

## Letter to John Washington, 4 February 1860

*Livingstone, David, 1813-1873*

Published by Livingstone Online ([livingstoneonline.org](http://livingstoneonline.org))

[0001]

If you can state to M<sup>r</sup> Rae positively that the Government either will or will not grant a new steamer - it will save time & oblige me

Tette, 4 Feb<sup>y</sup> 1860

Private

My Dear Captain Washington

I have resolved to allow M<sup>r</sup>

Rae to go home. This vessel is done, and should another be on the way she will have her own engineer. Rae will be able to do us more good by going home than by stopping, for if allowed to carry out my views of what ought to be done in this country a more trustworthy person could not be found to give effect to our arrangements. He returns therefore on duty & will come straight to you in London. A conversation with him will give you a clearer idea of our prospects than any amount of letter writing. You spoke to him at Liverpool and he has regretted ever since that he did not know you or he would have let you know how shabbily we were being served. He has collections of plants in charge for Kew and two live *Lepidosirens* for Professor Owen.

As I mentioned before a small vessel capable of being unscrewed at the bottom of the cataracts and carried to the smooth part above, seems necessary

[0002]

to give us a proper footing in the slave market of Nyassa. Once there I have no doubt but we should soon eradicate the slave trade over a large district. D<sup>r</sup> Kirk M<sup>r</sup> C. Livingstone & Rae have but one opinion on this point. Personally, I am glad that the capabilities of the region we have opened do not rest on my testimony alone. And if I am rightly informed

Burton and Speke confirm my views  
It is indispensable that we be entirely  
independent of the Portuguese. Their stations  
are not colonies but small penal settlements  
No women are sent out, and the moral  
atmosphere is awful. I question if there are  
five upright men in the country, and there  
is not a man woman nor child in it,  
without the venereal either hereditary or  
acquired. Hence the necessity of one  
steamer capable of navigating the lower  
Zambesi and the Cape while another remains  
on the lakes. Bedingfeld inflicted no end of  
harm on the Expedition in rejecting the  
Bann, but what could a landsman like me  
do but acquiesce. It would have suited us  
exactly but he certainly could not have  
navigated her out.

We think that the proposed vessel should  
be unscrewed into pieces of 500lbs each - that  
she should have an engine of at least 40 horse power

[0003]

- draw not more than 30 inches yet  
come out herself. M<sup>r</sup> Rae has knowledge  
of vessels which his employers sent out  
to Australia of that draught. He is highly  
esteemed by the Mess<sup>rs</sup> Tod & Macgregor  
of Glasgow and his status is much  
higher than what he occupies here.  
We ought to have a condensing engine  
with a screw, and a strong substantial  
hull. Two scotch carts and a couple  
of mules from the Cape would  
enable us to place her on Nyassa  
in three months from her arrival. If we  
could have half a dozen sappers and  
miners so much the better. I have  
submitted the proposition to Lord John  
Russell. If he declines it, then I shall  
get it from my own funds. My  
children are provided for, so far as  
education is concerned, and I do not  
know a more noble object in  
which to employ some of the money  
got by the book than this. If Govern-  
ment saw the thing in the light I do  
no difficulty would be made - but  
if not I think it clear that the plan  
ought to be proceeded with and M<sup>r</sup> Rae  
is instructed to that effect. I wish

[0004]

you to examine him freely about everything  
He is cautious in his statements and  
every word may be depended on. He will  
make a model of the kind of vessel we  
need and submit it to you. His superintendence  
would ensure everything being done in a  
workmanlike manner, but you are  
not required to employ him unless  
that course should commend itself to  
your own judgement. If kept on I suppose  
his salary would require to be equal to P & O Company's

We lost a mail bag on the bar off  
Kongone and are quite in the dark about  
what you are or have been doing - not  
a word from you though I am sure you  
have written. The passage in has a  
bend in it. It lies to the left or West  
of the island in the mouth of the harbour  
and is quite safe for 2/3<sup>ds</sup> of each month  
at least. We got Captain Berkeley to put  
up another beacon and when the two are  
in line you have the passage or deep  
channel. Fortunately no lives were lost  
but if any one will go well to the West  
of the island he cannot miss the channel  
and will lose nothing. The mail was lost  
on shoal 1. Bedingfeld

nearly lost the launch  
on shoal 2. in the accom-  
panying rude sketch - + is a  
canal which leads into the  
Luabo near the sea.

