Theory & Model Building

Philip D. Waggoner

MACS 30100: Perspectives on Computational Modeling

January 8, 2020

Lecture Outline

Building Theories

2 Building Models

3 Putting the Pieces Together: Theories + Models

Why models and theories?

• Implicitly asserting relationships in construction

Why models and theories?

- Implicitly asserting relationships in construction
- Think carefully and intentionally

Why models and theories?

- Implicitly asserting relationships in construction
- Think carefully and intentionally
- Add something useful to the world

A Model vs. A Theory

 Theory - a reasoned and precise speculation about the answer to a research question, including a statement about why the proposed solution is correct

A Model vs. A Theory

- Theory a reasoned and precise speculation about the answer to a research question, including a statement about why the proposed solution is correct
- Model a simplification of, and approximation to, some aspect of the world

A Model vs. A Theory

- Theory a reasoned and precise speculation about the answer to a research question, including a statement about why the proposed solution is correct
- Model a simplification of, and approximation to, some aspect of the world
- We will focus first on theories and how to (think about) develop(ing) them, though this is really hard to do well
- Transition to link models to theories at the end

Lecture Outline

Building Theories

2 Building Models

3 Putting the Pieces Together: Theories + Models

 A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world

- A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world
- The development of theories comes in where we are curious about how behavior may change when a rule is altered;

- A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world
- The development of theories comes in where we are curious about how behavior may change when a rule is altered; or a customer base shifts;

- A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world
- The development of theories comes in where we are curious about how behavior may change when a rule is altered; or a customer base shifts; or when an actor behaves unexpectedly;

- A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world
- The development of theories comes in where we are curious about how behavior may change when a rule is altered; or a customer base shifts; or when an actor behaves unexpectedly; or when an institution is reformed; and so on

- A good theory is one that changes the way that we think about some aspect of the world
- The development of theories comes in where we are curious about how behavior may change when a rule is altered; or a customer base shifts; or when an actor behaves unexpectedly; or when an institution is reformed; and so on
- To build a theory, then, we are really just codifying a move from some specific event to a more *general story of behavior, change, action, etc.*

• Your expectation for the way the world works

• Your expectation for the way the world works

• Includes: observation,

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions,

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions, engagement,

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions, engagement, and expectations

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions, engagement, and expectations
- Functionally, theories are meant to explain variation in an outcome of interest

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions, engagement, and expectations
- Functionally, theories are meant to explain variation in an outcome of interest
- A key in theory building, or story telling too though, is the counterfactual

- Your expectation for the way the world works
- Includes: observation, questions, engagement, and expectations
- Functionally, theories are meant to explain variation in an outcome of interest
- A key in theory building, or story telling too though, is the counterfactual
- So how do we create a theory?

Theories are Intimately Related to (Interesting) Questions

 One of the main ways in which theories can be evaluated is in terms of the questions they set out to answer

Theories are Intimately Related to (Interesting) Questions

- One of the main ways in which theories can be evaluated is in terms of the guestions they set out to answer
- If the question being addressed by a theory is interesting and important, then that theory has potential

Good Questions Come from Observations

Paying attention to the world

Good Questions Come from Observations

- Paying attention to the world
- Recognizing patterns in a descriptive sense

Begin by identifying interesting variation

 Measurement of an outcome/response/DV

- Begin by identifying interesting variation

 Measurement of an outcome/response/DV
- When we think about measuring a response feature, first identify a dimension: usually either spatial or time

- Begin by identifying interesting variation

 Measurement of an outcome/response/DV
- When we think about measuring a response feature, first identify a dimension: usually either spatial or time

- Begin by identifying interesting variation

 Measurement of an outcome/response/DV
- When we think about measuring a response feature, first identify a dimension: usually either spatial or time

 - ② The time dimension → points in time at which we would like to measure

• Implied tradeoff between dynamic and static

- Implied tradeoff between dynamic and static
- This means that our measures of our DV will most likely be of one of two types:

- Implied tradeoff between dynamic and static
- This means that our measures of our DV will most likely be of one of two types:
 - Time-series: spatial dimension is the same for all cases and the response is measured at multiple points in time

- Implied tradeoff between dynamic and static
- This means that our measures of our DV will most likely be of one of two types:
 - Time-series: spatial dimension is the same for all cases and the response is measured at multiple points in time
 - Cross-sectional: time dimension is the same for all cases and the response is measured for multiple spatial units

• Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?
- Can you test your theory on data that you have not yet observed?
 (i.e., training and testing)

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?
- Can you test your theory on data that you have not yet observed?
 (i.e., training and testing)
- How general is your theory?

