

# Notes on Elementary Analysis

Liu Zhizhou

First Created: August 3, 2022

Last Modified: September 6, 2022

# Contents

<b>I</b>	<b>Elementary Analysis</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>Univariate Analysis</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1	Integrate on Rational Functions . . . . .	4
<b>2</b>	<b>Multivariate Analysis</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1	Lipschitz Differentiable . . . . .	7
<b>II</b>	<b>Functional Analysis</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Fundamental Concepts</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Open and Closed Sets . . . . .	10
3.2	Separability . . . . .	10
3.3	Completeness . . . . .	11
3.4	sup Norm and max Norm . . . . .	12
3.5	Properties Preserved under Isomorphism . . . . .	12
3.6	The Contraction Mapping Theorem . . . . .	13
3.7	Compactness . . . . .	14
<b>4</b>	<b>Hilbert Spaces</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1	Fundamentals of Inner Product Space . . . . .	16
4.2	Schauder Bases . . . . .	17
4.3	Orthonormal Sets . . . . .	17
4.4	Bessel's Inequality and Parseval's Identity . . . . .	17
4.5	Closest Points and Orthogonal Complements . . . . .	18
4.6	Bounded Linear Map . . . . .	20
4.7	Kernel and Range . . . . .	21
4.8	Inverses and Invertibility . . . . .	22
4.9	Dual Space and Riesz Representation Theorem . . . . .	22
4.10	The Hilbert Adjoint of a Linear Operator . . . . .	23
4.11	The Resolvent and Spectrum . . . . .	24
4.12	Compact Linear Operators . . . . .	25
4.13	Hilbert-Schmidt Operators . . . . .	26
4.14	Numerical Range . . . . .	27
4.15	Eigenvalues of (Compact) Self-Adjoint Operators . . . . .	27
4.16	The Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem . . . . .	28

<b>5</b>	<b>Banach Spaces</b>	<b>30</b>
5.1	The Young and Hölder Inequalities . . . . .	30
5.2	The Dual Spaces of $l^p$ . . . . .	31
5.3	The Dual Spaces of $L^p(\Omega)$ . . . . .	31
5.4	Sublinear and Seminorm . . . . .	31
5.5	The Hahn-Banach Theorem . . . . .	32
5.6	Applications of the Hahn-Banach Theorem . . . . .	32
5.7	Adjoint of Linear Maps between Banach Spaces . . . . .	33
5.8	The Minkowski Functional . . . . .	34
5.9	Separating Convex Sets . . . . .	34
5.10	Hyperplane . . . . .	34
5.11	The Baire Category Theorem . . . . .	35
5.12	The Principle of Uniform Boundedness . . . . .	36
5.13	The Open Mapping Theorem . . . . .	37
5.14	The Inverse Mapping Theorem . . . . .	37
5.15	The Closed Graph Theorem . . . . .	38
5.16	The Second Dual and Reflexivity . . . . .	38
5.17	Weak Convergence . . . . .	40
5.18	Weak* Convergence . . . . .	41
5.19	Weak Compactness Theorems . . . . .	42

**Part I**

**Elementary Analysis**

# Chapter 1

## Univariate Analysis

### 1.1 Integrate on Rational Functions

Functions of the form  $R(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$  is called *rational functions*, where  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  are polynomials. If  $\deg(P(x)) < \deg(Q(x))$ , then it is called a *proper fraction*; otherwise called *improper fraction*. We can always change an improper fraction into a polynomial plus a proper fraction.

Example 1.1.1.

$$\frac{x^5}{1-x^2}$$

can be written as

$$\frac{x^5 - x^3 + x^3}{1-x^2} = \frac{x^3(x^2-1) + x^3}{1-x^2} = -x^3 + \frac{x^3 - x + x}{1-x^2} = -x^3 - x + \frac{x}{1-x^2}.$$

Therefore, we can only analyze on the integral of proper fraction.

**Theorem 1.1.2 (decomposition).** Assume  $R(x) = \frac{P(x)}{Q(x)}$  is a proper fraction, where  $Q(x) = (x - a_1)^{\alpha_1} \cdots (x - a_n)^{\alpha_n} (x^2 + b_1x + c_1)^{\beta_1} \cdots (x^2 + b_mx + c_m)^{\beta_m}$ , where  $\{a_i\}, \{b_i\}, \{c_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and  $\Delta_i = b_i^2 - 4c_i < 0$ ; also  $\{\alpha_i\}, \{\beta_i\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$R(x)$  can be decomposed to

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= \frac{A_{1\alpha_1}}{(x-a_1)^{\alpha_1}} + \cdots \frac{A_{11}}{x-a_1} \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ \frac{A_{n\alpha_n}}{(x-a_n)^{\alpha_n}} + \cdots \frac{A_{n1}}{x-a_1} \\ &+ \frac{B_{1\beta_1}x + C_{1\beta_1}}{(x^2 + b_1x + c_1)^{\beta_1}} + \cdots + \frac{B_{11}x + C_{11}}{x^2 + b_1x + c_1} \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ \frac{B_{m\beta_m}x + C_{m\beta_m}}{(x^2 + b_mx + c_m)^{\beta_m}} + \cdots + \frac{B_{m1}x + C_{m1}}{x^2 + b_mx + c_m}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{A_{ij}\}, \{B_{ij}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and the coefficients are unique.

*Proof.* Find the proof in Complex analysis. □

The theorem told us we can only consider the integral of the form

$$\frac{A}{(x-a)^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{Bx+C}{(x^2+bx+c)^l},$$

where  $b^2 - 4c < 0$ .

Recall that

$$\int \frac{dx}{x-a} = \ln|x-a| + c$$

and

$$\int \frac{dx}{(x-a)^k} = \frac{(x-a)^{1-k}}{1-k} + c$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . Therefore, we only need to investigate

$$\int \frac{Bx+C}{(x^2+bx+c)^l} dx$$

where  $b^2 - 4c < 0$  and  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ .

We have

$$x^2 + bx + c = \left(x + \frac{b}{2}\right)^2 + c - \frac{b^2}{4}.$$

Let  $a^2 = c - \frac{b^2}{4}$  and  $u = x + \frac{b}{2}$ . Then

$$\int \frac{Bx+C}{(x^2+bx+c)^l} dx = B \int \frac{u}{(a^2+u^2)^l} du + \left(C - \frac{B \cdot b}{2}\right) \int \frac{du}{(a^2+u^2)^l}.$$

When  $u$  in the nominator, the integral is easy, as

$$\int \frac{u}{a^2+u^2} du = \frac{1}{2} \ln(a^2+u^2) + c;$$

and for  $l \geq 2$ ,

$$\int \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^l} du = \frac{1}{2(1-k)}(a^2 + u^2)^{1-l} + c.$$

It remains the final step: calculate

$$I_l \triangleq \int \frac{du}{(a^2 + u^2)^l},$$

for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . To get the recurrence relation, use the method of integral by parts, then

$$\begin{aligned} I_l &= \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^l} + 2l \int \frac{u^2}{(a^2 + u^2)^{l+1}} du \\ &= \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^l} + 2l \int \frac{a^2 + u^2 - a^2}{(a^2 + u^2)^{l+1}} du \\ &= \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^l} + 2lI_l - 2la^2I_{l+1}, \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$I_{l+1} = \frac{1}{2la^2} \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^l} + \frac{2l-1}{2la^2} I_l. \quad (1.1.1)$$

We then use this recurrence relation to calculate  $I_l$ , for  $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Recall that

$$I_1 = \int \frac{du}{a^2 + u^2} = \frac{1}{a} \arctan \frac{u}{a} + c.$$

For convenience, I list the following relation that we commonly use:

$$I_2 = \frac{1}{2a^2} \left( \frac{u}{a^2 + u^2} + I_1 \right); \quad (1.1.2)$$

$$I_3 = \frac{1}{4a^2} \left( \frac{u}{(a^2 + u^2)^2} + 3I_2 \right). \quad (1.1.3)$$

# Chapter 2

## Multivariate Analysis

### 2.1 Lipschitz Differentiable

**Definition 2.1.1** ( $L$ -Lipschitz differentiable). A function  $f$  is  $L$ -Lipschitz differentiable,  $L \geq 0$  if  $f \in C^1$  and

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq L \|x - y\|$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

It means that the maximum rate of change of  $\nabla f$  is  $L$ .

**Theorem 2.1.2** (Baillon-Haddad Theorem). If  $f \in C^1$  is a convex function, then  $L$ -Lipschitz differentiable if and only if

$$\|\nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y)\| \leq L \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle,$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .



# **Part II**

## **Functional Analysis**

The main reference of this part is [Rob20].

# Chapter 3

## Fundamental Concepts

### 3.1 Open and Closed Sets

■ Lemma 3.1.1. If  $U$  is an open linear subspace of a normed space  $X$ , then  $U = X$ .

■ Lemma 3.1.2. If  $U$  is a linear subspace of a normed space  $X$ , then  $\overline{U}$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$ .

### 3.2 Separability

■ Definition 3.2.1 (separable). A metric space  $(X, d)$  is *separable* if it contains a countable dense set.

■ Lemma 3.2.2. If  $(X, d)$  is separable and  $Y \subset X$ , then  $(Y, d)$  is also separable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\{x_n\}$  is dense in  $X$ . Construct the separable set  $A$  for  $Y$  as following: for each  $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $B(x_n, 1/k) \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ , then choose one point from  $B(x_n, 1/k) \cap Y$  to  $A$ . □

It is a useful strategy to choose  $1/k$  and consider a ball  $B(x, 1/k)$  for a countable sequence. The proof of the following proposition is an example.

■ Proposition 3.2.3. Any compact metric space  $(X, d)$  is separable. Furthermore, in any compact metric space there exists a countable subset  $(x_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$  with the following property: for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there is an  $M(\epsilon)$  such that for every  $x \in X$  we have  $d(x_j, x) < \epsilon$  for some  $1 \leq j \leq M(\epsilon)$ .

*Proof.* Consider covering collection of radius  $1/n$ . □

To show a space is *not separable*, we should show that there is no dense subset. A common strategy is the following: construct an uncountable set  $B$  in which two distinct elements  $x, y$  must differ by a constant, i.e.  $\|x - y\| \geq c$ . Then, any dense set  $A$  must contain an uncountable number of elements. Indeed, for any distinct elements  $x, y$  in  $B$ , there exists  $x', y' \in A$  such that  $\|x - x'\| < 1/3$  and  $\|y - y'\| < 1/3$ . Then

$$\|x' - y'\| = \|x' - x + x - y + y - y'\| \leq \|x - y\| - \|x' - x\| - \|y - y'\| > \frac{1}{3},$$

which shows  $x', y'$  are distinct. There exists a injectivity from  $B$  to  $A$ .  $A$  must be uncountable.

The proof of the non-separability of  $l^\infty$  and  $L^\infty$  uses the strategy.

All separable Hilbert spaces are isometrically isomorphic to  $l^2$ , so that in some sense  $l^2$  is the only (infinite dimensional) separable Hilbert space.

**Proposition 3.2.4.** An infinite-dimensional Hilbert space is separable if and only if it has a countable orthonormal basis.

*Proof.* If a Hilbert space has a countable basis, then the conclusion is trivial. If a Hilbert space is separable, then consider Gram-Schmidt process.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.5.** Any infinite dimensional separable Hilbert space  $H$  over  $\mathbb{K}$  is isometrically isomorphic to  $l^2(\mathbb{K})$ , i.e.  $H \cong l^2(\mathbb{K})$ .

