

Expected Conditional Characteristic Function-based Measures for Testing Independence

Supplementary Material

Chenlu Ke and Xiangrong Yin*

Abstract

In this supplementary file, we include detailed proofs of our theorems.

1. Proof of Theorem 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\omega}^2(\mathbf{y}) &= \int |\varphi_{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u}) - \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u})|^2 d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= \int \left\{ \varphi_{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u}) \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u}) - \varphi_{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u}) \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}=\mathbf{y}}(\mathbf{u}) \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) + \varphi_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) \bar{\varphi}_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{u}) \right\} d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= \int \left\{ E \left[e^{i(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}')^T \mathbf{u}} | \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{y} \right] - E \left[e^{i(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}')^T \mathbf{u}} | \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - E \left[e^{i(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}')^T \mathbf{u}} | \mathbf{Y}' = \mathbf{y} \right] + E \left[e^{i(\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{X}')^T \mathbf{u}} \right] \right\} d\omega(\mathbf{u}) \\
&= E_{\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{X}'_y} K(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}') - 2E_{\mathbf{X}_y, \mathbf{X}'} K(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}') + E_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'} K(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'). \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

2. **Proof of Theorem 3.** Since K generates ρ , we can write $\rho(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') = K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + K(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}') - 2K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')$. Denote $\nu = \mathbf{P} - \mathbf{Q}$, then

$$D_{\rho}(\mathbf{y}) = - \int \int [K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) + K(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}') - 2K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}')] d\nu(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}')$$

*Department of Statistics, University of Kentucky, 725 Rose St. Lexington, KY 40536-0082.

Email: chenlu.ke@uky.edu; yinxiangrong@uky.edu

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2 \int K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}) \int d\nu(\mathbf{x}') + 2 \int \int K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') d\nu(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= 2 \int \int K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') d\nu(\mathbf{x}) d\nu(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= 2\gamma_K^2(\mathbf{y}).
\end{aligned}$$

□

3. Proof of Theorem 5.

(1) By definition, $\mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \geq 0$.

For arbitrary \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{x}' in \mathbb{R}^p , $\begin{pmatrix} K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}) & K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \\ K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') & K(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}') \end{pmatrix}$ is positive semi-definite since K is a symmetric and positive definite kernel. Hence, $K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}') \leq \sqrt{K(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x})K(\mathbf{x}', \mathbf{x}')} , \forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{x}' \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{\mathbf{Y}} E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{X}'_{\mathbf{Y}}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') &\leq E_{\mathbf{Y}} E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{X}'_{\mathbf{Y}}} \sqrt{K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})K(\mathbf{X}', \mathbf{X}')} \\
&= E_{\mathbf{Y}} \left[E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}} \sqrt{K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})} \right]^2 \\
&\leq E_{\mathbf{Y}} E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) \\
&= E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \leq \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X})$.

(2) $\rho_K(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = 1$ iff $E_{\mathbf{Y}} E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{Y}}, \mathbf{X}'_{\mathbf{Y}}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X})$. All the inequalities in

(1) become equalities iff \mathbf{X} is a function of \mathbf{Y} .

4. Proof of Theorem 6.

Let $K_{ij} \equiv K(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j)$ and $I_i^{(l)} \equiv I\{\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y}^{(l)}\}$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) &= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n_l} K(\mathbf{X}_i^{(l)}, \mathbf{X}_j^{(l)}) - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n K(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j) \\
&= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{ij} I_i^{(l)} I_j^{(l)} - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{ij} \\
&\equiv \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} V_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(0)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $V_n^{(1)}, \dots, V_n^{(L)}$ and $V_n^{(0)}$ are V-statistics. We denote the corresponding U-statistics by $U_n^{(1)}, \dots, U_n^{(L)}$ and $U_n^{(0)}$, respectively. Applying the Strong Law of Large Numbers for U-statistic (Hoeffding, 1961), we have

$$U_n^{(l)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} E \left[K_{12} I_1^{(l)} I_2^{(l)} \right], \quad l = 1, \dots, L,$$

$$U_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} EK_{12},$$

$$\frac{n_l}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I_i^{(l)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} p_l.$$

Note that $E_{\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{y}^{(l)}}, \mathbf{X}'_{\mathbf{y}^{(l)}}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}') = \frac{1}{p_l^2} E \left[K_{12} I_1^{(l)} I_2^{(l)} \right]$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} U_n^{(l)} - U_n^{(0)} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}).$$