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?
- Can you test your theory on data that you have not yet observed?
 (i.e., training and testing)
- How general is your theory?
- How parsimonious is your theory?

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?
- Can you test your theory on data that you have not yet observed?
 (i.e., training and testing)
- How general is your theory?
- How parsimonious is your theory?
- How new is your theory?

- Does your theory offer an answer to an interesting research question?
- Is your theory causal?
- Can you test your theory on data that you have not yet observed?
 (i.e., training and testing)
- How general is your theory?
- How parsimonious is your theory?
- How new is your theory?
- How non-obvious is your theory?

Lecture Outline

Building Theories

2 Building Models

3 Putting the Pieces Together: Theories + Models

• Simplified representation of the real world, which often flow from your *original* theory

- Simplified representation of the real world, which often flow from your original theory
 - Build an initial model

- Simplified representation of the real world, which often flow from your original theory
 - Build an initial model
 - Evaluate its effectiveness

- Simplified representation of the real world, which often flow from your original theory
 - Build an initial model
 - Evaluate its effectiveness
 - Revise your model

- Simplified representation of the real world, which often flow from your original theory
 - Build an initial model
 - Evaluate its effectiveness
 - Revise your model
- Not driven purely by predictive power

Get an idea

Get an idea

Oecide to pursue the idea

- Get an idea
- Oecide to pursue the idea
- Examine the literature (or don't)

- Get an idea
- Oecide to pursue the idea
- Examine the literature (or don't)
- Build your model

- Get an idea
- Oecide to pursue the idea
- Examine the literature (or don't)
- Build your model
- Make mistakes

- Get an idea
- Oecide to pursue the idea
- Examine the literature (or don't)
- Build your model
- Make mistakes
- (Re)Turn to the literature

- Get an idea
- Oecide to pursue the idea
- Examine the literature (or don't)
- Build your model
- Make mistakes
- (Re)Turn to the literature
- Give a seminar

Step 1: Get an idea

 Ideas are easy to generate - you want a good idea (e.g., from a theory)

Step 1: Get an idea

- Ideas are easy to generate you want a good idea (e.g., from a theory)
- Where can you find ideas?
 - Academic journals
 - Newspapers, magazines
 - Talking to people (including non-academics)

Step 1: Get an idea

- Ideas are easy to generate you want a good idea (e.g., from a theory)
- Where can you find ideas?
 - Academic journals
 - Newspapers, magazines
 - Talking to people (including non-academics)
- High-risk vs. high-reward



• Can you phrase it as a question?

- Can you phrase it as a question?
- Can you meaningfully explain it to a non-expert?

- Can you phrase it as a question?
- Can you meaningfully explain it to a non-expert?
- Albert Einstein . . .
 - "[Your model] should be as simple as possible...but no simpler."

- Can you phrase it as a question?
- Can you meaningfully explain it to a non-expert?
- Albert Einstein . . .
 - "[Your model] should be as simple as possible...but no simpler."
 - "If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough."

- Can you phrase it as a question?
- Can you meaningfully explain it to a non-expert?
- Albert Einstein . . .
 - "[Your model] should be as simple as possible...but no simpler."
 - "If you can't explain it simply, you don't understand it well enough."
- Is it interesting?