Most Hilbert spaces that occur in applications are separable, but there are non-separable Hilbert spaces, such as  $l^\infty$  and  $L^\infty$ .

### 3.3 Completeness

“Completeness arguments” usually follow similar lines:

1. use the definition of what it means for a sequence to be Cauchy to identify a possible limit;
2. show that the original sequence converges to this “possible limit” in the appropriate norm;
3. check that the “limit” lies in the correct space.

In step two, it is enough to show a subsequence of the Cauchy sequence converges to the limit. This is because of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.3.1.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . If there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  converges to  $x$ , then  $(x_n)$  must converge to  $x$ .

Therefore, to consider the convergence of a Cauchy sequence, it is equivalent to consider the convergence of the subsequence.

An example follows in the proof of the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.2.** The space  $\mathbb{K}^d$  <sup>a</sup> is complete (with its standard norm <sup>b</sup>).

<sup>a</sup>Be careful that  $\mathbb{K}$  does not mean all field of numbers here. Instead, it means either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

<sup>b</sup>The standard norm of  $\mathbb{K}^d$  is the Euclid norm, i.e.  $l^2$  norm for all  $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ . However, the standard norm for  $l^p(\mathbb{K})$  is  $l^p$  norm for each  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .

*Proof.* If  $x^n$  is Cauchy sequence in  $\mathbb{K}^d$ , then each coordinate is Cauchy in  $\mathbb{K}$ , which also has a limit in  $\mathbb{K}$  since  $\mathbb{K}$  is complete. Let  $x \in \mathbb{K}^d$  be the limit where  $x_j$  is the limit of  $x_j^n$ . Step 2 is check  $x^n \rightarrow x$ . Step 3 is check  $x^n \in \mathbb{K}^d$  which is

trivial. □

With this theorem, we can deduce that any finite dimensional space with any norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is complete, since  $(V, \|\cdot\|_E) \equiv (\mathbb{K}^n, \|\cdot\|_{l_2})$ . Here “ $\equiv$ ” means “isometrically isomorphic to” and

$$\|x\|_E \triangleq \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where  $x = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i e_i$  and  $(e_i)$  is the basis of  $E$ .

**Corollary 3.3.3.** Any finite-dimensional normed space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  is complete.

Here is a different strategy to prove the completeness.

**Lemma 3.3.4.** If  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space and  $Y$  is a linear subspace of  $X$ , then  $(Y, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space if and only if  $Y$  is closed.

*Proof.* If  $Y$  is complete, then the Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  converges in  $Y$ . If  $(y_n) \rightarrow y$ , then  $(y_n)$  is also Cauchy, so  $y \in Y$ . Contrarily, if  $Y$  is closed, since the Cauchy sequence in  $Y$  is also a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ , it converges. Because of closeness, it converges in  $Y$ . □

The statement of the following lemma provides a useful test for completeness. If there exists a sequence that does not satisfy the condition, then the space must not be complete.

**Lemma 3.3.5.** If  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a normed space with the property that whenever  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|x_j\| < \infty$ , the sum  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$  converges in  $X$ , then  $X$  is complete.

*Proof.* Suppose  $(y_j)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Inductively find  $n_k$  such that  $n_{k+1} > n_k$  and  $\|y_i - y_j\| < 2^{-k}$  for  $i, j > n_k$ . Then set  $x_1 = y_{n_1}$ ,  $x_j = y_{n_j} - y_{n_{j-1}}$ . Use the assumption to show that  $y_{n_j} \rightarrow y$ , where  $y = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j$ . □

## 3.4 sup Norm and max Norm

On the space  $C([a, b])$ ,  $\|f\|_{\infty} \triangleq \max_{x \in [a, b]} |f(x)|$  defines a norm, called max norm; on the space  $C_b(X; \mathbb{K})$ , where  $X$  is a metric space, and it  $C_b$  denotes all bounded and continuous functions, then  $\|f\|_{\infty} \triangleq \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$  defines a norm on  $C_b(X; \mathbb{K})$ , called the sup norm. If  $X$  is compact, then  $C(X; \mathbb{K}) = C_b(X; \mathbb{K})$ , and this norm is the same as the max norm.

In general, sup norm is for general  $X$  and max norm is only for compact  $X$ .

## 3.5 Properties Preserved under Isomorphism

To be more specific, the “isomorphism” we talking about here is not the “set-isomorphism”. Instead, it requires more: for  $T : X \rightarrow Y$ , where  $X, Y$  are normed spaces,  $T$  should have the following properties

1. bijectivity;
2. linearity;

3. norm preserving: there exists  $c_1, c_2$  such that  $c_1 \|x\| \leq \|Tx\| \leq c_2 \|x\|$ .

In some cases we can strengthen item 3 to be *isometry*:  $\|Tx\| = \|x\|$  and refer to such a map  $T$  as an *isometric isomorphism*.

Note that the third property ensures the injectivity, so the procedure to check isomorphism is first check the linearity, then norm preserving, finally surjective.

Note that  $T$  is automatically continuous by property item 2 and 3.

**Proposition 3.5.1.** Assume  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a normed-space isomorphism, then  $T$  is a continuous map.

**Proposition 3.5.2.** If  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X) \simeq (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ , then  $X$  is separable if and only if  $Y$  is separable.

*Proof.* Assume  $X = \overline{\{x_j\}}$ , show that  $Y = \overline{\{Tx_j\}}$ . □

**Proposition 3.5.3.** If  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X) \simeq (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ , then  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  is complete if and only if  $(Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is complete.

*Proof.* If  $(x_n)$  is Cauchy in  $X$ , then  $(Tx_n)$  is Cauchy in  $Y$ . Assume  $Y$  is complete, then  $Tx_n \rightarrow y \triangleq Tx$ . Show that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ . □

**Lemma 3.5.4 (Generalized Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem).** Any bounded sequence in a finite dimensional normed space  $(V, \|\cdot\|)$  has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Consider  $(V, \|\cdot\|) \equiv (\mathbb{R}^n, \|\cdot\|)$ . If  $(v_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $V$  then  $(\Psi(v_n))$  is also a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , where  $\Psi$  is the isometrically isomorphic map. □

## 3.6 The Contraction Mapping Theorem

In a complete normed space <sup>1</sup>  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , the Contraction Mapping Theorem, also known as Banach's Fixed Point Theorem, enables us to find a fixed point of any map that is a contraction.

**Theorem 3.6.1 (Contraction Mapping Theorem).** Let  $K$  be a non-empty closed subset of a complete normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  and  $f : K \rightarrow K$  a contraction, i.e. a map such that

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \kappa \|x - y\|$$

for any  $x, y \in K$  and some  $\kappa < 1$ . Then  $f$  has a unique fixed point in  $K$ , i.e. there exists a unique  $x \in K$  such that  $f(x) = x$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $x_0 \in K$  and set  $x_{n+1} = f(x_n)$ . Then note that

$$\|x_{j+1} - x_j\| \leq \kappa \|x_j - x_{j-1}\| \leq \cdots \leq \kappa^j \|x_1 - x_0\|.$$

<sup>1</sup>The theorem also holds in any complete metric space  $(X, d)$  with the obvious changes

Then use triangle inequality repeatedly, we have

$$\|x_k - x_j\| \leq \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} \|x_{i+1} - x_i\| \leq \frac{\kappa^j}{1 - \kappa} \|x_1 - x_0\|.$$

It follows that  $(x_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness,  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and by closeness,  $x \in K$ . Then we have  $x = f(x)$  by let  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , where the continuity of  $f$  is followed from the contraction. Such  $x$  is unique, since if  $f(x) = x$  and  $f(y) = y$ , then  $\|x - y\| = \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \kappa \|x - y\|$ . Since  $\kappa < 1$ , this is not possible.  $\square$

Note that the conclusion of the theorem is no longer valid if we only have  $\|f(x) - f(y)\| < \|x - y\|$  for any  $x \neq y$ , unless  $K$  is compact.

### 3.7 Compactness

There are two kinds of compactness.

**Definition 3.7.1 (compact).** A subset  $K$  of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is *compact* if any cover of  $K$  by open sets has a finite subcover.

**Definition 3.7.2 (sequentially compact).** If  $K$  is a subset of  $(X, d)$ , then  $K$  is *sequentially compact* if any sequence in  $K$  has a subsequence that converges and whose limit lies in  $K$ .

Note that compactness implies close and bounded.

**Lemma 3.7.3.** If  $K$  is a compact subset of a metric space  $(X, d)$ , then  $K$  is closed and bounded.

The opposite implication is only valid in finite dimensional space.

**Theorem 3.7.4.** A subset of a finite-dimensional normed space is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

*Proof.* The proof based on the fact that the result is true on  $\mathbb{K}^d$  and construct a isometric isomorphism between two spaces.  $\square$

A different characterization of finite-dimensional normed space based on compactness is the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.7.5.** A normed space  $X$  is finite-dimensional if and only if its closed unit ball is compact.

The proof based on Riesz's lemma.

**Lemma 3.7.6 (Riesz's Lemma).** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a normed space and  $Y$  a proper closed subspace of  $X$ . Then there exists  $x \in X$  with  $\|x\| = 1$  such that  $\|x - y\| \geq 1/2$  for every  $y \in Y$ .

Using the theorem, if in infinite dimensional space, a subset is bounded and closed implies compactness, then we can deduce that its closed unit ball is compact, which means the space is finite dimensional. Therefore, we have the following conclusion.

**Corollary 3.7.7.** The equivalence between compactness and closed-bounded is valid and only valid in finite dimensional normed space.

An easy example is the  $(e^j)_j$  in  $l^p$  space. There is no subsequence of  $(e^j)$  converges, since no subsequence can be Cauchy. So the closed unit ball in  $l^p(\mathbb{K})$  is not compact, which shows  $l^p(\mathbb{K})$  is of infinite dimensional.



# Chapter 4

## Hilbert Spaces

Examples of Hilbert spaces are  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $l^2$  and  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

### 4.1 Fundamentals of Inner Product Space

Examples of the inner product spaces are:

1.  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j \overline{y_j}$ ;
2. Sequence space  $l^2(\mathbb{K})$  with  $\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j \overline{y_j}$ ;
3. Functional space  $L^2(\Omega)$  with  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$ .

**Lemma 4.1.1 (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality).** Any inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  in a vector space  $V$  satisfies

$$|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\| \|y\|, \quad (4.1.1)$$

for all  $x, y \in V$ , where  $\|\cdot\|^2 = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ , which we called the *induced norm*.

**Lemma 4.1.2 (Parallelogram Law).** If  $V$  is an inner product space with induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ , then

$$\|x + y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 = 2(\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2) \quad (4.1.2)$$

for all  $x, y \in V$ .

**Lemma 4.1.3 (Polarisation Identity).** Let  $V$  be an inner product space with induced norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . Then if  $V$  is real,

$$4 \langle x, y \rangle = \|x + y\|^2 - \|x - y\|^2, \quad (4.1.3)$$

while if  $V$  is complex,

$$4 \langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^3 i^n \|x + i^n y\|^2. \quad (4.1.4)$$

**Theorem 4.1.4 (Jordan-Neumann Theorem).** If  $\|\cdot\|$  is a norm on a real vector space  $V$  that satisfies Eq.(4.1.2), then the inner product defined by Eq.(4.1.3) is indeed an inner product.

