

# A Certified Interpreter for the List Machine Benchmark

Samuel Feitosa\*

samuel.feitosa@ifsc.edu.br  
Departamento de Informática  
Caçador, Santa Catarina, Brazil

Rodrigo Ribeiro

rodrigo.ribeiro@ufop.edu.br  
Prog. Pós Graduação em Ciência da Computação  
Ouro Preto, Minas Gerais, Brazil

## ABSTRACT

This is the abstract...

## CCS CONCEPTS

• Software and its engineering → Semantics; Interpreters; •  
Theory of computation → Type theory.

## KEYWORDS

Dependent types, formal semantics

### ACM Reference Format:

Samuel Feitosa and Rodrigo Ribeiro. 2020. A Certified Interpreter for the List Machine Benchmark. In *SBLP '20: Brazilian Symposium on Programming Languages, October 19–23, 2020, Natal, Brazil*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1 page. <https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

## 1 INTRODUCTION

### 2 AN OVERVIEW OF AGDA

Agda is a dependently-typed functional programming language based on Martin-Löf intuitionistic type theory [?]. Function types and an infinite hierarchy of types of types,  $\text{Set } l$ , where  $l$  is a natural number, are built-in. Everything else is a user-defined type. The type  $\text{Set}$ , also known as  $\text{Set}_0$ , is the type of all “small” types, such as  $\text{Bool}$ ,  $\text{String}$  and  $\text{List Bool}$ . The type  $\text{Set}_1$  is the type of  $\text{Set}$  and “others like it”, such as  $\text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Bool}$ ,  $\text{String} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ , and  $\text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$ . We have that  $\text{Set } l$  is an element of the type  $\text{Set } (l+1)$ , for every  $l \geq 0$ . This stratification of types is used to keep Agda consistent as a logical theory [?].

An ordinary (non-dependent) function type is written  $A \rightarrow B$  and a dependent one is written  $(x : A) \rightarrow B$ , where type  $B$  depends on  $x$ , or  $\forall (x : A) \rightarrow B$ . Agda allows the definition of *implicit parameters*, i.e., parameters whose values can be inferred from the context, by surrounding them in curly braces:  $\forall x : A \rightarrow B$ . In order to avoid clutter, we’ll omit implicit arguments from the source code presentation. The reader can safely assume that every free variable in a type is an implicit parameter.

As an example of Agda code, consider the following data type of length-indexed lists, also known as vectors.

```
data N : Set where
  zero : N
```

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. Copyrights for components of this work owned by others than ACM must be honored. Abstracting with credit is permitted. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee. Request permissions from [permissions@acm.org](mailto:permissions@acm.org).

SBLP '20, October 19–23, 2020, Natal, Brazil

© 2020 Association for Computing Machinery.

ACM ISBN 978-x-xxxx-xxxx-x/YY/MM...\$15.00

<https://doi.org/10.1145/nnnnnnn.nnnnnnn>

```
suc : N → N
```

```
data Vec {A} (A : Set) : N → Set where
```

```
  [] : Vec A zero
```

```
  _::_ : ∀ {n} → A → Vec A n → Vec A (suc n)
```

Constructor `[]` builds empty vectors. The cons-operator `(::)` inserts a new element in front of a vector of  $n$  elements (of type  $\text{Vec } A \ n$ ) and returns a value of type  $\text{Vec } A \ (\text{suc } n)$ . The  $\text{Vec}$  datatype is an example of a dependent type, i.e., a type that uses a value (that denotes its length). The usefulness of dependent types can be illustrated with the definition of a safe list head function: `head` can be defined to accept only non-empty vectors, i.e., values of type  $\text{Vec } A \ (\text{suc } n)$ .

```
head : ∀ {A n} → Vec A (suc n) → A
```

```
head (x :: xs) = x
```

In `head`’s definition, constructor `[]` is not used. The Agda type-checker can figure out, from `head`’s parameter type, that argument `[]` to `head` is not type-correct.

