

1 Multivariate Bayesian Quantile Regression with Pólya Tree

1.1 Multivariate Pólya Tree

Due to the difficulty in definition of quantile in multivariate case and diversity of partition methods, there are only few literatures about Pólya tree priors for multivariate data. Paddock (1999, 2002) extended univariate Pólya tree (Lavine 1992, 1994) to multivariate case based on a q -dimensional hypercube. Partitions are constructed through a series of binary recursive perpendicular splits of each axis of the hypercube. Hanson (2006) proposed a q -dimensional location-scale mixture of finite Pólya tree which is a direct generalization of the univariate finite location-scale Pólya tree. Jara (2009) extended the multivariate Pólya tree prior based on Hanson (2006) with an additional parameter: directional orthogonal matrix.

Based on Hanson (2006) and Jara (2009), we briefly introduce multivariate Pólya tree prior as follows: Let $E = \{0, 1\}$, $E^m = \{0, 1\}^m$ be m -fold product of E , and $\pi_j = \{B_{\epsilon_{11}\dots\epsilon_{1j};\dots;\epsilon_{q1}\dots\epsilon_{qj}}; \epsilon_{ij} \in E\}$ be a level j partition set of Ω such that π_{j+1} are the 2^q finer partitions of π_j .

Definition 1.1.1 (Multivariate Pólya Tree Distribution) A q -dimensional random probability measure G is said to have a multivariate Pólya tree distribution with parameters (Π, \mathcal{A}) , if there exists nonnegative numbers $\mathcal{A} = \{\alpha_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q}; \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q \in E^j, j = 1, \dots, q\}$ (note: ϵ_i indicates which position the bin takes in level j with respect to i^{th} dimension) and random vectors $\mathcal{Y} = \{Y_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q}; \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q \in E^j, j = 1, \dots, q\}$, such that the following hold:

1. All of the random vectors in \mathcal{Y} are independent,
2. For $j = 1, \dots$ and for all $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q \in E^j$, $\mathbf{Y}_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q} \sim \text{Dirichlet}(\alpha_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q})$, where $\mathbf{Y}_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q} = \{y_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q\epsilon_q}; \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q \in E\}$ and $\alpha_{\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q} = \{\alpha_{\epsilon_1\epsilon_1;\dots;\epsilon_q\epsilon_q}; \epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_q \in E\}$,
3. For every $j = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$G(B_{\epsilon_{11},\dots,\epsilon_{1j};\dots;\epsilon_{q1}\dots\epsilon_{qj}}) = \prod_{l=1}^j Y_{\epsilon_{11}\dots\epsilon_{1l};\dots;\epsilon_{q1}\dots\epsilon_{ql}}.$$

Similar to univariate Pólya tree, the canonical way of partition construction is based on reverting cdf of the centering distribution. First, suppose G_0 is a univariate cdf and its corresponding pdf is $g_0(\omega)$. Define $g_0(\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_q)) = \prod_{i=1}^q g_0(\omega_i)$. Denote $\theta = (\mu_q, \Sigma_{q \times q})$ as location-scale parameters, then a family of location-scale baseline measures for multivariate Pólya tree have the following pdf forms $g_\theta(\omega) = |\Sigma|^{-1/2} g_0(\Sigma^{-1/2}(\omega - \mu))$.

For baseline measure $g_0(\omega)$, the partition Π_0^j of \mathbb{R}^q are obtained from cross-products of corresponding univariate partition sets. Denote

$$B_0(\epsilon_{11} \dots \epsilon_{1j}; \dots; \epsilon_{q1} \dots \epsilon_{qj}) = B_0(e_j(k_1)) \times B_0(e_j(k_2)) \times \dots \times B_0(e_j(k_q)),$$

where $B_0(e_j(k)) = (G_0^{-1}((k-1)2^{-j}), G_0^{-1}(k2^{-j}))$.