## 4.2 Schauder Bases

**Definition 4.2.1 (Schauder basis).** A countable set  $\{e_j\}$  is a *Schauder basis* for a normed space  $V$  if every  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as  $v = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j$  for some  $\{\alpha_j \in \mathbb{K}\}$ .

Note that “uniquely” equivalently means independence in “countable sense”, that is: if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j = 0$  then  $\alpha_j = 0$  for every  $j$ .

Therefore, a Schauder basis is a Hamel basis but a Hamel basis is not necessarily be a Schauder basis since (i) Schauder basis must be countable and (ii) the linearly independence on finite sum version is not enough. In other words, we now narrow the concept of basis from now on.

Note that every separable Hilbert space has a countable Schauder basis, which is stated in Theorem 3.2.5 (the proof is based on Gram-Schmidt process). However, this is not true for Banach space. There exists separable Banach space that do not have a Schauder basis.

## 4.3 Orthonormal Sets

**Lemma 4.3.1 (Generalized Pythagoras).** If  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is an orthonormal set in an inner product space  $V$ , then for any  $\{\alpha_j \in \mathbb{K}\}_{j=1}^n$ ,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\alpha_j|^2. \quad (4.3.1)$$

## 4.4 Bessel's Inequality and Parseval's Identity

**Lemma 4.4.1 (Bessel's Inequality).** Let  $V$  be an inner product space and  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  an orthonormal set in  $V$ . Then for all  $v \in V$ ,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle v, e_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|v\|^2 \quad (4.4.1)$$

and in particular the left hand side converges.

*Proof.* Note that  $\|v - x_k\|^2 = \|v\|^2 - \|x_k\|^2$ , where  $\|x_k\| \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^k |\langle v, e_j \rangle|^2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.2 (Parseval's Identity).** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  an orthonormal set in  $H$ . The series  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j$  converges if and only if  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^2 < \infty$ . And

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j e_j \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^2. \quad (4.4.2)$$

*Proof.* Don't forget we are working with the Hilbert space, the proof includes the property of completeness.  $\square$

Combining two lemmas, we have

**Corollary 4.4.3.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  an orthonormal set in  $H$ . Then  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j$  converges for every  $x \in H$ .

Note that  $\sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j$  converges but it not necessarily converges to  $x$  unless it is a orthonormal basis.

**Proposition 4.4.4.** Let  $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  be an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

1.  $E$  is a basis for  $H$ ;
2. for any  $x$  we have

$$x = \sum_{j=1}^\infty \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j. \quad (4.4.3)$$

3. Parseval's identity holds:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^\infty |\langle x, e_j \rangle|^2. \quad (4.4.4)$$

4.  $\langle x, e_j \rangle = 0$  for all  $j$  implies that  $x = 0$ .<sup>a</sup>
5.  $\text{clin}(E) = H$ .

---

<sup>a</sup>Using the terminology of orthogonal complements, this condition is equivalent of saying  $E^\perp = \{0\}$ , where  $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ .

Recall that  $\text{clin}$  means the closed linear space of  $E$ , i.e.  $\text{clin}(E) = \overline{\text{span}(E)}$ . Compare Eq.(4.4.4) with Eq.(4.4.1), which shows the condition is also equivalent to the equality holds in the Bessel's inequality.

## 4.5 Closest Points and Orthogonal Complements

**Theorem 4.5.1.** Let  $A$  be a non-empty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  and let  $x \in H - A$ . Then there exists a unique  $\hat{a} \in A$  such that

$$\|x - \hat{a}\| = \text{dist}(x, A) \triangleq \inf\{\|x - a\| : a \in A\}.$$

Moreover, for every  $a \in A$  we have

$$\Re \langle x - \hat{a}, a - \hat{a} \rangle \leq 0, \quad (4.5.1)$$

which means the angle between  $x - \hat{a}$  and  $a - \hat{a}$  is always at least a right angle.

Note that if  $A$  is a subspace of  $H$ , then  $A$  is automatically convex. Therefore this theorem is also very useful when  $A$  is a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space  $H$ .

**Corollary 4.5.2.** Suppose that  $A$  is a non-empty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ , and  $x \in H - A$ . Then there exists  $v \in H$  such that

$$\Re \langle x - a, v \rangle \geq d^2 \quad (4.5.2)$$

for all  $a \in A$ , where  $d = \text{dist}(x, A)$ .

The physical interpretation of this result is that the work done by a fixed force with the directed distance  $x - a$  would be greater than the directed distance  $v$ .

Another viewpoint using the Riesz Representation Theorem is that for any  $x \in H - A$ , there exists a functional  $f \in H^*$  such that  $f(x) \geq f(a) + d^2$  for all  $a \in A$ . Note that  $f(x) = \langle x, v \rangle$ , so  $f(x) = c$  is a straight line orthogonal to  $v$ .

**Definition 4.5.3 (orthogonal complements).** If  $X$  is a subset of a Hilbert space, then the *orthogonal complement* of  $X$  in  $H$  is defined to be

$$X^\perp = \{u \in H : \langle u, x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in X\}.$$

We have the following immediate conclusions.

**Lemma 4.5.4.** Assume  $X$  is a subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then

1. if  $Y \subseteq X$ , then  $X^\perp \subseteq Y^\perp$ .
2. If  $0 \in X$ , then  $X \cap X^\perp = \{0\}$ . And if  $0 \notin X$ , then the intersection is emptyset.
3.  $X^\perp$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ .

**Definition 4.5.5 (direct sum).** We  $X = U + V$  is a direct sum if for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a unique decomposition in the form  $x = u + v$  where  $u \in U, v \in V$ .

**Proposition 4.5.6.** If  $U$  is a closed linear subspace of a Hilbert space  $H$ , then any  $x \in H$  is the direct sum of  $U$  and  $U^\perp$ , i.e. any  $x \in H$  can be written uniquely as  $x = u + v$ , where  $u \in U$  and  $v \in U^\perp$ . The map  $P_U : H \rightarrow U$  defined by

$$P_U x \triangleq u$$

is called the orthogonal projection of  $x$  onto  $U$ , and satisfies

$$P_U^2 x = P_U x \quad \text{and} \quad \|P_U x\| \leq \|x\|$$

for all  $x \in H$ .

In the proof of the proposition, we can see that  $u$  is chosen to be the closest point of  $x$  to  $U$ .

**Corollary 4.5.7.** If  $X \subset H$ , then  $X \subseteq (X^\perp)^\perp$  with equality if and only if  $X$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ .

**Theorem 4.5.8 (Best Approximation).** Let  $E = \{e_j\}_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$  be an orthonormal set, where  $\mathcal{J} = \mathbb{N}$  or  $(1, \dots, n)$ . Then for any  $x \in H$ , the orthogonal projection

of  $x$  onto  $\text{clin}(E)$ , which is the closest point to  $x \in \text{clin}(E)$ , is given by

$$P_E x \triangleq \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j.$$

Of course, if  $E$  is a basis for  $H$ , then by Proposition 4.4.4, there is no approximation involved.

*Proof.* Consider  $x - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \alpha_j e_j$ . Show that when  $\alpha_j = \langle x, e_j \rangle$  the norm of the difference reaches the minimal. Then the summation would be the closest point of  $x$  in  $\text{clin}(E)$ , which is also the projection.  $\square$

## 4.6 Bounded Linear Map

**Definition 4.6.1 (bounded linear map).** A linear map  $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is *bounded* if there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $\|Tx\|_Y \leq M \|x\|_X$  for all  $x \in X$ .

In finite dimensional spaces, the linear maps are automatically bounded.

**Lemma 4.6.2.** If  $X$  is a finite-dimensional vector space, then any linear map  $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is bounded.

*Proof.* Let  $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be the basis of  $x$ . Then consider the norm  $\|\cdot\|_E$ . Recall that all norms are equivalent in finite dimensional spaces.  $\square$

An important characterization of boundedness is continuity.

**Theorem 4.6.3.** A linear map  $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is bounded if and only if it is continuous.

*Proof.* Bounded map is continuous since

$$\|Tx_n - Tx\| = \|T(x_n - x)\| \leq M \|x_n - x\|.$$

Suppose  $T$  is continuous, then in particular it is continuous at zero. Then take  $\epsilon = 1$  to show the boundedness.  $\square$

The space of bounded linear maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  is denoted by  $B(X, Y)$ . And  $B(X) \triangleq B(X, X)$ .

**Definition 4.6.4 (operator norm).** The norm in  $B(X, Y)$  of a linear map  $T : (X, \|\cdot\|_X) \rightarrow (Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$  is the smallest value of  $M$ , i.e.

$$\|T\|_{B(X, Y)} \triangleq \inf\{M : \|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|, \forall x \in X\}.$$

One can show directly that the definition indeed defines a norm.

**Lemma 4.6.5.** The norm in  $B(X, Y)$  is also given by

$$\|T\|_{B(X, Y)} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} \|Tx\|.$$

We also have

$$\|T\|_{B(X,Y)} = \sup_{\|x\| \leq 1} \|Tx\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \frac{\|Tx\|}{\|x\|}. \quad (4.6.1)$$

Also, it is easy to see  $\|S \circ T\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$  so that  $S \circ T$  is bounded if both  $S, T$  are bounded. In particular, we have  $\|T^n\| \leq \|T\|^n$ .

The method of showing that  $\|T\|$  is the norm of the linear operator is (i) first showing  $M$  satisfies  $\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$ , which shows  $\|T\| \leq M$ . (ii) then find a sequence  $(z_n) \in X$  such that

$$\frac{\|Tz_n\|}{\|z_n\|} \rightarrow M$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows  $\|T\| \geq M$ ; (ii') sometimes you may find an example of a particular  $z \in X$  such that  $\|Tz\| = M \|z\|$ .

**Theorem 4.6.6.** If  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space, then  $B(X, Y)$  is a Banach space.

*Proof.* If  $\{T_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $B(X, Y)$ , then  $\{T_n x\}$  would be a Cauchy sequence in  $Y$ . By the completeness of  $Y$ ,  $T_n x \rightarrow x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then show that  $T_n \rightarrow T$  and  $T \in B(X, Y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.6.7.** Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space,  $Y$  a normed space, and that  $T_n \in B(X, Y)$ . Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$  exists for every  $x \in X$ . Let  $Tx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T_n x$ . Then  $T \in B(X, Y)$ .

*Proof.* Need Theorem 5.12.1. It is clear that  $T$  is linear. To show  $T$  is bounded, note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x\|$  exists for every  $x \in X$  so that  $(T_n x)_{n=1}^\infty$  is bounded. The Principle of Uniform Boundedness shows that  $\|T_n\| \leq M$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$\|Tx\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n x\| \leq M \|x\|.$$

$\square$

## 4.7 Kernel and Range

**Lemma 4.7.1.** If  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , then  $\ker(T)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $X$ .

