

# CHAPTER 4

## Configuration Interaction

### 4.1 Multiconfigurational Wave Functions and the Structure of the Full CI Matrix

#### 4.1.1 Intermediate Normalization and an Expression for the Correlation Energy

##### Exercise 4.1

Obtain Eq.(4.12) from Eq.(4.11). It will prove convenient to use unrestricted summations.

##### Solution 4.1

Note that the index  $r$  must be included in the set  $\{t, u, v\}$  and the index  $a$  must be included in the set  $\{c, d, e\}$  for a matrix element of  $\langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle$ . Therefore, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \sum_{\substack{cde \\ tuv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} \\ &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{de \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{ruv} \rangle c_{ade}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{de \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{trv} \rangle c_{ade}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{de \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{ade}^{tur} \rangle c_{ade}^{tur} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{ruv} \rangle c_{cae}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{trv} \rangle c_{cae}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{ce \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cae}^{tur} \rangle c_{cae}^{tur} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ uv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{ruv} \rangle c_{cda}^{ruv} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tv}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{trv} \rangle c_{cda}^{trv} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tur} \rangle c_{cda}^{tur} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Then, these dummy indices should be converted into the same one, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \left[ \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{tru} \rangle c_{acd}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{tur} \rangle c_{acd}^{tur} \right. \\ &\quad + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{rtu} \rangle c_{cad}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{tru} \rangle c_{cad}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cad}^{tur} \rangle c_{cad}^{tur} \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{rtu} \rangle c_{cda}^{rtu} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tru} \rangle c_{cda}^{tru} + \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cda}^{tur} \rangle c_{cda}^{tur} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(3!)^2} \times 9 \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} = \frac{1}{(2!)^2} \sum_{\substack{cd \\ tu}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu} = \sum_{\substack{c < d \\ t < u}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\sum_{\substack{c < d < e \\ t < u < v}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{cde}^{tuv} \rangle c_{cde}^{tuv} = \sum_{\substack{c < d \\ t < u}} \langle \Psi_a^r | \mathcal{H} | \Psi_{acd}^{rtu} \rangle c_{acd}^{rtu}. \quad (4.1)$$

With this equation, it is clear that (4.12) can be obtained from (4.11).

#### Exercise 4.2

Using the secular determinant approach show that the lowest eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & K_{12} \\ K_{12} & 2\Delta \end{pmatrix}$$

is given by Eq.(4.23).

#### Solution 4.2

The introduction of the secular determinant approach is demonstrated in the page 18. The matrix in the exercise 4.2 is denoted as  $H$ , then

$$\det(H - \varepsilon I) = \begin{vmatrix} -\varepsilon & K_{12} \\ K_{12} & 2\Delta - \varepsilon \end{vmatrix} = \varepsilon^2 - 2\Delta\varepsilon - K_{12}^2 = 0,$$

The discriminant  $\Delta_E$  of this quadratic equation is

$$\Delta_E = 4\Delta^2 - 4 \times (-K_{12}^2) = 4(\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2)$$

Thus, the root are

$$E_1 = \Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}, \quad E_2 = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}.$$

Therefore, the lowest root is the correlation energy, viz.,

$$E_{\text{corr}} = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

#### Exercise 4.3

Calculate the coefficient of the double excitation ( $c$ ) in the intermediate normalized CI wave function at  $R = 1.4$  a.u., using the STO-3G integrals given in Appendix D. Show analytically that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $c \rightarrow -1$ , and hence that at large distances the Hartree-Fock ground state and the doubly excited configuration have equal weight in the CI ground state. Finally, show that the CI wave function, when normalized to unity, becomes (at  $R = \infty$ )

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi_1 \bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2 \bar{\phi}_1\rangle)$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are atomic orbitals on centers one and two, respectively.