Since $EK_{12} \leq E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) < \infty$, we have $E|U_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(l)}| = O(n^{-1})$ by Lemma 5.7.3 in Serfling (1980) and hence, $P(|U_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(l)}| < \epsilon) \leq \frac{E|U_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(l)}|}{\epsilon} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any $\epsilon > 0$, i.e., $U_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(l)} \xrightarrow{P} 0$ by Markov's inequality for $l = 0, 1, \dots, L$. Consequently,

$$\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}). \quad \square$$

5. Proof of Theorem 7.

Let $K_{ij} \equiv K(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j)$, $I_i^{(l)} \equiv I\{\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{y}^{(l)}\}$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$ and $\tilde{K}_{ij} \equiv \tilde{K}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j) \equiv K(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j) - E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}) - E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}_j) + E_{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}'} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}')$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) &= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{ij} I_i^{(l)} I_j^{(l)} - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n K_{ij} \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{K}_{ij} I_i^{(l)} I_j^{(l)} - \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i,j=1}^n \tilde{K}_{ij} \\ &\equiv \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} V_n^{(l)} - V_n^{(0)}. \end{aligned}$$

where $V_n^{(l)}$ ($l = 1, \dots, L$) and $V_n^{(0)}$ are V-statistics. We denote the corresponding U-statistics by $U_n^{(l)} \equiv \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^{(l)}$ with kernel $h_{12}^{(l)} \equiv \tilde{K}_{12} I_1^{(l)} I_2^{(l)}$ for $l =$

$1, \dots, L$, and $U_n^{(0)} \equiv \frac{1}{n(n-1)} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^{(0)}$ with kernel $h_{12}^{(0)} \equiv \tilde{K}_{12}$, respectively. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} U_n^{(l)} - U_n^{(0)}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & n\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) - n\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} n(V_n^{(l)} - U_n^{(l)}) - n(V_n^{(0)} - U_n^{(0)}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_{ii} I_i^{(l)} - U_n^{(l)} \right] - \left[\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{K}_{ii} - U_n^{(0)} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{P} (L-1) \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X}). \end{aligned}$$

The above limit holds due to the null hypothesis. Thus our objective is to show

$$n\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{d} (L-1) \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X})(Q-1), \quad (*)$$

where $Q = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i Z_i^2$, $Z_i \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ and λ_i are positive constants with $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = 1$.

A representation for $h_{12}^{(l)}$, the same as in Serfling (1980, p.196), will be used. Let $\{\phi_m^{(l)}(\cdot)\}$ denote orthonormal eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\zeta_m^{(l)}\}$ defined in connection with $h_{12}^{(l)}$, i.e., $\{\phi_m^{(l)}(\cdot)\}$ satisfies the followings for $l = 0, \dots, L$:

- (i) $E_{(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2)} \left[h_{12}^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2) \right] = \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1)$
- (ii) $E \left[\phi_{m_1}^{(l)} \phi_{m_2}^{(l)} \right] = \begin{cases} 1, & m_1 = m_2 \\ 0, & m_1 \neq m_2 \end{cases}$
- (iii) $\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} E \left[h_{12}^{(l)} - \sum_{m=1}^M \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2) \right]^2 = 0$.

Then we write $h_{12}^{(l)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2)$. In the same sense, we have $h_1^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) \equiv E_{(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2)} h_{12}^{(l)} = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) E \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2)$. Note that $E_{\mathbf{X}_2} \tilde{K}_{12} = 0$ so $h_1^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) = 0$. Therefore, $E \phi_m^{(l)} = 0$ as $\text{Var} h_1^{(l)} = 0$, for all m .

Let $\{\phi_m(\cdot)\}$ denote orthonormal eigenfunctions corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\zeta_m\}$ defined in connection with \tilde{K}_{12} . Similarly, $E\phi_m = 0$. We can deduce from (i) and (ii) that:

- (a) $\phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_l}} \phi_m(\mathbf{X}_1) I_1^{(l)}$ for $l = 1, \dots, L$
- (b) $\phi_m^{(0)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) = \phi_m(\mathbf{X}_1)$,
- (c) $\frac{\zeta_m^{(l)}}{p_l} = \zeta_m^{(0)} = \zeta_m$, $l = 1, \dots, L$.

