EC708 Discussion 11 Trinity of Tests

Yan Liu

Department of Economics
Boston University

April 28, 2023

Outline

- Trinity of Tests in Gaussian Linear Models
- 2 LM Test for Heteroskedasticity
- LM Test for Serial Correlation

Table of Contents

Trinity of Tests in Gaussian Linear Models

2 LM Test for Heteroskedasticity

LM Test for Serial Correlation

Setup

Consider the linear model

$$Y_t = X_t'\beta + U_t, \quad U_t | X_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2),$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$. We are interested in testing linear restrictions

$$H_0: R\beta - r = 0,$$

where $R \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times k}$ and $r \in \mathbb{R}^q$.

Wald Test

• The unrestricted MLE of (θ, σ^2) is given by

$$\hat{\beta}_T = (\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1}\mathbf{X}'Y$$
 and $\hat{\sigma}_T^2 = \frac{1}{T}(Y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_T)'(Y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_T).$

- We can show $\sqrt{T}(\hat{\beta}_T \beta) \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, \sigma^2 E[X_t X_t']^{-1})$ and $\hat{\sigma}_T^2 \stackrel{p}{\to} \sigma^2$.
- Under H_0 , $\sqrt{T}(R\hat{\beta}_T r) \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} N(0, \sigma^2 RE[X_t X_t']^{-1} R')$.
- The Wald statistic is

$$W_T = (R\hat{\beta}_T - r)'[\hat{\sigma}_T^2 R(X'X)^{-1} R']^{-1} (R\hat{\beta}_T - r) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_q^2 \text{ under } H_0.$$

LM Test

• FOC for the unrestricted MLE of β :

$$\frac{1}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2} \mathbf{X}' (Y - \mathbf{X} \hat{\beta}_T) = 0. \tag{1}$$

5/21

• FOC for the restricted MLE of β :

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \mathbf{X}'(Y - \mathbf{X}\tilde{\beta}_T) - R'\tilde{\lambda}_T = 0.$$
 (2)

• Combining (1) and (2),

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} (\hat{\beta}_T - \tilde{\beta}_T) = R' \tilde{\lambda}_T
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} R(\hat{\beta}_T - \tilde{\beta}_T) = R(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} R' \tilde{\lambda}_T
\Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda}_T = \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} [R(\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X})^{-1} R']^{-1} (R\hat{\beta}_T - r).$$

LM Test

Under H_0 :

- The restricted MLE is consistent: $\tilde{\sigma}_T^2 \stackrel{p}{\to} \sigma^2$.
- Hence, $T^{-1/2}\tilde{\lambda}_T \stackrel{d}{\to} N(0, (\sigma^2 RE[X_t X_t']^{-1}R')^{-1}).$
- The LM statistic is

$$LM_T = (R\hat{\beta}_T - r)' [\tilde{\sigma}_T^2 R(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X})^{-1} R']^{-1} (R\hat{\beta}_T - r) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_q^2.$$

Note that

$$\frac{W_T}{LM_T} = \frac{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2}$$

Comparison of Wald and LM

ullet The log-likelihood function evaluated at $(\hat{eta}_T,\hat{\sigma}_T^2)$ is

$$\begin{split} \bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\beta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2) &= -\frac{T}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{T}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}_T^2 - \frac{1}{2\hat{\sigma}_T^2} (Y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_T)'(Y - \mathbf{X}\hat{\beta}_T) \\ &= -\frac{T}{2} (\ln 2\pi + 1) - \frac{T}{2} \ln \hat{\sigma}_T^2. \end{split}$$

• Similarly, the log-likelihood function evaluated at $(\tilde{eta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2)$ is

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2) = -\frac{T}{2}(\ln 2\pi + 1) - \frac{T}{2}\ln \tilde{\sigma}_T^2.$$

Hence,

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\beta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2) \ge \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2) \implies \tilde{\sigma}_T^2 \ge \hat{\sigma}_T^2 \implies LM_T \le W_T.$$

LR Test

The LR statistic is

$$LR_T = 2(\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\beta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2) - \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2)) = T \ln \left(\frac{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2}\right) \stackrel{d}{\to} \chi_q^2 \text{ under } H_0.$$

To make W_T , LM_T , and LR_T comparable, we need a reparameterization.

Reparameterization

Write the model as

$$Y^*|\mathbf{X}^* \sim N(\mathbf{X}^*\beta, \sigma^2 I_T).$$

If R has rank q, then the model can be reparameterized as

$$Y|\mathbf{X} \sim N(\mathbf{X}\theta, \sigma^2 I_T).$$

ullet $heta=(heta_1', heta_2')'$ with $heta_1\in\mathbb{R}^q$, and the null hypothesis becomes

$$H_0: \theta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow$$
 test for omitted variables.

• Y and X are linear combinations of Y^* and X^* .

