# Package 'BayesQuantify'

# November 17, 2023

**Title** An R package for quantifying the strength of evidence for ACMG/AMP criteria using a Bayesian framework

Version 1.0.0

Description The ACMG/AMP guidelines have undergone continuous review and refinement for different rules, genes, and diseases, driving optimization and enhancing variant interpretation standards in genetic testing. In 2018, the ClinGen Sequence Variant Interpretation Working Group has proposed a Bayesian Classification Framework to model the ACMG/AMP guidelines. This framework has successfully quantified the thresholds for applying PM5 and PP3/BP4. However, there are challenges for clinicians in utilising the Bayesian Classification Framework, as tools and software for convincingly calculating the positive likelihood ratio are lacking.

The BayesQuantify R Package provide a comprehensive functions to define the thresholds for each evidence strength level.

```
License MIT + file LICENSE
Encoding UTF-8
Roxygen list(markdown = TRUE)
RoxygenNote 7.2.3
Imports bootLR,
     ComplexHeatmap,
     dplyr,
     ggplot2,
     gridExtra,
     patchwork,
     reshape2,
     scales,
     stringr,
     ggpie,
     stats,
     utils,
     circlize,
     plyr
Depends R (>= 4.1.0)
LazyData true
Suggests knitr,
     rmarkdown
VignetteBuilder knitr
```

2 ACMG\_Classification

# **R** topics documented:

ACMG.	_Classification	Classifying ACMG/AM		five di	stinct c	categories d	according to	the 2015
Index								1
	VUS_classify		 					10
	VCI_data							
	Point_Classification							
	plot_lr							
	op_postp							
	multi_plot							
	LR_result							
	lr_CI_result							
	lr_CI		 					1
	LR		 					10
	local_lr		 					!
	local_bootstrapped_							
	heatmap_LR							
	get_lr_threshold							
	discrete_cutoff							
	ClinVar2020_AJHG							
	BCF							
	auto_select_postp .							
	add_info							
	ACM(† Classification	on						

## **Description**

Classifying variants into five distinct categories according to the 2015 ACMG/AMP guidelines

## Usage

```
ACMG_Classification(data, evidence_col)
```

# **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

evidence\_col The column name for ACMG evidence(str)

# Value

A new DataFrame that incorporates the input data and the results of variant classification

```
data("VCI_data")
ACMG_Classification(VCI_data, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)")
```

add\_info 3

add_info	Count the number of "supportive", "moderate", "strong" and "very strong" strengths of evidence for pathogenicity

## **Description**

Count the number of "supportive", "moderate", "strong" and "very strong" strengths of evidence for pathogenicity

## Usage

```
add_info(data, classification_col)
```

#### **Arguments**

data

DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and classification details

classification\_col

The column name for variant classification (str). Variants should be classified into five distinct categories: "P," "LP," "B," "LB," and "VUS."

## Value

A new DataFrame that includes the input data and four new columns, these four columns count the number of different pathogenic evidence strengths for each variant, which can be used for further categorization

# **Examples**

```
data("VCI_data")
VCI_data <- add_info(VCI_data, "Assertion")</pre>
```

auto\_select\_postp

Automatic definition of posterior probability and positive likelihood ratio values for different strengths of evidence

## **Description**

Automatic definition of posterior probability and positive likelihood ratio values for different strengths of evidence

# Usage

```
auto_select_postp(prior_probability)
```

#### **Arguments**

```
prior_probability
```

The prior probability of pathogenicity (proportion of P/LP variants in a set of variants)

4 BCF

## Value

Selected odds path for each evidence level, combined odds path and posterior probability of 17 combination rules

# **Examples**

```
auto_select_postp(0.1)
```

BCF	Classifying variants into five distinct categories according to the
	Bayesian classification framework

# Description

Classifying variants into five distinct categories according to the Bayesian classification framework

# Usage

```
BCF(data, evidence_col, prior_p, op_vs)
```

# **Arguments**

data	DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and classification details
evidence_col	The column name for ACMG evidence(str)
prior_p	The prior probability of pathogenicity (proportion of P/LP variants in a set of variants)
op_vs	Odds path of "Very String"

# Value

A new DataFrame that incorporates the input data and the results of variant classification

```
data("VCI_data")
BCF(VCI_data, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", 0.1, 350)
```

ClinVar2020\_AJHG\_Pejaver\_data

Dataset in the paper "Calibration of computational tools for missense variant pathogenicity classification and ClinGen recommendations for PP3/BP4 criteria".

