# Prob 1. Prove that Gaussian elimination is a special case of conjugate direction method.

When Gaussian elimination is employed on a positive definite coefficient matrix  $A \in R^{n \times n}$  then prove that at stage  $k, A^{(k)}$  is obtained by a conjugate Gram-Schmidt algorithm using  $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$  where  $e_i$  is the i th natural coordinate axis in  $\mathbb{R}^{n-k+1}$ .

when n=2

$$egin{aligned} d_0 &= e_1 = inom{1}{0} - rac{e_1^T A e_2}{e_1^T A e_1} = -rac{a_{12}}{a_{11}} riangleq l \ d_1 &= e_2 - rac{e_1^T A e_2}{e_1^T A e_1} e_1 = inom{0}{1} + l inom{1}{0} = inom{l}{1} \ d_1 &= inom$$

Gaussian elimination:

$$egin{aligned} -rac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} & riangleq l \ & egin{aligned} & -rac{a_{21}}{a_{11}} & riangleq l \ & egin{aligned} & \left( egin{aligned} a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \ a_{21} & a_{22} & b_2 \end{aligned} 
ight) & \Rightarrow egin{aligned} & a_{11} & a_{12} & b_1 \ 0 & a_{22} + la_{12} & b_2 + lb_1 \end{array} 
ight) \ & x_2 = rac{lb_1 + b_2}{la_{12} + a_{22}} \ & x_1 = rac{b_1 - a_{12} x_2}{a_{11}} = rac{b_1}{a_{11}} + lx_2 \end{array} \Rightarrow x = egin{aligned} & rac{b_1}{a_{11}} + rac{lb_1 + b_2}{la_{21} + a_{22}} l \ & rac{lb_1 + b_2}{la_{21} + a_{22}} \end{aligned} 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

when n>2

$$\begin{aligned} d_i^\top A d_i &= d_i^\top A v_i \\ d_k &= v_k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \beta_{ki} d_i = v_k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{d_i^\top A v_k}{d_i^\top A v_i} d_i \\ \Rightarrow x^* &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha_i d_i = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{d_i^\top b}{d_i^\top A d_i} d_i \end{aligned}$$

Assuming n=k holds

$$\begin{split} A_i^{(2)} &= e_i^\top A^{(1)} - \frac{e_i^\top A^{(1)} e_i}{e_1^\top A^{(1)} e_1} \\ D^\top A D &= I \Rightarrow \quad A = \left(D^\top\right)^{-1} D^{-1} \Rightarrow A^{(2)} = T A^{(1)} \end{split}$$

$$A^{(2)}$$
 looks like  $\left[egin{array}{cc} lpha & w^ op \ 0 & eta \end{array}
ight]$ 

 $TA^{(1)}T^{\top}$  is Positive definite matrix.

Gaussian elimination:

$$egin{aligned} lpha_k &= v_k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} eta_{ki} d_i = V_k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} rac{d_i^ op A v_k}{d_i^ op A v_i} d_i \ &\Rightarrow x = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} rac{d_i^ op b}{di^ op A d_i} d_i \end{aligned}$$

Prob 2. Apply the Newton's method, gradient descent, conjugate gradient to the Rosenbrock function  $f(x_1,x_2)=(1-x_1)^2+100\big(x_2-x_1^2\big)^2$ . Compare and discuss the convergence rates of these methods.

## (1) calculate

#### <1> the Newton's method

```
#library('rgl')
2
    library('graphics')
    Rose = function(x){
5
       (1-x[1])^2 + 100 * (x[2]-x[1]^2)^2
 6
    Gradient1 = function(x){
     return(matrix(c(2*(x[1]-1)-400*(x[2]-x[1]^2)*x[1], 200*(x[2]-x[1]^2)*x[1])
    x[1]^2)),ncol=1))
10
    library(numDeriv)
    Newton = function(f, startpoint x1=1, startpoint x2=1, IterCountMax,
    h=0.01, tol=1e-12){
12
     IterCount = 0
13
     epsilon = tol
14
    x = c()
     x0 = matrix(c(startpoint_x1,startpoint_x2),ncol=1)
16
     x = cbind(x, x0)
17
     y value = c()
18
      y_value = cbind(y_value, Gradient1(x[,1]))
      while(IterCount <= IterCountMax & norm(y_value[,IterCount+1],'2') >
19
    epsilon) {
20
        x = cbind(x, x[,IterCount+1]-
    solve(hessian(f,x[,IterCount+1]))%**Gradient1(x[,IterCount+1]))
21
        y value = cbind(y value, Gradient1(x[,IterCount+1]))
22
        IterCount = IterCount + 1
```

```
23  }
24  return(data.frame('IterNum'=IterCount, 'root x'=x[1,IterCount], 'root
    y'=x[2,IterCount]))
25  }
26
27  Newton(Rose, startpoint_x1=10, startpoint_x2=10, IterCountMax=10000,
    h=0.01, tol=1e-12)
28
```