Another useful data type is the finite type,  $\text{Fin}^1$ , which is defined in Agda’s standard library as:

```
data Fin : N → Set where
```

```
  zero : ∀ {n} → Fin (suc n)
```

```
  suc : ∀ {n} → Fin n → Fin (suc n)
```

Type  $\text{Fin } n$  has exactly  $n$  inhabitants (elements), i.e., it is isomorphic to the set  $\{0, \dots, n-1\}$ . An application of such type is to define a safe vector lookup function, which avoids the access of invalid positions.

```
lookup : ∀ {A n} → Fin n → Vec A n → A
```

```
lookup zero (x :: _) = x
```

```
lookup (suc idx) (_ :: xs) = lookup idx xs
```

Thanks to the propositions-as-types principle,<sup>2</sup> we can interpret types as logical formulas and terms as proofs. An example is the representation of equality as the following Agda type:

```
data _≡_ {l} {A : Set l} (x : A) : A → Set where
  refl : x ≡ x
```

This type is called propositional equality. It defines that there is a unique evidence for equality, constructor `refl` (for reflexivity), that asserts that the only value equal to  $x$  is itself. Given a predicate  $P : A \rightarrow \text{Set}$  and a vector  $xs$ , the type  $\text{All } P \ xs$  is used to build proofs that  $P$  holds for all elements in  $xs$  and it is defined as:

<sup>1</sup>Note that Agda supports the overloading of data type constructor names. Constructor `zero` can refer to type  $\text{N}$  or  $\text{Fin}$ , depending on the context where the name is used.

<sup>2</sup>It is also known as Curry-Howard “isomorphism” [?].

```

data All {A n}(P : A → Set) : Vec A n → Set where
  [] : All P []
  _::_ : ∀ {x xs} → P x → All P xs → All P (x :: xs)

```

The first constructor specifies that `All P` holds for the empty vector and constructor `::` builds a proof of `All P (x :: xs)` from proofs of `P x` and `All P xs`. Since this type has the same structure of vectors, some functions on `Vec` have similar definitions for type `All`. As an example used in our formalization, consider the function `lookup`, which extracts a proof of `P` for the element at position `v : Fin n` in a `Vec`: `lookup : ∀ A n P xxs : Vec A n → Fin n → All P xs → P x lookup zero (px :: ) = pxlookup(succid x)(::pxs) = lookupidpxxs` An important application of dependent types is to encode programming languages syntax. The role of dependent types in this domain is to encode programs that only allow well-typed and well-scoped terms [? ]. Intuitively, we encode the static semantics of the object language in the host language AST's constructor, leaving the responsibility of checking type safety to the host's language type checker. As an example, consider the following simple expression language. `data Expr : Set where True False : Expr Num : ℕ → Expr _^_ : Expr Bool → Expr Bool → Expr Bool _+_ : Expr Nat → Expr Nat → Expr Nat` Using this data type,<sup>3</sup> we can construct expressions that denote terms that should not be considered well-typed like `(Num 1) + True`. Using this approach, we need to specify the static semantics

as another definition, which should consider all possible cases to avoid the definition of ill-typed terms.

A better approach is to combine the static semantics and language syntax into a single definition, as shown below.

```

data Ty : Set where
  Nat Bool : Ty

data Expr : Ty → Set where
  True False : Expr Bool
  Num : ℕ → Expr Nat
  _^_ : Expr Bool → Expr Bool → Expr Bool
  _+_ : Expr Nat → Expr Nat → Expr Nat

```

In this definition, the `Expr` type is indexed by a value of type `Ty` which indicates the type of the expression being built. In this approach, Agda's type system can enforce that only well-typed terms could be written. Agda's type checker will automatically reject a definition which uses the expression `(Num 1) + True`.

For further information about Agda, see [? ? ].

## REFERENCES

<sup>3</sup> Agda supports the definition of infix operators. We can use underscores to mark arguments positions.