

## Homework 4

**1 True or False Questions****Problem 1**

False.

**Problem 2**

False.

**2 Q & A****Problem 3**

1. We can directly write out

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{JSD}(p||q) &= \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_z p(z) \log \frac{2p(z)}{p(z) + q(z)} + \sum_z q(z) \log \frac{2q(z)}{p(z) + q(z)} \right) \\
 &= - \sum_z \frac{p(z) + q(z)}{2} \log \frac{p(z) + q(z)}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_z p(z) \log p(z) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_z q(z) \log q(z) \\
 &= H\left(\frac{p+q}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}H(p) - \frac{1}{2}H(q).
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Since KL divergence is non-negative, we know that JSD is also non-negative. On the other hand, we claim that

$$\frac{p(z)}{2} \log p(z) + \frac{q(z)}{2} \log q(z) - \frac{p(z) + q(z)}{2} \log \frac{p(z) + q(z)}{2} \leq \frac{p(z) + q(z)}{2} \log 2.$$

In fact, since  $x \log x$  is a convex function, we have

$$p(z) \log p(z) + q(z) \log q(z) \leq (p(z) + q(z)) \log(p(z) + q(z)).$$

Thus, we are done.

3. We first show that

2

$$\sqrt{f(x, y)} + \sqrt{f(y, z)} \geq \sqrt{f(z, x)},$$

where the function  $f$  is defined as

$$f(x, y) = x \log \frac{2x}{x+y} + y \log \frac{2y}{x+y}.$$

This can be proved by taking a derivative of  $y$ . We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y} \sqrt{f(x, y)} = \frac{\log 2 + \log y - \log(x+y)}{2\sqrt{f(x, y)}}.$$

Now, we analyze the function

$$g(x, y) = \frac{\log \frac{2y}{x+y}}{2\sqrt{f(x, y)}} = \frac{\log \frac{2y}{x+y}}{2\sqrt{x \log \frac{2x}{x+y} + y \log \frac{2y}{x+y}}}.$$

First notice that  $g(x, y) > 0$  for  $x < y$ , and  $g(x, y) < 0$  for  $x > y$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (g(x, y))^2 = \frac{\log \frac{2y}{x+y}}{4} \cdot \frac{2 \left( -\frac{1}{x+y} \right) \left( x \log \frac{2x}{x+y} + y \log \frac{2y}{x+y} \right) - \log \frac{2y}{x+y} \log \frac{2x}{x+y}}{\left( x \log \frac{2x}{x+y} + y \log \frac{2y}{x+y} \right)^2}.$$

We then define

$$h(x, y) = -\frac{2x}{x+y} \log \frac{2x}{x+y} - \frac{2y}{x+y} \log \frac{2y}{x+y} - \log \frac{2y}{x+y} \log \frac{2x}{x+y}.$$

Notice that we can let  $p = \frac{2x}{x+y}$ , so it becomes

$$h_1(p) = -p \log p - (2-p) \log(2-p) - \log p \log(2-p).$$

We then take the derivative of  $p$ :

$$h'_1(p) = \log \frac{2-p}{p} - \frac{1}{p} \log(2-p) + \frac{1}{2-p} \log p,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dp} (h'_1(p) \cdot p(2-p)) &= p(2-p) \left( -\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2-p} \right) + (2-2p) \log \frac{2-p}{p} + \log(2-p) + 1 + \log p + 1 \\ &= (3-2p) \log(2-p) + (2p-1) \log p, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d^2}{dp^2} (h'_1(p) \cdot p(2-p)) &= -2\log(2-p) + 2\log p - \frac{3-2p}{2-p} + \frac{2p-1}{p} \\ &= 2\log \frac{p}{2-p} - \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2-p},\end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{d^3}{dp^3} (h'_1(p) \cdot p(2-p)) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{2-p} \right) + \frac{1}{p^2} + \frac{1}{(2-p)^2} > 0.$$

Thus, we know that  $\frac{d}{dp} (h'_1(p) \cdot p(2-p)) \geq 0$  always hold, so  $h'_1(p)$  is a strictly increasing function, implying that  $h_1(p) \geq 0$  always hold. We then know that  $g(x, y)$  is strictly increasing both when  $x < y$  and  $x > y$ . Moreover, when  $x \rightarrow y$ , we can find

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{x \rightarrow y} g(x, y)^2 &= \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{\left(\frac{y-x}{x+y}\right)^2}{x \log \frac{2x}{x+y} + y \log \frac{2y}{x+y}} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \lim_{x \rightarrow y} \frac{\frac{1}{2y^2}(x-y)}{\log \frac{2x}{x+y}} = \frac{1}{4y}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $g(x, y)$  **increases from  $\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{\log 2}{y}}$  to  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}}$  as  $x$  increases from 0 to  $y$ , and increases from  $-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{y}}$  to 0 as  $x$  increases from  $y$  to  $+\infty$ .**