Step 3: Examine the literature (or don't)

- Don't look too soon
 - ► Potentially more work
 - May come up with a different approach than what people have done before you

Step 3: Examine the literature (or don't)

- Don't look too soon
 - Potentially more work
 - May come up with a different approach than what people have done before you
- Eventually you will do a thorough review of the literature

Identify the outcome of interest (endogenous feature(s))

- Identify the outcome of interest (endogenous feature(s))
- Identify relevant features that will explain, predict, and lead to understanding of the outcome of interest (exogenous features)

- Identify the outcome of interest (endogenous feature(s))
- Identify relevant features that will explain, predict, and lead to understanding of the outcome of interest (exogenous features)
- Work a simple example first

- Identify the outcome of interest (endogenous feature(s))
- Identify relevant features that will explain, predict, and lead to understanding of the outcome of interest (exogenous features)
- Work a simple example first
- Then generalize your model piece-by-piece

• Three rules of thumb for model building

Step 4: Build Your Model

- Three rules of thumb for model building
 - 1 Think "process"
 - ② Develop interesting implications
 - Look for generality

• If everything is perfect and works the first time, I wouldn't believe it or the results produced

 If everything is perfect and works the first time, I wouldn't believe it or the results produced
 → embrace mistakes

Its the same with code

- Its the same with code
- → "It's easy when you start out programming to get really frustrated and think, "Oh it's me, I'm really stupid," or, "I'm not made out to program." But, that is absolutely not the case. Everyone gets frustrated. I still get frustrated occasionally when writing R code. It's just a natural part of programming."

- If everything is perfect and works the first time, I wouldn't believe it or the results produced
 → embrace mistakes
- Its the same with code
- → "It's easy when you start out programming to get really frustrated and think, "Oh it's me, I'm really stupid," or, "I'm not made out to program." But, that is absolutely not the case. Everyone gets frustrated. I still get frustrated occasionally when writing R code. It's just a natural part of programming."
 - So too with developing theories and deriving models

• If you have not already, begin your literature search

- If you have not already, begin your literature search
- Search on Google Scholar

- If you have not already, begin your literature search
- Search on Google Scholar
- Talk to senior/junior colleagues

- If you have not already, begin your literature search
- Search on Google Scholar
- Talk to senior/junior colleagues
- Puts the value of your contribution in context

- If you have not already, begin your literature search
- Search on Google Scholar
- Talk to senior/junior colleagues
- Puts the value of your contribution in context
- You may find out someone else already did what you did (it sucks)

Step 7: Give a seminar

• Show your work to other people

Step 7: Give a seminar

• Show your work to other people → Giving a seminar/talk is often the easiest way for both parties to communicate

Step 7: Give a seminar

- Show your work to other people → Giving a seminar/talk is often the easiest way for both parties to communicate
- You can lose perspective when you are deep in the trenches of your project, e.g., . . .
 - ▶ You may think something is obvious, when it is not to others
 - ▶ You may think something is complicated, when it is really obvious

A Caveat

 Much of our discussion to this point has been (implicitly) on developing original research

A Caveat

- Much of our discussion to this point has been (implicitly) on developing original research
- But importantly (and especially if you are going to industry), modeling is sometimes an exploratory process, rather than pure theory development

A Caveat

- Much of our discussion to this point has been (implicitly) on developing original research
- But importantly (and especially if you are going to industry),
 modeling is sometimes an exploratory process, rather than pure theory development
- The trick is knowing precisely when to use one or the other, which is most-often dictated by the target audience and contribution (e.g., a pitch to a boss in response to a task vs. an academic, peer-reviewed journal article)

Lecture Outline

Building Theories

2 Building Models

3 Putting the Pieces Together: Theories + Models

 "Formal theory" or "rational choice" approaches are often used to develop causal theories, which translate into models, or simplifications of the world for testing purposes

- "Formal theory" or "rational choice" approaches are often used to develop causal theories, which translate into models, or simplifications of the world for testing purposes
- In this world, we start with basic set of assumptions about behavior and then use game theory and other mathematical tools to build models

- "Formal theory" or "rational choice" approaches are often used to develop causal theories, which translate into models, or simplifications of the world for testing purposes
- In this world, we start with basic set of assumptions about behavior and then use game theory and other mathematical tools to build models
- Assumptions are key:

- "Formal theory" or "rational choice" approaches are often used to develop causal theories, which translate into models, or simplifications of the world for testing purposes
- In this world, we start with basic set of assumptions about behavior and then use game theory and other mathematical tools to build models
- Assumptions are key: We can summarize these assumptions by suggesting all individuals are rational utility maximizers...