# Description

A dataset containing the ClinVar 2020 set to validate the calibration procedure proposed by Pejaver et al (2022).

## Usage

ClinVar2020\_AJHG\_Pejaver\_data

#### **Format**

A data frame with 9114 rows and 29 variables:

hg19\_chr Chromosome

hg19\_pos.1.based. Position

ref Reference allele

alt Alternative allele

rs\_dbSNP151 rsID

genename Gene name

Ensembl\_geneid GeneID

 ${\bf Ensembl\_transcriptID}$ 

Ensembl\_proteinID

Uniprot\_acc Uniprot Accession

Uniprot\_entry UniProt entry name

aavar AA change

clnsig ClinVar Significance

MAF Minor allele frequency

SIFT\_score SIFT score

**FATHMM\_score** FATHMM score

VEST4\_score VEST4 score

REVEL\_score REVEL score

GERP..\_RS GERP++ score

phyloP100way\_vertebrate phyloP score

EA\_1.0 EA score

BayesDel\_nsfp33a\_noAF BayesDel score

MutPred2.0\_score MutPred score

CADDv1.6\_PHRED CADD score

pph2\_prob pph2 score

MPC\_score MPC score

PrimateAI\_score PrimateAI score ...

6 get\_lr\_threshold

#### **Source**

https://zenodo.org/records/8347415

be	attroducing columns to assess if the observed value is above (1) or elow (0) a tested cutoff. A value of 1 indicates being above the tested utoff, while 0 indicates being below the tested cutoff
----	--

# **Description**

Introducing columns to assess if the observed value is above (1) or below (0) a tested cutoff. A value of 1 indicates being above the tested cutoff, while 0 indicates being below the tested cutoff

# Usage

```
discrete_cutoff(data, feature, range = NULL, criteria = NULL)
```

#### **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

feature The column name that requires testing for optimizing the thresholds

range Evaluated intervals

criteria ACMG/AMP guidelines criteria (str)

#### Value

A fresh DataFrame incorporating the input data with additional column

# **Examples**

```
data("VCI_data")
discrete_cutoff(VCI_data, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PM2")
```

## **Description**

Establish the thresholds for each level of evidence strength

# Usage

```
get_lr_threshold(postp_list, discountonesided, bootstrap, dir)
```

heatmap\_LR 7

### **Arguments**

postp\_list A list of posterior probability corresponding to each level of evidence strength discountonesided

The one-sided confidence intervals

bootstrap The number of bootstrapping iterations

dir The directory containing the results of bootstrapping

#### Value

A list of optimized thresholds

#### **Examples**

```
data("ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data")
ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data <- add_info(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "clnsig")
local_bootstrapped_lr(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "PrimateAI_score", 0.1, 10, 100, 0.1, "test_dir")
postp_list <- c(0.1778350, 0.3129676, 0.6689245, 0.9754584)
get_lr_threshold(postp_list, 0.05, 10, "test_dir")</pre>
```

heatmap\_LR

Visualize the results of LR+ for each evaluated cutoff

#### **Description**

Visualize the results of LR+ for each evaluated cutoff

## Usage

```
heatmap_LR(data, op_list)
```

## **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

op\_list A list of odds path corresponding to each level of evidence strength

## Value

Figures

```
data("LR_result")
op_list <- c(2.11, 4.46, 19.90, 397)
heatmap_LR(LR_result, op_list)</pre>
```

local\_bootstrapped\_lr The one-sided 95% confidence bound for each estimated lr+ was determined through bootstrapping iterations, enabling the assessment of evidence strength.

# Description

The one-sided 95% confidence bound for each estimated lr+ was determined through bootstrapping iterations, enabling the assessment of evidence strength.

