# Uolo Weekly Error Trend (PT-BR)
# Week Ending 10/7/2022

Based on our random audits, we have identified the following error trends. The purpose of releasing this is to provide some examples that most raters are missing for a certain week and how to approach it moving forward. If you have received a quality notice for the week, we hope that these examples will help you improve in your primary area of misunderstanding.

## Final evaluations inconsistent with the evidence

### What is an Inconsistent Final Evaluation?



This specific error is where the job has been labeled as Fully Supported, but the evidence is demonstrating that the claim should be labeled Not Supported, Fully Contradicted, or Partly Supported, Partly Contradicted. It is important to understand that your central claim must relate to the evidence you are providing, and that the final evaluation is an evaluation of how this evidence reflects and relates to the main purpose of the post, and thus your written central claim.

## Missing Misinformation

- There are many factors that can make a job tricky and cause us to miss contradicted claims and given providing data for misinformation posted on social media is probably the most important objective of our tasks, we must complete each step appropriately to avoid the mistake.
- So many errors on this project can be traced back to the first steps of rating, identifying the complete and appropriate central claim (if there is one).  Your central

claim helps you build your key words for your search (or indicates to you that you need to search for an image, etc.). Your keywords facilitate a successful evidence search.  Finally, your successful evidence search informs your final evaluation.  If any step along the process breaks down or is done inappropriately, it can and will affect your final evaluation

- Very rarely does someone identify the correct central claim, find good evidence, and then miss the final evaluation. Missed misinformation is usually caused by improper claims (or missing the claim altogether so you never researched it), improper keyword searching for your evidence, or an otherwise poor choice of source evidence.
- Often, it is helpful to frame your keywords to be highly relevant but in an opposite direction of the post claim. For example, searching for the claim 'Vatican City is the first to pay Russia in rubles for oil' produced evidence that repeats what the post said. Searching in a neutral manner produced a variety of unbiased results.
- Or it can also be helpful at times to include the term fact check in your keywords.

## Top 1 Error: *Labeling as Fully Supported a job when it is Fully Contradicted*

### Example 1

| Screenshot | |
|---|---|

| | Content in Review |
|---|---|
| | 

**Blaze media**  ASSISTIR TV

Blaze Mídia / Notícia

**Ivermectina reduz o risco de morte por COVID em 92%, segundo estudo revisado por pares**

PAUL SACCA | 03 de setembro de 2022

Dimas Ardian/Bloomberg via Getty Images

Não vou comentar nada...
O tempo mostra a verdade.. o tempo..o tempo somente o tempo...

Fomos chamados de loucos negacionistas ....

https://www.theblaze.com/news/ivermectin-covid-treatment-new-study |
| **Common Rating Misunderstanding** | Fully Supported |
| **More Accurate Rating** | Fully Contradicted |

**Potential Evidence Source for Final Evaluation:**

https://politica.estadao.com.br/blogs/estadao-verifica/estudo-feito-em-itajai-tem-limitacoes-e-e-insuficiente-para-comprovar-eficacia-da-ivermectina-contra-covid-19/

- **Common Rater Labeling:** "Fully Supported"
- **Central Claim:** "Ivermectin reduces risk of death by Covid on 92%"

## Practicable Approach to Post

**Tips/Reasoning and Things to Watch out for:**

- ❖ Some jobs might be tricky when you find evidence that gives support to what's being stated.

❖ In the example above, it's being alleged that Ivermectin can reduce the risk of death by Covid-19.
❖ Looking for evidence, you'll find that according to specialists the methodology used in this paper has fragilities and can't be considered.

**Acceptable Final Evaluation:** This job would be appropriately labeled **"Fully Contradicted"**.

## Example 2

| Screenshot |  |
|---|---|
| Common Rating Misunderstanding | Fully Supported |
| More Accurate Rating | Fully Contradicted |

**Potential Evidence Source for Final Evaluation:**
https://lupa.uol.com.br/jornalismo/2022/08/25/bolsonaro-oracao-jn

- **Common Rater Labeling:** "Fully Supported"
- **Central Claim:** "Bolsonaro did a prayer before interview to Jornal Nacional"

## Practicable Approach to Post

**Tips/Reasoning and Things to Watch out for:**

❖ Videos can be difficult to handle when there's no context enough.
❖ In the example above, the overlaid text says this prayer was before an interview to Jornal Nacional, but it doesn't say when.
❖ Looking for evidence, you'll find that this happened in 2018 and before a debate to another news channel.


**Acceptable Final Evaluation:** This job would be appropriately labeled **"Fully Contradicted"**.


_____


Thank you for taking the time to go over these examples. It is our hope this clarifies the concept for you, and you can use the information to boost your quality moving forward. Please let us know if you have further questions. We are always happy to help.