

Homework 1

1 True or False Questions

Problem 1

False.

Problem 2

True.

Problem 3

False.

2 Q & A

Problem 4

We first prove the descent lemma mentioned in the class.

Descent Lemma $f(y) \leq f(x) + \nabla f(y-x)^T + \frac{L}{2} \|y-x\|^2$.

Proof By L smoothness, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(y) &= f(x) + \int_0^1 \nabla f(x + t(y-x))^T (y-x) dt \\ &\leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \int_0^1 [\nabla f(x + t(y-x)) - \nabla f]^T (y-x) dt \\ &\leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \int_0^1 \|\nabla f(x + t(y-x)) - \nabla f\| \cdot \|y-x\| dt \\ &\leq f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \int_0^1 L \|t(y-x)\| \cdot \|y-x\| dt \\ &= f(x) + \nabla f(x)^T (y-x) + \frac{1}{2} L \|y-x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done.

Now, we can prove the convergence. First, use our lemma and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^{k+1}) &\leq f(x^k) + \nabla f(x^k)^T(x^{k+1} - x^k) + \frac{L}{2} \|x^{k+1} - x^k\|^2 \\ &= f(x^k) - \frac{L}{2} \|x^k - x^{k+1}\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use the μ -convergence to get

$$\begin{aligned} f(x^*) &\geq f(x^k) + \nabla f(x^k)^T(x^* - x^k) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x^k - x^*\|^2 \\ &\geq f(x^k) + L(x^k - x^{k+1})^T(x^* - x^k) + \frac{\mu}{2} \|x^k - x^*\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these two together and note that $f(x^{k+1}) \geq f(x^*)$, we then have

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|x^k - x^*\|^2 \leq -\frac{L}{2} \|x^k - x^{k+1}\|^2 - L(x^k - x^{k+1})^T(x^* - x^k).$$

But notice that

$$\begin{aligned} &-\frac{L}{2} \|x^k - x^{k+1}\|^2 - L(x^k - x^{k+1})^T(x^* - x^k) \\ &= \left(-\frac{L}{2}\right) (x^k - x^{k+1})^T(-x^{k+1} + 2x^* - x^k) \\ &= \frac{L}{2} ((x^* - x^k) - (x^* - x^{k+1}))^T((x^* - x^k) + (x^* - x^{k+1})) \\ &= \frac{L}{2} (\|x^* - x^k\|^2 - \|x^* - x^{k+1}\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \|x^k - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{L}{2} (\|x^* - x^k\|^2 - \|x^* - x^{k+1}\|^2).$$

This implies that

$$\|x^k - x^*\|^2 \leq \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)^k \|x^0 - x^*\|^2,$$

so the iteration time

$$k = \frac{\ln\left(\left(\frac{R}{\epsilon}\right)^2\right)}{-\ln\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{L}\right)} = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} \left(2 \ln \frac{R}{\epsilon}\right)\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{L}{\mu} \ln \frac{R}{\epsilon}\right)$$

is enough.

Problem 5

3

We use the original function, i.e.

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 25x^2 & \text{if } x \leq 1 \\ x^2 + 48x - 24 & \text{if } 1 < x \leq 2 \\ 25x^2 - 48x + 72 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The sequence is uniquely determined after the first term x^0 is given. Now we state that **for even terms**, $x > 2$; **for odd terms**, $x < 1$. We first assume that and only have to verify it afterward. To facilitate our discussion, let $a_0 = b_0 = 3.3$, $x^{2k-1} = b_k$, $x^{2k} = a_k$. Then, a calculation yields

$$\begin{cases} b_{n+1} = \frac{48}{9} - \frac{37}{9}a_n - \frac{4}{9}b_n \\ a_{n+1} = -\frac{37}{9}b_{n+1} - \frac{4}{9}a_n = -\frac{1776}{81} + \frac{1333}{81}a_n + \frac{148}{81}b_n \end{cases}.$$

Let $c_n = a_n - 1.48$, $d_n = b_n + 0.52$, then

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_{n+1} \\ d_{n+1} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1333}{81} & \frac{148}{81} \\ -\frac{37}{9} & -\frac{4}{9} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{81} & 0 \\ 0 & 16 \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{-35} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} c_n \\ d_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ -9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{81^n} & 0 \\ 0 & 16^n \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{-35} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 9 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{91}{50} \\ \frac{191}{50} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{171}{350} \frac{1}{81^n} + \frac{404}{175} 16^n \\ \frac{1539}{350} \frac{1}{81^n} - \frac{101}{175} 16^n \end{pmatrix},$$

so we have solved the whole sequence. We can immediately notice that $a_n > a_0 > 2$ and $b_n \leq b_1 < 1$ for $n \geq 1$, so our assumption holds. Also, due to the factor 16, we know that the sequence is not going to converge.

Problem 6

Assume that $\nabla^2 f(x^k)$ is M -Lipchitz, then $\nabla f(x^k)$ is M -smooth. This leads to

$$\|\nabla f(x^*) - \nabla f(x^k) - \nabla^2 f(x^k)(x^* - x^k)\| \leq \frac{M}{2} \|x^k - x^*\|^2.$$

But $\nabla f(x^*) = 0$, so we can estimate the distance between x^{k+1} and x^* :

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^{k+1} - x^*\| &= \left\| x^k - x^* - (\nabla^2 f(x^k))^{-1} \nabla f(x^k) \right\| \\ &= \left\| (\nabla^2 f(x^k))^{-1} (\nabla^2 f(x^k)(x^k - x^*) - \nabla f(x^k)) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{M}{2} \|\nabla^2 f(x^k)\|^{-1} \|x^k - x^*\|^2 \leq \frac{M}{2\mu} \|x^k - x^*\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

so we are done.

4

Problem 7

We first demonstrate that $Z^l (l \geq 1)$ has a symmetric probability distribution. We prove this by showing that the probability distribution of the random variable $u = W_{ij}^l X_j^l = wv$ is symmetric, where j is arbitrary. We first write

$$p_u(a) = \int p_w\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) p_v(t) dt,$$

where the integration is over the t at which both of the two probabilities are nonzero. Now,

$$p_u(-a) = \int p_w\left(\frac{-a}{t}\right) p_v(t) dt = \int p_w\left(\frac{a}{t}\right) p_v(t) dt = p_u(a)$$

by to the symmetry of p_w . Then, we are done.

After that, we then know that ReLU will reduce the variance by half, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(Z_i^l) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_j W_{ij}^l \text{ReLU}(Z_j^{l-1})\right) \\ &= \sum_j E((W_{ij}^l)^2 \text{ReLU}(Z_j^{l-1})^2) - \left(\sum_j E(W_{ij}^l \text{ReLU}(Z_j^{l-1}))\right)^2 \\ &= \sum_j \text{Var}(W_{ij}^l) E((\text{ReLU}(Z_j^{l-1}))^2) \\ &= \sum_j \text{Var}(W_{ij}^l) \cdot \frac{1}{2} E((Z_j^{l-1})^2) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Var}(W^l) \text{Var}(Z^{l-1}). \end{aligned}$$

(Here Z^{l-1} is the total variance for the $(l-1)$ -layer neurons.) Now, since

$$\text{Var}(Z^l) = h_l \text{Var}(Z_i^l),$$

we immediately obtain that $\text{Var}(W^l) = \frac{2}{h_l}$, finishing the proof.