, the lowest root is the correlation energy, viz.,

$$E_{\text{corr}} = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

#### Exercise 4.3

Calculate the coefficient of the double excitation ( $c$ ) in the intermediate normalized CI wave function at  $R = 1.4$  a.u., using the STO-3G integrals given in Appendix D. Show analytically that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $c \rightarrow -1$ , and hence that at large distances the Hartree-Fock ground state and the doubly excited configuration have equal weight in the CI ground state. Finally, show that the CI wave function, when normalized to unity, becomes (at  $R = \infty$ )

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi_1 \bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2 \bar{\phi}_1\rangle)$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are atomic orbitals on centers one and two, respectively.

#### Solution 4.3

When  $R = 1.4$  a.u., we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= -0.5782 \text{ a.u.}, & \varepsilon_2 &= 0.6703 \text{ a.u.}, & J_{11} &= 0.6746 \text{ a.u.}, \\ J_{12} &= 0.6636 \text{ a.u.}, & J_{22} &= 0.6975 \text{ a.u.}, & K_{12} &= 0.1813 \text{ a.u.} \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, with (4.20), we calculate  $2\Delta$  at  $R = 1.4$  a.u., viz.,

$$2\Delta = [2(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1) + J_{11} + J_{22} - 4J_{12} + 2K_{12}] = 1.5773 \text{ a.u.}$$

In other words,  $\Delta = 0.78865$  a.u. Thus, the correlation energy  $E_{\text{corr}}$  at  $R = 1.4$  a.u. is

$$E_{\text{corr}} = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2} = -0.02057 \text{ a.u.}$$

Therefore,

$$c = \frac{K_{12}}{E_{\text{corr}} - 2\Delta} = \frac{0.1813 \text{ a.u.}}{-0.02057 \text{ a.u.} - 1.5773 \text{ a.u.}} \approx -0.1135. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, we can find that

$$\Delta = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{22} - 2J_{12} + K_{12} = h_{22} - h_{11} - \frac{1}{2}J_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{12}.$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left[ h_{22} - h_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{22} - \frac{1}{2}J_{11} \right] = E(\text{H}) - E(\text{H}) + \frac{1}{4}(\phi_1\phi_1|\phi_1\phi_1) - \frac{1}{4}(\phi_1\phi_1|\phi_1\phi_1) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} c &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{12}}{E_{\text{corr}} - 2\Delta} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{12}}{\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2} - 2\Delta} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-K_{12}}{\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}} \\ &= - \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\frac{\Delta}{K_{12}} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{K_{12}}\right)^2}} = - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

This conclusion means that at large distances the Hartree-Fock ground state  $\Psi_0$  and the doubly excited configuration  $\Psi_{11}^{22}$  have equal weight in the CI ground state  $\Phi$ , viz.,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle - |\Psi_{11}^{22}\rangle = |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle - |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle.$$

Note that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , from (3.236) and (3.237), we find that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - \phi_2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}|(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(\bar{\phi}_1 + \bar{\phi}_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_2\rangle), \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}|(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(\bar{\phi}_1 - \bar{\phi}_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_1\rangle - |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle - |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_2\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle = |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle$$

Thus, at  $R = \infty$ , the normalized CI wave function is

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle} |\Phi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle). \quad (4.4)$$

We have proved two conclusions at  $R = \infty$ , the equal weight of the Hartree-Fock ground state  $\Psi_0$  and the doubly excited configuration  $\Psi_{11}^{22}$ , and the form of normalized CI wave function.

## 4.2 Doubly Excited CI

## 4.3 Some Illustrative Calculations

## 4.4 Natural Orbitals and the One-Particle Reduced Density Matrix

### Exercise 4.4

Show that  $\gamma$  is a Hermitian matrix.

**Solution 4.4**

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) &= \left( N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \Phi(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \right)^* \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \gamma(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_1)\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ji}^* &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}'_1) \right)^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}'_1) = \gamma_{ij}\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that  $\gamma$  is a Hermitian matrix.