We explain (a) and (c) only and the rest follows from the same logic. From (i),

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) &= E_{(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2)} \left[h_{12}^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{Y}_2) \right] \\ &= \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mathbf{Y}_1 \neq \mathbf{y}^{(l)}, \\ p_l E_{\mathbf{X}_2} \left[\tilde{K}_{12} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_2, \mathbf{y}^{(l)}) \right], & \text{if } \mathbf{Y}_1 = \mathbf{y}^{(l)}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for $l = 1, \dots, L$. Hence, $\phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{Y}_1) = c \phi_m(\mathbf{X}_1) I_1^{(l)}$ for some constant c and $\frac{\zeta_m^{(l)}}{p_l} = \zeta_m$, for $l=1, \dots, L$. Required by (ii), $c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{p_l}}$.

Let $\tilde{H} \equiv \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m [\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_{m,j}^2 - (L-1)]$ and $\tilde{H}_M \equiv \sum_{m=1}^M \zeta_m [\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_{m,j}^2 - (L-1)]$, where $Z_{m,j} \stackrel{i.i.d}{\sim} N(0, 1)$. Putting $T_n^{(l)} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} h_{ij}^{(l)}$, we have $nU_n^{(l)} = \frac{n}{n-1} T_n^{(l)}$. In terms of the above representation for $h^{(l)}$, $T_n^{(l)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i) \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{Y}_j)$ and let $T_{n,M}^{(l)} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \neq j} \sum_{m=1}^M \zeta_m^{(l)} \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i) \phi_m^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_j, \mathbf{Y}_j)$ for $l = 0, \dots, L$. Eventually, we will show that

$$n\tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = \frac{n}{n-1} \left[\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_n^{(l)} - T_n^{(0)} \right] \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{H} \quad (**)$$

by using characteristic functions. The proof is decomposed into 3 parts.

- (1) Given $\epsilon > 0$ and s , $\left| Ee^{is\left(\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_n^{(l)} - T_n^{(0)}\right)} - Ee^{is\left(\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_{n,M}^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)}\right)} \right| < \epsilon$ for M and n sufficiently large.

Using the inequality $|e^{iz} - 1| \leq |z|$, we have

$$\left| Ee^{is\left(\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_n^{(l)} - T_n^{(0)}\right)} - Ee^{is\left(\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_{n,M}^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)}\right)} \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |s| E \left| \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \left(T_n^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(l)} \right) - \left(T_n^{(0)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)} \right) \right| \\
&\leq |s| \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} E \left| T_n^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(l)} \right| + E \left| T_n^{(0)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)} \right| \right\} \\
&\leq |s| \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} \left[E \left(T_n^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(l)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} + \left[E \left(T_n^{(0)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to Serfling (1980, p.197 - p.198), we can show that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m^2 = E \tilde{K}_{12}^2 < \infty$ since $E_{\mathbf{X}} K(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) < \infty$, and $E \left(T_n^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(l)} \right)^2 \leq 2^2 \sum_{m=M+1}^{\infty} \left[\zeta_m^{(l)} \right]^2$ for $l = 0, \dots, L$. Combining with the fact that $\frac{n}{n_l} \xrightarrow{a.s.} \frac{1}{p_l}$, the conclusion follows.

$$(2) \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_{n,M}^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)} \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{H}_M.$$

We may write

$$T_{n,M}^{(l)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \zeta_m^{(l)} \left[\left(W_{n,m}^{(l)} \right)^2 - R_{n,m}^{(l)} \right],$$

where $W_{n,m}^{(l)} \equiv n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \phi_K^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t)$ and $R_{n,m}^{(l)} = n^{-1} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[\phi_K^{(l)}(\mathbf{X}_t, \mathbf{Y}_t) \right]^2$.

From the foregoing considerations, it can be seen that

$$\mathbf{W}_{n,m} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} W_{n,m}^{(1)} & \dots & W_{n,m}^{(L)} & W_{n,m}^{(0)} \end{pmatrix}^T \xrightarrow{d} \mathbf{W}_m,$$

where $\mathbf{W}_m \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma)$ with

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \sqrt{p_1} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & \sqrt{p_L} \\ \sqrt{p_1} & \dots & \sqrt{p_L} & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and $\text{Cov}(\mathbf{W}_{n,m_1}, \mathbf{W}_{n,m_2}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $m_1 \neq m_2$.

