

Triangulated cat. of periodic complexes and orbit categories.

Def: let \mathcal{A} be an additive cat. $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be an autoequivalence.

The orbit cat. \mathcal{A}/T is defined to be

Objects: same with \mathcal{A} .

Morphisms: $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}/T}(X, Y) := \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(X, T^i Y)$.

Fact: ① $X \cong T^i X$ in \mathcal{A}/T .

② $\mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{T} \mathcal{A} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{A}/T$ i.e. $\pi \circ T \cong \pi$.

Moreover, for additive functor $f: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$.

if $f \circ T \cong f$, then $\exists \bar{f}$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{A} & \xrightarrow{T} & \mathcal{A} \\ \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \bar{f} & \downarrow \pi \\ \mathcal{A}/T & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \mathcal{B} \end{array}$$

Question: If \mathcal{A} is a Δ -cat, is \mathcal{A}/T a Δ -cat such that $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/T$ is an exact functor?

Example (Peng-Xiao 1997)

k field

Let R be an finite dimensional hereditary k -alg
Then $D^b(R\text{-mod})/[2] \simeq K_2(k\text{-proj})$ is a Δ -cat.

↑
Happel called this "root cat."

Fact: Neeman observed that the above question is negative.

$R = \frac{k[x]}{(x^2)}$, then $D^b(R\text{-mod})/[2]$ is NOT a Δ -cat.

Keller (2005) constructed the triangulated hull of certain orbit cat.
Idea: For a Δ -cat (\mathcal{A}, T) with a dg enhancement B .

If the equivalence $T: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ can lift to a dg equivalence $T: B \rightarrow B$.

then $\mathcal{A}/T \simeq H^0(B/T) \xrightarrow{\gamma} D(B/T)$.

We call $D(B/T)^c$ is the triangulated hull of \mathcal{A}/T .

• Here B is a pretriangulated cat.

i.e. $\gamma: H^0(B) \hookrightarrow D(B)^c$ is equivalence up to direct summands.

(\Rightarrow) This gives the Δ -structure of $H^0 B$

• $\mathcal{A} \simeq H^0 B$ Δ -equivalence.

Theorem (Zhao (2014), Stai (2015))

Let R be a finite dimensional alg. over a field and $\text{gl.dim } R < \infty$.
 Then $D^b(R\text{-mod})/[n] \hookrightarrow D_n(R\text{-mod})$ is the embedding of the orbit cat. into its Δ -hull.

This generalizes Peng Xiao's result.

Question: What's the triangulated hull of $D^b(R\text{-mod})/[n]$ for a general R .

Theorem (L)

Let R be a left Noetherian ring R . Then

$D^b(R\text{-mod})/[n] \hookrightarrow K_n(R\text{-Inj})^c$, $\text{perf}(R)/[n] \hookrightarrow D_n(R\text{-Mod})^c$.
 are the embeddings of the orbit cat. into their Δ -hull.

Rmk: ① The result is inspired by

$$D^b(R\text{-mod}) \simeq K(R\text{-Inj})^c$$

$$\text{perf}(R) \simeq D(R\text{-Mod})^c.$$

② The above result generalizes Zhao and Stas's result.
 if $\text{gl. dim } R < \infty$. Then $K_n(R\text{-Inj}) \simeq D_n(R\text{Mod})$.

$$\text{and } D_n(R\text{Mod})^c \simeq D_n(R\text{mod}).$$

Now we study Δ -cat. of periodic complexes.

Let \mathcal{A} be an additive cat. Let $C_n(\mathcal{A})$ denote the cat. of n -periodic complexes.

$C_n(\mathcal{A})$: Objects : n -periodic complex (a complex X is n -periodic if $X^i = X^{i+n}$, $d^i = d^{i+n}$)
 Morphism : $f: X \rightarrow Y$. (f chain map, $f^i = f^{i+n}$)

Fact : $C_n(\mathcal{A})$ is abelian cat.

Similarly, one can define homotopy and cone

\rightarrow Thus we can get homotopy cat $K_n(\mathcal{A})$ (This is Δ -cat.)

If \mathcal{A} is abelian cat. $D_n(\mathcal{A}) := K_n(\mathcal{A}) / \text{acyclic complexes}$.

Fact : if \mathcal{A} has \oplus , then $(C(\mathcal{A}), C(\mathcal{A}), K(\mathcal{A}), K_n(\mathcal{A}))$ have \oplus .

If \mathcal{A} is an AB4 cat. (has \oplus and \oplus is exact), then $D(\mathcal{A})$ and $D_n(\mathcal{A})$ have \oplus .

If \mathcal{A} has \oplus . given a complex over \mathcal{A} , one can construct

$$\Delta(K) := \cdots \rightarrow \coprod_{i \equiv 0 \pmod{n}} X^i \rightarrow \coprod_{i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}} X^i \rightarrow \cdots \in C_n(\mathcal{A}).$$

$$\Rightarrow C(\mathcal{A}) \xrightleftharpoons[\nabla]{\Delta} C_n(\mathcal{A}) \quad \text{adjoint pair. } (\Delta, \nabla \text{ are exact functors})$$

This induces adjoint $K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightleftharpoons[\nabla]{\Delta} K_n(\mathcal{A})$ between Δ -cat.

cart: $\eta_X: X \rightarrow \nabla \Delta X = \coprod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} X[ni]$ is a split injection.