# Usage

```
local_bootstrapped_lr(
  input_data,
  feature,
  alpha,
  bootstrap,
  minpoints,
  increment,
  output_dir
)
```

## **Arguments**

input_data	DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and
	classification details

feature The column name that requires testing for optimizing the thresholds

alpha Prior probability

bootstrap The number of bootstrapping iterations

minpoints The number of at least pathogenic and non-pathogenic variants

increment Sliding window output\_dir Output directory

#### Value

The posterior probability values for each bootstrap iteration

```
data("ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data")
ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data <- add_info(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "clnsig")
local_bootstrapped_lr(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "PrimateAI_score", 0.1, 10, 100, 0.1, "test_dir")</pre>
```

local\_lr

local_lr	Calculating the local positive likelihood ratio (lr+) value, which is applicable to continuous evidence proposed by Pejaver et al. First, all unique tested cutoff values were sorted, then each value was positioned at the center of a sliding window. The posterior probability was calculated for each tested cutoff value within the interval, considering
	a minimum of selected pathogenic and non-pathogenic variants.

## **Description**

Calculating the local positive likelihood ratio (lr+) value, which is applicable to continuous evidence proposed by Pejaver et al. First, all unique tested cutoff values were sorted, then each value was positioned at the center of a sliding window. The posterior probability was calculated for each tested cutoff value within the interval, considering a minimum of selected pathogenic and non-pathogenic variants.

## Usage

```
local_lr(input_data, feature, alpha, minpoints, increment)
```

## **Arguments**

input_data	DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and
	classification details

feature The column name that requires testing for optimizing the thresholds

alpha Prior probability

minpoints The number of at least pathogenic and non-pathogenic variants

increment Sliding window

#### Value

The posterior probability value for each tested cutoff

```
data("ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data")
ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data <- add_info(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "clnsig")
local_lr(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "PrimateAI_score", 0.1, 100, 0.1)</pre>
```

10 LR

LR

Calculating positive likelihood ratio (LR) for each tested cutoff (for discrete cutoffs) For each cutoff, true positive (TP, the number of P/LP variants above a tested cutoff), false positive (FP, the number of BL-VUS/B/LB variants above a tested cutoff), true negative (TN, the number of BL-VUS/B/LB variants below a tested cutoff), and false negative (FN, the number of P/LP variants below a tested cutoff) were estimated. Subsequently, LR+, overall accuracy, true positive rate (sensitivity), true negative rate (specificity), positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and F1 score were calculated. Estimates of 95% CI of LR+ were generated using bootstrapping in the R package, bootLR.

#### **Description**

Calculating positive likelihood ratio (LR) for each tested cutoff (for discrete cutoffs) For each cutoff, true positive (TP, the number of P/LP variants above a tested cutoff), false positive (FP, the number of BL-VUS/B/LB variants above a tested cutoff), true negative (TN, the number of BL-VUS/B/LB variants below a tested cutoff), and false negative (FN, the number of P/LP variants below a tested cutoff) were estimated. Subsequently, LR+, overall accuracy, true positive rate (sensitivity), true negative rate (specificity), positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), and F1 score were calculated. Estimates of 95% CI of LR+ were generated using bootstrapping in the R package, bootLR.

#### Usage

```
LR(data, start, end)
```

# Arguments

data	DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and classification details
start	The beginning column index of the evaluated cutoffs
end	The concluding column index of evaluated cutoffs

truth\_set <- discrete\_cutoff(truth\_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PM3")</pre>

#### Value

A DataFrame comprising the evaluation metrics for each assessed cutoff

```
data("VCI_data")
VCI_data <- add_info(VCI_data, "Assertion")
VCI_data <- VUS_classify(VCI_data, "Assertion", "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)")
truth_set <- VCI_data[VCI_data$VUS_class != "Hot" & VCI_data$VUS_class != "Warm" & VCI_data$VUS_class != "Tepic truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PM2")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PP3")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PP1")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PVS1")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PS1")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PS2")</pre>
```

*Ir\_CI* 11

```
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PM4")
truth_set <- discrete_cutoff(truth_set, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)", criteria = "PM5")
LR(truth_set, 28, 36)</pre>
```

lr\_CI

Merging the results from bootstrap

#### **Description**

Merging the results from bootstrap

#### Usage

```
lr_CI(bootstrap, dir)
```

#### **Arguments**

bootstrap The number of bootstrapping iterations

dir The directory containing the results of bootstrapping

#### Value

A DataFrame containing posterior probabilities and the 95% confidence interval lower bounds of posterior probabilities for each cutoff

# **Examples**

```
data("ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data")
ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data <- add_info(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "clnsig")
local_bootstrapped_lr(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "PrimateAI_score", 0.1, 30, 100, 0.1, "test_dir")
lr_CI_result <- lr_CI(30, "test_dir")</pre>
```

## **Description**

locallr results for PrimateAI\_score in ClinVar2020\_AJHG\_Pejaver\_data

#### Usage

```
lr_CI_result
```

### **Format**

A data frame with 8586 rows and 3 variables:

test\_cutoff Each PrimateAI score

Posterior Posterior probability

Posterior 1 The 95% CI lower boundry of posterior probability ...

