

EC708 Discussion 9

Limited Dependent Variable

Yan Liu

Department of Economics
Boston University

April 7, 2023

Outline

- 1 Estimation of Binary Response Models
- 2 Control Function
- 3 Semiparametric Binary Response Models

Estimation of Binary Response Models

MLE

Consider the binary response model

$$P(Y_t = 1|X_t) = F(X_t'\beta)$$

for some $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$. Once we choose a proper normalization, one can estimate β by MLE.

- Noting that $Y_t|X_t \sim \text{Bernoulli}(F(X_t'\beta))$, the conditional density is

$$L_t(\beta) = f(Y_t|X_t; \beta) = F(X_t'\beta)^{Y_t}(1 - F(X_t'\beta))^{1-Y_t}.$$

- The log-likelihood is

$$\bar{\ell}_T(\beta) = \sum_{t=1}^T \ln L_t(\beta) = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t \ln F(X_t'\beta) + (1 - Y_t) \ln(1 - F(X_t'\beta)).$$

Estimation of Binary Response Models

Score

The score is

$$\begin{aligned}s_t(\beta) &= \frac{\partial \ln L_t(\beta)}{\partial \beta} \\&= Y_t \frac{f(X'_t \beta) X_t}{F(X'_t \beta)} + (1 - Y_t) \frac{-f(X'_t \beta) X_t}{1 - F(X'_t \beta)} \\&= \frac{f(X'_t \beta) X_t}{F(X'_t \beta)(1 - F(X'_t \beta))} [Y_t(1 - F(X'_t \beta)) - (1 - Y_t)F(X'_t \beta)] \\&= \frac{Y_t - F(X'_t \beta)}{F(X'_t \beta)(1 - F(X'_t \beta))} f(X'_t \beta) X_t.\end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Binary Response Models

Hessian

Note that $Y_t^2 = Y_t$. The Hessian is

$$\begin{aligned} H_t(\beta) &= \frac{\partial s_t(\beta)}{\partial \beta'} \\ &= Y_t \left[-\frac{f(X'_t\beta)^2}{F(X'_t\beta)^2} + \frac{f'(X'_t\beta)}{F(X'_t\beta)} \right] X_t X'_t \\ &\quad - (1 - Y_t) \left[\frac{f(X'_t\beta)^2}{(1 - F(X'_t\beta))^2} + \frac{f'(X'_t\beta)}{1 - F(X'_t\beta)} \right] X_t X'_t \\ &= -\frac{f(X'_t\beta)^2 X_t X'_t}{F(X'_t\beta)^2 (1 - F(X'_t\beta))^2} [Y_t (1 - F(X'_t\beta))^2 + (1 - Y_t) F(X'_t\beta)^2] \\ &\quad + \frac{f'(X'_t\beta) X_t X'_t}{F(X'_t\beta) (1 - F(X'_t\beta))} [Y_t (1 - F(X'_t\beta)) - (1 - Y_t) F(X'_t\beta)] \\ &= -\frac{(Y_t - F(X'_t\beta))^2}{F(X'_t\beta)^2 (1 - F(X'_t\beta))^2} f(X'_t\beta)^2 X_t X'_t \\ &\quad + \frac{Y_t - F(X'_t\beta)}{F(X'_t\beta) (1 - F(X'_t\beta))} f'(X'_t\beta) X_t X'_t. \end{aligned}$$

Estimation of Binary Response Models

Information Matrix Equality

Note that $E[Y_t - F(X'_t\beta)|X_t] = 0$ and

$$E[(Y_t - F(X'_t\beta))^2|X_t] = F(X'_t\beta)(1 - F(X'_t\beta)).$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} E[s_t(\beta)s_t(\beta)'|X_t] &= \frac{E[(Y_t - F(X'_t\beta))^2|X_t]}{F(X'_t\beta)^2(1 - F(X'_t\beta))^2} f(X'_t\beta)^2 X_t X'_t \\ &= \frac{1}{F(X'_t\beta)(1 - F(X'_t\beta))} f(X'_t\beta)^2 X_t X'_t. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$E[H_t(\beta)|X_t] = -\frac{1}{F(X'_t\beta)(1 - F(X'_t\beta))} f(X'_t\beta)^2 X_t X'_t.$$

By the LIE, the information matrix equality holds:

$$I_0 = E[s_t(\beta)s_t(\beta)'] = -E[H_t(\beta)] = -H_0.$$

Control Function

Benchmark: Linear Models with Constant Coefficients

Consider the linear model:

$$Y_t = X_{1t}\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 + U_t,$$

where X_{1t} is **endogenous**. Complete the model by adding the equation

$$X_{1t} = W'_{1t}\gamma_1 + X'_{2t}\gamma_2 + V_t.$$

Let $W_t = (W'_{1t}, X'_{2t})'$ and $\gamma = (\gamma'_1, \gamma'_2)'$. Assume

- $E[W_t U_t] = 0, E[W_t V_t] = 0;$
- (no perfect multicollinearity) $\gamma_1 \neq 0$.