#### Solution 4.3

When  $R = 1.4$  a.u., we know that

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= -0.5782 \text{ a.u.}, & \varepsilon_2 &= 0.6703 \text{ a.u.}, & J_{11} &= 0.6746 \text{ a.u.}, \\ J_{12} &= 0.6636 \text{ a.u.}, & J_{22} &= 0.6975 \text{ a.u.}, & K_{12} &= 0.1813 \text{ a.u.} \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, with (4.20), we calculate  $2\Delta$  at  $R = 1.4$  a.u., viz.,

$$2\Delta = [2(\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1) + J_{11} + J_{22} - 4J_{12} + 2K_{12}] = 1.5773 \text{ a.u.}$$

In other words,  $\Delta = 0.78865$  a.u. Thus, the correlation energy  $E_{\text{corr}}$  at  $R = 1.4$  a.u. is

$$E_{\text{corr}} = \Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2} = -0.02057 \text{ a.u.}$$

Therefore,

$$c = \frac{K_{12}}{E_{\text{corr}} - 2\Delta} = \frac{0.1813 \text{ a.u.}}{-0.02057 \text{ a.u.} - 1.5773 \text{ a.u.}} \approx -0.1135. \quad (4.3)$$

Indeed, we can find that

$$\Delta = \varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1 + \frac{1}{2}J_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{22} - 2J_{12} + K_{12} = h_{22} - h_{11} - \frac{1}{2}J_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{12}.$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \Delta = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \left[ h_{22} - h_{11} + \frac{1}{2}J_{22} - \frac{1}{2}J_{11} \right] = E(\text{H}) - E(\text{H}) + \frac{1}{4}(\phi_1\phi_1|\phi_1\phi_1) - \frac{1}{4}(\phi_1\phi_1|\phi_1\phi_1) = 0.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} c &= \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{12}}{E_{\text{corr}} - 2\Delta} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{K_{12}}{\Delta - \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2} - 2\Delta} = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{-K_{12}}{\Delta + \sqrt{\Delta^2 + K_{12}^2}} \\ &= - \lim_{\Delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\frac{\Delta}{K_{12}} + \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{\Delta}{K_{12}}\right)^2}} = - \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{x + \sqrt{1 + x^2}} = -1. \end{aligned}$$

This conclusion means that at large distances the Hartree-Fock ground state  $\Psi_0$  and the doubly excited configuration  $\Psi_{11}^{22}$  have equal weight in the CI ground state  $\Phi$ , viz.,

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = |\Psi_0\rangle - |\Psi_{11}^{22}\rangle = |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle - |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle.$$

Note that as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ , from (3.236) and (3.237), we find that

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \psi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 + \phi_2), \quad \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \psi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\phi_1 - \phi_2).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}|(\phi_1 + \phi_2)(\bar{\phi}_1 + \bar{\phi}_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_2\rangle), \\ \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle &= \frac{1}{2}|(\phi_1 - \phi_2)(\bar{\phi}_1 - \bar{\phi}_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{2}(|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_1\rangle - |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle - |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_2\rangle), \end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_1\bar{\psi}_1\rangle - \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\psi_2\bar{\psi}_2\rangle = |\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle$$

Thus, at  $R = \infty$ , the normalized CI wave function is

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi\rangle = \lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\langle \Phi_0 | \Phi_0 \rangle} |\Phi_0\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\phi_1\bar{\phi}_2\rangle + |\phi_2\bar{\phi}_1\rangle). \quad (4.4)$$

We have proved two conclusions at  $R = \infty$ , the equal weight of the Hartree-Fock ground state  $\Psi_0$  and the doubly excited configuration  $\Psi_{11}^{22}$ , and the form of normalized CI wave function.

## 4.2 Doubly Excited CI

## 4.3 Some Illustrative Calculations

## 4.4 Natural Orbitals and the One-Particle Reduced Density Matrix

### Exercise 4.4

Show that  $\gamma$  is a Hermitian matrix.

**Solution 4.4**

Firstly, we find that  $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1)$  is Hermite, viz.,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) &= \left( N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \Phi(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \right)^* \\ &= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) = \gamma(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_1).\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_{ji}^* &= \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_i(\mathbf{x}'_1) \right)^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \gamma^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}'_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}'_1) = \gamma_{ij}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have proved that  $\gamma$  is a Hermitian matrix.

