# Community Detection

#### Liu Hongzun

Department of Computer Science, Tsinghua University

#### 2020.02.10

OCIAL, technological and information systems can often be described in terms of complex networks that have a topology of interconnected nodes combining organization and randomness. The typical size of large networks such as social network services, mobile phone networks or the web now counts in millions when not billions of nodes and these scales demand new methods to retrieve comprehensive information from their structure. A promising approach consists in decomposing the networks into sub-units or communities, which are sets of highly inter-connected nodes. The identification of these communities is of crucial importance as they may help to uncover a-priori unknown functional modules such as topics in information networks or cybercommunities in social networks. Moreover, the resulting meta-network, whose nodes are the communities, may then be used to visualize the original network structure. The

problem of community detection requires the partition of a network into communities of densely connected nodes, with the nodes belonging to different communities being only sparsely connected. Precise formulations of this optimization problem are known to be computationally intractable. Several algorithms have therefore been proposed to find reasonably good partitions in a reasonably fast way. This search for fast algorithms has attracted much interest in recent years due to the increasing availability of large network data sets and the impact of networks on every day life. One can distinguish several types of community detection algorithms: divisive algorithms detect intercommunity links and remove them from the network, agglomerative algorithms merge similar nodes/communities recursively and optimization methods are based on the maximisation of an objective function.

定义图 G 的模块度 (modularity):

$$Q = \frac{1}{2m} \sum_{i,j \in 1,2,\dots n} \left( A_{ij} - \frac{d_i d_j}{2m} \right) \delta(C_i, C_j)$$

$$= \sum_i \left( \frac{d_{in}(C_i)}{2m} - \left( \frac{d_{tot}(C_i)}{2m} \right)^2 \right)$$
(1)

<sup>\*</sup>liu-hz18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

将节点 i 从社群  $C_k$  移出,移入社群  $C_i$ , 对模块度 Q 的贡献为:

$$\Delta Q(i, C_j) = \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \left( d_{in}(C_j) + d(k, C_j) - \frac{(d_{tot}(C_j) + d_k)^2}{2m} \right) + \left( d_{in}(C_i) + d(k, C_i) - \frac{(d_{tot}(C_i) - d_k)^2}{2m} \right) \right] 
- \frac{1}{2m} \left[ \left( d_{in}(C_j) - \frac{d_{tot}(C_j)^2}{2m} \right) + \left( d_{in}(C_i) - \frac{d_{tot}(C_i)^2}{2m} \right) \right] 
= \frac{1}{2m} \left[ d(C_j, k) - d(C_i, k) - \frac{2}{2m} \left( d_k \cdot (d_{tot}(C_j) - d_{tot}(C_i) + d_k) \right) \right]$$
(2)

下面我们给出图的社群发现算法之一: Fast Unfolding

```
Algorithm 1: Fast Unfolding Method

Input: G = (V, E);

Output: clustering of G;

k = 0, G^0 = G;

while community partition (modularity) can still be changed (improved) do

make a simple clustering C^k of G^k such that C_i^k = \{i\};

for node i \in G^k do

remove the node i from its community C_i^k;

C_N = \text{set of neighbour communities of node } i;

C_j^k = \text{arg } \max_{C_j^k \in C_N} \Delta Q(i, C_j^k);

if \Delta Q(i, C_j^k) > 0 then

| add node i to the community C_i^k;

else

| leave node i in the community C_i^k;

build a new graph G^{k+1} whose nodes are the communities of C^k;

k = k + 1;
```

make a clustering  $C_{final}$  of G;

return  $C_{final}$ 

This approach reduces the computation time, especially on large networks, and still provides a high coefficient of modularity.

#### helloWorld.java

```
public class Main {
    public static void main(String[] args){
        System.out.println("Hello World");
}
```

#### bubbleSort.c

```
1 #include <iostream>
2 #define LENGTH 8
3 using namespace std;
4 //测试用的代码, bubbleSort函数
5 int main() {
```

```
6
        int temp,number[LENGTH]={95, 45, 15, 78, 84, 51, 24, 12};
 7
        for(int i = 0; i < LENGTH; i++){</pre>
             for(int j = 0; j < LENGTH - 1 - i; j++){
 8
 9
                  if(number[j] > number[j+1]) {
                      temp = number[j];
10
11
                      number[j] = number[j+1];
12
                      number[j+1] = temp;
13
                  } //if end
14
             }
15
        }
        for(int i = 0; i < LENGTH; i++)</pre>
16
17
             cout << number[i] << " ";</pre>
        cout << endl;</pre>
18
        /* the following code computes \sum_{i=0}^{n} i */
19
        for (i = 1; i <= limit; i++) {</pre>
20
21
             sum += i;
22
        }
23
24
        return 0;
25
```

test.py

```
1
   import random
 | n = 100 
3 \mid s = [0 \text{ for i in range}(n)]
4
5 | for j in range(1000):
6 count = [0 for m in range(n)]
7 \mid i = 0
8 while i < n:
9 | count[i] += 1
10 | if random.randint(0, 1) == 0:
11 | i += 1
12 | for k in range(n):
13 \mid s[k] += count[k]
14
15 print(s)
```

#### **Algorithm 2:** How to write algorithms

## Algorithm 3: identify Row Context

```
Input: r_i, Backgrd(T_i) = T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n and similarity threshold \theta_r

Output: con(r_i)

con(r_i) = \Phi

for j = 1; j \leq n; j \neq i do
	float maxSim = 0

r^{maxSim} = null

while not \ end \ of \ T_j \ do
	compute Jaro(r_i, r_m)(r_m \in T_j)

if (Jaro(r_i, r_m) \geq \theta_r) \wedge (Jaro(r_i, r_m) \geq r^{maxSim}) then
	replace r^{maxSim} with r_m

end

end

end

con(r_i) = con(r_i) \cup r^{maxSim}

end

return con(r_i)
```

### Algorithm 4: algorithm caption

Input: input parameters A, B, C

Output: output result

- 1 some description;
- $\mathbf{2} \ \mathbf{for} \ \mathit{condition} \ \mathbf{do}$

```
    3 only if
    4 if condition then
    5 1
```

 ${f 6}$  while not at end of this document  ${f do}$ 

```
    if and else
    if condition then
    | 1
    else
    | 2
```

12 foreach condition do

```
    if condition then
    14
    1
```