

Bipartite entanglement

Definition 1 $R \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ if

$$R = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} P_a \otimes Q_a \quad (1)$$

where $\{P_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\{Q_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \text{Pos}(\mathcal{Y})$.

Definition 2

$$\text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) = \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) \cap \text{D}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \quad (2)$$

Theorem 1 $\text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is a convex cone and $\text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is convex.

Proof. It suffices to prove that $\text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is closed under addition as well as multiplication by any nonnegative real number.

$$R_0 = \sum_{a \in \Sigma_0} P_a \otimes Q_a \quad R_1 = \sum_{a \in \Sigma_1} P_a \otimes Q_a \quad (3)$$

Then

$$R_0 + R_1 = \sum_{a \in \Sigma_0 \cup \Sigma_1} P_a \otimes Q_a \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) \quad \lambda R_0 = \sum_{a \in \Sigma_0} \lambda P_a \otimes Q_a \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) \quad (4)$$

$\text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is convex because it is the intersection of two convex sets. ■

Lemma 1 $\mathcal{A} \subset \text{Pos}(\mathcal{Z})$ is a cone. $\emptyset \neq \mathcal{B} = \mathcal{A} \cap \text{D}(\mathcal{Z})$

$$\mathcal{A} = \text{cone}(\mathcal{B}) \quad (5)$$

Proof.

1. $\text{cone}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{A}$ is obvious.
2. Assume $P \in \mathcal{A}$, then

$$\frac{P}{\text{Tr}(P)} \in \mathcal{A} \cap \text{D}(\mathcal{Z}) = \mathcal{B} \quad (6)$$

■

Theorem 2 Let $\xi \in \text{D}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$ be a density operator. The following statements are equivalent:

1. $\xi \in \text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$
2. There exists an alphabet Σ

$$\xi = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} p(a) \rho_a \otimes \sigma_a \quad (7)$$

3. There exists an alphabet Σ

$$\xi = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} p(a) x_a x_a^* \otimes y_a y_a^* \quad (8)$$

Theorem 3 If $\xi \in \text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$, then there exists an alphabet Σ with $|\Sigma| \leq \text{rank}(\xi)^2$, $\{x_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\{y_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y})$ such that

$$\xi = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} p(a) x_a x_a^* \otimes y_a y_a^* \quad (9)$$

Proof. It holds that

$$\text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) = \text{conv}\{xx^* \otimes yy^* : x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}), y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y})\} \quad (10)$$

And it is easy to see that

$$\xi \in \text{conv}\{xx^* \otimes yy^* : x \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{X}), y \in \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{Y}), \text{im}(xx^* \otimes yy^*) \subset \text{im}(\xi)\} \quad (11)$$

Notice that the following is a real affine space satisfying of dimension $\text{rank}(\xi)^2 - 1$

$$\{H \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) : \text{im}(H) \subset \text{im}(\xi), \text{Tr}(H) = 1\} \quad (12)$$

Thus ξ is contained in a affine space of dimension $\text{rank}(\xi)^2 - 1$. This completes the proof. ■

Corollary 1 If $R \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ and $R \neq 0$, then there exists an alphabet Σ with $|\Sigma| \leq \text{rank}(R)^2$, $\{x_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathcal{X}$ and $\{y_a : a \in \Sigma\} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$R = \sum_{a \in \Sigma} x_a x_a^* \otimes y_a y_a^* \quad (13)$$

Theorem 4 For every choice of complex Euclidean spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} , the set $\text{SepD}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is compact and the set $\text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is closed.

Theorem 5 (Horodecki criterion) $R \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$. The following are equivalent:

1. $R \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$
2. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Z})$ is a positive map, then

$$(\Phi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})})(R) \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{Z} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \quad (14)$$

3. If $\Phi \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a positive unital map, then

$$(\Phi \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})})(R) \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{Y} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \quad (15)$$

Proof. It is easy to see $1 \implies 2$ and $2 \implies 3$. Thus we focus on $3 \implies 1$.

Suppose $R \notin \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$. As $\text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y})$ is a closed, convex cone within the real vector space $\text{Herm}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$, the hyperplane separation theorem implies that

$$\exists H \in \text{Herm}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \forall S \in \text{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) \begin{cases} \langle H, R \rangle < 0 \\ \langle H, S \rangle \geq 0 \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

Such an H will be used to construct a positive and unital map.

