

HW4

True or False

P1 False; No, we are expecting a lower FID score.

P2 False; We need to update the generator and discriminator step by step, one by one.

QA

P3 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 JSD(p||q) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(KL(p||\frac{p+q}{2}) + KL(q||\frac{p+q}{2}) \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_x p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2}} + \sum_x q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2}} \right) \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \left(- \sum_x (p(x) + q(x)) \log \frac{p(x) + q(x)}{2} + \sum_x p(x) \log p(x) + \sum_x q(x) \log q(x) \right) \\
 &= -H(p+q) + \frac{1}{2}(H(p) + H(q))
 \end{aligned}$$

2. Note that $H(x)$ is convex, thus the zero side of the inequality holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
 JSD(p||q) &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_x p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2}} + \sum_x q(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{\frac{p(x)+q(x)}{2}} \right) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_x p(x) \log 2 + \sum_x q(x) \log 2 \right) \leq \log 2
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved two side of the inequality.

3.

Theorem 1

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)^2]} + \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[g(x)^2]} \geq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x) + g(x)]^2}$$

Proof. Square two side of the inequality, we only need to show that

$$\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)^2]} \sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[g(x)^2]} \geq \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)g(x)]$$

Note that from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\sum_x p(x)f(x)^2 \sum_x p(x)g(x)^2 \geq \left(\sum_x p(x)f(x)g(x) \right)^2$$

Which indicate that

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)^2] \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[g(x)^2] \geq (\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)g(x)])^2$$

Bring this inequality back to the initial statement and we have proved the theorem. \square

Now we go back to the proof of initial statement.

We note that $a = p_1(x), b = p_2(x), c = p_3(x)$, from the theorem we proved(also note that the element under square is a non-negative number thus do not need to consider whether it is largher than 0 or not), we only need that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sqrt{\log b + \frac{a}{b} \log a - \frac{a+b}{b} \log \frac{a+b}{2}} + \sqrt{\log b + \frac{c}{b} \log c - \frac{b+c}{b} \log \frac{b+c}{2}} \\ & \geq \sqrt{\frac{a}{b} \log a + \frac{c}{b} \log c - \frac{a+c}{b} \log \frac{a+c}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

sqaure two side and we deduce the problem to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\log \frac{a+b}{2b} + \log \frac{b+c}{2b} + \frac{a}{b} \log \frac{a+b}{a+c} + \frac{c}{b} \log \frac{b+c}{a+c} \right) \\ & \leq 2 \sqrt{\log b + \frac{a}{b} \log a - \frac{a+b}{b} \log \frac{a+b}{2}} \sqrt{\log b + \frac{c}{b} \log c - \frac{b+c}{b} \log \frac{b+c}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

let $x = \frac{a+b}{2b}, y = \frac{c+b}{2b}$, we can rewrite the inequality as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\log x + \log y + (2x-1) \log \frac{x}{x+y-1} + (2y-1) \log \frac{y}{x+y-1} \right)^2 \\ & \leq 4((2x-1) \log(2x-1) - 2x \log x)((2y-1) \log(2y-1) - 2y \log y) \end{aligned}$$

derivate two part, we can gain the condition that the inequation has its local minimum from Lagrange multiplier(here if $x = 1$ or $y = 1$ then we already prove the inequality, thus we assume they are not equal to 1 to make the derivative meaningful)

$$\log \frac{x}{x+y-1} \left(\log x + \log y + (2x-1) \log \frac{x}{x+y-1} + (2y-1) \log \frac{y}{x+y-1} \right)$$

$$= 2 \log \frac{2x-1}{x} ((2y-1) \log(2y-1) - 2y \log y)$$

From the similar derivative to y , we combine them together and gain that:

$$\frac{\log x - \log(x+y-1)}{\log y - \log(x+y-1)} = \frac{f(x)}{f(y)} \quad (1)$$

$$f(x) = \frac{\log(2x-1) - \log x}{(2x-1) \log(2x-1) - 2x \log x}$$

Note that

$$f'(x) < 0 (x \geq 1)$$

bring this back to the equation 1, we have that with x increasing, LHS increase while RHS decrease, thus the solution of x is unique. Since $x = 1$ is a solution, thus the only solution is $x = 1$, which shows that the only local minimum of this inequation is at $x = y = 1$. Thus, the initial statement is proved.

P4 1. Note that from Kantorovich-Rubinstein duality, we have

$$W(p, q) = \sup_{\|f\|_L \leq 1} \|\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)]\|$$

thus for any f that $\|f\|_L \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p, r) + W(r, q) &\geq \|\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim r}[f(x)]\| + \|\mathbb{E}_{x \sim r}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)]\| \\ &\geq \|\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p}[f(x)] - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim q}[f(x)]\| \end{aligned}$$

thus $W(p, r) + W(r, q) \geq W(p, q)$

2. Note that from Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} W(p_x, p_{x+\epsilon}) &\leq \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_x, \epsilon \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)} \|x - (x + \epsilon)\|_2 \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|_2] \leq \sqrt{\mathbb{E}[\|\epsilon\|_2^2]} = \sqrt{V} \end{aligned}$$

3.

Lemma 1 Pinsker's inequality: for any two probability distribution p, q , we have

$$\delta(p, q) \leq \sqrt{\frac{1}{2} D_{KL}(p \| q)}$$

Proof. let $A = \{x | p(x) > q(x)\}$, then $\delta(p, q) = \sup_U \|p(U) - q(U)\| = p(A) - q(A)$

$$D_{KL}(p \| q) = \sum_x p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \geq \sum_x p(x) \left(1 - \frac{q(x)}{p(x)}\right) = p(A) - q(A) = \delta(p, q)$$

From the conclusion we proved in 1, we have

$$W(p_r, p_q) \leq W(p_r, p_{r+\epsilon}) + W(p_{r+\epsilon}, p_{q+\epsilon}) + W(p_{q+\epsilon}, p_q)$$

From the conclusion we proved in 2, we have

$$W(p_r, p_{r+\epsilon}) \leq \sqrt{V}, W(p_q, p_{q+\epsilon}) \leq \sqrt{V}$$

And from hint 1 we have:

$$W(p_{r+\epsilon}, p_{q+\epsilon}) \leq C\delta(p_x, p_y) \leq C\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}D_{KL}(p_x\|p_y)}$$

Where the first inequality is gained from: any point in the support set has a variance of at most C , thus can be easily proved from the definition. The second inequality is gained from Pinsker's inequality(lemma).

4. Here are some possible tricks for training GANs:

- Add Gaussian Noise to the input(from 3, the Wesserstein distance is bounded by the variance of the input, thus adding noise can help to stabilize the training process)
- Add a gradient penalty, since we need a f that $\|f\|_L \leq 1$, we can add a penalty term to the loss function to make sure that the gradient is bounded.

These might also cause some potential problems:

- Add noises to the pictures might degrade the quality of the generated pictures.
- If σ is too small, the approximation that using JSD term to approximate the Wesserstein distance might not be accurate.
- Unlike Wasserstein distance, JSD does not provide meaningful gradients when distributions are disjoint, leading to training instability