LR\_result

#### **Source**

ClinVar2020\_AJHG\_Pejaver\_data

LR\_result

LR results for VCI\_data

## **Description**

LR results for VCI\_data

# Usage

LR\_result

#### **Format**

A data frame with 8 rows and 20 variables:

TP True positive

FN False negative

FP False positive

TN True negative

Accuracy (TP+TN)/Total

**PPV** Positive predictive values

**NPV** Negative predictive values

FNR False negative rate

**FPR** False positive rate

FOR False omission rate

FDR False discovery rate

F1 F1 score

Sensitivity True positive rate

**Specificity** True negative rate

posLR Positive likelihood ratio

posLR\_LB The 95% CI lower boundry of posLR

posLR\_UB The 95% CI upper boundry of posLR

negLR Negative likelihood ratio

negLR\_LB The 95% CI lower boundry of negLR

negLR\_UB The 95% CI upper boundry of negLR ...

#### Source

VCI\_data

multi\_plot 13

multi_plot	Visualize the distribution of variants	
------------	--	--

# **Description**

Visualize the distribution of variants

## Usage

```
multi_plot(data, classification_col, gene, consequence = NULL)
```

# Arguments

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

classification\_col

The column name for variant classification (str)

gene The column name for the gene where the variant is located(str)

consequence The column name for the annotation results of variant consequences(str)

#### Value

Figures

# **Examples**

```
data("VCI_data")
VCI_data <- add_info(VCI_data, "Assertion")
VCI_data <- VUS_classify(VCI_data, "Assertion", "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)")
multi_plot(VCI_data, "Assertion", "HGNC Gene Symbol")</pre>
```

op\_postp Calculate the corresponding combined odds\_path and posterior prob-

ability of 17 combination rules for a given prior\_probability and

odds\_path of pathogenicity

# **Description**

Calculate the corresponding combined odds\_path and posterior probability of 17 combination rules for a given prior\_probability and odds\_path of pathogenicity

# Usage

```
op_postp(prior_probability, op_vs)
```

14 plot\_lr

#### **Arguments**

```
prior_probability
```

The prior probability of pathogenicity (proportion of P/LP variants in a set of

variants)

op\_vs Odds path of "Very String"

#### Value

Combined odds\_path and posterior probability of 17 combination rules outlined by avtigian et al.(2018)

# **Examples**

```
op_postp(0.1, 350)
```

plot\_lr

Generate plots depicting the results of lr+ for each tested cutoff

# **Description**

Generate plots depicting the results of lr+ for each tested cutoff

#### Usage

```
plot_lr(data, postp_list)
```

#### **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

postp\_list A list of posterior probability corresponding to each level of evidence strength

## Value

**Figures** 

```
data("lr_CI_result")
# ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data <- add_info(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "clnsig")
# local_bootstrapped_lr(ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, "PrimateAI_score", 0.1, 30, 100, 0.1, "test_dir")
postp_list <- c(0.1778350, 0.3129676, 0.6689245, 0.9754584)
# lr_CI_result <- lr_CI(30, "test_dir")
plot_lr(lr_CI_result, postp_list)</pre>
```

Point\_Classification 15

Point\_Classification Classifying variants into five distinct categories according to the scaled point system.

## **Description**

Classifying variants into five distinct categories according to the scaled point system.

## Usage

```
Point_Classification(data, evidence_col)
```

## **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and

classification details

evidence\_col The column name for ACMG evidence(str)

## Value

A new DataFrame that incorporates the input data and the results of variant classification

#### **Examples**

```
data("VCI_data")
Point_Classification(VCI_data, "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)")
```

VCI\_data

Clinical variant classification in ClinGen Variant Curation Interface

# Description

A dataset containing the curated 5724 variants by ClinGen.

