

HW5

True or False

P1 True; in the noise adding step, we could replace the word with [MASK] until a all-mask sentence. If we take a relatively large dimension of word embedding, For the position embedding part, we remain them unchanged(such as cosine embedding). Thus, the final embedding sequence still contain position information. $H_t = [h_t, e_t]$, where $h_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}h_0 + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon_t$. And the denoising step is to sample $P(H_{t-1}|H_t) = \text{Transformer}(H_t)$, and finally, after sample H_0 , use nearest neighbor search to find the most similar word in the dictionary.

Q&A

P2 1.

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = N(x_t|\sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1 - \alpha_t)I)$$

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1} + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon_t$$

We prove the equation by induction.

if x_{t-1} holds, for x_t , we have:

$$x_t = \sqrt{\alpha_t}(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}\epsilon') + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon_t$$

$$= \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_t + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$$

Where $\bar{\alpha}_t = \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}\alpha_t$, and $\sqrt{\alpha_t - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon' + \sqrt{1 - \alpha_t}\epsilon_t$ is a unit Gaussian with coefficient $\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$, thus equals to $\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon$, where $\epsilon \sim N(0, 1)$

2. Note that

$$q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) = \frac{q(x_t|x_{t-1}, x_0) \cdot q(x_{t-1}|x_0)}{q(x_t|x_0)} = \frac{q(x_t|x_{t-1}) \cdot q(x_{t-1}|x_0)}{q(x_t|x_0)} \sim q(x_t|x_{t-1}) \cdot q(x_{t-1}|x_0)$$

Also note that:

$$q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = N(x_t|\sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1 - \alpha_t)I), \quad q(x_{t-1}|x_0) = N(x_{t-1}|\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}x_0, \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}I)$$

Thus, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mu}_t &= \frac{\sqrt{\alpha_t}(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1})x_{t-1} + \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_{t-1}}(1 - \alpha_t)x_0}{\alpha_t(1 - \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}) + \bar{\alpha}_{t-1}(1 - \alpha_t)x_0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}(x_t - \frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}\epsilon)\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that $x_0 = \frac{x_t - \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}}$.

3. Note that $q(x_{1:T}|x_0) \sim q(x_{0:T})$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(x_0)} - \log p_\theta(x_0) &\leq \mathbb{E}_{q(x_0)} - \log p_\theta(x_0) + D_{KL}(q(x_{1:T}|x_0) \| p_\theta(x_{1:T}|x_0)) \\ &= \sum -q(x_0) \log p_\theta(x_0) + \sum q(x_{0:T}) \log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{1:T}|x_0)} \\ &= \sum -q(x_0) \log p_\theta(x_0) + \sum q(x_{0:T}) \log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)p_\theta(x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{0:T})} \\ &= \sum q(x_{0:T}) \log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{0:T})} - \mathbb{E}_{q(x_0)} \log p_\theta(x_0) + \mathbb{E}_{q(x_{0:T})} \log p_\theta(x_0)\end{aligned}$$

Note that $q(x_{0:T}) \sim q(x_0)$ and the expectation form does not contain any other form exclude x_0 , thus the later two forms cancel each other.

$$= \sum q(x_{0:T}) \log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{0:T})}$$

Thus the first half is proven.

For the later half, note that:

$$\log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{0:T})} = \log \frac{q(x_T|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_0|x_1)} + \sum_{t=2}^T \log \frac{q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)} - \log p_\theta(x_0|x_1)$$

take expectation on both sides, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}_{q(x_{0:T})} \log \frac{q(x_{1:T}|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{0:T})} &= \mathbb{E}_{q(x_{0:T})} \left[\log \frac{q(x_T|x_0)}{p_\theta(x_0|x_1)} + \sum_{t=2}^T \log \frac{q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0)}{p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)} - \log p_\theta(x_0|x_1) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_q \left[D_{KL}(q(x_T|x_0) \| p_\theta(x_0|x_1)) + \sum_{t=2}^T \mathbb{E}_q + \sum_{t=2}^T D_{KL}(q(x_{t-1}|x_t, x_0) \| p_\theta(x_{t-1}|x_t)) - \log p_\theta(x_0|x_1) \right]\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have show the total question.

