

GE2324 Assignment1

LIU Hengche 57854329

Q1:

(a):

- Iteration 1 - clustering:
 - Cluster 0 color indexes: [5, 14]
 - Cluster 1 color indexes: [1, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12, 15, 16]
 - Cluster 2 color indexes: [2, 6, 10, 13]
- Iteration 1 - new centroids:
 - Cluster 0 center: (176.0, 176.5, 157.0)
 - Cluster 1 center: (67.0, 96.5, 101.7)
 - Cluster 2 center: (203.2, 214.8, 219.8)
- Iteration 2 - clustering:
 - Cluster 0 color indexes: [5, 8, 9, 12, 14]
 - Cluster 1 color indexes: [1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 15, 16]
 - Cluster 2 color indexes: [2, 6, 10, 13]
- Iteration 2 - new centroids:
 - Cluster 0 center: (147.2, 162.4, 158.6)
 - Cluster 1 center: (40.9, 72.3, 76.9)
 - Cluster 2 center: (203.2, 214.8, 219.8)
- Iteration 3 - clustering:
 - Cluster 0 color indexes: [5, 8, 9, 12, 14]
 - Cluster 1 color indexes: [1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 15, 16]
 - Cluster 2 color indexes: [2, 6, 10, 13]
- **Final clustering result:**
 - Cluster 0 ((147.2, 162.4, 158.6)): [5, 8, 9, 12, 14]
 - Cluster 1 ((40.9, 72.3, 76.9)): [1, 3, 4, 7, 11, 15, 16]
 - Cluster 2 ((203.2, 214.8, 219.8)): [2, 6, 10, 13]

(b):

- Iteration 1 - clustering:
 - Cluster 0 color indexes: [1, 4, 7, 11]
 - Cluster 1 color indexes: [2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14]
 - Cluster 2 color indexes: [3, 9, 12, 15, 16]
- Iteration 1 - new centroids:
 - Cluster 0 centroids: (24.8, 49.5, 46.0)
 - Cluster 1 centroids: (186.1, 197.4, 197.9)

- Cluster 2 centroids: (86.6, 119.4, 128.2)
- Iteration 2 - clustering:
 - Cluster 0 color indexes: [1, 4, 7, 11]
 - Cluster 1 color indexes: [2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14]
 - Cluster 2 color indexes: [3, 9, 12, 15, 16]
- **Final clustering result:**
 - Cluster 0 ((24.8, 49.5, 46.0)): [1, 4, 7, 11]
 - Cluster 1 ((186.1, 197.4, 197.9)): [2, 5, 6, 8, 10, 13, 14]
 - Cluster 2 ((86.6, 119.4, 128.2)): [3, 9, 12, 15, 16]

No. The results are not the same. This is because K-means clustering converges to **local** optima, meaning the final clusters depend heavily on where the centroids start. Different initializations lead to different cluster boundaries.

Q2:

(a)

- Step 1: Means

$$\bar{X} = 50.00, \bar{Y} = 2.00$$

- Step 2: Individual Calculations

Index	X _i	Y _i	(X _i - \bar{X})	(Y _i - \bar{Y})	Product
1	50	1	0.00	-1.00	-0.00
2	30	2	-20.00	0.00	-0.00
3	80	3	30.00	1.00	30.00
4	20	1	-30.00	-1.00	30.00
5	60	2	10.00	0.00	0.00
6	40	1	-10.00	-1.00	10.00
7	70	3	20.00	1.00	20.00
8	10	1	-40.00	-1.00	40.00
9	90	4	40.00	2.00	80.00

- Step 3: Sum of Products

$$\text{Covariance term: } \sum (X_i - \bar{X})(Y_i - \bar{Y}) = 210.00$$

- Step 4: Standard Deviations

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\sum (X_i - \bar{X})^2} &= \sqrt{6000.00} = 77.46 \\ \sqrt{\sum (Y_i - \bar{Y})^2} &= \sqrt{10.00} = 3.16 \\ \text{Denominator} &= 244.95 \end{aligned}$$

- Step 5: Final Calculation

Pearson r = 0.857

- Conclusion:

There is a strong positive correlation ($r = 0.857$) between Distance Driven and Number of Previous Owners. This means:

- As distance driven increases, number of previous owners tends to INCREASE
- Vehicles with higher mileage generally have had MORE previous owners

(b)

=====

Analysis of Car Age vs Price

=====

Original Data:

Car Age	Price

5	20
3	25
8	15
2	30
6	18
4	22
7	16
1	35
9	12

Ranks with Tie Handling:

Car Age Rank	Price Rank	Difference (d)	d ²

5.00	5.00	0.00	0.00
3.00	7.00	-4.00	16.00
8.00	2.00	6.00	36.00
2.00	8.00	-6.00	36.00
6.00	4.00	2.00	4.00
4.00	6.00	-2.00	4.00
7.00	3.00	4.00	16.00
1.00	9.00	-8.00	64.00
9.00	1.00	8.00	64.00

Intermediate Calculations:

Sum of d² ($\sum d^2$) = 240.00

$n(n^2 - 1) = 9*(9^2 - 1) = 720$

Spearman's $\rho = 1 - (6*240.00)/720 = -1.000$

=====

Analysis of Previous Owners vs Price

=====

Original Data:

Previous Owners	Price

1	20
2	25
3	15
1	30
2	18

1	22
3	16
1	35
4	12

Ranks with Tie Handling:

Previous Owners Rank	Price Rank	Difference (d)	d ²

2.50	5.00	-2.50	6.25
5.50	7.00	-1.50	2.25
7.50	2.00	5.50	30.25
2.50	8.00	-5.50	30.25
5.50	4.00	1.50	2.25
2.50	6.00	-3.50	12.25
7.50	3.00	4.50	20.25
2.50	9.00	-6.50	42.25
9.00	1.00	8.00	64.00

Intermediate Calculations:

Sum of d² (Σd²) = 210.00

n(n² - 1) = 9*(9²-1) = 720

Spearman's ρ = 1 - (6*210.00)/720 = -0.750

FINAL CONCLUSION:

Car Age vs Price Correlation: ρ = -1.000
 Previous Owners vs Price Correlation: ρ = -0.750

Car Age is the stronger predictor of Price. There is a perfect negative correlation (ρ = -1.0), meaning car price decreases consistently as vehicles get older.

(c)

=====

PROBLEM 2: Kendall's Tau Calculation

=====

=====
 Calculating Kendall's Tau for Distance Driven vs Price
 =====

Pair-wise Analysis:

Pair (1,2): D

Pair (1,3): D

Pair (1,4): D

Pair (1,5): D

Pair (1,6): D

... Total 36 pairs analyzed

Count Summary:

Concordant pairs (C): 0

Discordant pairs (D): 36

Total pairs: 36.0

Kendall's Tau = (C-D)/Total = (0-36)/36.0 = -1.000

Final Kendall's Tau: $\tau = -1.000$