#### <2> gradient descent

```
Gradient_descent_Backtracking_line = function(f, startpoint_x1=1,
    startpoint_x2=1, IterCountMax, h=0.01, tol=1e-12){
 2
      beta = 0.001
      t = 0.1
 3
 4
      epsilon = tol
 5
     # Initial value
     Alpha2 = 0.3
 6
 7
      IterCount = 0
 8
     x0 = matrix(c(startpoint_x1,startpoint_x2),ncol=1)
9
     x = c()
10
     x = cbind(x, x0)
      x = cbind(x, x[,IterCount+1]-Alpha2 *
11
    grad(f,matrix(x[,IterCount+1],ncol=1)))
     # Iteration
12
13
      while(norm(matrix(x[,IterCount+2]-x[,IterCount+1], ncol=1),'2') >
    epsilon & IterCount<IterCountMax){</pre>
14
        if(f(x[,IterCount+2] - Alpha2*grad(f,matrix(x[,IterCount+2],ncol=1)))
    > f(x[,IterCount+2]) - Alpha2 * t *
    (norm(grad(f,matrix(x[,IterCount+2],ncol=1)),'2'))^2){
15
         Alpha2 = beta * Alpha2
16
        }
17
        x = cbind(x, x[,IterCount+2]-Alpha2 *
    grad(f,matrix(x[,IterCount+2],ncol=1)))
        IterCount = IterCount + 1
18
19
      return(data.frame('IterNum'=IterCount+2, 'Alpha2'=Alpha2,
20
    'x'=x[1,IterCount+2], 'y'=x[2,IterCount+2]))
2.1
    Gradient descent Backtracking line(f Rosen, startpoint x1=0.01,
22
    startpoint_x2=0.01, IterCountMax=100000, h=0.01, tol=1e-12)
```

```
1 | IterNum Alpha2 x y
2 | 1 | 100002 | 3e-04 | 0.99999982 | 0.99999964
```

#### <3> conjugate gradient

```
> optim(par=c(0,0), fn=f Rosen, method='CG')
 2
    $par
 3
    [1] 0.7344980 0.5382608
 5
    $value
    [1] 0.07030794
 6
 7
    $counts
 8
9
    function gradient
10
          373
                    101
11
12
    $convergence
13
    [1] 1
14
15
    $message
16
    NULL
```

It can be found that the Newton's method has the fastest convergence rate, the conjugate gradient method is slightly slower, and the gradient descent method is the slowest and it is difficult to converge to the correct result. The following will theoretically analyze the convergence rate of the three methods.

# (2) the convergence rate

#### <1> the Newton's method

For each iteration, the iterative update rule:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$$

For minimizing a function, the iterative update rule:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \left[ 
abla^2 f\left( x_k 
ight) 
ight]^{-1} 
abla f\left( x_k 
ight)$$

Recall that the goal of Newton method is to find the optimal  $x^*$  such that  $f(x^*) = 0$ , where  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . This section shows the <u>quadratic convergence rate</u> of Newton method.

Assume f has continuous second derivative at  $x^\star$ . By the 2 nd-order Taylor approximation, we know for a  $\xi_k$  in between  $x_k$  and  $x^\star$ :

$$0 = f\left(x^{\star}
ight) = f\left(x_{k}
ight) + \underbrace{
abla f\left(x_{k}
ight)}_{ ext{grad. at }x_{k}}\left(x^{\star} - x_{k}
ight) + rac{1}{2} \underbrace{
abla^{2} f\left(\xi_{k}
ight)}_{ ext{Hess. at }\xi_{k}}\!\left(x^{\star} - x_{k}
ight)^{2}$$