Also, $R_{n,m}^{(l)} \xrightarrow{P} 1$ for $l = 0, \dots, L$. Let $\mathbf{i}^2 = 1$.

Let $\mathbf{A}_n \equiv \text{diag} \left(\sqrt{\frac{n}{n_1} p_1}, \dots, \sqrt{\frac{n}{n_L} p_L}, \mathbf{i} \right)$, then $\mathbf{A}_n \xrightarrow{P} \mathbf{A} \equiv \text{diag}(1 \dots 1 \mathbf{i})$

and $\mathbf{A} \Sigma \mathbf{A}^T$ has and only has non-zero eigenvalue 1 with multiplicity $L - 1$.

Therefore, $(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}_{n,m})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}_{n,m} \xrightarrow{d} \sum_{i=1}^{L-1} Z_i^2 \sim \chi_{L-1}^2$ as $Z_i \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(0, 1)$ and

$$\sum_{l=1}^L \frac{n}{n_l} T_{n,M}^{(l)} - T_{n,M}^{(0)} = \sum_{m=1}^M \zeta_m \left[(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}_{n,m})^T \mathbf{A} \mathbf{W}_{n,m} - \left(\sum_{l=1}^L p_l \frac{n}{n_l} R_{n,m}^{(l)} - R_{n,m}^{(0)} \right) \right]$$

$$\xrightarrow{d} \tilde{H}_M.$$

(3) Given $\epsilon > 0$, $\left| Ee^{is\tilde{H}} - Ee^{is\tilde{H}_M} \right| < \epsilon$ for M sufficiently large.

This can be seen by Serfling (1980, p.199).

Combining (1) to (3), we establish (**). To finish the proof of (*), note that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H} &= \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m \left[\sum_{j=1}^{L-1} Z_{m,j}^2 - (L-1) \right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}_i (Z_i^2 - 1), \text{ where } Z_i^2 \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, 1) \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\zeta}_i = (L-1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_i, \\ &= [(L-1) \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \zeta_i] (Q - 1), \text{ where } Q = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i Z_i^2 \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we need to show that $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m = \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X})$. Indeed,

$$\mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X}) = E_{\mathbf{X}} \tilde{K}(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m E \phi_m^2(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \zeta_m. \quad \square$$

6. Proof of Corollary 1.

$\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{X})$ by Theorem 6. If \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are independent, the conclusion follows from Theorem 6. If \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are dependent, $\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{P} \mathcal{H}_K^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) > 0$, and therefore, $n\mathcal{H}_{K,n}^2(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{P} \infty$. \square

7. Proof of Theorem 8.

Let $\mathbf{W} \equiv (\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ and $P_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5) \equiv G_{12}G_{13}d_{2345}$. Note that $P_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5)$ is not symmetric in its arguments, we hence re-write Γ_n^U as a U-statistic with a symmetric kernel, i.e.

$$\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = \binom{n}{5} \sum_{t_1 < \dots < t_5} \mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{W}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{t_5}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{W}_{t_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{t_5}) \equiv \frac{1}{5!} \sum_{\pi} P_n(\mathbf{W}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i_5})$ and \sum_{π} denotes summation over the $5!$ permutations (i_1, \dots, i_5) of (t_1, \dots, t_5) . Let $\theta_n = E\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5)$ and $\theta = E_{\mathbf{Y}}[\gamma_K^2(\mathbf{Y})f^2(\mathbf{Y})]$. Our goal is to show that $\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{P} \theta$. The proof involves two steps.

(1) $\theta_n = \theta + o_p(1)$.

First note that

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_n &= E(G_{12}G_{13}d_{2345}) \\ &= E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{23}) - 2E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{24}) + E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{45}) \\ &= \theta_{n1} + \theta_{n2} + \theta_{n3}\end{aligned}$$