**Exercise 4.5**

Show that  $\text{tr}(\gamma) = N$ .

**Solution 4.5**

$$\begin{aligned}N &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \rho(\mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma_{ij} \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \delta_{ij} = \sum_{i=1}^N \gamma_{ii} = \text{tr}(\gamma).\end{aligned}$$

**Exercise 4.6**

Consider the one-electron operator

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \sum_{i=1}^N h(i).$$

a. Show that

$$\langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x}_1 [h(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1)]_{\mathbf{x}'_1 = \mathbf{x}_1}$$

where the notation  $[ \ ]_{\mathbf{x}'_1 = \mathbf{x}_1}$  means that  $\mathbf{x}'_1$  is set equal to  $\mathbf{x}_1$  after  $h(\mathbf{x}_1)$  has operated on  $\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1)$ .

b. Show that

$$\langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle = \text{tr}(\mathbf{h}\gamma)$$

where

$$h_{ij} = \langle i | h | j \rangle = \int d\mathbf{x}_1 \chi_i^*(\mathbf{x}_1) h(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j(\mathbf{x}_1).$$

Thus the expectation value of any one-electron operator can be expressed in terms of the one-matrix.

**Solution 4.6**

a. From the definition of  $\mathcal{O}_1$ , we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle &= \langle \Phi | \sum_{i=1}^N h(i) | \Phi \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) h(\mathbf{x}_i) \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N)\end{aligned}$$

Considering that the different integral variables  $d\mathbf{x}_1$  and  $d\mathbf{x}_i$  ( $i \neq 1$ ) have the same integral range,

it is clear that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle &= \langle \Phi | \sum_{i=1}^N h(i) | \Phi \rangle \\
&= N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) h(\mathbf{x}_1) \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 h(\mathbf{x}_1) \times N \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \cdots \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_N \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 h(\mathbf{x}_1) \rho(\mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 h(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 [h(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1)]_{\mathbf{x}'_1 = \mathbf{x}_1}.
\end{aligned}$$

b. From the former issue, we know that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 h(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_1) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 h(\mathbf{x}_1) \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \gamma_{ij} \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_1 \chi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) h(\mathbf{x}_1) \chi_j^*(\mathbf{x}_1) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \gamma_{ij} h_{ji} = \text{tr}(\gamma \mathbf{h}) = \text{tr}(\mathbf{h} \gamma).
\end{aligned}$$

The last step uses the conclusion of exercise 1.4(a).

#### Exercise 4.7

Recall that in second quantization a one-electron operator is

$$\mathcal{O}_1 = \sum_{ij} \langle i | h | j \rangle a_i^\dagger a_j.$$

a. Show that

$$\gamma_{ij} = \langle \Phi | a_j^\dagger a_i | \Phi \rangle.$$

b. Show that the matrix elements of  $\gamma^{\text{HF}}$  are given by Eq.(4.40).

#### Solution 4.7

a. We can use the conclusion in exercise 4.6(b), viz.,

$$\text{tr}(\mathbf{h} \gamma) = \sum_{ij} h_{ij} \gamma_{ji} = \langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle.$$

With the second quantization form, we find that

$$\sum_{ij} h_{ij} \gamma_{ji} = \langle \Phi | \mathcal{O}_1 | \Phi \rangle = \sum_{ij} \langle \Phi | a_i^\dagger a_j | \Phi \rangle h_{ij} \Leftrightarrow \sum_{ij} [\langle \Phi | a_i^\dagger a_j | \Phi \rangle - \gamma_{ji}] h_{ij} = 0.$$

For any system, this equation holds true, which means that terms  $h_{ij}$  are linearly independent. Thus,

$$\gamma_{ji} = \langle \Phi | a_i^\dagger a_j | \Phi \rangle, \quad (4.5)$$

which equals  $\gamma_{ij} = \langle \Phi | a_j^\dagger a_i | \Phi \rangle$ .