Suppose  $\left[ 
abla^{-1}f\left( x_{k}
ight) 
ight]$  exists and multiply  $\left[ 
abla^{-1}f\left( x_{k}
ight) 
ight]$  to both sides of equation, we have

$$0 = \left[\nabla^{-1} f(x_k)\right] f(x_k) + (x^* - x_k) + \frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla^{-1} f(x_k)\right] \nabla^2 f(\xi_k) (x^* - x_k)^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{\left(\left[\nabla^{-1} f(x_k)\right] f(x_k) - x_k\right)}_{-x_{k+1}} + x^* = -\frac{1}{2} \left[\nabla^{-1} f(x_k)\right] \nabla^2 f(\xi_k) \underbrace{\left(x^* - x_k\right)^2}_{\epsilon_k^2}$$

$$\Rightarrow \epsilon_{k+1} = -\frac{\nabla^2 f(\xi_k)}{2\nabla f(x_k)} \epsilon_k^2$$

Let  $M=\sup_{x,y} \frac{|\nabla^2 f(x)|}{|2\nabla f(y)|}<\infty$  is a bounded quantity, then we can say Newton method has a quadratic convergence rate by showing:

$$|\epsilon_{k+1}| \leq M\epsilon_k^2$$

Further if we assume  $|\epsilon_0|=|x^*-x_0|<1$ , we can say the error  $\epsilon_k$  converges to 0 with quadratic rate. p=2.

## <2> gradient descent

Consider the problem

$$x^* = rg \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} f(x)$$

and the following gradient method

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - lpha 
abla f\left(x^t
ight)$$

where f is L-smooth. In Theorem 2.1 we will prove <u>sublinear convergence</u> under the assumption that f is convex. In Theorem 2.2 we will prove <u>linear convergence</u> (a stronger form of convergence) under the assumption that f is  $\mu$ -strongly convex.

#### **Smoothness**

A differential function f is said to be L- smooth if its gradients are Lipschitz continuous, that is

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \le L\|x - y\|$$

If f is twice differentiable then we have, by using first order expansion

$$abla f(x) - 
abla f(x+lpha d) = \int_{t=0}^lpha 
abla^2 f(x+td) d$$

followed by taking the norm gives

$$\left\|\int_{t=0}^{lpha} 
abla^2 f(x+td)d
ight\|_2 \leq Llpha \|d\|_2$$

Dividing by  $\alpha$ 

$$\frac{\left\|\int_{t=0}^{\alpha} \nabla^2 f(x+td)d\right\|_2}{\alpha} \le L\|d\|_2$$

then dividing through by  $\|d\|$  with  $d \neq 0$  and taking the limit as lpha o 0 we have that

$$\frac{\left\|\int_{t=0}^{\alpha}\nabla^2 f(x+td)d\right\|_2}{\alpha\|d\|} = \frac{\left\|\alpha\nabla^2 f(x)d\right\|_2}{\alpha\|d\|} + O(\alpha) \underset{\alpha \to 0}{=} \frac{\left\|\alpha\nabla^2 f(x)d\right\|_2}{d} \leq L, \quad \forall d \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

Taking the supremum over  $d 
eq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  in the above gives

$$\nabla^2 f(x) \preceq LI$$

Furthermore, using the Taylor expansion of f(x) and the uniform bound over Hessian we have that

$$f(y) \leq f(x) + \langle 
abla f(x), y - x 
angle + rac{L}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2$$

Some direct consequences of the smoothness are given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1** If f is L- smooth then

$$f\left(x-rac{1}{L}
abla f(x)
ight)-f(x)\leq -rac{1}{2L}\|
abla f(x)\|_2^2$$

and

$$\left\|f\left(x^{st}
ight)-f(x)\leq-rac{1}{2L}\|
abla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}$$

hold for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Proof: The first inequality follows by inserting  $y=x-\frac{1}{L}\nabla f(x)$  in the definition of smoothness since

$$egin{aligned} f\left(x-rac{1}{L}
abla f(x)
ight) &\leq f(x)-rac{1}{L}\langle
abla f(x),
abla f(x)
angle +rac{L}{2}igg\|rac{1}{L}
abla f(x)igg\|_2^2 \ &= f(x)-rac{1}{2L}\|
abla f(x)\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, by using first inequality combined with  $f(x^*) \leq f(y) \quad \forall y$ , we get the second inequality Indeed since

$$f\left(x^{st}
ight) - f(x) \leq f\left(x - rac{1}{L}
abla f(x)
ight) - f(x) \leq -rac{1}{2L}\|
abla f(x)\|_{2}^{2}$$

**Lemma 2.2** If f(x) is convex and L-smooth then

$$f(y) - f(x) \leq \langle 
abla f(y), y - x 
angle - rac{1}{2L} \|
abla f(y) - 
abla f(x)\|_2^2$$
  $\langle 
abla f(y) - 
abla f(x), y - x 
angle \geq rac{1}{L} \|
abla f(x) - 
abla f(y)\| \quad ext{(Co-coercivity)}$ 