- "Formal theory" or "rational choice" approaches are often used to develop causal theories, which translate into models, or simplifications of the world for testing purposes
- In this world, we start with basic set of assumptions about behavior and then use game theory and other mathematical tools to build models
- Assumptions are key: We can summarize these assumptions by suggesting all individuals are rational utility maximizers... <>>> ?

Rational Utility

• In thinking about an individual's choices of actions, we will talk about things in terms of utility (intentionally vague)

Rational Utility

- In thinking about an individual's choices of actions, we will talk about things in terms of utility (intentionally vague)
- We can start by defining a key concept that will underlie our theoretical claim: The utility from a particular action is equal to the sum of all benefits minus the sum of all costs from that action

Rational Utility

- In thinking about an individual's choices of actions, we will talk about things in terms of utility (intentionally vague)
- We can start by defining a key concept that will underlie our theoretical claim: The utility from a particular action is equal to the sum of all benefits minus the sum of all costs from that action
- If we consider some action Y, we summarize the utility from Y for individual i,

$$U_i(Y) = \sum B_i(Y) - \sum C_i(Y)$$

Rational Utility Maximizers

 Theoretical claim: When choosing among a set of possible actions (including the decisions not to act), a rational individual will choose that action that maximizes their utility,

given a set of choices
$$Y = Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$$
,

individual i will choose Y_a such that $U_i(Y_a) > U_i(Y_b) \ \forall \ b \neq a$,

• What if we don't know exactly what the costs and benefits will be from a particular action?

• What if we don't know exactly what the costs and benefits will be from a particular action? *How do we model this?*

- What if we don't know exactly what the costs and benefits will be from a particular action? How do we model this?
- Previously, we were assuming complete information, for which we knew exactly what would be the costs (C_i) , benefits (B_i) , and thus the utility from each possible action $(U_i(Y))$

- What if we don't know exactly what the costs and benefits will be from a particular action? How do we model this?
- Previously, we were assuming complete information, for which we knew exactly what would be the costs (C_i) , benefits (B_i) , and thus the utility from each possible action $(U_i(Y))$ But this seems unrealistic

- What if we don't know exactly what the costs and benefits will be from a particular action? How do we model this?
- Previously, we were assuming complete information, for which we knew exactly what would be the costs (C_i) , benefits (B_i) , and thus the utility from each possible action $(U_i(Y))$ But this seems unrealistic
- When we relax this assumption, we move our discussion from utility to expected utility (E), i.e., "putting expectations" in front of all utilities

• The term $U_i(Y)$, becomes $E[U_i(Y)]$ under **incomplete information**

- The term $U_i(Y)$, becomes $E[U_i(Y)]$ under **incomplete information**
- So, returning to our rational actor assumption, under incomplete information, for an individual action Y,

- The term $U_i(Y)$, becomes $E[U_i(Y)]$ under **incomplete information**
- So, returning to our rational actor assumption, under incomplete information, for an individual action Y,

$$E[U_i(Y)] = \sum E[B_i(Y)] - \sum E[C_i(Y)],$$

- The term $U_i(Y)$, becomes $E[U_i(Y)]$ under **incomplete information**
- So, returning to our rational actor assumption, under incomplete information, for an individual action *Y*,

$$E[U_i(Y)] = \sum E[B_i(Y)] - \sum E[C_i(Y)],$$

- and a rational actor will maximize her expected utility where, given a set of choices $Y = Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots, Y_n$,
- ightarrow individual i will choose Y_a such that $E[U_i(Y_a)] > E[U_i(Y_b)] \forall b \neq a$.

Small groups → Develop a model

Small groups → Develop a model

Possible topics

- Murders in Chicago
- Fake news and elections
- Lying and political candidates
- ▶ Medical treatments and patient outcomes
- International war
- Thriving and struggling economies
- Successful businesses, etc.

Small groups → Develop a model

Possible topics

- Murders in Chicago
- ► Fake news and elections
- Lying and political candidates
- ► Medical treatments and patient outcomes
- International war
- Thriving and struggling economies
- Successful businesses, etc.

Questions to consider

- What is the research question?
- What is the process in question?
- What are the key factors?
- What is exogenous, and what is endogenous?
- ▶ How might you get data on these things?