

Newton's Method with Equality Constraints

Implement the **infeasible start** Newton's method for $f(x_1; x_2)$ above with the **constraint** $x_1 = x_2$.

Analysis of the problem:

Why we use **infeasible starting point** applying Newton's method?

Because generally, we use phase 1 method to compute a feasible starting point.

However, when $\text{dom}f$ is not all of \mathbb{R}^n , finding a point in $\text{dom}f$ that satisfies $Ax = b$ can be a challenge.

Infeasible start Newton's Method is free from computing feasible starting point.

Generally, There are two methods to solve equality constraint optimization problem.

-The first one is to **eliminate the equality constraint**;

-The second one is to **form dual problem** to solve the primal problem.

We apply the second method below:

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 - x_2 &= 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Equality constraint: $Ax = b$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}$

The (primal) optimization problem is:

Minimize: $f(x_1, x_2) = e^{x_1+2x_2} + e^{x_1-2x_2} + e^{-x_1}$

Subject to: $Ax = b$

The optimal conditions (KKT conditions) are:

- Primal optimal condition: $Ax^* = b$

- gradient of Lagrange vanishes: $\nabla f(x^*) + A^T v^* = 0$

The first step of applying newton's method is to find Δx :

Since we assume the starting point to be infeasible, then the current point $x \neq x^*$.

But we do assume $x \in \text{dom}f$. Then we want to find a Δx , such that $x + \Delta x = x^*$

Substitute x with $x + \Delta x$ (substitute v^* with ω) in the optimal conditions, we get:

$$\begin{cases} A(x + \Delta x) = b \\ \nabla f(x + \Delta x) + A^T \omega = 0 \end{cases}$$

then, $\begin{cases} A(x + \Delta x) = b \\ \nabla f(x) + \nabla^2 f(x) \Delta x + A^T \omega = 0 \end{cases}$ (from first order approximation)

Expressed in matrix form, we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix}$$

We cannot solve it with inverse matrix: $\begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} * \begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix}$,

because we cannot guarantee the $\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible. We solve it by eliminating Δx first, then plug the expression into first equation to solve ω

$$\begin{cases} A\Delta x = b - Ax \\ \nabla^2 f(x)\Delta x + A^T \omega = -\nabla f(x) \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} A\Delta x = b - Ax \\ \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}\nabla^2 f(x)\Delta x = \nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}(-\nabla f(x) - A^T \omega) \end{cases}$$

We assume that $f(x)$ is positive semi-definite. Then $\nabla^2 f(x) \succ 0$, $\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}\nabla^2 f(x) = I$. Then we have:

$$\begin{cases} A\Delta x = b - Ax \\ \Delta x = -\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}(\nabla f(x) + A^T \omega) \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Plugging (2) into (1),

$$\begin{aligned} A[\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}(-\nabla f(x) - A^T \omega)] &= b - Ax \\ -A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}\nabla f(x) - A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}A^T \omega &= b - Ax \\ A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}A^T \omega &= -b + Ax - A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}\nabla f(x) \end{aligned}$$

Since $A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}A^T > 0$,

$$\omega = (A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}A^T)^{-1}[-b + Ax - A\nabla^2 f(x)^{-1}\nabla f(x)] \quad (3)$$

since $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$, $b = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\nabla f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{x_1+2x_2} + e^{x_1-2x_2} - e^{-x_1} \\ 2e^{x_1+2x_2} - 2e^{x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix}$, and

$\nabla^2 f(x_1, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{x_1+2x_2} + e^{x_1-2x_2} + e^{-x_1} & 2e^{x_1+2x_2} - 2e^{x_1-2x_2} \\ 2e^{x_1+2x_2} - 2e^{x_1-2x_2} & 4e^{x_1+2x_2} + 4e^{x_1-2x_2} \end{bmatrix}$ are already

known to us, after solving ω with equation (3), put the expression of ω into (2), finally we are able to get Δx and ω .

“finding Δx ” is the one of a few differences between “Newton's Method with infeasible starting point” and “Newton's Method with feasible starting point”.

Some other differences are elaborated below:

[Algorithm for infeasible start newton's method:](#)

given starting point $x \in \text{dom } f$, ν , tolerance $\epsilon > 0$, $\alpha \in (0, 1/2)$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$.
repeat
 1. Compute primal and dual Newton steps Δx_{nt} , $\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}}$.
 2. *Backtracking line search* on $\|r\|_2$.
 $t := 1$.
 while $\|r(x + t\Delta x_{\text{nt}}, \nu + t\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}})\|_2 > (1 - \alpha t)\|r(x, \nu)\|_2$, $t := \beta t$.
 3. *Update*. $x := x + t\Delta x_{\text{nt}}$, $\nu := \nu + t\Delta \nu_{\text{nt}}$.
until $Ax = b$ and $\|r(x, \nu)\|_2 \leq \epsilon$.

Differences (infeasible start vs. feasible start) summary:

1. The search directions Δx include the extra correction terms: $-\begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix}$, which depends on the primal residual.
2. The line search is carried out using [the norm of the residual](#), instead of the function value f .
 (ordinary direct line search is to find a t minimizing $f(x + t\Delta x)$; backtracking line search is to find a t minimizing $f(x + t\Delta x) - f(x) = \alpha t \nabla f(x) \Delta x$, both are in terms of function values)
3. The algorithm terminates when primal feasibility has been achieved, and the norm of the (dual) residual is small.

[Step 2: computing the norm of the residual:](#)

From the optimal condition above: $\begin{cases} A(x + \Delta x) = b \\ \nabla f(x) + \nabla^2 f(x) \Delta x + A^T \omega = 0 \end{cases}$, to derive the residual function, we let $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$, then express x and ω in the “star form”(i.e. substituting x with x^* ; substituting ω with ν^*) we get,

the residual function: $\begin{cases} Ax^* = b \\ \nabla f(x^*) + A^T \nu^* = 0 \end{cases}$

expressed as: $r(r_{\text{dual}}(x, \nu), r_{\text{pri}}(x, \nu))$, $r: R^n \times R^p \rightarrow R^n \times R^p$

where $\begin{cases} r_{\text{dual}}(x, \nu) = \nabla f(x^*) + A^T \nu^* \\ r_{\text{pri}}(x, \nu) = Ax^* - b \end{cases}$

note the matrix form of optimal condition is: $\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix}^* \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x \\ \omega \end{bmatrix} = -\begin{bmatrix} \nabla f(x) \\ Ax - b \end{bmatrix}$

let $\Delta x = \Delta x_{pd}$; $\omega = \Delta \nu_{pd}$; $\nabla f(x) = r_{\text{dual}} - A^T \nu$; $Ax - b = r_{\text{pri}}$, we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{pd} \\ \Delta v_{pd} \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} r_{dual} - A^T v \\ r_{pri} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\text{i.e. } \begin{bmatrix} \nabla^2 f(x) & A^T \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} \Delta x_{pd} \\ v^+ \end{bmatrix} = - \begin{bmatrix} r_{dual} \\ r_{pri} \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } v^+ = v + \Delta v_{pd}$$

Therefore, $\Delta x_{nt} = \Delta x_{pd}$, namely, we can get the same Newton's step from primal-dual method (i.e. thinking from the perspective of "residual function", the only difference is to change the dual vector ω to the primal-dual vector $v^+ = v + \Delta v_{pd}$

P547 cv_book

Furthermore, we can switch to the (feasible) Newton method once feasibility is achieved.

(i.e. change the line search to one based on function values, and terminate when

$$\frac{1}{2} \lambda(x)^2 < \varepsilon \text{)}$$