b. If  $i$  belongs to unoccupied,  $a_i | \Phi \rangle$  vanishes, and so does  $\langle \Phi | a_j^\dagger$  if  $j$  belongs to unoccupied. If the indices  $i$  and  $j$  are occupied, viz.,  $i = a$  and  $j = b$ , with  $a_a^\dagger | \Phi \rangle = 0$ ,

$$\gamma_{ab}^{\text{HF}} = \langle \Phi | a_a^\dagger a_b | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi | (\delta_{ab} - a_b a_a^\dagger) | \Phi \rangle = \delta_{ab} - \langle \Phi | a_b a_a^\dagger | \Phi \rangle = \delta_{ab} - 0 = \delta_{ab}.$$

Thus, we have proved

$$\gamma_{ij}^{\text{HF}} = \begin{cases} \delta_{ij}, & \text{iff } i, j \in \text{occupied}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

### Exercise 4.8

For the special case of a two-electron system, the use of natural orbitals dramatically reduces the size of the full CI expansion. If  $\psi_1$  is the occupied Hartree-Fock spatial orbital and  $\psi_r$ ,  $r = 2, 3, \dots, K$  are virtual spatial orbitals, the normalized full CI singlet wave function has the form

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = c_0|1\bar{1}\rangle + \sum_{r=2}^K c_1^r|^1\Psi_1^r\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=2}^K \sum_{s=2}^K c_{11}^{rs} |^1\Psi_{11}^{rs}\rangle$$

where the singly and doubly excited spin adapted configurations are defined in Subsection 2.5.2.

- a. Show that  $|^1\Phi_0\rangle$  can be cast into the form

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K C_{ij} |\psi_i \bar{\psi}_j\rangle$$

where  $\mathbf{C}$  is a symmetric  $K \times K$  matrix.

- b. Show that

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) = \sum_{ij} (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^\dagger)_{ij} (\psi_i(1)\psi_j^*(1') + \bar{\psi}_i(1)\bar{\psi}_j^*(1')) .$$

- c. Let  $\mathbf{U}$  be the unitary transformation which diagonalizes  $\mathbf{C}$

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{d}$$

where  $(\mathbf{d})_{ij} = d_i \delta_{ij}$ . Show that

$$\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{d}^2 .$$

- d. Show that

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) = \sum_i d_i^2 (\zeta_i(1)\zeta_i^*(1') + \bar{\zeta}_i(1)\bar{\zeta}_i^*(1'))$$

where

$$\zeta_i = \sum_k \psi_k U_{ki} .$$

Thus  $\mathbf{U}$  diagonalizes the one-matrix, and hence  $\zeta_i$  are natural spatial orbitals for the two-electron system.

- e. Finally, since  $\mathbf{C}$  is symmetric,  $\mathbf{U}$  can be chosen as real. Show that in terms of the natural spatial orbitals,  $|^1\Phi_0\rangle$  given in part (a) can be rewritten as

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K d_i |\zeta_i \bar{\zeta}_i\rangle$$

and note that this expansion contains only  $K$  terms.

### Solution 4.8

- a. From (2.263) at the page 103 and Table 2.7 at the page 104, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} |^1\Psi_1^r\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi_1^{\bar{r}}\rangle + |\Psi_1^r\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|1\bar{r}\rangle + |r\bar{1}\rangle) , \\ |^1\Psi_{11}^{rr}\rangle &= |\Psi_{11}^{r\bar{r}}\rangle = |r\bar{r}\rangle , \\ |^1\Psi_{11}^{rs}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|\Psi_{11}^{r\bar{s}}\rangle + |\Psi_{11}^{s\bar{r}}\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|r\bar{s}\rangle + |s\bar{r}\rangle) , \forall r \neq s . \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = c_0|1\bar{1}\rangle + \sum_{r=2}^K \frac{c_1^r}{\sqrt{2}} (|1\bar{r}\rangle + |r\bar{1}\rangle) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=2}^K c_{11}^{rr} |r\bar{r}\rangle + \sum_{\substack{r=2 \\ r>s}}^K \sum_{s=2}^K c_{11}^{rs} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (|r\bar{s}\rangle + |s\bar{r}\rangle) .$$