Define $\Psi \in \mathcal{T}(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{X})$ as

$$J(\Psi) = H \quad (17)$$

Let $P \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{X})$, $Q \in \text{Pos}(\mathcal{Y})$. Then

$$\langle P, \Psi(Q) \rangle = \langle P \otimes \bar{Q}, J(\Psi) \rangle = \langle H, P \otimes \bar{Q} \rangle \geq 0 \quad (18)$$

Thus Ψ is a positive map. It follows that Ψ^* is also a positive map.

Define Φ

$$\Phi(X) = A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Psi^*(X) A^{-\frac{1}{2}} \quad (19)$$

where $A = \Psi^*(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}})$.

Now we get a positive and unital map Φ . Then we show that $(\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})})(R)$ is not positive

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &> \langle H, R \rangle \\ &= \langle J(\Psi), R \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{vec}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}}) \text{vec}(\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}})^*, (\Psi^* \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})})(R) \rangle \\ &= \langle \text{vec}(\sqrt{A}) \text{vec}(\sqrt{A})^*, (\Phi \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})})(R) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3 Define the following projectors

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_0 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a,b \in \Sigma} E_{a,b} \otimes E_{a,b} & \Pi_0 &= \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} + \frac{1}{2} W \\ \Delta_1 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} - \Delta_0 & \Pi_1 &= \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} - \Pi_0 \end{aligned}$$

Isotropic states

$$\lambda \Delta_0 + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\Delta_1}{n^2 - 1} \quad (20)$$

Werner states

$$\lambda \frac{\Pi_0}{\binom{n+1}{2}} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\Pi_1}{\binom{n}{2}} \quad (21)$$

Theorem 6 The isotropic state is entangled for $\lambda \in (1/n, 1]$, while the Werner state is entangled for $\lambda \in [0, 1/2)$.

Proof. Let T denote the transpose map

$$T(X) = X^T \quad (22)$$

We have

$$(T \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})}) \left(\lambda \Delta_0 + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\Delta_1}{n^2 - 1} \right) = \frac{1 + \lambda n}{2} \frac{\Pi_0}{\binom{n+1}{2}} + \frac{1 - \lambda n}{2} \frac{\Pi_1}{\binom{n}{2}} \quad (23)$$

$$(T \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\text{Lin}(\mathcal{Y})}) \left(\lambda \frac{\Pi_0}{\binom{n+1}{2}} + (1 - \lambda) \frac{\Pi_1}{\binom{n}{2}} \right) = \frac{2\lambda - 1}{n} \Delta_0 + \left(1 - \frac{2\lambda - 1}{n} \right) \Delta_1 \quad (24)$$

This completes the proof. ■

Lemma 2

$$X = \sum_{a,b \in \Sigma} X_{a,b} \otimes E_{a,b} \in \text{Lin}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y}) \quad (25)$$

We have

$$\|X\|^2 \leq \sum_{a,b \in \Sigma} \|X_{a,b}\|^2 \quad (26)$$

Proof. Define

$$Y_a = \sum_{b \in \Sigma} X_{a,b} \otimes E_{a,b} \quad (27)$$

$$\|Y_a^* Y_a\| = \left\| \sum_{b \in \Sigma} X_{a,b} X_{a,b}^* \otimes E_{a,a} \right\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\|X\|^2 &= \|XX^*\| \\
&\leq \sum_{a \in \Sigma} \|Y_a^* Y_a\| \\
&\leq \sum_{a, b \in \Sigma} \|X_{a,b} X_{a,b}^*\| \\
&= \sum_{a, b \in \Sigma} \|X_{a,b}\|^2
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3 $\Phi \in \mathsf{T}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a positive and unital map. It holds that

$$\|\Phi(X)\| \leq \|X\| \quad (28)$$

Theorem 7 $H \in \mathsf{Herm}(\mathcal{X} \otimes \mathcal{Y})$ and $\|H\|_2 \leq 1$, it holds that

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{X}} \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{Y}} - H \in \mathsf{Sep}(\mathcal{X} : \mathcal{Y}) \quad (29)$$