

From ADT to Objects to OOP

- Recall that a **type** is a set of values and each type is usually associated with some operations.
- New types can be defined in terms of existing ones by **type composition**.
- Some desired data structures may not be defined completely using just type composition.
e.g. type Rational = Integer \times Integer
 - [The representation type might have values that do **not** correspond to any values of the desired type.]
e.g. 1/0
 - [The representation type might have several values that correspond to the same value of the desired type.] **Simple-minded comparisons** would then yield incorrect results.
e.g. $3/2 \not\equiv 6/4$ (multiple representation)
 - [Values of the desired type can be confused with values of the representation type and other similar types.]
e.g. type Position = Integer \times Integer
We may confuse a variable of type Rational and another type Position in a language that supports **structural equivalence** of types.
- An **abstract data type (ADT)** is a type defined by a type representation and a group of operations.



- The set of values of an ADT is defined only *indirectly*; the type consists of all values that can be generated by successive applications of the operations, *starting with some constants*.

A phone directory ADT in **Ada**:

```
package directory_type is
  type Directory is limited private;
  procedure insert(dir: in out Directory;
                  newname: in Name;
                  newnumber: in Number);
  procedure lookup(dir: in Directory;
                  oldname: in Name;
                  oldnumber: out Number;
                  found: out Boolean);

private
  type DirNode;
  type Directory is access DirNode;
  type DirNode is record ... end record;
end directory_type;

package body directory_type is
  procedure insert(dir: in out Directory;
                  newname: in Name;
                  newnumber: in Number) is
    ...;
  procedure lookup(dir: in Directory;
                  oldname: in Name;
                  oldnumber: out Number;
                  found: out Boolean) is
    ...;
  procedure sort(...) is
    ...;
end directory_type;
```



- Typically, the programmer chooses a **representation** for the values of the ADT, and **implements** the operations in terms of this chosen representation.
- The key point is that the representation and the implementation details are **hidden**; the module exports only the abstract type and its operations.
Note: The word “hidden” does not necessarily imply **invisibility** in the literal sense; **inaccessibility** is good enough.
- The defined “directory_type” ADT can be subsequently used to declare new variables. e.g.

Using the phone directory ADT in **Ada**:

```
...  
use directory_type;  
homedir: Directory;  
workdir: Directory;  
...  
insert(workdir,me,6041);  
insert(homedir,me,8715);  
lookup(workdir,me,mynumber,ok);  
...
```

- With an ADT, it does not matter that a given value of the type has several possible representations, because the representations are hidden from the users and the provided operations should *ensure* consistency of values.
- Only desired properties of the values are *observable* using the operations associated with the ADT.



- [An ADT's representation and implementation can always be *changed* without forcing any changes outside the module.]
- An ADT must generally provide **constructor** operations for composing values of the type, and **destructor** operations for decomposing such values when they are no longer needed. That means that our last example is *incomplete*.
- The **C** language does not provide any language constructs for defining ADTs. We can, however, imitate ADT in **C** using header files, the “#include” preprocessor directive, “static” declarations, separate file compilation, and a peculiarity of “struct” and “typedef.” The phone directory example can be implemented in **C** as follows.

A phone directory ADT in C:

“directory.h”

```
typedef struct _dirNode Dir;
typedef Name *char;
typedef Num int;
typedef Bool int;

extern void insert(Dir *,Name,Num);
extern void lookup(Dir,Name,Num *,Bool *);
```

“directory.c”

```
struct _dirNode {...};
...
void insert(Dir *dir,Name newname,Num newnum) {...}
void lookup(Dir dir,Name oldname,Num *oldnum,Bool *found) {...}
static void sort(...) {...}
...
```



- *Private* procedures/functions are declared as *static* in the implementation (.c) file.
- The users of an ADT are supplied with only the header (.h) file together with the object code of the implementation resulting from separate file compilation.

EXERCISE: What can go wrong with the **C** approach?