Consider the first term,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{n1} &= \int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) h^{-2q} G\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2}{h}\right) G\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_3}{h}\right) \\ &\quad f(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{y}_1) f(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{y}_2) f(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{y}_3) d\mathbf{x}_1 d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 d\mathbf{y}_1 d\mathbf{y}_2 d\mathbf{y}_3 \\ &= \int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) f(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{x}_3 | \mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 \\ &\quad G(\mathbf{u}) G(\mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 \\ &= \int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) f(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{y}_1) f(\mathbf{x}_3 | \mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 f^3(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 + O_p(h^\nu)\end{aligned}$$

by Taylor expansion and condition (C1), (C3) and (C4). Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{n2} &= \int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_4) f(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{y}_1) f(\mathbf{x}_4) d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_4 f^3(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 + O_p(h^\nu) \\ \theta_{n3} &= \int K(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) f(\mathbf{x}_4) f(\mathbf{x}_5) d\mathbf{x}_4 d\mathbf{x}_5 f^3(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 + O_p(h^\nu)\end{aligned}$$

Combining the three terms, we have $\theta_n = \theta + o_p(1)$.

(2) $\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = \theta_n + o_p(1)$.

We adopt the H-decomposition in Lee (1990) and denote

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{nc}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_c) &\equiv E[\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5) | \mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_c], \\ P_{nc}(\mathbf{W}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i_c}) &\equiv E[P_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5) | \mathbf{W}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i_c}],\end{aligned}$$

where $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_c \leq 5$. Let $\phi_n^{(1)} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{n1}(\mathbf{W}_1) - \theta_n$ and

$$\phi_n^{(c)} \equiv \mathcal{P}_{nc}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_c) - \sum_{j=1}^{c-1} \sum_{(c,j)} \phi_n^{(j)}(\mathbf{W}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i_j}) - \theta_n,$$

where the $\sum_{(c,j)}$ is taken over all subsets $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq c$ of $\{1, \dots, c\}$.

Then

$$\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = E\mathcal{P}_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5) + \sum_{c=1}^5 \binom{5}{c} \Phi_n^{(c)},$$

where $\Phi_n^{(c)} = \binom{n}{c}^{-1} \sum_{(n,c)} \phi_n^{(c)}(\mathbf{W}_{i_1}, \dots, \mathbf{W}_{i_c})$ satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\Phi_n^{(c)}$ are uncorrelated with $E[\Phi_n^{(c)}] = 0$, $c = 1, \dots, 5$.
- (ii) $Var[\Phi_n^{(c)}] = \binom{n}{c}^{-1} Var[\phi_n^{(c)}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_c)]$.
- (iii) $Var[\phi_n^{(c)}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_c)] = \sum_{j=1}^c (-1)^{c-j} \binom{c}{j} Var[\mathcal{P}_{nj}(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_j)]$.

We first show that $Var[\Phi_n^{(1)}] = \frac{1}{n} Var[\mathcal{P}_{n1}(\mathbf{W}_1)] = O_p(\frac{1}{n})$. Note that $E[\mathcal{P}_{n1}^2(\mathbf{W}_1)]$

can be expanded into several terms as

$$E[\mathcal{P}_{n1}^2(\mathbf{W}_1)] = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=1}^5 E[P_{n1}^2(\mathbf{W}_i)],$$

and each of these terms can be shown to be $O_p(1)$. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[P_{n1}^2(\mathbf{W}_1)] \\ &= E[E^2(G_{12}G_{13}K_{23}|\mathbf{W}_1)] + 4E[E^2(G_{12}G_{13}K_{24}|\mathbf{W}_1)] + E[E^2(G_{12}G_{13}K_{45}|\mathbf{W}_1)] \\ & \quad - 4E[E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{23}|\mathbf{W}_1)E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{24}|\mathbf{W}_1)] - 4E[E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{45}|\mathbf{W}_1)E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{24}|\mathbf{W}_1)] \\ & \quad + 2E[E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{23}|\mathbf{W}_1)E(G_{12}G_{13}K_{45}|\mathbf{W}_1)] \\ &= E_{11} + 4E_{12} + E_{13} - 4E_{14} - 4E_{15} + E_{16}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{11} &= \int \left[\int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) h^{-2q} G\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_2}{h}\right) G\left(\frac{\mathbf{y}_1 - \mathbf{y}_3}{h}\right) f(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{y}_2) f(\mathbf{x}_3|\mathbf{y}_3) d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 \right. \\ & \quad \left. f(\mathbf{y}_2) f(\mathbf{y}_3) d\mathbf{y}_2 d\mathbf{y}_3 \right]^2 f(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 \\ &= \int \left[\int K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_3) G(\mathbf{u}) G(\mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{x}_2|\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{x}_3|\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x}_2 d\mathbf{x}_3 \right. \\ & \quad \left. f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{v} \right]^2 f(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int \left\{ \int \left[\int \sqrt{K(\mathbf{x}_2, \mathbf{x}_2)} f(\mathbf{x}_2 | \mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) d\mathbf{x}_2 \right] \left[\int \sqrt{K(\mathbf{x}_3, \mathbf{x}_3)} f(\mathbf{x}_3 | \mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{x}_3 \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. G(\mathbf{u}) G(\mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{u}) f(\mathbf{y}_1 + h\mathbf{v}) d\mathbf{u} d\mathbf{v} \right\}^2 f(\mathbf{y}_1) d\mathbf{y}_1 \\
&= O_p(1),
\end{aligned}$$