Control Function

Benchmark: Linear Models with Constant Coefficients

Correlation between U_t and V_t can be captured by

$$U_t = \lambda V_t + \eta_t, \quad E[V_t \eta_t] = 0,$$

where $\lambda = E[V_t U_t] / E[V_t^2]$. Then, $E[W_t \eta_t] = 0$ and

$$E[X_{1t} \eta_t] = E[W_t' \eta_t] \gamma + E[V_t \eta_t] = 0.$$

The linear model becomes

$$Y_t = X_{1t} \beta_1 + X_{2t}' \beta_2 + \lambda V_t + \eta_t.$$

Including V_t “controls for” endogeneity of X_{1t} . However, V_t is not observed.

Control Function

Benchmark: Linear Models with Constant Coefficients

Two-step control function procedure (linear reduced form):

- 1 Regress X_{1t} on W_t . Calculate the residual \hat{V}_t .
- 2 Regress Y_t on $X_t = (X_{1t}, X'_{2t})'$ and \hat{V}_t .

In this benchmark setting, the CF method

- is **numerically identical** to the regression (letting $\hat{X}_{1t} = X_{1t} - \hat{V}_t$)

$$\begin{aligned} Y_t &= (\hat{X}_{1t} + \hat{V}_t)\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 + \lambda\hat{V}_t + \eta_t \\ &= \hat{X}_{1t}\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 + (\beta_1 + \lambda)\hat{V}_t + \eta_t \Rightarrow \text{2SLS.} \end{aligned}$$

- produces a **heteroskedasticity-robust** Hausman test:
simply test $H_0 : \lambda = 0$ (X_{1t} is exogenous) using the t -test

Control Function

Variation 1: Binary X_{1t}

When X_{1t} is binary, an alternative is to replace the linear reduced form with a **binary response model**:

$$Y_t = X_{1t}\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 + U_t,$$
$$X_{1t} = 1\{W'_{1t}\gamma_1 + X'_{2t}\gamma_2 + V_t \geq 0\}.$$

Assume that $(U_t, V_t) \perp W_t$, $V_t \sim N(0, 1)$, and U_t is linearly related to V_t . Then, X_{1t} follows a probit model:

$$P(X_{1t} = 1|W_t) = \Phi(W'_t\gamma).$$

Control Function

Variation 1: Binary X_{1t}

For some parameter λ ,

$$E[Y_t | X_{1t}, W_t] = X_{1t}\beta_1 + X_{2t}'\beta_2 + \lambda \underbrace{\left[X_{1t} \frac{\phi(W_t' \gamma)}{\Phi(W_t' \gamma)} - (1 - X_{1t}) \frac{\phi(-W_t' \gamma)}{\Phi(-W_t' \gamma)} \right]}_{\equiv r(X_{1t}, W_t' \gamma) \text{ “generalized error”}}.$$

Two-step control function procedure (probit reduced form):

- 1 Estimate the probit model. Obtain the “generalized residuals”:

$$\hat{r}_t \equiv X_{1t} \frac{\phi(W_t' \hat{\gamma})}{\Phi(W_t' \hat{\gamma})} - (1 - X_{1t}) \frac{\phi(-W_t' \hat{\gamma})}{\Phi(-W_t' \hat{\gamma})}.$$

- 2 Regress Y_t on X_t and \hat{r}_t .

Control Function

Variation 2: Models Nonlinear in X_{1t}

Consider the model

$$Y_t = X_{1t}X_{2t}'\beta_1 + X_{2t}'\beta_2 + U_t$$

- IV approach treats each component in $X_{1t}X_{2t}$ as a separate endogenous variable.
- CF approach offers a **parsimonious** way to handle endogeneity: simply replace X_{1t} with $X_{1t}X_{2t}$ in the second-step regression.