*Proof.* One can argue by the continuity of  $T$ . First approach: if  $Tx_n = 0$  and  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $Tx = T(\lim x_n) = \lim Tx_n = 0$ . Second approach (more topology): note that  $\ker(T) = T^{-1}(\{0\})$  and  $\{0\}$  is a closed subspace of  $Y$ , so that  $T^{-1}(\{0\})$  is a closed subset of  $X$ .  $\square$

Note that the same is not true for the range of  $T$ . Consider the map

$$Tx = (x_1, \frac{x_2}{2}, \frac{x_3}{3}, \dots).$$

One can show that it is a bounded linear map. However,  $y_n = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, 0, \dots) \in \text{range}(T)$  but  $y = (1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots) \notin \text{range}(T)$ .

## 4.8 Inverses and Invertibility

Since there is no guarantee that the inverse of a bounded linear map is also bounded, it gives rise to the somewhat strange situation in which  $T \in B(X, Y)$  can ‘have an inverse’ but not be ‘invertible’.

**Definition 4.8.1 (invertible).** Suppose  $X, Y$  are normed spaces. An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is *invertible* if there exists an  $S \in B(X, Y)$  such that  $ST = I_X$  and  $TS = I_Y$ , and then  $T^{-1} = S$  is the inverse of  $T$ .

**Lemma 4.8.2.** For any  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , the following statements are equivalent:

1.  $T$  is invertible;
2.  $T$  is bijection and  $T^{-1} \in B(Y, X)$ ;
3.  $T$  is onto and for some  $c > 0$ ,  $\|Tx\| \geq c\|x\|$  for every  $x \in X$ .

**Lemma 4.8.3.** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is invertible, then so is  $T + S$  for any  $S \in B(X, Y)$  with  $\|S\| \|T^{-1}\| \leq 1$ . Consequently, the subset of  $B(X, Y)$  consisting of invertible operators is open.

*Proof.* Consider  $\mathcal{J}(x) = T^{-1}(y - Sx)$  for given  $y \in Y$ . Apply Contraction Mapping Theorem to it to show that  $T + S$  is onto. On the other side, note that

$$\|Tx\| \geq \frac{1}{\|T^{-1}\|} \|x\|.$$

□

**Lemma 4.8.4.** If  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and  $S \in B(Y, Z)$  are invertible, then so is  $ST \in B(X, Z)$  and  $(ST)^{-1} = T^{-1}S^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 4.8.5.** Let  $T, S \in L(X)$ . If  $TS = ST$  and  $T$  is invertible, then  $T^{-1}S = ST^{-1}$ .

*Proof.* Consider  $T^{-1}[ST]T^{-1}$ .

□

## 4.9 Dual Space and Riesz Representation Theorem

**Definition 4.9.1 (dual space).** The *dual space* of  $X$  is  $X^* = B(X, \mathbb{K})$  with norm

$$\|f\|_{X^*} = \sup_{\|x\|=1} |f(x)|$$

for each  $f \in X^*$ .

Use Lemma 4.6.5, the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{X^*}$  agrees with the former definition of norm on bounded linear operator. Also note that from Theorem 4.6.6,  $X^*$  is always a Banach space.

**Lemma 4.9.2.** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space over  $\mathbb{K}$  and  $y \in H$ , then the map  $f_y : H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  defined by  $f_y(x) \triangleq \langle x, y \rangle$  belongs to  $H^*$  with  $\|f_y\|_{H^*} = \|y\|_H$ .

*Proof.* First show that  $\|f_y\|_{H^*} \leq \|y\|$  and then find an example, which is  $x = y$  that  $|f_y(y)| = \|y\|^2$ .  $\square$

The Riesz Representation Theorem shows that the map  $R(y) = f_y$  is onto, so that this can be reversed.

**Theorem 4.9.3 (The Riesz Representation Theorem).** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space, then for every  $f \in H^*$ , there exists a unique element  $y \in H$  such that

$$f(x) = \langle x, y \rangle$$

for all  $x \in H$  and  $\|y\| = \|f\|$

*Proof.* Let  $K = \ker(f)$ . First show that  $K^\perp$  is a one-dimensional linear subspace of  $H$ . Then decompose  $x \in H$  into  $x = \langle x, z \rangle z + w$ , where  $z \in K^\perp$  and  $w \in K$ . So that  $f(x) = \langle x, \overline{f(z)}z \rangle$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.9.4.** Let  $X$  be a normed space. If  $X^*$  is separable, then  $X$  is separable.

*Proof.* Note that the proof based on Hahn-Banach Theorem 5.5.1 and the existence of distance functional Proposition 5.6.3. Use the fact that  $S_{X^*}$  is separable to find a dense subset of  $S_{X^*}$  and a sequence  $(x_n)$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  such that  $|f_n(x_n)| \geq 1/2$ , by the definition of the norm in  $X^*$ . Then show that  $M \triangleq \text{clin}\{x_n\} = X$  by contradiction.  $\square$

## 4.10 The Hilbert Adjoint of a Linear Operator

**Theorem 4.10.1.** Let  $H$  and  $K$  be Hilbert spaces and  $T \in B(H, K)$ . Then there exists a unique operator  $T^* \in B(K, H)$ , which we call the *Hilbert adjoint of  $T$*  such that

$$\langle Tx, y \rangle_K = \langle x, T^*y \rangle_H$$

for all  $x \in H, y \in K$ . Furthermore,  $T^{**} \triangleq (T^*)^* = T$  and  $\|T^*\| = \|T\|$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = \langle Tx, y \rangle_K$  and use the Riesz Representation Theorem.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.10.2.** Let  $H, K$  and  $J$  be Hilbert spaces,  $R, S \in B(H, K)$  and  $T \in B(K, J)$ . Then

1.  $(\alpha R + \beta S)^* = \bar{\alpha}R^* + \bar{\beta}S^*$ ;
2.  $(TR)^* = R^*T^*$ .



**Definition 4.10.3.** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$ , then  $T$  is *self-adjoint* if  $T = T^*$ .

## 4.11 The Resolvent and Spectrum

**Definition 4.11.1 (resolvent and spectrum).** Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and  $T \in B(X)$ . The *resolvent set* of  $T$ ,  $\rho(T)$  is

$$\rho(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is invertible}\}.$$

The *spectrum* of  $T$ ,  $\sigma(T)$ , is the complement of  $\rho(T)$ .

Be careful with the difference between “invertible” and “have an inverse”. If  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , then  $T - \lambda I$  is not invertible, which means either  $T - \lambda I$  does not have an inverse or the inverse is not bounded linear map.

In a finite dimensional space, the spectrum consists entirely of eigenvalues. In an infinite dimensional space, the spectrum can be strictly larger than the set of eigenvalues, which we term the “point spectrum”:

$$\sigma_p(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (T - \lambda I)x = 0, \exists x \neq 0 \in X\}.$$

We have  $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . It is easy to see that  $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$  for  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T)$ . Moreover, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.11.2.** If  $T \in B(X)$ , then  $\sigma(T)$  is a closed subset of  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq \|T\|\}$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 4.8.3. □

Therefore, to find the spectrum of a bounded linear operator  $T$ , we may (i) first find  $\sigma_p(T)$ , (ii) then find  $\|T\|$ , (iii) use the compactness of  $\sigma(T)$ . Or consider the following lemma if the space a Hilbert space rather than Banach space.

**Lemma 4.11.3.** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$ , then

$$\sigma(T^*) = \{\bar{\lambda} : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

*Proof.* Show that  $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$  implies that  $\bar{\lambda} \notin \sigma(T^*)$ . □

We have a powerful theorem called “spectrum mapping theorem”, which states  $\sigma(f(T)) = \{f(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}$ . We show it for  $f$  being polynomials here.

**Theorem 4.11.4 (Spectrum Mapping Theorem for Polynomials).** If  $T \in B(X)$  and  $P$  is a polynomial, then

$$\sigma(P(T)) = P(\sigma(T)) \triangleq \{P(\lambda) : \lambda \in \sigma(T)\}.$$

*Proof.* Show that the spectrum of  $\sigma(P(T))$ ,  $\lambda$ , can be write as  $\lambda = P(\beta)$  for some  $\beta \in \sigma(T)$ . And if  $\lambda \notin \sigma(P(T))$ , such  $\beta$  does not exist. Consider  $\lambda - P(z) = a(\beta_1 - z) \cdots (\beta_n - z)$ , which means the only possibility of the choice of  $\beta$  is in  $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^n$ . □

## 4.12 Compact Linear Operators

There are two equivalent definitions of *compact linear operators*.

**Definition 4.12.1 (compact linear operator – first definition).** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be normed spaces. A linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is *compact* if for any bounded sequence  $(x_n) \in X$ , the sequence  $(Tx_n) \in Y$  has a convergent subsequence.

**Proposition 4.12.2 (equivalent definition).** A linear operator  $T$  is compact if and only if  $T\mathbb{B}_X$  is a precompact subset of  $Y$ , where  $\mathbb{B}_X$  denotes the closed unit ball in  $X$ .

Note that a compact operator must be bounded; otherwise  $(Tx_n)$  would not have a convergent subsequence.

**Lemma 4.12.3.** Let  $X, Y$  be normed spaces and  $T \in B(X, Y)$ . If  $\text{range}(T)$  is finite dimensional, then  $T$  is compact.

*Proof.* Since  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and any bounded sequence in a finite dimensional space has a convergent subsequence, the results follows.  $\square$

Let  $K(X, Y)$  denotes the sets of all compact linear operators from  $X$  to  $Y$ . It is easy to see that this is a vector space. Actually, we have the following advanced result.

**Theorem 4.12.4.** Suppose that  $X$  is a normed space and  $Y$  is a Banach space. If  $(K_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of compact linear operators in  $K(X, Y)$  that converges to some  $K \in B(X, Y)$ , i.e.

$$\|K_n - K\|_{B(X, Y)} \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $K \in K(X, Y)$  also. In particular,  $K(X, Y)$  is complete.

The results shows that  $K(X, Y) \subseteq B(X, Y)$  is a closed subspace. If  $Y$  is complete then  $B(X, Y)$  is complete and also the closed subspace is complete.

Now we have four ways to show a linear operator  $T$  is compact:

1. Show that for any bounded sequence  $(x_n)$ ,  $(Tx_n)$  has a convergent subsequence.
2. Show that  $T\mathbb{B}_X$  is precompact, i.e.  $T\mathbb{B}_X$  is compact.
3. Show that  $\text{range}(T)$  is finite dimensional.
4. Show that there exists a sequence of compact operators  $(T_n)$  converges to  $T$ .

One other way is to show that  $T$  is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator, which we will discuss in the following section.

**Lemma 4.12.5.** Suppose that  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and  $S \in B(Y, Z)$ . Show that if either  $S$  or  $T$  are compact, then  $S \circ T$  is compact.

**Lemma 4.12.6.** If  $H$  is a Hilbert space and  $T \in K(H)$ , then  $T^* \in K(H)$ .

*Proof.* Use Lemma 4.12.5, then  $TT^*x_n$  would have a convergent subsequence given  $x_n$  is bounded. Show that  $T^*x_n$  (reabeled) is Cauchy thus convergent.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.12.7.** Suppose that  $X$  is an infinite-dimensional Banach space and  $T \in K(X)$ . Then  $0 \in \sigma(T)$ .

$0 \in \sigma(T)$  means that  $T$  is not invertible.