Denote $e_j(\mathbf{k}) = e_j(k_1); \dots; e_j(k_q)$, where $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_q)$, then partitions Π_θ^j from location-scale baseline measure family G_θ or $g_\theta(\omega)$ are defined as

$$B_\theta(e_j(\mathbf{k})) = \left\{ \mu + \Sigma^{1/2} \mathbf{y}; \mathbf{y} \in B_0(e_j(\mathbf{k})) \right\}.$$

Jara (2009) pointed out that the direction of the sets in Hanson (2006) is complete defined by the decomposition of the covariance matrix, the unique symmetric square root. Instead, he introduced another orthogonal matrix as additional parameter to control the direction of the sets.

Suppose $\Sigma = T'T$, where T is the unique upper triangular Cholesky matrix, then for any orthogonal matrix O , let $U = OT$, then U is also a square root of Σ . Therefore, if we put a prior for O on the space of all $q \times q$ orthogonal matrices, then we have a prior on all possible square roots of Σ , which control the direction of the partition sets.

The uniqueness in Lemma 1 (Jara 2009) can show it is well defined. In this way, the location-scale transformation induced partition sets $B_\theta(e_j(\mathbf{k})) = \{\mu + T'O'z; z \in B_0(e_j(\mathbf{k}))\}$. The Haar measure (Halmos 1950) provides an easy way to sample orthogonal matrix O "uniformly".

1.2 Multivariate Regression with Pólya Tree

State some motivation

In order to address clustered or correlated data, we propose to model multivariate errors directly, instead of adding random effects. We assume each component of subject's multivariate response can be affected by covariates respectively on its mean and variance, therefore we propose a heterogeneous q-dimensional multivariate regression model:

$$\mathbf{Y}_i = \mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{B} + (\mathbf{X}_i \mathbf{\Gamma}) \circ \mathbf{E}_i,$$

where $\mathbf{Y}_i = [y_{i1}, \dots, y_{iq}]^T$, $\mathbf{X}_i = [x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ip}]$, $\mathbf{E}_i = [\epsilon_{i1}, \dots, \epsilon_{iq}]$,

$$\mathbf{B}_{p \times q} = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \cdots & \beta_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{p1} & \cdots & \beta_{pq} \end{bmatrix} \quad \mathbf{\Gamma}_{p \times q} = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \cdots & \gamma_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{p1} & \cdots & \gamma_{pq} \end{bmatrix}$$

so suppose there n subjects and q dimensional responses for each subject:

$$\begin{bmatrix} y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_{n1} & \cdots & y_{nq} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times q} = \begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times p} \begin{bmatrix} \beta_{11} & \cdots & \beta_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \beta_{p1} & \cdots & \beta_{pq} \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} + \left(\begin{bmatrix} x_{11} & \cdots & x_{1p} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ x_{n1} & \cdots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times p} \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{11} & \cdots & \gamma_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \gamma_{p1} & \cdots & \gamma_{pq} \end{bmatrix}_{p \times q} \right) \circ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_{11} & \cdots & \epsilon_{1q} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \epsilon_{n1} & \cdots & \epsilon_{nq} \end{bmatrix}_{n \times q}$$

in which ' \circ ' is Hadamard product, aka entrywise product. We assign a multivariate Pólya tree prior on the error:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}_i &= [\epsilon_{i1}, \dots, \epsilon_{iq}]^T \stackrel{\text{i.i.d}}{\sim} G_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \\ G_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} | \boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{O} &\sim PT(\Pi^{\boldsymbol{\mu}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}, \mathbf{O}}, \mathcal{A}). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, in order not to confound with *beta* estimates, we set $\boldsymbol{\mu} = \mathbf{0}$ and medians for each component of $G_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ are fixed at 0. As to heterogeneity parameters γ_{ij} , for the same reason, we restrict $\gamma_{1j} = 1$ and for all $\gamma_{.j}$, $x_{i.} \gamma_{.j} > 0$ for all i, j .