Unsupervised Learning, HW II (The REMIX!) PCA and KPCA

Ofer Lipman, 201510435 and Daniel Shterenberg, 305199507

August 2021

1 PCA

1.1 Eigendecomposition

1.1.1

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ where $A = V\Lambda V^{-1}$. We need to prove that $\text{Tr}\{A\} = \sum_{i=1}^{d} \lambda_i(A)$.

Since Λ is diagonal, then $V\Lambda V^{-1}$ is an EVD and

$$\operatorname{Tr}(A) = \operatorname{Tr}(V\Lambda V^{-1}) = \operatorname{Tr}(V^{-1}V\Lambda) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Lambda)$$

Since $Tr(A) = Tr(\Lambda)$ and lambda is a diagonal matrix (part of the EVD), then $\Lambda[i, i]$ is the i'th eigenvalue.

1.1.2

We have $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that A is diagonalizable and A \sim B.

Since A is diagonalizable, it has an EVD: $U^t\Lambda U$ such that U consists of orthonormal vectors and Λ is a diagonal matrix.

In sections 1.1.1, we proved that Λ diagonal is the set of the matrix eigenvalues.

Now lets take B.

Since A \sim B, then exists an invertible matrix P such that $B = PAP^{-1}$.

We can see that $B = PAP^{-1} = PU^T \Lambda U P^{-1}$.

Denoting $Z = U^t P$, we will get $B = Z\Lambda Z^{-1}$, that means that $Z\Lambda Z$ is EVD of B, and following section 1.1.1, Λ diagonal is the set of the eigenvalues.

Therefore $\{\lambda_i(A)\}_{i=1}^d = \{\lambda_i(B)\}_{i=1}^d$.

1.1.3

Let A be a symmetric matrix $(A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}, A = A^T)$. We would like to prove that

$$\lambda_i(A) > 0 \iff v^t A v > 0, \forall v \neq 0$$

 \implies : $\forall i : \lambda_i(A) > 0$:

Since A is symmetric, it has an EVD, $A = U\Sigma U^T$.

All of A's eigenvalues are larger then 0, then A has d eigenvectors $u_1, ..., u_d$ which represents a vectoric base for \mathbb{R}^d . Let v be some vector in \mathbb{R}^d . We can represent v as a linear combination of $u_1, ..., u_d$:

$$v = \alpha_1 u_1 + \dots + \alpha_d u_d$$

Now, lets take a look at $v^T A v$:

$$v^{T} A v = (\alpha_{1} \cdot u_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{d} \cdot u_{d})^{T} \cdot U \Sigma U^{T} \cdot (\alpha_{1} \cdot u_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{d} \cdot u_{d})$$

$$= (\alpha_{1} \cdot u_{1}^{T} + \dots + \alpha_{d} \cdot u_{d}^{T}) \cdot U \Sigma U^{T} \cdot (\alpha_{1} \cdot u_{1} + + \alpha_{d} \cdot u_{d})$$

$$= (\alpha_{1} \|u_{1}\|^{2} \quad \alpha_{2} \|u_{2}\|^{2} \quad \dots \quad \alpha_{d} \|u_{d}\|^{2}) \cdot \Sigma \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_{1} \|u_{1}\|^{2} \\ \alpha_{2} \|u_{2}\|^{2} \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_{d} \|u_{d}\|^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \alpha_{1}^{2} \lambda_{1} + \dots + \alpha_{d}^{2} \lambda_{d}$$

And since
$$\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d > 0$$
, we get $v^T A v = \alpha_1^2 \lambda_1 + \dots + \alpha_d^2 \lambda_d > 0$
 $\iff \forall v \neq 0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, v^T A v > 0$:

Lets consider A's eigenvector u_i which holds $Au_i = \lambda_i u_i$. $u_i^T Au_i = u_i^T (\lambda_i u_i) = \lambda_i (u_i^T u_i)$ and since $u_i^T u_i \geq 0$ and $u_i^T Au_i > 0$ we will get that the eigenvalue $\lambda_i > 0$. Of course that holds for any eigenvector u_i and therefore each eigenvalue $\lambda_i > 0$.

1.2 PCA

Full PCA

- Consider the data $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \right\}_{i=1}^N$ with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}_x \in \mathbb{R}^D$ and covariance $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_x \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$.
- Let $\Sigma_x = U\Lambda U^T$ be the eigendecomposition of Σ_x .
- Let $\boldsymbol{z}_i = \boldsymbol{U}^T \left(\boldsymbol{x}_i \boldsymbol{\mu}_x \right)$

1.2.1

Prove that:

- 1. The mean of $\mathcal{Z} = \{z_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is zero, that is, $\mu_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N z_i = 0$.
- 2. The covariance of $\mathcal Z$ is diagonal, that is Σ_z is diagonal.
- 3. $\|x_i x_j\|_2 = \|z_i z_j\|_2$ for all i and j.