*Proof.* Assume  $0 \notin \sigma(T)$ , then  $T$  is invertible,  $T^{-1} \in B(X)$ . It follows that  $I = T^{-1}T$  is compact. However, by the equivalent definition,  $\mathbb{B}_X$  is compact. This shows that  $X$  is finite dimensional, contradiction.  $\square$

## 4.13 Hilbert-Schmidt Operators

**Definition 4.13.1 (Hilbert-Schmidt).** An operator  $T \in B(H)$  is *Hilbert-Schmidt* if for some orthonormal basis  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  of  $H$ ,

$$\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2 \triangleq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Te_j\|^2 < \infty.$$

Note that this definition is only meaningful when  $H$  is separable. Once it is separable, the quantity of  $\|T\|_{\text{HS}}^2$  is independent of the choice of orthonormal basis. To see this, note that we have Parseval's equality:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle x, e_j \rangle|^2.$$

Then for  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$  and  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Te_j\|^2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} |\langle Te_j, f_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{j,k=1}^{\infty} |\langle e_j, T^*f_k \rangle|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T^*f_k\|^2.$$

Let  $e_j = f_j$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Tf_j\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \|T^*f_k\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Te_j\|^2.$$

**Theorem 4.13.2.** Any Hilbert-Schmidt operator  $T$  is compact.

*Proof.* Let  $T_n u = \sum_{j=1}^n \langle u, e_j \rangle Te_j$ . Then show that  $T_n$  is compact (since it has finite dimensional range) and  $T_n$  converges to  $T$ .  $\square$

## 4.14 Numerical Range

**Definition 4.14.1 (numerical range).** If  $T$  is self-adjoint, then the *numerical range* of  $T$ , denoted  $V(T)$ , is the set

$$V(T) \triangleq \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}.$$

**Theorem 4.14.2.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$  a self-adjoint operator. Then  $V(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$  and

$$\|T\|_{B(H)} = \sup\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in V(T)\}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $T$  is self-adjoint,  $\langle Tx, x \rangle = \langle x, Tx \rangle = \overline{\langle Tx, x \rangle}$ , which shows  $V(T) \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Let  $M = \sup\{|\langle Tx, x \rangle| : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$ . We should show  $M \leq \|T\|$  and then  $M \geq \|T\|$ . The former can be shown by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. For the latter, show that  $\|Tu\| \leq M \|u\|$ .  $\square$

## 4.15 Eigenvalues of (Compact) Self-Adjoint Operators

Self-adjoint operators can be characterized by the spectrum.

**Theorem 4.15.1 ( $T$  self-adjoint).** If  $T \in B(H)$  is self-adjoint, then

1. all of its eigenvalues are real, and
2. if  $Tx_1 = \lambda_1 x_1$  and  $Tx_2 = \lambda_2 x_2$  with  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle = 0$ .

*Proof.* Use the result  $\langle Tx, x \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$  in Theorem 4.14.2.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.2 ( $T$  compact self-adjoint).** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$  a compact self-adjoint operator. Then at least one of  $\pm \|T\|$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$ , and so in particular

$$\|T\| = \max\{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma_p(T)\}.$$

*Proof.* Use Theorem 4.14.2 to find  $x \neq 0 \in H$  such that  $Tx = \alpha x$ , where  $\alpha = \|T\|$  or  $-\|T\|$ . Since  $|\lambda| \leq \|T\|$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.15.3 ( $H$  separable,  $T$  compact self-adjoint).** Let  $T$  be a compact self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ . Then  $\sigma_p(T)$  is either finite or consists of a countable sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  with  $\lambda_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, every distinct non-zero eigenvalue corresponds to only a finite number of linearly independent eigenvectors.

In short, this proposition shows that the eigenvalues can only accumulate at 0

and every eigenspace is finite dimensional.

*Proof.* Assume that there is a sequence of  $(\lambda_n)$  that do not tend to zero, i.e. for some  $\epsilon_0 > 0$ ,  $|\lambda_n| > \epsilon_0$  for every  $n$ . Let  $x_n$  be the corresponding eigenvectors, i.e.  $Tx_n = \lambda_n x_n$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$ . Show that  $Tx_n$  is separated, i.e.  $\|Tx_n - Tx_m\|^2 \geq \epsilon'$ . Then it would not have a convergent subsequence. This contradicts with the compactness of  $T$ .

If for some  $\lambda_n$ , there exists infinite number of linear independent eigenvectors. Then we can find a countably infinite orthonormal set  $\{\tilde{e}_j\}$  by Gram-Schmidt. However,  $T\tilde{e}_n$  is again separated, thus arriving a contradiction.  $\square$

Note that if  $T^n$  rather than  $T$  is compact, then by  $\sigma(T^n) = [\sigma(T)]^n$  and  $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . If there exist a countable sequence in  $\sigma_p(T)$  then must be in  $\sigma(T^n)$ . Therefore the point spectrum of  $T$  is also either finite or consists of a countable sequence tending to zero.

## 4.16 The Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem

This section is the main result about compact self-adjoint operators.

**Lemma 4.16.1.** If  $T \in B(H)$  and  $Y$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$  such that  $TY \subseteq Y$ , then  $T^*Y^\perp \subseteq Y^\perp$ .

**Corollary 4.16.2.** If  $T$  is a self-adjoint bounded linear map in  $B(H)$  and  $Y$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H$ . Then  $TY \subseteq Y$  implies  $TY^\perp \subseteq Y^\perp$ .

In other words,  $Y$  is invariant under  $T$  implies  $Y^\perp$  is also invariant under  $T$ .

**Theorem 4.16.3 (Hilbert-Schmidt Theorem).** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$  a compact self-adjoint operator. Then there exists a finite or countably infinite orthonormal sequence  $(w_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ , where  $\mathcal{J} = (1, \dots, n)$  or  $\mathbb{N}$ , consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ , with corresponding non-zero real eigenvalues  $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathcal{J}}$ , such that for all  $x \in H$

$$Tx = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}} \lambda_j \langle x, w_j \rangle w_j. \quad (4.16.1)$$

*Proof.* Use Theorem 4.15.2. There exists  $w_1 \in H$  such that  $Tw_1 = \alpha w_1$  and  $\|w_1\| = 1$ , where  $\alpha = \|T\|$  or  $-\|T\|$ . Then let  $H_2 = \{w_1\}^\perp$ .  $H_2$  is the orthonormal complement thus closed so that it is also Hilbert space. Also  $T$  is self-adjoint and of course invariant on  $\{w_1\}$ , so  $T$  is invariant on  $H_2$ . Consider  $T_2 = T|_{H_2}$ . Repeatedly doing this as long as  $T_n \neq 0$ .

If  $T_n = 0$  for some  $n$ . Then for any given  $x \in H$ , show that  $T[x - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle x, w_j \rangle w_j] = 0$ . Then the result follows. If  $T_n \neq 0$  for all  $n$ . Then show that

$$\left\| Tx - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \lambda_j \langle x, w_j \rangle w_j \right\| \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $|\lambda_n| \rightarrow 0$  by Proposition 4.15.3.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.16.4.** Let  $H$  be an infinite-dimensional separable Hilbert space and  $T \in B(H)$  a compact self-adjoint operator. Then there exists a countable orthonormal basis  $E = \{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  of  $H$  consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$ , and for any  $x \in H$

$$Tx = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j \langle x, e_j \rangle e_j, \quad (4.16.2)$$

where  $Te_j = \lambda_j e_j$ . In particular, if  $\ker(T) = \{0\}$ , then  $H$  has an orthonormal basis consisting of eigenvectors of  $T$  corresponding to non-zero eigenvalues.

*Proof.* Use Theorem 4.16.3 to get  $(w_j)$ , which is a series of eigenvectors corresponding to non-zero eigenvalues. Then consider  $\ker(T)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.16.5.** If  $T$  is a compact self-adjoint operator on a separable Hilbert space  $H$ , then  $\sigma(T) = \overline{\sigma_p(T)}$ . Every non-zero  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$  is an eigenvalue and either  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T)$  or  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_p(T) \cup \{0\}$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $\sigma_p(T) \subseteq \sigma(T)$  and  $\sigma(T)$  is closed. So  $\overline{\sigma_p(T)} \subseteq \sigma(T)$ . Then for  $\lambda \in \sigma(T)$ , we wish to show  $\lambda \in \overline{\sigma_p(T)}$ . Assume the contrary, if  $\lambda \notin \overline{\sigma_p(T)}$ , then there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\sup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} |\lambda - \lambda_j| \geq \delta > 0$ . Show that  $T - \lambda I$  is invertible to arrive at a contradiction.

Since only zero could be the limit point in  $\sigma_p(T)$  and  $0 \in \sigma(T)$  when  $H$  is infinite dimensional, so that  $\overline{\sigma_p(T)} = \sigma_p(T)$  (if 0 is eigenvalue) or  $\sigma_p(T) \cup \{0\}$  (if 0 is not eigenvalue).  $\square$

# Chapter 5

## Banach Spaces

### 5.1 The Young and Hölder Inequalities

We say that two indices  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  are *conjugate* if

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

**Lemma 5.1.1 (Young's Inequality).** Let  $a, b > 0$  and let  $(p, q)$  be conjugate indices with  $1 < p, q < \infty$ . Then

$$ab \leq \frac{a^p}{p} + \frac{b^q}{q}$$

*Proof.* Use the fact that  $e^x$  is convex and write  $ab$  as

$$\exp \left( \frac{1}{p} \log a^p + \frac{1}{q} \log b^q \right).$$

□

**Lemma 5.1.2 (Hölder's inequality in  $l^p$  spaces).** If  $x \in l^p(\mathbb{K})$  and  $y \in l^q(\mathbb{K})$  with  $(p, q)$  conjugate,  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ , then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |x_j y_j| \leq \|x\|_{l^p} \|y\|_{l^q}.$$

*Proof.* Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|x_j|}{\|x\|_{l^p}} \frac{|y_j|}{\|y\|_{l^q}} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{p} \frac{|x_j|^p}{\|x\|_{l^p}^p} + \frac{1}{q} \frac{|y_j|^q}{\|y\|_{l^q}^q} = 1.$$

□

**Lemma 5.1.3 (Hölder's inequality in  $L^p$  spaces).** Suppose that  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$  and  $g \in L^1(\Omega)$ , with  $(p, q)$  conjugate,  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Then  $fg \in L^1(\Omega)$ , with

$$\|fg\|_{L^1} = \int_{\Omega} |fg| \leq \|f\|_{L^p} \|g\|_{L^q}.$$

*Proof.* The same as the case in  $l^p$ . □

## 5.2 The Dual Spaces of $l^p$

**Theorem 5.2.1.** For  $1 < p < \infty$ , we have  $(l^q) \equiv (l^p)^*$ , with  $(p, q)$  conjugate, via the mapping  $T_p : x \mapsto L_x$ , where

$$L_x(y) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_j y_j. \quad (5.2.1)$$

*Proof.* Given  $x \in l^q$  we want to find the linear functional  $L_x \in (l^p)^*$  with  $\|x\| = \|L_x\|$  and the map  $T_p$  is onto. □

**Theorem 5.2.2.**  $(l^\infty) \equiv (l^1)^*$  via mapping  $T_1 : x \mapsto L_x$ , where  $L_x$  is defined as (5.2.1).

*Proof.* The same as the case when  $1 < p < \infty$ . □

The result does not hold when  $p = \infty$ . In the proof, for  $l^\infty$ , it is not a separable space thus does not have a basis. The proof of  $T_p$  is onto need to construct a point in  $l^p$  for  $f \in (l^p)^*$ . The construction is  $x_j = f(e_j)$ , where  $\{e_j\}$  is the basis in  $l^p$ .