Proof: To prove this, it follows that

$$egin{aligned} f(y) - f(x) &= f(y) - f(z) + f(z) - f(x) \ &\leq \langle 
abla f(y), y - z 
angle + \langle 
abla f(x), z - x 
angle + rac{L}{2} \|z - x\|_2^2 \end{aligned}$$

Minimizing in z we have that

$$z = x - rac{1}{L}(
abla f(x) - 
abla f(y))$$

Substituting this in gives

$$\begin{split} f(y) - f(x) &= \left\langle \nabla f(y), y - x + \frac{1}{L} (\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)) \right\rangle - \frac{1}{L} \langle \nabla f(x), \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \rangle + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\langle \nabla f(y), y - x \right\rangle - \frac{1}{L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\langle \nabla f(y), y - x \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2L} \|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\|_2^2 \end{split}$$

Finally the second inequality follows from applying the first inequality once

$$|f(y)-f(x) \leq \langle 
abla f(y), y-x 
angle - rac{1}{2L} \|
abla f(y)-
abla f(x)\|_2^2$$

then interchanging the roles of x and y to get

$$|f(x)-f(y) \leq \langle 
abla f(x), x-y 
angle - rac{1}{2L} \|
abla f(y) - 
abla f(x)\|_2^2$$

Finally adding together the two above inequalities gives

$$0 \leq \langle 
abla f(y) - 
abla f(x), y - x 
angle - rac{1}{L} \| 
abla f(y) - 
abla f(x) \|_2^2$$

### **Strong convexity**

We can "strengthen" the notion of convexity by defining  $\mu$ - strong convexity, that is

$$f(y) \geq f(x) + \langle 
abla f(x), y - x 
angle + rac{\mu}{2} \|y - x\|_2^2, \quad orall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

**Theorem 2.1** Let f be convex and L - smooth and let  $x^t$  for  $t=1,\ldots,n$  be the sequence of iterates generated by the gradient method. It follows that

$$f(x^n) - f(x^*) \le \frac{2L||x^1 - x^*||^2}{n-1}$$

Proof: Let f be convex and L -smooth. It follows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| x^{t+1} - x^* \right\|_2^2 &= \left\| x^t - x^* - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f \left( x^t \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \left\| x^t - x^* \right\|_2^2 - 2 \frac{1}{L} \left\langle x^t - x^*, \nabla f \left( x^t \right) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{L^2} \left\| \nabla f \left( x^t \right) \right\|_2^2 \\ &\leq \left\| x^t - x^* \right\|_2^2 - \frac{1}{L^2} \left\| \nabla f \left( x^t \right) \right\|_2^2 \end{split}$$

The inequality is because of Lemma 2.2

Thus  $\|x^t - x^*\|_2^2$  is a decreasing sequence in t. Calling upon Lemma 2.1 and subtracting  $f(x^*)$  from both sides gives

$$\left\| f\left(x^{t+1}
ight) - f\left(x^{st}
ight) \leq f\left(x^{t}
ight) - f\left(x^{st}
ight) - rac{1}{2L} \left\|
abla f\left(x^{t}
ight)
ight\|_{2}^{2} \qquad (*)$$

Applying convexity we have that

$$egin{aligned} f\left(x^{t}
ight) - f\left(x^{*}
ight) &\leq \left\langle 
abla f\left(x^{t}
ight), x^{t} - x^{*}
ight
angle \ &\leq \left\|
abla f\left(x^{t}
ight)
ight\|_{2} \left\|x^{t} - x^{*}
ight\| &\leq_{(*)} \left\|
abla f\left(x^{t}
ight)
ight\|_{2} \left\|x^{1} - x^{*}
ight\| \end{aligned}$$

Isolating  $\left\| \nabla f\left( x^{t}\right) \right\| _{2}$  in the above and inserting in  $\left( st 
ight)$  gives

$$\left\| f\left(x^{t+1}
ight) - f\left(x^{st}
ight) 
ight\| \leq \underbrace{rac{1}{2L}rac{1}{\left\|x^{1}-x^{st}
ight\|^{2}}}_{eta} \left(f\left(x^{t}
ight) - f\left(x^{st}
ight)
ight)^{2}$$

Let  $\delta_{t}=f\left(x^{t}
ight)-f\left(x^{*}
ight)$  . since  $\delta_{t+1}\leq\delta_{t}$  Manipulating the above we have that

$$\delta_{t+1} \leq \delta_t - eta \delta_t^2 \stackrel{ imes rac{1}{\delta_t \delta_{t+1}}}{\Leftrightarrow} eta rac{\delta_t}{\delta_{t+1}} \leq rac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - rac{1}{\delta_t} \stackrel{\delta_{t+1} < \delta_t}{\Leftrightarrow} eta \leq rac{1}{\delta_{t+1}} - rac{1}{\delta_t}$$

Summing up both sides over  $t=1,\dots,n-1$  and using telescopic cancellation we have that

$$(n-1)eta \leq rac{1}{\delta_n} - rac{1}{\delta_1} \leq rac{1}{\delta_n}$$

**Theorem 2.2** Let f be L -smooth and  $\mu$  -strongly convex. From a given  $x_0\in\mathbb{R}^d$  and  $\frac{1}{L}\geq\alpha>0$ , the iterates

$$x^{t+1} = x^t - lpha 
abla f\left(x^t
ight)$$

converge according to

$$\left\|x^{t+1} - x^*\right\|_2^2 \le (1 - \alpha \mu)^{t+1} \left\|x^0 - x^*\right\|_2^2$$

In particular, or  $\alpha=\frac{1}{L}$  the iterates enjoy a linear convergence with a rate of  $\mu/L$ . Proof: we have that

$$egin{aligned} \left\| x^{t+1} - x^* 
ight\|_2^2 &= \left\| x^t - x^* - lpha 
abla f\left( x^t 
ight) 
ight\|_2^2 \ &= \left\| x^t - x^* 
ight\|_2^2 - 2lpha \left\langle 
abla f\left( x^t 
ight), x^t - x^* 
ight
angle + lpha^2 \left\| 
abla f\left( x^t 
ight) 
ight\|_2^2 \ &\leq (1 - lpha \mu) \left\| x^t - x^* 
ight\|_2^2 - 2lpha \left( f\left( x^t 
ight) - f\left( x^* 
ight) 
ight) + lpha^2 \left\| 
abla f\left( x^t 
ight) 
ight\|_2^2 \ &\leq (1 - lpha \mu) \left\| x^t - x^* 
ight\|_2^2 - 2lpha \left( f\left( x^t 
ight) - f\left( x^* 
ight) 
ight) + 2lpha^2 L \left( f\left( x^t 
ight) - f\left( x^* 
ight) 
ight) \ &= (1 - lpha \mu) \left\| x^t - x^* 
ight\|_2^2 - 2lpha (1 - lpha L) \left( f\left( x^t 
ight) - f\left( x^* 
ight) 
ight) \end{aligned}$$

The first inequality sign is due to strong convexity, and the second inequality sign is due to Lemma 2.1.

since  $rac{1}{L} \geq lpha$  we have that -2lpha(1-lpha L) is negative, and thus can be safely dropped to give

$$\left\|x^{t+1} - x^*\right\|_2^2 \le (1 - \alpha \mu) \left\|x^t - x^*\right\|_2^2$$

It now remains to unroll the recurrence.

#### <3> conjugate gradient

The conjugate gradient method is a method for minimizing the following quadratic functional:

$$x_* = rg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} arphi(x), \quad arphi(x) = rac{1}{2} x^T A x - b^T x$$

Let  $x_0$  be given initial guess.

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{Set} \, r_0 &= A x_0 - b ext{ and } p_0 = -r_0, k = 0 \ \operatorname{While} \, r_k &
eq 0 ext{ do} : \end{aligned} \ egin{aligned} &lpha_k &= rac{\|r_k\|^2}{\|p_k\|_A^2} \quad x_{k+1} = x_k + lpha_k p_k \ &r_{k+1} = r_k + lpha_k A p_k \ η_k &= rac{\|r_{k+1}\|^2}{\|r_k\|^2} \ &p_{k+1} = -r_k + eta_k p_k \ &\operatorname{Set} \, k = k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

end While

The error after t iterations of the CG algorithm can be bounded as follows:

$$\|x_*-x_t\|_A \leq rac{2}{\left(rac{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}
ight)^t+\left(rac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}
ight)^t}\|x_*-x_0\|_A \leq 2igg(rac{\sqrt{\kappa}-1}{\sqrt{\kappa}+1}igg)^t\|x_*-x_0\|_A$$

where  $\kappa = \kappa(A) = \lambda_n/\lambda_1$  is the condition number of A.

The method is typically linear and its speed is determined by the condition number  $\kappa(A)$  of the system matrix A: the larger  $\kappa(A)$  is, the slower the method.

Due to words limitations, we will not give a specific proof here.