Comparison of Wald, LM, and LR

Partition $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2)$. The Wald and LM test statistics are

$$\begin{split} W_T &= \hat{\theta}_{1T}' [\mathbf{X}_1' \mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_1' \mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{X}_2' \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2' \mathbf{X}_1] \hat{\theta}_{1T} / \hat{\sigma}_T^2, \\ LM_T &= \hat{\theta}_{1T}' [\mathbf{X}_1' \mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_1' \mathbf{X}_2 (\mathbf{X}_2' \mathbf{X}_2)^{-1} \mathbf{X}_2' \mathbf{X}_1] \hat{\theta}_{1T} / \tilde{\sigma}_T^2. \end{split}$$

Let
$$M_{X_2}=I_T-\mathbf{X}_2(\mathbf{X}_2'\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2'.$$
 Then,

$$\tilde{U} - \hat{U} = M_{X_2}Y - (M_{X_2}Y - M_{X_2}\mathbf{X}_1\hat{\theta}_{1T}) = M_{X_2}\mathbf{X}_1\hat{\theta}_{1T}$$

and $\hat{U}'(\tilde{U}-\hat{U})=0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\theta}_{1T}'[\mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_1 - \mathbf{X}_1'\mathbf{X}_2(\mathbf{X}_2'\mathbf{X}_2)^{-1}\mathbf{X}_2'\mathbf{X}_1]\hat{\theta}_{1T} &= \hat{\theta}_{1T}'\mathbf{X}_1'M_{X_2}\mathbf{X}_1\hat{\theta}_{1T} \\ &= (\tilde{U} - \hat{U})'(\tilde{U} - \hat{U}) \\ &= \tilde{U}'\tilde{U} - \hat{U}'\hat{U}. \end{aligned}$$

Yan Liu April 28, 2023 10 / 21

Comparison of Wald, LM, and LR

We find

$$W_T = \frac{\tilde{U}'\tilde{U} - \hat{U}'\hat{U}}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2} = 2(\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\theta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2) - \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2)),$$

$$LM_T = \frac{\tilde{U}'\tilde{U} - \hat{U}'\hat{U}}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} = 2(\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\theta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2) - \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2)),$$

$$LR_T = 2(\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\theta}_T, \hat{\sigma}_T^2) - \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T, \tilde{\sigma}_T^2)).$$

Since

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\theta}_T,\hat{\sigma}_T^2) \geq \bar{\ell}_T(\hat{\theta}_T,\tilde{\sigma}_T^2) \text{ and } \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T,\tilde{\sigma}_T^2) \geq \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T,\hat{\sigma}_T^2),$$

we have $LM_T \leq LR_T \leq W_T$.

When Are LM, LR, and Wald the Same?

Suppose the log-likelihood function has the form

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\theta) = c - \frac{1}{2}(\theta - \hat{\theta}_T)'A(\theta - \hat{\theta}_T),$$

where A is symmetric & positive definite and $\hat{\theta}_T$ is a function of data. Then,

$$LM_T = LR_T = W_T = (\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_T)'A(\theta_0 - \hat{\theta}_T),$$

where θ_0 is subject to H_0 .

- It holds in Gaussian linear models when σ^2 is known.
- It approximates the log-likelihood function in the neighborhood of θ_0 for large $T \Rightarrow$ asymptotic equivalence of W_T, LM_T, LR_T .

 Yan Liu
 Trinity
 April 28, 2023
 12/21

Table of Contents

Trinity of Tests in Gaussian Linear Models

2 LM Test for Heteroskedasticity

LM Test for Serial Correlation

Setup

Consider the following model:

$$Y_t = X_t'\beta + U_t, \quad U_t|X_t, Z_t \sim N(0, \sigma_t^2), \quad t = 1, \dots, T,$$

$$E[U_t U_s] = 0 \text{ for } t \neq s,$$

where $Z_t = (1, Z_{1t}, \dots, Z_{qt})' \in \mathbb{R}^{q+1}$ is a vector function of X_t . We are interested in testing

$$H_0: \sigma_t^2 = \sigma^2 \ \forall t \text{ v.s. } H_1: \sigma_t^2 = h(Z_t'\alpha).$$

The null can be rewritten as

$$H_0: \alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_q = 0.$$

Breush-Pagan Test Statistic

Log-likelihood function:

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\beta,\alpha) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \ln h(Z_t'\alpha) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{(Y_t - X_t'\beta)^2}{h(Z_t'\alpha)}.$$

The score is

$$\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)}{\partial \beta} \\ \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)}{\partial \alpha} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t \tilde{U}_t}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} (=0) \\ \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t (\frac{\tilde{U}_t^2}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} - 1) \frac{h'(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} \end{bmatrix}.$$

A consistent estimator of the information matrix is

$$\hat{I}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t X_t}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2T} \sum_{t=1}^T Z_t Z_t' \frac{h'(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})^2}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Yan Liu April 28, 2023 14/21