**Proposition 5.2.3.**  $(l^\infty)^* \not\cong l^1$ .

*Proof.* Use Theorem 4.9.4. □

## 5.3 The Dual Spaces of $L^p(\Omega)$

**Theorem 5.3.1.** For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $L^q(\Omega) \equiv (L^p(\Omega))^*$ , where  $(p, q)$  conjugate, via the mapping  $g \mapsto \Phi_g$ , where

$$\Phi_g(f) = \int_{\Omega} f g dx, \quad (5.3.1)$$

for all  $f \in L^p(\Omega)$ .

**Proposition 5.3.2.**  $(L^\infty)^* \not\cong L^1$ .

*Proof.* Use Theorem 4.9.4. □

## 5.4 Sublinear and Seminorm

**Definition 5.4.1** (sublinear and seminorm). If  $V$  is a vector space, then a function  $p : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is *sublinear* if for every  $x, y \in V$ ,  $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  and  $p(\lambda x) = \lambda p(x)$  for  $\lambda \geq 0$ . A function is *seminorm* if for every  $x, y \in V$ ,  $p(x+y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  and  $p(\lambda x) = |\lambda| p(x)$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ .



**Lemma 5.4.2 (Properties of Seminorm).** A seminorm  $p$  on a vector space  $X$  satisfies:

1.  $p(0) = 0$ ;
2.  $|p(x) - p(y)| \leq p(x - y)$ ;
3.  $p(x) \geq 0$ ; and
4.  $\{x : p(x) = 0\}$  is a subspace of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Set  $\lambda = 0$  then  $p(0) = 0$ . Note that  $p(x) = p(-x)$ . Then  $p(x) = p(x - y + y) \leq p(x - y) + p(y)$ , which implies  $p(x) - p(y) \leq p(x - y)$ . Similarly,  $p(y) = p(y - x + x) \leq p(y - x) + p(x) = p(x - y) + p(x)$ . Use item 2,  $p(x) = p(x - 0) \geq |p(x) - p(0)| = |p(x)| \geq 0$ . And finally, note that  $p(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq |\alpha|p(x) + |\beta|p(y)$ . Therefore,  $x, y \in \{x : p(x) = 0\}$  implies  $\alpha x + \beta y \in \{x : p(x) = 0\}$ .  $\square$

## 5.5 The Hahn-Banach Theorem

**Theorem 5.5.1 (Real Hahn-Banach Theorem).** Let  $X$  be a real vector space and  $U$  a subspace of  $X$ . Suppose that  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is linear and satisfies  $\phi(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  for some sublinear map  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then there exists a linear map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $f(x) = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $f(x) \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Furthermore, if  $p$  is seminorm, then  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

*Proof.* Consider all possible linear extensions  $g$  of  $\phi$  satisfying the bound  $g(x) \leq p(x)$ , and apply Zorn's Lemma to deduce that there is a "maximum extension". Then argue by contradiction to show that this maximal extension must be defined on the whole of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.5.2.** If  $X$  is a normed vector space over  $\mathbb{R}$ , then any  $\phi \in U^*$  has an extension  $f \in X^*$  with  $\|f\|_{X^*} = \|\phi\|_{U^*}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $p(x) = \|\phi\|_{U^*} \|x\|$ , which is a seminorm. Then there exists an extension with  $|f(x)| \leq \|\phi\|_{U^*} \|x\|$ , i.e.  $\|f\|_{X^*} \leq \|\phi\|_{U^*}$ . On the other hand, since  $U \subseteq X$ ,  $\|\phi\|_{U^*} \leq \|f\|_{X^*}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.3 (Complex Hahn-Banach Theorem).** Let  $X$  be a complex vector space,  $U$  a subspace of  $X$ , and  $p$  a seminorm on  $X$ . Suppose that  $\phi : U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is linear and satisfies  $|\phi(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in U$ . Then there exists a linear map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f(x) = \phi(x)$  for all  $x \in U$  and  $|f(x)| \leq p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Corollary 5.5.4.** If  $X$  is a normed space over  $\mathbb{C}$ , then any  $\phi \in U^*$  can be extended to some  $f \in X^*$  with  $\|f\|_{X^*} = \|\phi\|_{U^*}$ .

## 5.6 Applications of the Hahn-Banach Theorem

We now turn to some applications of Hahn-Banach Theorem.

**Lemma 5.6.1 (Support Functional).** If  $X$  is a normed space, then given any  $x \in X$  there exists an  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\|f\|_{X^*} = 1$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ .

We term this  $f$  the “support functional at  $x$ ”. Note that  $x$  is given.

*Proof.* Define  $\phi$  on  $\text{span}(x)$  and set  $\phi(\alpha x) = \alpha \|x\|$ . Then  $\|\phi\| = 1$  and apply Hahn-Banach Theorem.  $\square$

The following corollary shows that  $X^*$  is rich enough to distinguish between elements of  $X$ .

**Corollary 5.6.2 ( $X^*$  separates points).** If  $x \neq y \in X$ , then there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ . Consequently, if  $x, y \in X$  and  $f(x) = f(y)$  for every  $f \in X^*$ , then  $x = y$ .

*Proof.* If  $x \neq y$ , then there exists a support functional  $f$  at  $x - y$ , i.e.  $f(x - y) = \|x - y\| \neq 0$ . Note that  $f(x - y) = f(x) - f(y)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.6.3 (Distance Functional).** Let  $X$  be a normed space and  $Y$  be a proper closed subspace of  $X$ . Take  $x \in X - Y$  and set

$$d = \text{dist}(x, Y) \triangleq \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in Y\} > 0. \quad (5.6.1)$$

Then there is an  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\|f\|_{X^*} = 1$ ,  $f(y) = 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ , and  $f(x) = d$ .

*Proof.* Consider functional  $\phi$  on  $U = \text{span}(Y \cup \{x\})$  which defined to be  $\phi(y + \lambda x) = \lambda d$  for  $y \in Y$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ .  $\square$

## 5.7 Adjoint of Linear Maps between Banach Spaces

**Definition 5.7.1 (the adjoint of  $T \in B(X, Y)$ ).** The *adjoint*  $T^\times$  of  $T$  is defined to be  $T^\times : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$  and maps  $g \mapsto g \circ T$ .

Formally, if the inner product  $\langle g, x \rangle$  means  $g(x)$ , then  $\langle T^*g, x \rangle = \langle g, Tx \rangle$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $g \in Y^*$ .

$T^\times$  is a linear map on  $Y^*$  since

$$T^\times(\alpha g_1 + \beta g_2) = \alpha g_1 \circ T + \beta g_2 \circ T = \alpha T^\times g_1 + \beta T^\times g_2.$$

Also note that  $\|g \circ T\| \leq \|g\| \|T\|$  so that  $\|T^\times\| \leq \|T\|$ . To show that  $\|T\| \leq \|T^\times\|$ , we have support functional  $g$  at  $Tx$  so that  $\|g\| = 1$  and  $g(Tx) = \|Tx\|$ . Then

$$\|Tx\| = g(Tx) = (T^\times g)(x) \leq \|T^\times\| \|g\| \|x\| = \|T^\times\| \|x\|.$$

Therefore, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.7.2.** If  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , then  $T^\times \in B(Y^*, X^*)$  and  $\|T^\times\| = \|T\|$ .

The following lemma would be useful in reflexive spaces.

**Lemma 5.7.3.** If  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is an isomorphism, then  $T^\times : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$  is an isomorphism. If  $T$  is an isometric isomorphism, then so is  $T^\times$ .

## 5.8 The Minkowski Functional

**Lemma 5.8.1 (The Minkowski Functional).** If  $C$  is an open convex subset of a Banach space  $X$  with  $0 \in C$ , then we define the *Minkowski functional* of  $C$  by setting

$$p(x) \triangleq \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \lambda^{-1}x \in C\} \quad (5.8.1)$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $p$  is a sublinear functional on  $X$  and there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that

$$0 \leq p(x) \leq c \|x\| \quad (5.8.2)$$

for every  $x \in X$ . Furthermore,

$$C = \{x : p(x) < 1\}. \quad (5.8.3)$$

The Minkowski functional  $p(x) = 1$  if  $x \in \partial C$  and  $p(x) > 1$  if  $x \notin C$ ;  $p(x) < 1$  if  $x \in C$ . It is constant on scaled versions of  $\partial C$ .

## 5.9 Separating Convex Sets

**Theorem 5.9.1 (Functional Separation Theorem).** Suppose that  $X$  is a real Banach space and  $A, B \subset X$  are non-empty, disjoint, convex sets. Then

1. If  $A$  is open, then there exists  $f \in X^*$  and  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$f(a) < \gamma \leq f(b)$$

for all  $a \in A, b \in B$ .

2. If  $A$  is compact and  $B$  is closed, then there exist  $f \in X^*$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$f(a) \leq \gamma - \delta < \gamma + \delta \leq f(b)$$

for all  $a \in A, b \in B$ .

*Proof.* Consider the Minkowski functional of  $C \triangleq \{w_0 + a - b : a \in A, b \in B\}$  and apply Hahn-Banach Theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.2.** Suppose that  $X$  is a complex Banach space. Then Functional Separation Theorem still holds, except that in the inequalities  $f$  should be replaced by  $\Re f$  throughout.

## 5.10 Hyperplane

**Definition 5.10.1 (hyperplane).** A *hyperplane*  $U$  in a vector space  $X$  is a codimension-one subspace of  $X$ , i.e. a maximal proper subspace:  $U \neq X$  and if  $Z$  is a subspace with  $U \subseteq Z \subseteq X$ , then  $Z = U$  or  $Z = X$ .

**Lemma 5.10.2.** The following are equivalent:

1.  $U$  is a hyperplane in  $X$ ;
2.  $U$  is a subspace of  $X$  with  $U \neq X$  but for any  $x \in X - U$ ,  $\text{span}(U \cup \{x\}) = X$ ;
3.  $U = \ker(\phi)$  for some non-zero linear functional  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ .

Note that in item 3,  $\phi$  does not have to be bounded.

*Proof.* We only prove the equivalence of item 2 and 3. Assume we have item 2. Then choose  $x \in X - U$ , define  $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$  by setting  $\phi(u + \lambda x) = \lambda$  since  $X = \text{span}(U \cup \{x\})$ ,  $u \in U, \lambda \in \mathbb{K}$ . The well-defined property could be checked.  $\phi$  is clearly non-zero linear functional and  $\phi(u) = 0$  if and only if  $u \in U$ , so  $U = \ker(\phi)$ . Assume we have item 3. Since  $\phi$  is non-zero, we can take  $x \notin U = \ker(\phi)$ . For any  $z \in X$ , if  $z \in U$ , then  $z$  is clearly in the span. If  $z \notin U$ , then  $z = y + (\phi(z)/\phi(x))x$ , where  $y = z - (\phi(z)/\phi(x))x$ . Note that  $\phi(y) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.10.3.** If  $U = \ker(\phi)$  is a hyperplane, then  $U$  is closed if and only if  $\phi$  is bounded. And if  $U$  is not closed (or equivalently  $\phi$  is unbounded), then  $U$  is dense in  $X$ .

*Proof.* If  $\phi$  is bounded, then  $\ker(\phi)$  is closed. If  $\phi$  is unbounded and  $\ker(\phi)$  is dense, then it can't be closed. Therefore to show closed implies bounded, it suffices to show unbounded implies dense.

If  $\phi$  is unbounded, then we can find  $(x_n) \in X$  with  $\|x_n\| = 1$  but  $|\phi(x_n)| \geq n$ . For each  $x \in X$ , consider

$$y_n = x - \frac{\phi(x)}{\phi(x_n)} x_n.$$

Show that  $y_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Note that  $y_n \in U = \ker(\phi)$ .  $\square$

The translate by  $y \in X$  of a hyperplane  $U$  is the set

$$U + y = \{u + y : u \in U\}.$$

Since any hyperplane  $U$  is equal to  $\ker(\phi)$  for some  $\phi \in X^*$ ,

$$U + y = \{x \in X : \phi(x) = \phi(y)\}.$$

Now let  $U = \ker(f)$  in Functional Separation Theorem. We can understand the theorem as the following.

**Corollary 5.10.4.** Suppose that  $A, B$  are non-empty convex subsets of  $X$  with  $A$  closed and  $B$  compact. Then there exists a closed hyperplane that can be translated so that it separates  $A$  and  $B$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $f(x) = \gamma$ . The translate that separates  $A, B$  is then  $U + x$ , where  $U = \ker(f)$ .

## 5.11 The Baire Category Theorem

This theorem also holds in any complete metric space. Any set that contains a countable intersection of open dense sets is called *residual*.

Residual form of Baire's Category Theorem says that a countable intersection of "large" sets (any residuals) in a complete normed space is dense.

**Theorem 5.11.1 (The Baire Category Theorem: residual form).** If  $\{G_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is a countable family of open dense subsets of a complete normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ , then  $G = \cap_{i=1}^{\infty} G_i$  is dense in  $X$ .

*Proof.* For any  $n$ , we can find  $y$  and  $r'$  such that  $\overline{B(y, r')} \subset B(z, r) \cap G_n$  for any given  $z \in X$  and  $r > 0$ . Then construct a nested closed sets,

$$\overline{B(x_1, r_1)} \supset \overline{B(x_2, r_2)} \supset \cdots,$$

where  $x_n \in G_n$  and  $r_n < 2^{-n}$ . Note that  $(x_j)$  is then a Cauchy sequence. The limit  $x_0$  is then lies in  $B(x, \epsilon) \cap G$ .  $\square$

The meagre form of Baire's Category Theorem says that a complete normed space cannot be from the countable union of "small" sets. More precisely, we say a subset  $W$  of  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is *nowhere dense* if  $(\overline{W})^\circ = \emptyset$ , i.e. if the closure of  $W$  contains no open sets. A countable union of nowhere dense subsets is called *meagre* or *of the first category* <sup>1</sup>.

Observe that if  $W$  is nowhere dense, then  $X - \overline{W}$  is open and dense. Otherwise, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $B(x, r) \cap (X - \overline{W}) = \emptyset$ , then  $B(x, r) \subset \overline{W}$ , contradiction.

**Theorem 5.11.2 (The Baire Category Theorem: meagre form).** Let  $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  be a countable collection of nowhere dense subsets of a complete normed space  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ . Then  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j \neq X$ .

*Proof.* The sets  $X - \overline{F_j}$  is open and dense. Therefore the intersection is also dense, i.e.

$$\cap_{j=1}^{\infty} X - \overline{F_j} = X - \cup_{j=1}^{\infty} \overline{F_j}$$

is dense and in particular non-empty.  $\square$

Assume  $F_j$  is closed. If  $F_j$  is nowhere dense, then  $F_j^\circ = \emptyset$ , i.e.  $F_j$  does not contain non-empty open sets.

**Corollary 5.11.3.** If the elements of  $\{F_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  are closed, nowhere dense and  $\cup_{j=1}^{\infty} F_j = X$ , then at least one of  $F_j$  contains a non-empty open set.

## 5.12 The Principle of Uniform Boundedness

**Theorem 5.12.1 (Principle of Uniform Boundedness).** Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $Y$  a normed space. Let  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(X, Y)$  be a collection of bounded linear

<sup>1</sup>The set that is not of the first category is *of the second category*.

operators such that

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \|Tx\| < \infty$$

for each  $x \in X$ . Then

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \|T\|_{B(X,Y)} < \infty,$$

i.e. there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\|Tx\| \leq M \|x\|$  for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $x \in X$ .

Be careful that we need  $X$  be Banach space and  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(X, Y)$  when applying this theorem.

**Corollary 5.12.2 (Condensation of Singularities).** Suppose that  $X$  is a Banach space,  $Y$  a normed space, and  $\mathcal{S} \subset B(X, Y)$  with

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \|T\| = \infty.$$

Then there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$\sup_{T \in \mathcal{S}} \|T_n x\| = \infty.$$

## 5.13 The Open Mapping Theorem

**Theorem 5.13.1 (Open Mapping Theorem).** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a bounded surjective linear map, then  $T$  maps open sets in  $X$  to open sets in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* It suffices to show that  $T(\mathbb{B}_X)$  includes an open ball around 0 in  $Y$ , say  $B_Y(0, r)$  for some  $r > 0$ . Note that  $\mathbb{B}_X$  denotes the closed unit ball in  $X$ . First show that  $\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  contains a non-empty open ball around 0. Indeed, note that  $\{\overline{T(n\mathbb{B}_X)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is a collection of closed sets and the union covers  $Y$ . It follows by using Baire's Category Theorem that at least one of them contains a non-empty open ball. Since  $\overline{T(n\mathbb{B}_X)} = n\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$ ,  $\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  must contain  $B_Y(z, r)$  for some  $z \in Y$  and  $r > 0$ . Since  $\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  is symmetric,  $B_Y(-z, r) \subset \overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$ . Then  $B_Y(0, r) \subset \overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  since every  $v \in B_Y(0, r)$  can be written as  $\frac{1}{2}(v + z) + \frac{1}{2}(v - z)$  and  $\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  is convex. Note that the proof of the convexity of  $\overline{T(\mathbb{B}_X)}$  is immediate. Then show that  $T(\mathbb{B}_X)$  must include  $B_Y(0, r)$ . Note that given any  $y \in B_Y(0, \alpha r)$ , any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x \in \alpha \mathbb{B}_X$  such that  $\|y - Tx\| < \epsilon$ .  $\square$

## 5.14 The Inverse Mapping Theorem

**Theorem 5.14.1 (Inverse Mapping Theorem).** If  $X$  and  $Y$  are Banach spaces and  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is bijective, then  $T^{-1} \in B(Y, X)$ , i.e.  $T$  is invertible.

Recall that being invertible requires the inverse to be bounded linear map.

*Proof.*  $(T^{-1})^{-1}(U) = T(U)$  is open, so that  $T$  is continuous and then bounded.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.14.2.** If  $X$  is a Banach space that is complete with respect to two norms  $\|\cdot\|_1$  and  $\|\cdot\|_2$  and  $\|x\|_2 \leq C \|x\|_1$ , then the two norms are equivalent.

*Proof.* Consider the map  $I_X$ . The condition implies  $\|I_X\| \leq C$  then  $\|I_X^{-1}\| \leq C'$  by Inverse Mapping Theorem. Then  $\|x\|_1 \leq C' \|x\|_2$ .  $\square$

## 5.15 The Closed Graph Theorem

**Theorem 5.15.1 (Closed Graph Theorem).** Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a linear map between Banach spaces and that the graph of  $T$ ,

$$G(T) = \{(x, Tx) \in X \times Y : x \in X\},$$

is a closed subset of  $X \times Y$  (with norm  $\|(x, y)\|_{X \times Y} = \|x\|_X + \|y\|_Y$ ). Then  $T$  is bounded.

Note that the converse is obviously true since  $T$  is bounded then it is continuous so that if  $(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow (x, y)$  then  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx = y$ , so that  $(x, y) = (x, Tx) \in G(T)$ .

*Proof.* Recall Lemma 3.3.4 and note that  $X \times Y$  is also a Banach space. Show that  $G(T)$  is a Banach space. Then note that

$$\|x\| + \|Tx\| = \|(x, Tx)\| = \|\Pi^{-1}x\| \leq M \|x\|,$$

where  $\Pi$  is the natural projection,  $\Pi(x, y) = x$ .  $\square$

## 5.16 The Second Dual and Reflexivity

Recall that  $X^*$  is always a Banach space. The *second dual* of  $X$ , denoted by  $X^{**}$  is  $B(X^*, \mathbb{K})$ .

**Lemma 5.16.1.** For any normed space  $X$  we can isometrically map  $X$  onto a subspace of  $X^{**}$  via the canonical linear mapping  $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$ ,  $x \mapsto x^{**}$ , where

$$x^{**}(f) = f(x)$$

for each  $f \in X^*$ .

*Proof.* Take the support functional at  $x$ ,  $f \in X^*$  with  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ . Then

$$|x^{**}(f)| = |f(x)| = \|x\| \|f\|.$$

Then  $\|x^{**}\| \geq \|x\|$ . The converse is trivial.  $\square$

In general, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.16.2.** If  $X$  is a Banach space, then  $J(X)$  is a closed subspace of  $X^{**}$ .

Since  $J$  is a linear isometry, once it is onto, it is a isometrically isomorphism. We have the following concept when the surjectivity condition happens.

**Definition 5.16.3 (reflexive).** A Banach space  $X$  is reflexive if  $J : X \rightarrow X^{**}$  is onto, i.e. if every  $F \in X^{**}$  can be written as  $x^{**}$  for some  $x \in X$ .

If  $X$  is reflexive then  $X \equiv X^{**}$ , while the converse is not true.

**Proposition 5.16.4.** All Hilbert spaces are reflexive.

**Proposition 5.16.5.**  $l^p$  spaces are reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Proposition 5.16.6.**  $L^p$  spaces are reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

**Theorem 5.16.7.** Let  $X$  be a Banach space. Then  $X$  is reflexive if and only if  $X^*$  is reflexive.

Before the proof of the theorem, note that we have

$$(X^*)^{**} = (X^{**})^*, \quad (5.16.1)$$

since  $(X^*)^{**} = B((X^*)^*, \mathbb{K}) = B(X^{**}, \mathbb{K}) = (X^{**})^*$ . Therefore, the notation  $X^{***}$  is clear.

We will use  $x, y$  for elements of  $X$ ;  $f, g$  for elements of  $X^*$ ;  $F, G$  for elements of  $X^{**}$ ;  $\Phi, \Psi$  for elements of  $X^{***}$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $X$  is reflexive, we want to show that  $X^*$  is reflexive. If  $X$  is reflexive, then for every  $F \in X^{**}$ , we can find a  $x \in X$  such that  $F = x^{**}$ , i.e.  $F(f) = f(x)$  for each  $f \in X^*$ . We want to show that for each  $\Phi \in (X^*)^{**}$ , we can find an  $f \in X^*$  such that  $f^{**} = \Phi$ , i.e.  $\Phi(F) = F(f)$  for each  $F \in X^{**}$ .

To find such  $f$  for each  $\Phi$ , note that there exists  $x$  such that  $x^{**}(f) = f(x)$ , so that  $\Phi(F) = \Phi(x^{**}) = x^{**}(f) = f(x)$ . Therefore, to find  $f$  given  $\Phi$  such that  $\Phi(F) = F(f)$  for each  $F$ , we only need to find the corresponding  $x$  to  $F$  and define  $f$  at  $x$  as  $\Phi(x^{**})$ . Then check that  $f \in X^*$  indeed.