- Object is another special and important kind of module that consists of a *hidden variable* together with a group of *exported operations* on that variable.
- [The variable is typically a data structure such as a table or database. Being hidden, the variable can be accessed *only* through the exported operations.]



A phone directory object in **Ada**:

```
package directory_object is
  procedure insert(newname: in Name;
                  newnumber: in Number);
  procedure lookup(oldname: in Name;
                  oldnumber: out Number;
                  found: out Boolean);
end directory_object;

package body directory_object is
  type DirNode;
  type DirPtr is access DirNode;
  type DirNode is record ... end record;
  root: DirPtr; /* root is hidden */

  procedure insert(newname: in Name;
                  newnumber: in Number) is
    ...;
  procedure lookup(oldname: in Name;
                  oldnumber: out Number;
                  found: out Boolean) is
    ...;
  procedure sort(...) is
    ...;

begin
  ...; /* Code for initializing the directory */
end directory_object;

/* Main program */ ...
directory_object.insert(me,6041);
...
directory_object.lookup(me,mynumber,ok);
...
```



○ We can also imitate objects in C!

A phone directory object in C:

"directory.h"

```
typedef Name *char;
```

```
typedef Num int;
```

```
typedef Bool int;
```

```
extern void insert(Name,Num);
```

```
extern void lookup(Name,Num *,Bool *);
```

"directory.c"

```
struct _dirNode {...};
```

```
typedef struct _dirNode Dir;
```

```
static Dir root;
```

```
...
```

```
void insert(Name newname,Num newnum) {...}
```

```
void lookup(Name oldname,Num *oldnum,Bool *found) {...}
```

```
static void sort(...) {...}
```

```
...
```

- Again, the main program using the object has to include the header file and link with the object file of the implementation.
- The last example can only create a *single* phone directory object. We would like to be able to create a whole *class* of similar objects.



- In **Ada**, all we have to do is to make the package generic.

A phone directory object in **Ada**:

```
generic package directory_class is
    ...
end directory_class;

package body directory_class is
    ...
end directory_class;
```

- To create individual objects, we must *instantiate* the generic package.

A phone directory object in **Ada**:

```
package homedir is new directory_class;
package workdir is new directory_class;
```

- The declarations create two *similar* but *distinct* objects, denoted by “homedir” and “workdir” respectively, which are instances of the object class “directory_class.”
- Unfortunately, there is **no** mechanism in **C** to mimic object classes.
- One thing that we learn here is that a language can support the concepts of objects *without* necessarily supporting the concept of object classes.



- The concepts of **ADT** and **object** classes have much in common: each allows the creation of several variables of a type whose representation is hidden, and to access the variables only by operations provided for the purpose.
- They are also *subtly* different. Let us use the phone directory example to illustrate.
 - With ADT, [only one procedure “insert” is defined, regardless of how many variables of type Directory are created.]
 - With object classes, [several instantiations of the same class define several *distinct* procedures (e.g. “homedir.insert” and “workdir.insert”), each of which accesses a different object, namely “homedir” and “workdir” respectively.]
 - With ADT, we write “insert(workdir,me,6041).” Here obviously, the particular directory to be accessed is an *argument* to the procedure.
 - We would write “workdir.insert(me,6041)” with object classes. Here the particular phone directory to be accessed is a kind of *implicit* argument, but it is an argument *fixed* when the object is *created*, not when the procedure is called.



- The **ADT** approach has certain **advantages** over the object class approach.
 - **ADTs are similar to *built-in types***, and defining a new ADT smoothly extends the variety of types available to the programmers.
 - In “most” languages (such as **Ada** and **ML**), values of ADTs are *first-class* values but objects are not.
 - The **notation for calling the operations of an ADT** is **more natural**.
 - ADTs are useful in *all* programming paradigms supporting the notion of types. Objects, being updatable variables, fits only into an imperative style of programming.
- Nevertheless, objects and object classes are the **basis of the important paradigm of *object-oriented programming***, which will be our next topic of discussion.