Control Function

Variation 3: Correlated Random Coefficient Models

Consider the model

$$\begin{aligned}Y_t &= X_{1t}\beta_{1t} + X_{2t}'\beta_2 + U_t, \\X_{1t} &= W_{1t}'\gamma_1 + X_{2t}'\gamma_2 + V_t.\end{aligned}$$

Both β_{1t} and U_t might be correlated with X_{1t} . The object of interest is $\bar{\beta}_1 = E[\beta_{1t}]$. Write $\beta_{1t} = \bar{\beta}_1 + \tilde{\beta}_{1t}$, where $E[\tilde{\beta}_{1t}] = 0$. Then,

$$Y_t = X_{1t}\bar{\beta}_1 + X_{2t}'\beta_2 + \underbrace{X_{1t}\tilde{\beta}_{1t}}_{=\varepsilon_t} + U_t.$$

Control Function

Variation 3: Correlated Random Coefficient Models

Wooldridge (2003) shows that the 2SLS estimator is consistent under

- exogeneity: $E[U_t|W_t] = 0$, $E[\tilde{\beta}_{1t}|W_t] = 0$;
- **constant conditional covariance assumption:**

$$\text{Cov}(X_{1t}, \tilde{\beta}_{1t}|W_t) = \text{Cov}(X_{1t}, \tilde{\beta}_{1t}). \quad (1)$$

Card (2001) discusses situations where Condition (1) is likely to fail in simple models of schooling decisions.

Control Function

Variation 3: Correlated Random Coefficient Models

Control function approach: Assume

- U_t and $\tilde{\beta}_{1t}$ are linearly related to V_t :

$$E[U_t|V_t] = \lambda V_t, \quad E[\tilde{\beta}_{1t}|V_t] = \Psi V_t;$$

- $(U_t, \tilde{\beta}_{1t}, V_t) \perp W_t$.

The estimating equation is

$$E[Y_t|X_{1t}, W_t] = E[Y_t|X_{1t}, X_{2t}, V_t] = X_{1t}\bar{\beta}_1 + X_{2t}'\beta_2 + \lambda V_t + \Psi V_t X_{1t}.$$

Two-step procedure (Garen, 1984):

- 1 Regress X_{1t} on W_t . Calculate the residual \hat{V}_t .
- 2 Regress Y_t on X_t , \hat{V}_t , and $\hat{V}_t X_{1t}$.

Control Function

Variation 3: Correlated Random Coefficient Models

More flexibility:

- Allow any vector function $g(X_t)$ to have random slopes
 - $g(X_t)$ can include X_{1t}^2 , $X_{1t}X_{2t}$, or higher-order polynomials and interactions (intercept is separated out)
 - CF regression: Y_t on 1, $g(X_t)$, \hat{V}_t , and $g(X_t)\hat{V}_t$.
- Allow $E[\tilde{\beta}_{1t}|V_t]$ to be nonlinear
 - E.g. $E[\tilde{\beta}_{1t}|V_t] = \Psi_1 V_t + \Psi_2 (V_t^2 - \tau^2)$, where $\tau^2 = E[V_t^2]$.
 - CF regression: Y_t on X_t , \hat{V}_t , $\hat{V}_t X_{1t}$, \hat{V}_t^2 , and $X_{1t} \cdot (\hat{V}_t^2 - \hat{\tau}^2)$, where $\hat{\tau}^2$ is the usual OLS variance estimate from the first stage.

Control Function

Nonlinear Models: Binary Choice

Consider the model

$$Y_t = 1\{X_{1t}\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 - U_t^* \geq 0\},$$
$$X_{1t} = W'_{1t}\gamma_1 + X'_{2t}\gamma_2 + V_t,$$

where $(U_t^*, V_t) \perp W_t$ and $(U_t^*, V_t) \sim N(0, \Sigma)$ with $\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho\sigma_v \\ \rho\sigma_v & \sigma_v^2 \end{bmatrix}$.

By bivariate normality,

$$U_t^* = \lambda V_t + \eta_t \text{ for } \lambda = \rho/\sigma_v, \text{ where } \eta_t \perp V_t \text{ and } \eta_t \sim N(0, 1 - \rho^2).$$

Putting together,

$$Y_t = 1\{X_{1t}\beta_1 + X'_{2t}\beta_2 - \lambda V_t - \eta_t \geq 0\},$$

where $\eta_t \perp (X_t, V_t)$.

Control Function

Nonlinear Models: Binary Choice

Two-step control function procedure (Rivers and Vuong, 1988):

- 1 Regress X_{1t} on W_t . Calculate the residual \hat{V}_t .
- 2 Estimate a probit model including \hat{V}_t .

The average partial effects (APEs) are obtained by taking derivatives or changes of the **average structural function (ASF)**:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ASF}(x_1, x_2) &\equiv E_{U_t^*}[1\{x_1\beta_1 + x_2'\beta_2 - U_t^* \geq 0\}] \\ &= E_{V_t}[\Phi(x_1\beta_{1,\rho} + x_2'\beta_{2,\rho} - \lambda_\rho V_t)],\end{aligned}$$

where ρ subscript denotes division by $\sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$. A consistent estimator is

$$\widehat{\text{ASF}}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \Phi(x_1\hat{\beta}_{1,\rho} + x_2'\hat{\beta}_{2,\rho} - \hat{\lambda}_\rho \hat{V}_t).$$

Control Function

Nonlinear Models: Binary Choice

Blundell and Powell (2004) assume a full **nonparametric** structural model:

$$\begin{aligned}Y_t &= g_1(X_{1t}, X_{2t}, U_t), \\X_{1t} &= g_2(W_{1t}, X_{2t}) + V_t,\end{aligned}$$

where $(U_t, V_t) \perp W_t$ and $E[V_t] = 0$. Then,

- $g_2(W_t) = E[X_{1t}|W_t]$ is identified
- **discrete** X_{1t} is ruled out
- U_t depends on X_{1t} only through V_t :

$$U_t|X_{1t}, V_t \sim U_t|V_t$$

Control Function

Nonlinear Models: Binary Choice

By the LIE, the ASF is written as

$$\text{ASF}(x_1, x_2) \equiv E_{U_t}[g_1(x_1, x_2, U_t)] = E_{V_t}[E_{U_t|V_t}[g_1(x_1, x_2, U_t)]],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} E_{U_t|V_t=v}[g_1(x_1, x_2, U_t)] &= E[g_1(x_1, x_2, U_t) | X_{1t} = x_1, X_{2t} = x_2, V_t = v] \\ &= E[Y_t | X_{1t} = x_1, X_{2t} = x_2, V_t = v] \\ &\equiv h(x_1, x_2, v) \text{ is identified.} \end{aligned}$$

A consistent estimator of the ASF is

$$\widehat{\text{ASF}}(x_1, x_2) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T \hat{h}(x_1, x_2, \hat{V}_t), \text{ where } \hat{V}_t = X_{1t} - \hat{g}_2(W_t).$$

Semiparametric Binary Response Models

We consider the **latent dependent variable model**:

$$Y_t^* = X_t' \beta + U_t, \quad Y_t = 1\{Y_t^* > 0\},$$

where U_t has CDF G . If $U_t \perp X_t$, it induces the **binary response model**:

$$P(Y_t = 1|X_t) = G(X_t' \beta).$$

Without knowledge of G , the model is **semiparametric** with

- a finite-dimensional parameter of interest (β)
- an infinite-dimensional nuisance parameter ($G(\cdot)$)

Semiparametric Binary Response Models

Ichimura (1993): Semiparametric Least Squares (SLS)

If G is known, one can use the nonlinear least squares (NLS) estimator:

$$\min_{\beta} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (Y_t - G(X_t' \beta))^2.$$

Since G is unknown, Ichimura (1993) proposes to replace it with a kernel estimator \hat{G} and solve

$$\min_{\beta} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T 1\{X_t \in A_x\} (Y_t - \hat{G}(X_t' \beta))^2.$$

The trimming term $1\{X_t \in A_x\}$ is introduced to guarantee that the density of $X_t' \beta$ is bounded away from 0 on A_x .

Semiparametric Binary Response Models

Manski (1975): Maximum Score Estimator

What if we further relax the assumption that $U_t \perp X_t$?

Manski (1975) proposes to maximize the **predictive score function**

$$S_T(\beta) = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t 1\{X_t' \beta > 0\} + (1 - Y_t) 1\{X_t' \beta \leq 0\}.$$

- To ensure consistency, need the median of U_t given X_t to be zero.
- Can be interpreted as a **least absolute deviations estimator**:

$$\min_{\beta} \sum_{t=1}^T |Y_t - 1\{X_t' \beta > 0\}|.$$

Semiparametric Binary Response Models

Manski (1975): Maximum Score Estimator

Caveats:

- **Nonnormal** asymptotic distribution: median regression function is flat except at its discontinuity points
- Slow convergence rate: $T^{1/3}$ (Kim and Pollard, 1990)
- Does not allow estimation of the response probabilities and the APEs: unconditional distribution of U_t is not identified

Semiparametric Binary Response Models

Manski (1975): Maximum Score Estimator

Remedy: Smoothed Maximum Score Estimator (Horowitz, 1992)

Define a “smoothed” version of the predictive score function:

$$S_T^*(\beta) = \sum_{t=1}^T Y_t K(X_t' \beta / h_T) + (1 - Y_t)(1 - K(X_t' \beta / h_T)),$$

where K is analogous to a CDF, and $h_T \rightarrow 0$ as $T \rightarrow \infty$.

- The maximizer of $S_T^*(\beta)$ is asymptotically normal
- The convergence rate can be made arbitrarily close to $T^{1/2}$