For the converse, suppose that  $X^{**}$  but  $X$  is not, then we expect a contradiction.  $X$  is not reflexive means that there is a element  $F \in X^{**}$  such that  $F \neq x^{**}$  for any  $x \in X$ . Then  $J(X)$  is a proper closed linear subspace of  $X^{**}$ . Then there exists a distance functional  $\Phi \in (X^{**})^*$  such that  $\Phi = 0$  on  $J(X)$ . Since  $X^*$  is reflexive, there exists  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\Phi = f^{**}$ . Therefore,

$$f(x) = x^{**}(f) = f^{**}(x^{**}) = 0$$

for all  $x \in X$ . Then  $f = 0$  but this implies  $\Phi = 0$ , contradiction. □

**Lemma 5.16.8.** If  $X$  is reflexive and  $X \equiv Y$ , then  $Y$  is reflexive.

(The hypothesis can be weakened to  $X \simeq Y$ .)

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi$  is the linear isometric isomorphism from  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Then the Banach adjoint of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi^\times$  is also a linear isometric isomorphism from  $Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ . And  $\phi^{\times \times}$  is a linear isometric isomorphism from  $X^{**} \rightarrow Y^{**}$ . Take  $G \in Y^{**}$ , find  $y \in Y$  such that  $G(g) = g(y)$  for all  $g \in Y^*$ . □



**Lemma 5.16.9.** Any closed subspace  $Y$  of a reflexive Banach space  $X$  is reflexive.

*Proof.* Take  $f \in X^*$  then  $f|_Y \in Y^*$ . Because of Hahn-Banach Theorem, any  $f|_Y$  can extend to an element in  $X^*$ . Therefore any  $g \in Y$  can be written as  $f|_Y$ . To show that  $Y$  is reflexive, we need to show that for any  $G \in Y^{**}$  there exists a  $y \in Y$  such that  $G(f|_Y) = f|_Y(y)$  for all  $f \in X^*$ .

Define  $F \in X^{**}$  by  $F(f) = G(f|_Y)$ . Then  $F = x^{**}$  for some  $x \in X$ . Show that  $x \in Y$ . If not, then there exists a distance functional  $f \in X^*$  such that  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(y) = 0$  for every  $y \in Y$ ,  $f|_Y = 0$ . Then

$$f(x) = x^{**}(f) = F(f) = G(f|_Y) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

## 5.17 Weak Convergence

**Definition 5.17.1 (weak convergence).** We say that a sequence  $(x_n) \in X$  converges weakly to  $x \in X$ , and write  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , if

$$f(x_n) \rightarrow f(x)$$

for all  $f \in X^*$ .

In Hilbert space, the Riesz Representation Theorem makes the condition change to  $\langle x_n, y \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  for all  $y \in Y$ .

Note that generally weak convergence and convergence are not equivalent.

**Example 5.17.2.** Pick any countable orthonormal sequence  $(e_j) \in H$ . Then for any  $y \in H$ , Bessel's inequality states that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\langle y, e_j \rangle|^2 \leq \|y\|^2.$$

Therefore,  $\langle y, e_j \rangle \rightarrow 0 = \langle y, 0 \rangle$ , so that  $e_j \rightharpoonup 0$ . However,  $e_j$  cannot converges to 0 since any two elements are  $\sqrt{2}$  apart.

**Proposition 5.17.3 (Properties of Weak Convergence).** Weak convergence has the following properties:

1. Strong convergence implies weak convergence;
2. in a finite dimensional normed space, weak convergence and strong convergence are equivalent;
3. weak limits are unique;
4. weakly convergent sequences are bounded;
5. if  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ , then  $\|x\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|$ .

*Proof.* Item 1 is easy. For item 2 consider  $f : x \mapsto x_i$ , where  $x = \sum_{j=1}^n x_j e_j$ . For item 3, recall that  $X^*$  separates points in  $X$ , so  $f(x) = f(y)$  for all  $f \in X^*$  implies  $x = y$ .

For item 4, since  $f(x_n)$  converges, it is bounded, for every  $f \in X^*$ . Then  $x_n^{**}(f) = f(x_n)$  is bounded. By the Principle of Uniform Boundedness,  $\|x_n^{**}\|$  is uniformly bounded, then so is  $\|x_n\|$ .

For item 5, choose a support functional  $f$  at  $x$ , then  $\|f\| = 1$  and  $f(x) = \|x\|$ . Then

$$\|x\| = f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f\| \|x_n\| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\|.$$

□

**Lemma 5.17.4.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space. If  $(x_n) \in H$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$ , then  $x_n \rightarrow x$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\|x_n - x\|^2 = \|x\|^2 - \langle x, x_n \rangle - \langle x_n, x \rangle + \|x_n\|^2.$$

Since  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ ,  $\langle x_n, x \rangle \rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  by assumption. The result follows. □

**Lemma 5.17.5.** Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  is a compact linear operator. If  $(x_n) \in X$  with  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  in  $X$ , then  $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$  in  $Y$ .

*Proof.* We first show that  $Tx_n \rightharpoonup Tx$  in  $Y$ . For any  $f \in Y^*$ ,  $f \circ T \in X^*$ , so  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  implies  $f(Tx_n) \rightarrow f(Tx)$ .

Now suppose that  $Tx_n$  does not converge to  $Tx$ , i.e. there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $(x_{n_j})_j$  such that  $\|Tx_{n_j} - Tx\| > \epsilon$  for every  $j$ . Since  $x_{n_j}$  converges weakly, it is bounded. Then since  $T$  is compact,  $(Tx_{n_j})$  would have a convergent subsequence,  $(Tx_{j'})_{j' \in \mathcal{J}}$ . Then  $Tx$  must be the limit of  $Tx_n$  since the limit of weak convergence is unique, contradiction. □

## 5.18 Weak\* Convergence

Weak\* (or weak-star) convergence deals the sequences in  $X^*$ .

**Definition 5.18.1 (weak\* convergent).** If  $(f_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in X^*$ , then  $f_n$  converges weakly\* to  $f$ , write  $f_n \xrightarrow{*} f$  if

$$f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$$

for all  $x \in X$ .

Note that weak\* convergence is a very natural way to define convergence of sequences in  $X^*$ : it is equivalent of pointwise convergence for continuous functions.

**Proposition 5.18.2 (Properties of Weak\* Convergence).** Weak\* convergence has the following properties:

1. Strong convergence in  $X^*$  implies weak\* convergence in  $X^*$ ;
2. weak\* limits are unique;

3. weakly\* convergent sequences are bounded;
4. if  $f_n \xrightarrow{*} f$ , then  $\|f\| \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|$ .
5. weak convergence in  $X^*$  implies weak\* convergence in  $X^*$ ;
6. if  $X$  is reflexive, then weak\* convergence in  $X^*$  implies weak convergence in  $X^*$ .

*Proof.* We only prove for item 5 and 6. For item 5, weak convergence in  $X^*$  means that for all  $F \in X^{**}$ ,  $F(f_n) \rightarrow F(f)$ . Therefore, for each  $x \in X$ ,

$$f_n(x) = x^{**}(f_n) \rightarrow x^{**}(f) = f(x).$$

So that  $f_n \xrightarrow{*} f$ .

For item 6, if  $f_n \xrightarrow{*} f$ , then for each  $F \in X^*$ ,

$$F(f_n) = x^{**}(f_n) = f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) = x^{**}(f) = F(f),$$

where  $F = x^{**}$  by the reflexivity of  $X$ . □

## 5.19 Weak Compactness Theorems

Recall that sequential compactness means every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence. The weak compactness is similar to the sense of sequential compactness.

The following lemma is similar to Proposition 4.6.7.

**Lemma 5.19.1.** Suppose that  $(f_n)$  is a bounded sequence in  $X^*$ , so that  $\|f_n\| \leq M$  for some  $M \geq 0$ , and suppose that  $f_n(a)$  converges as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for every  $a \in A$ , where  $A$  is a dense subset of  $X$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$  exists for every  $x \in X$ , and the map  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by setting

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$$

for each  $x \in X$  is an element of  $X^*$  with  $\|f\| \leq M$ .

*Proof.* Show that  $(f_n(x))$  is Cauchy for all  $x \in X$  thus converges. Then  $f$  is obviously linear and  $|f(x)| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f_n(x)| \leq M \|x\|$ . □

**Theorem 5.19.2 (Helly's Theorem).** <sup>a</sup> Suppose that  $X$  is separable. Then any bounded sequence in  $X^*$  has a weakly\* convergent subsequence.

<sup>a</sup>This result can also be derived as a consequence of the more powerful Banach-Alaoglu Theorem, which guarantees that for any Banach space  $X$ , the closed unit ball in  $X^*$  is compact in the weak\* topology.

*Proof.* Let  $\{x_k\}$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$ , and  $(f_j)$  a sequence in  $X^*$  such that  $\|f_j\| \leq M$ . Use the diagonal argument to find a subsequence of the  $(f_j)$  such that  $(f_{j_n}(x_k))$  converges for each  $k$ . Then the subsequence converges on

a dense subset of  $X$ . By Lemma 5.19.1, the limit exists for every  $x \in X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 5.19.3.** Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space. Then any bounded sequence in  $X$  has a weakly convergent subsequence.

*Proof.* Take a bounded sequence  $(x_n) \in X$  and let  $Y = \text{clin}(\{x_n\})$ . Then  $Y$  is separable and reflexive. Therefore  $Y^{**}$  is separable since  $Y \equiv Y^{**}$ . And so is  $Y^*$  since the separability of  $X^*$  implies the separability of  $X$ , Theorem 4.9.4. Therefore, for  $(x_n^{**}) \in Y^{**}$ , there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k}^{**})$  weakly\* converges to some  $G \in Y^{**}$ . But  $Y^{**}$  is separable so we have  $x_{n_k}^{**} \xrightarrow{*} x^{**}$ , for some  $x \in Y$ . Now for any  $f \in X^*$ ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f|_Y(x_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}^{**}(f|_Y) = x^{**}(f|_Y) = f|_Y(x) = f(x),$$

i.e.  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.19.4.** Let  $X$  be a reflexive Banach space, and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  a compact linear operator. Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that there exist  $c_1, c_2$  with  $0 < c_1 \leq c_2$  so that  $c_1 \leq \|x_n\| \leq c_2$  and  $\|Tx_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there exists a non-zero  $x \in X$  such that  $Tx = x$ .

*Proof.*  $(x_n)$  has a weakly convergent subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$ ,  $x_{n_k} \rightharpoonup x$  and  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow Tx$  strongly in  $X$ . Then by assumption,  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow Tx$ . So  $Tx = x$  by the uniqueness of weak convergence. Therefore  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$  strongly, so  $\|x\| \geq c_1$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 5.19.5.** Suppose that  $X$  is reflexive and that  $K$  is closed convex subset of  $X$ . Then for any  $x \in X - K$  there exists at least one  $k \in K$  such that

$$\|x - k\| = \text{dist}(x, K).$$

# Bibliography

- [Rob20] James C. Robinson. *An Introduction to Functional Analysis*. Cambridge University Press, 2020.