and $E_{1i} = O_p(1)$ for $i = 2, \dots, 6$, which can be shown analogously to above.

Therefore, $\text{Var}[\Phi_n^{(1)}] = O_p(\frac{1}{n})$. Furthermore, we can obtain that $\text{Var}[\Phi_n^{(2)}] = O_p(\frac{1}{n^2 h^q})$ and $\text{Var}[\Phi_n^{(c)}] = O_p(\frac{1}{n^c h^{2q}})$ for $c \geq 3$ by similar logic. Then by Chebyshev's inequality, $\Phi_n^{(c)} = o_p(1)$ for $c = 1, \dots, 5$ and hence, $\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) = \theta_n + o_p(1)$.

8. Proof of Theorem 9.

We continue to use the notations in the proof of Theorem 8. This proof is built upon Lemma B.4 in Fan and Li (1996). We first examine three prerequisites for $nh^{q/2}\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})$ to be asymptotically normally distributed.

(1) Under H_0 and assumption $E_{\mathbf{X}} K^2(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}) < \infty$, it is easy to show that $E[\mathcal{P}_{n1}(\mathbf{W}_1)] = 0$ and $E[\mathcal{P}_n^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \dots, \mathbf{W}_5)] < \infty$.

(2) When $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{E[\mathcal{G}_n^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)] + n^{-1} E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^4(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)]}{E^2[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)]} \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_n(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2) = E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_3) \mathcal{P}_{n2}(\mathbf{W}_2, \mathbf{W}_3) | \mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2].$$

Indeed, we can verify that $E[\mathcal{G}_n^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)] = O_p(h^{-q})$, $E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^4(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)] = O_p(h^{-3q})$ and $E^2[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)] = O_p(h^{-2q})$. The conclusion follows from the assumptions that $h^q \rightarrow 0$ and $nh^q \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(3) $\frac{E[\mathcal{P}_{nc}^2]}{E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^2]} = \frac{O_p(h^{-2q})}{O_p(h^{-q})} = O_p(n^{(c-2)})$ for $c = 3, 4, 5$.

According to Lemma B.4 in Fan and Li (1996), with (1)-(3) verified, it follows that $n\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y})$ is asymptotically distributed as $N(0, \frac{5^2(5-1)^2}{2}E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)])$. Note that $E[\mathcal{P}_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_1, \mathbf{W}_2)] = \frac{1}{100}E[P_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_2, \mathbf{W}_3)] + O_p(1)$ and $E[P_{n2}^2(\mathbf{W}_2, \mathbf{W}_3)] = h^{-q}(\sigma^2 + o_p(1))$, where

$$\sigma^2 = C^q [EK_{12}^2 - 2EK_{12}K_{13} + E^2K_{12}] Ef^3(\mathbf{Y})$$

and $C = \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\int_{\mathbb{R}} g(\mu + \nu)g(\mu)d\mu]^2 dv$. Therefore,

$$nh^{q/2}\Gamma_n^U(\mathbf{X}|\mathbf{Y}) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, 2\sigma^2).$$

References

- Fan, Y., & Li, Q. (1996), “Consistent model specification tests: omitted variables and semiparametric functional forms,” *Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society*, pp. 865–890.
- Hoeffding, W. (1961), The strong law of large numbers for u-statistics,, Technical report, North Carolina State University. Dept. of Statistics.
- Lee, A. J. (1990), *U-Statistics: Theory and Practice*, New York: Marcel Dekker.
- Serfling, R. J. (1980), *Approximation theorems of mathematical statistics*, Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons.