

Financial Statistics

Homework 3

Chenghua Liu

liuch18@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

Department of Computer Science

Tsinghua University

1 3.2

For the GARCH(1,2) model

$$X_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 + b_2 \sigma_{t-2}^2$$

- (a) Express X_t^2 as an ARMA (2, 2) process.
- (b) Express the GARCH(1,2) as an ARCH(∞) model. Here for simplicity, you may assume that $1 - b_1 B - b_2 B^2 = (1 - c_1 B)(1 - c_2 B)$ for two real constants $c_1 \neq c_2$.
- (c) Derive the recursive formula for the multi-step ahead forecasts of volatility.

Solution:

(a)

Let $\eta_t = \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) = X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$. Then, the $\{\eta_t\}$ is a sequence of martingale differences and hence is a white noise process. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^2 &= \sigma_t^2 + \eta_t = a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2 + b_1 (X_{t-1}^2 - \eta_{t-1}) + b_2 (X_{t-2}^2 - \eta_{t-2}) + \eta_t \\ &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) X_{t-1}^2 + b_2 X_{t-2}^2 + \eta_t - b_1 \eta_{t-1} - b_2 \eta_{t-2} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\{X_t^2\} \sim \text{ARMA}(2, 2)$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - c_1 B)(1 - c_2 B) \sigma_t^2 &= \sigma_t^2 - b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2 - b_2 \sigma_{t-2}^2 = a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2 \\ \Rightarrow \sigma_t^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_1^i B^i \right) \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c_2^j B^j \right) (a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^i c_1^j c_2^{i-j} \right) B^i (a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2) \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\{\sigma_t^2\} \sim \text{ARCH}(\infty)$

(c)

Beacuse of $X_t^2 \sim \text{ARMA}(2, 2)$

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}_t(X_{t+k}) &= \text{E}_t X_{t+k}^2 \\ &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) \text{E}_t X_{t+k-1}^2 + b_2 \text{E}_t X_{t+k-2}^2\end{aligned}$$

Let k be 1,2,k, we have the formula of one step ahead forecasts of volatility

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}_t(X_{t+1}) &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) X_t^2 + b_2 X_{t-1}^2 \\ \text{Var}_t(X_{t+2}) &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) \text{Var}_t(X_{t+1}) + b_2 X_t^2 \\ \text{Var}_t(X_{t+k}) &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) \text{Var}_t(X_{t+k-1}) + b_2 \text{Var}_t(X_{t+k-2})\end{aligned}$$

which can iteratively calculate multi-step case. ■

2 3.4

Suppose that the volatilities of the daily log-returns of the Coco-Cola company follow the GARCH(1, 1) model

$$X_t = \sigma_t \varepsilon_t, \quad \sigma_t^2 = a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2 + b_1 \sigma_{t-1}^2$$

with $a_1 + b_1 < 1$ and $\varepsilon_t \sim N(0, 1)$.

- (a) If $a_0 = 0.006$, $a_1 = 0.05$ and $b_1 = 0.55$, is the tail of the distribution lighter than that of t_4 in terms of kurtosis?
- (b) What is the auto-correlation function of the series $\{X_t^2\}$?
- (c) If a_0, a_1 and b_1 are estimated as 0.006, 0.1 and 0.4 respectively with associated covariance matrix

$$10^{-4} \begin{pmatrix} 15 & 5 & 0 \\ 5 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 30 \end{pmatrix}$$

what is the estimated long-run variance (unconditional variance)? What is the associated standard error?

- (d) With the parameters in (a), if $X_T^2 = 0.02$ and $\sigma_T^2 = 0.03$, give the one-step and two-step forecast of the volatility.

Solution:

(a)

The kurtosis of X_t is

$$\kappa_x = \frac{EX_t^4}{(EX_t^2)^2} = \frac{3(1 - (a_1 + b_1)^2)}{1 - 2a_1^2 - (a_1 + b_1)^2} = 3.024$$

For student t distribution, kurtosis equal to $\frac{6}{\nu-2}$ when $\nu > 4$, equal to ∞ when $2 < \nu \leq 4$. So the tail of GARCH is lighter than t_4 .

(b)

Let $\eta_t = \sigma_t^2 (\varepsilon_t^2 - 1) = X_t^2 - \sigma_t^2$. Then, the $\{\eta_t\}$ is a sequence of martingale differences and hence is a white noise process. We have

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^2 &= \sigma_t^2 + \eta_t = a_0 + a_1 X_{t-1}^2 + b_1 (X_{t-1}^2 - \eta_{t-1}) + \eta_t \\ &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) X_{t-1}^2 + \eta_t - b_1 \eta_{t-1} \end{aligned}$$

which implies $\{X_t^2\} \sim \text{ARMA}(1, 1)$. Then we can easily get the ACF

$$\rho_X(h) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h = 0 \\ \frac{(\phi + \theta)(1 + \phi\theta)}{1 + 2\phi\theta + \theta^2} & \text{if } |h| = 1 \\ \phi\rho_X(h-1) & \text{if } |h| > 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\phi = a_1 + b_1$ and $\theta = -b_1$.

(c)

Firstly, we get the estimated long-run variance

$$\sigma_t^2 = \frac{a_0}{1 - a_1 - b_1} = 0.012$$

Using the Delta method, we have

$$\text{se}(\hat{\sigma}_t^2) \approx \sqrt{[f(\boldsymbol{\theta})']^T \mathbf{S} f(\boldsymbol{\theta})'} = 0.078$$

where $f(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})' = \left(\frac{1}{1 - a_1 - b_1}, \frac{a_0}{(1 - a_1 - b_1)^2}, \frac{a_0}{(1 - a_1 - b_1)^2} \right)^T$

(d)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}_T(X_{T+1}) &= a_0 + a_1 X_T^2 + b_1 \sigma_T^2 = 0.0235 \\ \text{Var}_T(X_{T+2}) &= a_0 + (a_1 + b_1) \text{Var}_T(X_{T+1}) = 0.0201 \end{aligned}$$

■

3 5.4

Assets 1 and 2 have the following covariance matrix:

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0.01 & -0.02 \\ -0.02 & 0.05 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) What is the covariance between portfolio A, which has 10% in asset 1 and 90% in asset 2, and portfolio B, which has 60% in asset 1 and 40% in asset 2?
- (b) Suppose that the excess returns of Assets 1 and 2 are 7% and 10%, respectively, what are the Sharpe ratios of portfolios A and B ?

Solution:

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(p_A, p_B) &= \text{Cov}(0.1a_1 + 0.9a_2, 0.6a_1 + 0.4a_2) \\ &= 0.06 \times 0.01 + (0.54 + 0.04) \times (-0.02) + 0.36 \times 0.05 \\ &= 0.007 \end{aligned}$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(p_A) &= 0.01 \text{Var}(a_1) + 0.81 \text{Var}(a_2) + 0.18 \text{Cov}(a_1, a_2) = 0.037 \\ S_A &= \frac{7\% \times 0.1 + 10\% \times 0.9}{\sqrt{0.037}} = 0.504 \\ \text{Var}(p_B) &= 0.36 \text{Var}(a_1) + 0.16 \text{Var}(a_2) + 0.48 \text{Cov}(a_1, a_2) = 0.002 \\ S_B &= \frac{7\% \times 0.6 + 10\% \times 0.4}{\sqrt{0.002}} = 1.834 \end{aligned}$$

■

4 5.10

Let Y be the excess returns of risky assets. Let $X = \mathbf{a}^T \mathbf{Y}$ be a portfolio with allocation vector a . Denote by $\Sigma = \text{var}(\mathbf{Y})$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu} = E\mathbf{Y}$. Consider the following decomposition (regression)

$$\mathbf{Y} = \boldsymbol{\alpha} + \boldsymbol{\beta}X + \varepsilon, \quad E\varepsilon = 0, \quad \text{cov}(\varepsilon, X) = 0$$

- (a) Show that if $a = c\Sigma^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}$ (optimal portfolio in the mean-variance efficiency), then $\alpha = 0$
- (b) Conversely, if $\alpha = 0$, there exists a constant c such that $a = c\Sigma^{-1}\boldsymbol{\mu}_0$.

Solution:

(a)

We have known that the market beta's given by

$$\beta = \frac{\text{cov}(Y, X)}{\text{var}(X)} = \frac{\Sigma a}{a^T \Sigma a}$$

According to $a = c\Sigma^{-1}\mu$, we have $\mu = \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a$. Now from the decomposition, we have

$$\alpha = EY - \beta EX = \mu - \beta a^T \mu = \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a - \frac{\Sigma a}{a^T \Sigma a} a^T \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a = \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a - \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a = 0$$

(b)

Note that $\alpha = 0$, then

$$0 = \alpha = EY - \beta EX = \mu - \beta a^T \mu = \mu - \frac{\Sigma a}{a^T \Sigma a} a^T \mu$$

To keep the equation hold, we need $\mu \propto \Sigma a$. Obviously, there exist a constant c subject to $\mu = \frac{1}{c}\Sigma a$ which leads to $a = c\Sigma^{-1}\mu$.

■