4. Note that:

$$\begin{aligned}L_t &= \mathbb{E}_{x_0, \epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{2\|\Sigma_0\|_2^2} \|\tilde{\mu}_t(x_t, x_0) - \mu_\theta(x_t, t)\| \right] \\ \tilde{\mu}_t - \mu_\theta &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha_t}} \left(\frac{1 - \alpha_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}} (\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_\theta) \right), \|\tilde{\mu}_t - \mu_\theta\|^2 = \frac{(1 - \alpha_t)^2}{\alpha_t(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)} \|\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_\theta\|^2\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (bring in $x_t = \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_t$):

$$L_t = \mathbb{E}_{x_0, \epsilon} \left[\frac{1}{2\|\Sigma_0\|_2^2} \frac{(1 - \alpha_t)^2}{\alpha_t(1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)} \|\epsilon_0 - \epsilon_\theta(\sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0 + \sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}\epsilon_t, t)\|^2 \right]$$

And thus the initial statement is proven.

P3 From the definition of fisher divergence, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} F(p_{data} \| p_\theta) &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) - \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x)\|^2 \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x \log p_x(x)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x \log p_\theta(x)\|^2 - \nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} \left[\frac{1}{2} \|\nabla_x \log p_x(x)\|^2 - \nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) \right] + Const \end{aligned}$$

Thus we only need to show that:

$$\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} [\nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x)] = - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} [tr(\nabla_x^2 \log p_\theta(x))]$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} [\nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x)] \\ &= \int_x p_{data}(x) \nabla_x \log p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) dx \\ &= \int_x \nabla_x p_{data}(x) \cdot \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) dx = \int_x \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) dp_{data}(x) \\ &= \nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) p_{data}(x) \Big|_{-\infty}^{+\infty} - \int_x p_{data}(x) d\nabla_x \log p_\theta(x) \\ &= - \int_x p_{data}(x) tr(\nabla_x^2 \log p_\theta(x)) dx = - \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}} [tr(\nabla_x^2 \log p_\theta(x))] \end{aligned}$$

□

Thus the initial statement is proven.

P4

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{data}, \tilde{x} \sim q_\sigma(\cdot|x)} [\nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_\sigma(\tilde{x}|x)^T s_\theta(\tilde{x})] \\ &= \int p_{data}(x) q_\sigma(\tilde{x}|x) \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_\sigma(\tilde{x}|x)^T s_\theta(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} dx \\ &= \int p_{data}(x) \nabla_{\tilde{x}} q_\sigma(\tilde{x}|x)^T s_\theta(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} dx \end{aligned}$$

do the integral over x first, we could obtain that the equation equals to:

$$\int \nabla_{\tilde{x}} q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x})^T s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x} = \int q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x}) \nabla_{\tilde{x}} \log q_{\sigma}(\tilde{x})^T s_{\theta}(\tilde{x}) d\tilde{x}$$

Thus the initial statement holds.

P5 We begin with the process and the similarity between NCSN and DDPM.

Process of NCSN and DDPM:

1. NCSN $\sigma_1 > \dots > \sigma_T$, learn the probability distribution $s_{\theta}(x, \sigma_t) = \nabla_x \log p_{\sigma_t}(x)$
2. DDPM predict the denoising step, the forwarding step is defined as: $q(x_t|x_{t-1}) = N(x_t; \sqrt{\alpha_t}x_{t-1}, (1 - \alpha_t)I)$, and learn the denoising model $p_{\theta}(x_{t-1}|x_t)$

Similarity between NCSN and DDPM:

1. Denoising step similarity between NCSN and DDPM is that DDPM adjust the noise level latently ($\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}$) and NCSN adjust the noise level manually.
2. Same optimization goal between NCSN and DDPM: NCSN is to minimize

$$\mathbb{E} [\|s_{\theta}(x, \sigma_t) - \nabla_x \log p_{\sigma_t}(x)\|^2]$$

While DDPM is to minimize

$$\mathbb{E} [\|\epsilon_{\theta}(x_t, t) - \epsilon_t\|^2]$$

Note that

$$q(x_t|x_0) = N(x_t; \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0, (1 - \bar{\alpha}_t)I)$$

The score function of diffusion process could be defined as:

$$\nabla_{x_t} \log q(x_t|x_0) = -\frac{x_t - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} = -\frac{\epsilon_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}$$

Thus the denoising step of diffusion could be approximated as:

$$s_{\theta}(x_t, t) = \nabla_{x_t} \log q(x_t|x_0) = -\frac{x_t - \sqrt{\bar{\alpha}_t}x_0}{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t} = -\frac{\epsilon_t}{\sqrt{1 - \bar{\alpha}_t}}$$