[0005]

It came to my knowledge in Nov<sup>r</sup> last, that  
Captain Gordon of H. M. S. Hermes had written  
a letter to C<sup>r</sup> Bedingfeld impugning my  
veracity. I happened to be writing to Captain  
Gordon at the time, and added the following  
postscript which I think you ought to see.

P.S. 3 Nov. 1859. I was actually engaged in  
writing the foregoing sheet when a mail arrived  
containing your "copy of a letter to C<sup>r</sup> Bedingfeld"  
My object in writing was chiefly to shew  
that I gratefully remembered your services  
while with us as after our separation;  
and as after conduct does not blot out  
the pleasant recollection of your really

hearty good will to the Expedition, I still venture to send it, and to say that nothing would delight me more than rendering some service to you or yours in return.

A few points seemed to have escaped your memory and as they might have modified your judgement in penning your letter they may without offence be recalled. "The practicable passage", for instance, was not "discovered by the Launch" but by M<sup>r</sup> Skead in your own cutter. Having been absent with him on that service, our grounding ~~with him~~ during the night prevented my being on the spot when the Launch came round from the Luave - but I was informed in the presence of a witness by one who ought

[0006]

to have known, that the fault was his own in mistaking the proper passage across the bar, and sending Bedingfeld where in defiance of signals made by Mr C. Livingstone on the beach he went broadside on to the breakers. (this was Captain Gordon himself)

You assert that C<sup>t</sup> Bedingfeld's proper place was the steam Launch; you did not recollect that she was not a man of war and possibly did not know that the pointed instructions of H. M. Government which I read to him in the presence of the whole Expedition; and also furnished him with a copy thereof under my own hand, assigned him the place of sounding the bar in the whalers made expressly for that service. Such instructions are paramount and as the same authority declared that no naval officer would be allowed to interfere with Cap<sup>n</sup> Duncan in his management of the Pearl, your next allegation is equally injudicious

You have omitted altogether the occasion of Bedingfeld's resignation, and tacked it on to a matter which had no connection with it. You may have been ignorant of the restrictions under which he was placed but he was not. Had you been charged to protect C.<sup>n</sup> Duncan as I was, I believe that your ideas of obedience would have led you to perform your duty exactly as I did, though perhaps not so quietly.

[0007]

(When the Pearl was aground Gordon watching the screw observed that the water retired some inches, before it was high water. He complained to me that Duncan had allowed the opportunity of getting her off to pass. As I had been observing a steady rise at the waist I suggested that her stern might have lifted. He burst into a towering passion with Duncan, roared out that he would stake his reputation as an officer of 30 years standing &c. &c. The water was found to be actually rising still, and she floated and got off an hour after the scene. Gordon however handsomely apologized to Duncan for his rudeness)

"The frivolous and ridiculous events" (reasons?) to which you deign not to allude are so for the same reasons that Botany and geology are frivolous and ridiculous to the people among whom we travel. In the latter case the ignorance is excusable. Had I added a word or two more the case would have appeared clear, but this production of yours amply justifies the caution I exercised. (Note Bedingfeld was labouring under irritable bladder, the most intractable of all the sequelae of syphilis. In England he was obliged to wear a gutta percha tube in order to relieve himself in the public streets unpercieved. Here there was superadded irritable bowels, and any one conversant with these complaints can understand whether it was "frivolous or ridiculous" to refer some of his folly to these causes. But I never

[0008]

alluded to them except in an indirect manner and in a way that would not affect his character)

You have constituted yourself the fourth witness to the fact of an altercation on board the Pearl after my pointed injunction to the contrary. I made no charge without evidence from credible witnesses accompanying it - and am sorry to see that you imagine your mere dicta and "belief" on hearsay could subvert point blank evidence. You cannot be a witness to respecting the putridity exposed to view after Bedingfeld was turned off, you being I believe at the time in Simon's Bay.