We can then go back to the derivative of the original equation: the derivative of LHS w.r.t.  $y$  is

$$D = \frac{\partial \sqrt{f(x, y)}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \sqrt{f(y, z)}}{\partial y} = g(x, y) + g(z, y).$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $x \leq z$ . Then, when  $y < x$ , we have  $D < 0$ ; when  $y > z$ , we have  $D > 0$ . When  $x \leq y \leq z$ , we can notice that  $D$  is strictly decreasing, and since

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{y \rightarrow x^+} g(x, y) + g(z, y) &= g(z, x) + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{x}} > 0, \\ \lim_{y \rightarrow z^-} g(x, y) + g(z, y) &= -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{z}} + g(x, z) < 0,\end{aligned}$$

we know that there exists  $y_0 \in [x, z]$ , such that  $D(y_0) = 0$ ; moreover, when  $y > y_0$ ,  $D(y) < 0$ , and when  $y < y_0$ ,  $D(y) > 0$ . Thus, the function LHS( $y$ ) first decreases until  $y = x$ , then increases until  $y = y_0$ , then decreases again until  $y = z$ , then increases again. Thus, it suffices to verify that LHS( $y = x$ ) and LHS( $y = z$ ) are both no lesser than RHS, which is clearly the case. That finishes the proof of

$$\sqrt{f(x, y)} + \sqrt{f(y, z)} \geq \sqrt{f(z, x)}.$$

Finally, we may come back to the original problem. The inequality we have to prove

is

4

$$\sqrt{\sum_z \frac{1}{2} f(p_1(z), p_2(z))} + \sqrt{\sum_z \frac{1}{2} f(p_2(z), p_3(z))} \geq \sqrt{\sum_z \frac{1}{2} f(p_3(z), p_1(z))}.$$

However, notice the Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\sum_z f(p_1(z), p_2(z))} + \sqrt{\sum_z f(p_2(z), p_3(z))} &\geq \sqrt{\sum_z \left( \sqrt{f(p_1(z), p_2(z))} + \sqrt{f(p_2(z), p_3(z))} \right)^2} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\sum_z f(p_1(z), p_3(z))}, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done.

## Problem 4

1. Using the Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality, we have

$$W(p, q) = \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} [\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)]] .$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} W(p, q) + W(q, r) &= \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} [\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)]] + \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} [\mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim r}[f(x)]] \\ &\geq \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} [\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim r}[f(x)]] = W(p, r). \end{aligned}$$

2. We have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_x, p_{x+\epsilon}) &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \|x - y\| \gamma(x, y) dx dy \\ &= \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^n} \|\epsilon\| \gamma(x, x + \epsilon) dx d\epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and the constraints become

$$\begin{aligned} \int \gamma(x, x + \epsilon) d\epsilon &= p_x(x) \\ \int \gamma(x, y) dx &= p_{x+\epsilon}(y) = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_x}[p_\epsilon(y - x)]. \end{aligned}$$

To prove that  $W(p_x, p_{x+\epsilon}) \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|_2^2]}$ , we only have to construct a proper  $\gamma$ . We can simply pick  $\gamma(x, x + \epsilon) = p_x(x)p_\epsilon(\epsilon)$ , then the first constraint directly holds; the second

constraint holds since

$$\int \gamma(x, y) dx = \int p_x(x) p_\epsilon(y - x) dx = \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_x} [p_\epsilon(y - x)].$$

Finally, we compute

$$W(p_x, p_{x+\epsilon}) \leq \iint_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{R}^n} \|\epsilon\| p_x(x) p_\epsilon(\epsilon) dx d\epsilon = \mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|_2^2]}.$$

**3.** We first assume that the hints are true, then by triangle inequality and the hint,

$$W(p_r, p_g) \leq W(p_r, p_{r+\epsilon}) + W(p_g, p_{g+\epsilon}) + W(p_{r+\epsilon}, p_{g+\epsilon}) \leq 2V^{\frac{1}{2}} + C\delta(p_{r+\epsilon}, p_{g+\epsilon}).$$

Moreover, notice that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sqrt{\text{JSD}(p_{r+\epsilon} || p_{g+\epsilon})} &= \sqrt{2\text{KL}\left(p_{r+\epsilon} || \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right) + 2\text{KL}\left(p_{g+\epsilon} || \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right)} \\ &\geq \sqrt{\text{KL}\left(p_{r+\epsilon} || \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right)} + \sqrt{\text{KL}\left(p_{g+\epsilon} || \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right)} \\ &\geq \sqrt{2} \left( \delta\left(p_{r+\epsilon}, \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right) + \delta\left(p_{g+\epsilon}, \frac{p_{r+\epsilon} + p_{g+\epsilon}}{2}\right) \right) \\ &\geq \sqrt{2}\delta(p_{r+\epsilon}, p_{g+\epsilon}), \end{aligned}$$

so we are done.

**4.** The trick is that we may add noise  $\epsilon$  to both the real images and the generated images, and gradually decrease the variance of the noise. In this way, we may optimize the upper bound of  $W(p_r, p_g)$ , so the Wasserstein distance can be optimized.

The potential issue is that the noise may make the training more unstable, and the generator may go to undespected minimum since the objective  $\text{JSD}(p_{r+\epsilon} || p_{g+\epsilon})$  is different from the original objective  $\text{JSD}(p_r || p_g)$ .