From this equation, we find that the coefficients are

$$\begin{aligned} C_{11} &= c_0, \\ C_{r1} &= C_{1r} = \frac{c_1^r}{\sqrt{2}}, \\ C_{rr} &= \frac{c_{11}^{rr}}{2}, \\ C_{rs} &= C_{sr} = \frac{c_{11}^{rs} + c_{11}^{sr}}{2}, \forall r \neq s, \end{aligned}$$

which equals

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K C_{ij} |\psi_i \bar{\psi}_j\rangle, \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$C_{ij} = C_{ji}, \forall i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, K\}. \quad (4.7)$$

In other words,  $\mathbf{C}$  is a symmetric  $K \times K$  matrix.

b. Note that there are two electrons in this system,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \Phi(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \Phi^*(\mathbf{x}'_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \\ &= 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K C_{ij} [\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_2) - \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1)] \\ &\quad \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K C_{kl}^* [\psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}_2) - \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K C_{ij} C_{kl}^* \\ &\quad \left[ \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}_2) - \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}_2) + \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} d\mathbf{x}_2 \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_2) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}_2) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K C_{ij} C_{kl}^* [\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \delta_{jl} + \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \delta_{ik}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K C_{ij} C_{kj}^* \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{l=1}^K C_{ij} C_{il}^* \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_l^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K C_{ij} C_{jk}^\dagger \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K C_{ji} C_{ik}^\dagger \bar{\psi}_j(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K C_{ik} C_{kj}^\dagger \right) + \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) \left( \sum_{k=1}^K C_{ik} C_{kj}^\dagger \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^\dagger)_{ij} (\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have proved that

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K (\mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^\dagger)_{ij} (\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1) \bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)). \quad (4.8)$$

c. In fact, all  $d_i$  are real as all eigenvalues of a real symmetric matrix are real, thus  $\mathbf{d}^\dagger = \mathbf{d}$ , and

$$\mathbf{d}^2 = \mathbf{d} \mathbf{d}^\dagger = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} (\mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U})^\dagger = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{U} \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{U}^\dagger \mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}^\dagger \mathbf{U}. \quad (4.9)$$

d. From the former issue, we know

$$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^\dagger = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{d}^2\mathbf{U}^\dagger.$$

Thus, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K (\mathbf{C}\mathbf{C}^\dagger)_{ij} (\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K (\mathbf{U}\mathbf{d}^2\mathbf{U}^\dagger)_{ij} (\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{U}_{ik}d_k^2\mathbf{U}_{kj}^\dagger (\psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K d_k^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^K \psi_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{U}_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^K \psi_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)\mathbf{U}_{jk}^* + \sum_{i=1}^K \bar{\psi}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\mathbf{U}_{ik} \sum_{j=1}^K \bar{\psi}_j^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)\mathbf{U}_{jk}^* \right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we define

$$\zeta_i = \sum_{k=1}^K \psi_k \mathbf{U}_{ki},$$

and we obtain

$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}'_1) = \sum_{k=1}^K d_k^2 (\zeta_k(\mathbf{x}_1)\zeta_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\zeta}_k(\mathbf{x}_1)\bar{\zeta}_k^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)) = \sum_{i=1}^K d_i^2 (\zeta_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\zeta_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1) + \bar{\zeta}_i(\mathbf{x}_1)\bar{\zeta}_i^*(\mathbf{x}'_1)). \quad (4.10)$$

We conclude that  $\mathbf{U}$  diagonalizes the one-matrix, and hence  $\zeta_i$  are natural spatial orbitals for the two-electron system.