If \mathcal{A} is AB4, $\exists D(\mathcal{A}) \xrightleftharpoons[\Delta]{\Delta} D_n(\mathcal{A})$ between Δ -cat.

prop: ① Let \mathcal{A} be an additive cat with \oplus . Then $\Delta: K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{A})$ preserves compact objects. If $K(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly gen, then $K_n(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly gen. by $\Delta(K(\mathcal{A})^c)$ and Δ induces a fully faithful functor

$$\Delta: K(\mathcal{A})^c / \langle n \rangle \hookrightarrow K_n(\mathcal{A})^c.$$

② let \mathcal{A} be an AB4 cat. Then $\Delta: D(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow D_n(\mathcal{A})$ preserves compact obj'. If $D(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly gen. then $D_n(\mathcal{A})$ is also compactly gen. and Δ induces a fully faithful functor $\Delta: D(\mathcal{A})^c / \langle n \rangle \hookrightarrow D_n(\mathcal{A})^c$.

proof: We prove ① for example.

1. let $x \in K(\mathcal{A})^c$. want: Δx is compact.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{K_n(\mathcal{A})}(\Delta x, \coprod_i \gamma_i) &\cong \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(x, \nabla(\coprod_i \gamma_i)) \\ &\quad \begin{array}{c} \text{X compact} \\ \downarrow \\ \cong \\ \coprod_i \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(x, \nabla(\gamma_i)) \\ \cong \\ \coprod_i \text{Hom}_{K_n(\mathcal{A})}(\Delta x, \gamma_i) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} \text{by } \Delta \text{ preserves } \oplus \\ \nwarrow \\ \coprod_i \nabla(\gamma_i) \end{array} \\ &\cong \coprod_i \text{Hom}_{K_n(\mathcal{A})}(\Delta x, \gamma_i). \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \Delta x$ is compact.

2. If $K(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly gen, we want to show $K_n(\mathcal{A})$ is compactly gen. by $\Delta(K(\mathcal{A})^c)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Assume } \text{Hom}_{K_n(\mathcal{A})}(\Delta(K(\mathcal{A})^c), x) = 0 &\Rightarrow x = 0 \\ &\quad \text{by assumption} \quad \updownarrow \text{exercise} \\ \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(K(\mathcal{A})^c, \nabla x) = 0 &\Rightarrow \nabla x = 0 \end{aligned}$$

3. $K(\mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{\Delta} K_n(\mathcal{A})$ Note that $X[n] \cong X(n)$, $x \in X^i \mapsto (n)^{n-i}_x$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K(\mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\Delta} & K_n(\mathcal{A}) \\ \downarrow \pi & \nearrow \bar{\Delta} & \\ K(\mathcal{A})/[n] & \xrightarrow{\bar{\Delta}} & K_n(\mathcal{A})^c \end{array}$$

$\Delta \circ [n] \cong \Delta \circ (n) \cong \Delta$.

$\bar{\Delta}$ restricts $\bar{\Delta}: K(\mathcal{A})^c/[n] \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{A})^c$.

Want: this is f.f.

Indeed, if $x, y \in K(\mathcal{A})^c$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{K_n(\mathcal{A})}(\Delta x, \Delta y) &\cong \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(x, \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \gamma[n]^i y) \\ &\cong \bigvee_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(x, \gamma[n]^i y) \\ &:= \text{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})/[n]}(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\bar{\Delta}: K(\mathcal{A})^c/[n] \rightarrow K_n(\mathcal{A})^c$ is f.f.

□

Corollary: There are fully faithful embeddings:

$$\bar{\Delta} \circ i: D^b(R\text{-mod})/[n] \hookrightarrow K_n(R\text{-inj})^c$$

$$\bar{\Delta}: \text{perf}(R)/[n] \hookrightarrow D_n(R\text{-Mod})^c.$$

$K_n(R\text{-inj})^c$ is compactly gen. by image of $\bar{\Delta} \circ i$. $D_n(R\text{-Mod})$ is compactly gen. by image of $\bar{\Delta}$.

Proof: $i: D^b(R\text{-mod}) \xrightarrow{\text{Krause}} K(R\text{-inj})^c \xrightarrow{\Delta} K_n(R\text{-inj})^c$.

$$\text{perf}(R) = D(R\text{-Mod})^c \xrightarrow{\Delta} D_n(R\text{-Mod})^c$$

The desired result follows from last proposition.

□

Note sheet: $i: D^b(R\text{-mod}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K(R\text{-Inj})^c$.

$$\text{Im } i = \{ X \in K(R\text{-Inj}) \mid H^i(X) = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} H^j(X) \text{ is f.g. } R\text{-mod} \}$$

$$:= K^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})$$

$$= H^0(C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj}))$$

with same obj. in $K^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})$

Morphism space is hom complex.

This is a dg enhancement of $D^b(R\text{-mod})$

$\text{perf}_R(R)$: same objs in $\text{perf}(R)$

{ Morphism space is hom space

→ dg enhancement of $\text{perf}(R)$.

Theorem (L)

$$D(C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})/[n]) \simeq K_n(R\text{-Inj})$$

$$D(\text{perf}_R(R)/[n]) \simeq D_n(R\text{-Mod}).$$

Remark: This will imply the embedding of the orbit into its triangulated hull.

Sketch proof:

the first one.

$$\Phi: K_n(R\text{-Inj}) \longrightarrow D(C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})/[n])$$

$$X \longmapsto \text{Hom}[-, X]$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^\wedge: C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj}) & \rightarrow & \text{Gg}(R) \\ \downarrow & & \nearrow \\ (C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})/[n]) & & \end{array}$$

Fact: Φ preserves \oplus .

\exists comm. diagram:

$$K_n(R\text{-Inj}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} D(C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})/[n])$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \uparrow \text{in} & & \uparrow \gamma \\ K_n(R\text{-Inj})^c & \xleftarrow{\sim} & H^0(C_{\text{Inj}}^{+,f}(R\text{-Inj})/[n]) \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ is equivalence

\square