# Usage

VCI\_data

#### **Format**

A data frame with 5724 rows and 20 variables:

**#Variation** Variation, in HGVSc

ClinVar Variation Id ClinVar Variation ID

Allele Registry Id ClinGen Allele Registry ID

**HGVS Expressions** HGVS Expressions in ClinVar

**HGNC Gene Symbol** Gene Symbol

Disease Variant related disease

16 VUS\_classify

Mondo Id Mondo Disease Ontology ID

Mode of Inheritance Genetic Inheritance pattern

**Assertion** Variant Classification

Applied Evidence Codes (Met) Criteria, represent following the SVI's recommendations

Applied Evidence Codes (Not Met) Criteria not used

**Summary of interpretation** Detailed information for each applied criteria

PubMed Articles PubMed ID

Expert Panel The name of variant curation expert panel

Guideline Links of specific guidelines

Approval Date Approval Date
Published Date Published Date
Retracted Retracted, in logical

Evidence Repo Link Evidence Repo Link

Uuid ID ...

#### Source

https://erepo.clinicalgenome.org/evrepo/

#### VUS\_classify

Variants of uncertain significance (VUS) were categorized into six levels (hot, warm, tepid, cool, cold, and ice cold), according to the Association for Clinical Genomic Science (ACGS) Best Practice Guidelines

- 1. hot: 1 very strong or 1 strong + 1 supporting or 2 moderate + 1 supporting or 1 moderate + 3 supporting evidence;
- 2. warm: 1 strong or 2 moderate or 1 moderate + 2 supporting or 4 supporting evidence;
- 3. tepid: 1 moderate + 1 supporting or 3 supporting evidence;
- 4. cool: 1 moderate or 2 supporting evidence;
- 5. cold: 1 supporting evidence;
- 6. ice cold: no supporting evidence (https://www.acgs.uk.com/quality/best-practice-guidelines/#VariantGuidelines). Variants classified as cool, cold, or ice cold were considered as benign-leaning VUS, unlikely to be disease-causing. Variants classified as hot, warm, or tepid were considered to be pathogenic-leaning VUS.

## **Description**

Variants of uncertain significance (VUS) were categorized into six levels (hot, warm, tepid, cool, cold, and ice cold), according to the Association for Clinical Genomic Science (ACGS) Best Practice Guidelines

1. hot: 1 very strong or 1 strong + 1 supporting or 2 moderate + 1 supporting or 1 moderate + 3 supporting evidence;

VUS\_classify 17

- 2. warm: 1 strong or 2 moderate or 1 moderate + 2 supporting or 4 supporting evidence;
- 3. tepid: 1 moderate + 1 supporting or 3 supporting evidence;
- 4. cool: 1 moderate or 2 supporting evidence;
- 5. cold: 1 supporting evidence;
- 6. ice cold: no supporting evidence (https://www.acgs.uk.com/quality/best-practice-guidelines/#VariantGuidelines). Variants classified as cool, cold, or ice cold were considered as benign-leaning VUS, unlikely to be disease-causing. Variants classified as hot, warm, or tepid were considered to be pathogenic-leaning VUS.

#### Usage

```
VUS_classify(data, classification_col, evidence_col)
```

## **Arguments**

data DataFrame comprising fundamental variant information, evidence labeling, and classification details

classification\_col

The column name for variant classification (str). Variants should be classified into five distinct categories: "P," "LP," "B," "LB," and "VUS."

evidence\_col

The column name for ACMG evidence(str). The content of this column should be composed of evidence names and their strengths, connected by semicolons or comma, such as "PM2\_Supporting;PM5;BP4"

## Value

A new DataFrame that includes the input data and VUS classification

```
data("VCI_data")
VCI_data <- VUS_classify(VCI_data, "Assertion", "Applied Evidence Codes (Met)")</pre>
```

# **Index**

```
* datasets
    {\tt ClinVar2020\_AJHG\_Pejaver\_data, 5}
    lr_CI_result, 11
    LR_result, 12
    VCI_data, 15
ACMG_Classification, 2
add_info, 3
\verb"auto_select_postp", 3
BCF, 4
ClinVar2020_AJHG_Pejaver_data, 5
discrete_cutoff, 6
get_lr_threshold, 6
{\tt heatmap\_LR, \textcolor{red}{7}}
local_bootstrapped_lr, 8
local_lr, 9
LR, 10
lr_CI, 11
lr_CI_result, 11
LR_result, 12
multi_plot, 13
op_postp, 13
plot_lr, 14
Point_Classification, 15
VCI_data, 15
{\tt VUS\_classify}, \textcolor{red}{16}
```