Breush-Pagan Test Statistic

The LM test statistic is

$$LM_T = T\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)'\hat{I}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)^{-1}\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\beta}_T, \tilde{\alpha}_T)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \Big[\sum_{t=1}^T Z_t \Big(\frac{\tilde{U}_t^2}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} - 1 \Big) \Big]' \Big(\sum_{t=1}^T Z_t Z_t' \Big)^{-1} \Big[\sum_{t=1}^T Z_t \Big(\frac{\tilde{U}_t^2}{h(\tilde{\alpha}_{0T})} - 1 \Big) \Big].$$

Let
$$f=(f_1,\ldots,f_T)'$$
 with $f_t=rac{ ilde U_t^2}{h(ildelpha_{0T})}-1.$ Then,

$$LM_T = \frac{1}{2}f'\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{Z})^{-1}\mathbf{Z}'f = \frac{1}{2}f'P_Zf.$$

Yan Liu Trinity April 28, 2023 15 / 21

Implementation

- Apply OLS to $Y_t = X_t'\beta + U_t$ and obtain residuals \hat{U}_t .
- ② Compute $f_t = \frac{\hat{U}_t^2}{\hat{\sigma}_T^2} 1$ where $\hat{\sigma}_T^2 = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{U}_t^2$.
- **3** Run OLS of f_t on Z_t and compute the LM statistic

$$LM_T = \frac{1}{2}f'P_Zf = \frac{1}{2}ESS.$$

Under H_0 , $LM_T \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \chi_q^2$.

Remark: Since $\mathrm{plim}_{T\to\infty}f'f/T=2$ under H_0 and H_1 , an asymptotically equivalent test statistic is

$$TR^2 = T \frac{f' P_Z f}{f' f}.$$

Table of Contents

Trinity of Tests in Gaussian Linear Models

2 LM Test for Heteroskedasticity

LM Test for Serial Correlation

Setup

Consider the model with *p* lags in error:

$$Y_t = X_t'\beta + U_t$$

$$U_t = \psi_1 U_{t-1} + \dots + \psi_p U_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$\varepsilon_t \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

- The null is $H_0: \psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_p) = 0$.
- Ignore the first p observations and rewrite the model as

$$Y_t = X_t'\beta + \sum_{i=1}^p \psi_j(Y_{t-j} - X_{t-j}'\beta) + \varepsilon_t.$$

Testing for AR(p) Errors

Denote $\theta = (\beta, \psi, \sigma^2)$. The log-likelihood function is

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\theta) = -\frac{T}{2} \ln 2\pi - \frac{T}{2} \ln \sigma^2 - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{t=1}^T \left(U_t - \sum_{j=1}^p \psi_j U_{t-j} \right)^2.$$

The score is

$$\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)}{\partial \beta} \\ \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)}{\partial \psi} \\ \frac{1}{T} \frac{\partial \bar{\ell}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)}{\partial \sigma^2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{X_t \tilde{U}_t}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{V_t \tilde{U}_t}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \\ -\frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} + \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \frac{\tilde{U}_t^2}{2\tilde{\sigma}_T^4} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $V_t = (\tilde{U}_{t-1}, \dots, \tilde{U}_{t-p})'$.

Testing for AR(p) Errors

A consistent estimator of the information matrix is

$$\hat{I}_{T}(\tilde{\theta}_{T}) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{X_{t}X'_{t}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{T}^{2}} & \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{X_{t}V'_{t}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{T}^{2}} & 0\\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{V_{t}X'_{t}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{T}^{2}} & \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \frac{V_{t}V'_{t}}{\tilde{\sigma}_{T}^{2}} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2\tilde{\sigma}_{T}^{4}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let $F_t = (X'_t, V'_t)'$. The LM test statistic is

$$LM_T = T\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)'\hat{I}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)^{-1}\bar{s}_T(\tilde{\theta}_T)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2}\tilde{U}'F(F'F)^{-1}F'\tilde{U}.$$

Implementation

- Run OLS of Y_t on X_t and get \hat{U}_t .
- Run OLS for the auxiliary regression

$$\hat{U}_t = X_t' \tau + V_t' \delta + \eta_t.$$

lacksquare Compute \mathbb{R}^2 from the auxiliary regression and construct

$$LM_T = TR^2.$$

Under the null, $LM_T \stackrel{d}{\rightarrow} \chi_p^2$.

Remarks:

• If X_t includes no lagged dependent variables, then $\lim_{T\to\infty} \frac{\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}}{T} = 0$ and the auxiliary regression will be unaffected by leaving out X_t :

$$LM_T \approx \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \tilde{U}' \mathbf{V} (\mathbf{V}' \mathbf{V})^{-1} \mathbf{V}' \tilde{U}.$$

• For p = 1, LM_T is asymptotically equivalent to Durbin-Watson:

$$LM_T \approx \frac{1}{\tilde{\sigma}_T^2} \frac{(\sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{U}_t \tilde{U}_{t-1})^2}{\sum_{t=2}^T \tilde{U}_{t-1}^2} \approx T(1 - d_T/2)^2.$$