e. Now we convert  $\mathbf{C}$  firstly,

$$\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{d}\mathbf{U}^\dagger \Leftrightarrow C_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{U}_{ik}d_k\mathbf{U}_{kj}^\dagger = \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{U}_{ik}d_k\mathbf{U}_{jk}^*$$

Thus we arrive at

$$|^1\Phi_0\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K C_{ij} |\psi_i\bar{\psi}_j\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{k=1}^K \mathbf{U}_{ik}d_k\mathbf{U}_{jk}^* |\psi_i\bar{\psi}_j\rangle = \sum_{k=1}^K d_k |\zeta_k\bar{\zeta}_k\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^K d_i |\zeta_i\bar{\zeta}_i\rangle. \quad (4.11)$$

We find that this expansion contains only  $K$  terms.

## 4.5 The Multiconfiguration Self-Consistent Field (MCSCF) and Generalized Valence Bond (GVB) Methods

### Exercise 4.9

Consider the transformation

$$\begin{aligned}u &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} (a\psi_A + b\psi_B), \\ v &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^2+b^2}} (a\psi_A - b\psi_B).\end{aligned}$$

a. Show that

$$\langle u|u\rangle = \langle v|v\rangle = 1$$

and

$$\langle u|v\rangle \equiv S = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + b^2}.$$



b. Show that  $|\Psi_{\text{GVB}}\rangle$  in Eq.(4.52) can be rewritten as

$$|\Psi_{\text{GVB}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}} [a^2 \psi_A(1) \psi_A(2) - b^2 \psi_B(1) \psi_B(2)] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1))$$

and conclude that this is identical to  $|\Psi_{\text{MCSCF}}\rangle$  in Eq.(4.48) if

$$c_A = \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}},$$

$$c_B = -\frac{b^2}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}}.$$

#### Solution 4.9

a.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u|u \rangle &= \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} [a^2 \langle \psi_A | \psi_A \rangle + ab \langle \psi_A | \psi_B \rangle + ab \langle \psi_B | \psi_A \rangle + b^2 \langle \psi_B | \psi_B \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} [a^2 \times 1 + ab \times 0 + ab \times 0 + b^2 \times 1] = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} S \equiv \langle u|v \rangle &= \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} [a^2 \langle \psi_A | \psi_A \rangle - ab \langle \psi_A | \psi_B \rangle + ab \langle \psi_B | \psi_A \rangle - b^2 \langle \psi_B | \psi_B \rangle] \\ &= \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} [a^2 \times 1 - ab \times 0 + ab \times 0 - b^2 \times 1] = \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + b^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

b.

$$\begin{aligned} |\Psi_{\text{GVB}}\rangle &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{a^2 - b^2}{a^2 + b^2} \right)^2 \right]}} \left[ \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} (a\psi_A(1) + b\psi_B(1))(a\psi_A(2) - b\psi_B(2)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{a^2 + b^2} (a\psi_A(2) + b\psi_B(2))(a\psi_A(1) - b\psi_B(1)) \right] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \\ &= \frac{a^2 + b^2}{\sqrt{2 [(a^2 + b^2)^2 + (a^2 - b^2)^2]}} \times \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} (a^2 + b^2)} [\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \\ &\quad [(a\psi_A(1) + b\psi_B(1))(a\psi_A(2) - b\psi_B(2)) + (a\psi_A(2) + b\psi_B(2))(a\psi_A(1) - b\psi_B(1))] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2a^4 + 2b^4}} [2a^2 \psi_A(1) \psi_A(2) - 2b^2 \psi_B(1) \psi_B(2)] [\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}} [a^2 \psi_A(1) \psi_A(2) - b^2 \psi_B(1) \psi_B(2)] \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} [\alpha(1)\beta(2) - \alpha(2)\beta(1)] \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

Thus,

$$c_A = \frac{a^2}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}}, \quad c_B = -\frac{b^2}{\sqrt{a^4 + b^4}}.$$

## 4.6 Truncated CI and the Size-Consistency Problem