You did not hear Bedingfeld "quietly"  
"remonstrate" for by no rule of  
interpretation can the assertion that the  
"rivet heads are rusting off" "She will  
never reach Tette if you go down to the  
bar" &c. be called quiet remonstrance.  
I had orders from H. M. Government  
to see the Pearl safely down to the Bar,  
and had promised to obey - but it is  
marvellous to you that I should wish  
to get back my promise before consenting  
to go up river instead. You ought,  
my friend to have known all these  
points before committing yourself. A  
vessel painted inside and out with  
Peacock's patent on the voyage out,

[0009]  
with her rivet heads rusting off after  
only one month's service, was such  
a palpable stupid story, you could  
not have stomached it either. Again  
you are altogether mistaken about  
the letter. It was written at once on  
recieving B's first resignation  
copied by M<sup>r</sup> C. Livingstone &  
read by my second in command;  
but I delayed delivery, believing  
that "I would not be doing as  
I would wish to be done by if I did  
not give B. time to reflect" this  
is what I told you, and you  
kindly offered to "mediate". but I  
had never quarrelled. It was a  
question of delivery or non delivery  
entirely

D. Livingstone

I have said nothing offensive  
or intentionally so, but I consider  
it quite unjustifiable in you  
to accuse me of ingratitude to  
the navy - some of your statements  
are insulting enough but you dont  
thereby disparage the whole consular service

A true copy D.L.

[0010]  
(Note. I would not have troubled  
you on this subject again as I meant  
to have allowed it to drop, but  
we hear that Bedingfeld has been

going about London shewing  
Gordon's letter. And he repeatedly  
declared that anything could  
be got out of the Admiralty by  
boreing the "Old fools" I think  
it well for you to know that  
Gordon allowed himself to be persuaded  
he knew matters of which he positively  
had no knowledge. He was tried  
by court martial after he left us,  
and were he examined on the points he now  
has written upon, would appear to  
no greater advantage than he did  
there. I am satisfied that a  
great deal of the disfavour in  
which the Admiralty stands  
is owing to the depreciation  
of their own officers - Bedingfeld  
declared repeatedly on board the  
Pearl "that he got a steamer  
because he never studied steam"

[0011]

"that the Admiralty discouraged all  
scientific acquirements" and any  
thing could be got out of them by  
only plaguing them long enough.  
It was impossible for Duncan  
to respect a person talking in  
this way, and then followed  
the hatred in which when Gordon  
joined my situation was un-  
comfortable enough.

On another disagreeable subject  
I neglected to call your attention  
to the difference of statement between  
M<sup>r</sup> John Laird and M<sup>r</sup> Macgregor  
Laird. Macgregor promised  
that she would carry Ten or  
Twelve Tons. John says she  
was to carry a very few  
persons. Macgregor promised  
over rather than below Ten  
knots. John blames me for  
preventing her speed by loading

[0012]

her up to 2 feet. Now without gear  
fuel, luggage, food or even water  
in the boiler, she draws 23 inches  
her paddle floats being 10 inches too

deep. The fact of the matter is that Macgregor's mad idea of turning her up at each end upset all their calculations. The Niger canoes are made so to avoid the shock which they would otherwise give on coming to the banks. The bend allows them to slide up. With us the bend increases the draught and does not make her turn more quickly. He would not have made this "mule" with his own money. By the engineer of H. M. S. Lynx I am conformed in the statement that it is a low pressure cylinder applied to a high pressure engine. One side [of the boiler] remains long cool after the other is hot: and it is not true that a pipe serves the purpose of a steam chest in marine engines.

He presumed largely on people's ignorance in saying that a pipe serves instead. It is probable that the boiler too was made for a land engine from being without a steam chest. The authority for saying that the cylinder was the sweepings of the shop, was M<sup>r</sup> Laird's own foreman, but we do not mention that publicly as it might hurt the man. From M<sup>r</sup> Rae you may learn further particulars.

I am &c David Livingstone