1.

$$\mu_z = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} z_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} U^T(x_i - \mu_x) = \frac{1}{N} U^T(\sum_{i=1}^{N} x_i - \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mu_x) = U^T(\mu_x - \mu_x) = 0$$

2. Lets have a look at Σ_z :

$$\Sigma_{z} = \mathbb{E} \left[ZZ^{T} \right] = \mathbb{E} \left[U^{T} \left(X - \mu_{X} \right) \left(X - \mu_{X} \right)^{T} U \right]$$

$$= U^{T} \mathbb{E} \left[\left(X - \mu_{X} \right) \left(X - \mu_{X} \right) \right]^{T} U$$

$$= U^{T} \Sigma_{X} U$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdot \\ & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{d} \end{pmatrix} U^{T} U$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdot \\ & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{d} \end{pmatrix} I = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{1} & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & & \cdot \\ & \cdot & & \cdot \\ 0 & \cdots & \lambda_{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

3. Lets take a look at $||z_i - z_j||_2$:

$$||z_{i} - z_{j}|| = ||U^{T}(x_{i} - \mu_{x}) - U^{T}(x_{j} - \mu_{x})||_{2}$$

$$= ||U^{T}x_{i} - U^{T}\mu_{x} - U^{T}x_{j} + U^{T}\mu_{x}||_{2}$$

$$= ||U^{T}x_{i} - U^{T}x_{j}||_{2} = ||U^{T}(x_{i} - x_{j})||_{2}$$

$$U^{T} \text{ is orthonormal (this is a rigid transformation) and therefore}$$

$$= ||x_{i} - x_{j}||_{2}$$

Geometric PCA

Let $U_d \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$ be a full rank matrix (with $d \leq D$).

1.2.2

Show that exists an invertible matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ such that $O = U_d M \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$ is semi-orthogonal, that is:

$$O^TO = I_d$$

Let $U_d \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}$ be a fully ranked matrix (with $d \leq D$). Since U is fully ranked, we can use the compact SVD decomposition and denote $U_d = A\Sigma_d B^T$ where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ are two orthogonal matrices and $\Sigma_d \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ is a diagonal matrix. Now, using compact-SVD, we will examine only the top d rows/cols:

$$U_d = A_d \Sigma_d B^T \Longrightarrow U_d B = A_d \Sigma_d \Longrightarrow A_d = U_d B \Sigma_d^{-1}$$

We will notice that A_d is semi-orthogonal, $A_d^T A_d = I_d$. Denoting $\mathbf{M} = B\Sigma_d^{-1}$ we will have exactly what we wished for.

1.2.3

In order to prove that both problems have the same solution, we will examine $\frac{1}{N}||X - U_D U_D^T X||_F^2$.

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N} \| X - U_d U_d^T X \|_F^2 &= \frac{\text{Tr}[(X - U_d U_d^T X)(X - U_d U_d^T X)^T]}{N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}[(X - U_d U_d^T X)(X^T - X^T U_d U_d^T)]}{N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(XX^T - XX^T U_d U_d^T - U_d U_d^T XX^T + U_d U_d^T XX^T U_d U_d^T)}{N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(XX^T) - \text{Tr}(XX^T U_d U_d^T) - \text{Tr}(U_d U_d^T XX^T) + \text{Tr}(U_d U_d^T XX^T U_d U_d^T)}{N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(XX^T) - \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) - \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) + \text{Tr}(U_d^T U_d U_d^T XX^T U_d)}{N} \\ &= \frac{\text{Tr}(XX^T) - \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) - \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) + \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d)}{N} \\ &= \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(XX^T) - \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\Sigma_X) - \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(U_d^T XX^T U_d) \end{split}$$

Since $\text{Tr}(\Sigma_X)$ and $\frac{1}{N}$ is constant, then $||X - U_D U_D^T X||_F^2$ is maximal when $\text{Tr}(U_d^T X X^T U_d)$ is minimal.

1.2.4

We will denote $\epsilon = X - \hat{X}$, where $\epsilon = \{\epsilon_i\}$ is the matrix of the errors, $\epsilon_i = x_i - \hat{x_i}$. We need to prove that $\text{Tr}(\Sigma_{\epsilon}) = \frac{1}{N} \|X - U_d U_d^T X\|$

$$\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_{\epsilon}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{E}[\epsilon \epsilon^{T}])$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{E}[(X - \hat{X})((X - \hat{X})^{T})])$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\mathbb{E}[(X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)((X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)^{T})])$$

$$= \operatorname{Tr}(\frac{1}{N}[(X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)((X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)^{T})])$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\operatorname{Tr}([(X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)((X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X)^{T})])$$

$$= \frac{1}{N}\|X - U_{d}U_{d}^{T}X\|$$

We notice that $\operatorname{Tr}(U_d^T X X^T U_d) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_Z)$

Because of 1.1.3:
$$\frac{1}{N}||X - U_d U_d^T X||_F^2 = \text{Tr}(\Sigma_X) - \frac{1}{N} \text{Tr}(U_d^T X X^T U_d)$$

$$\text{Tr}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = \text{Tr}(\Sigma_X) - \text{Tr}(\Sigma_Z)$$

1.2.5

Lets assume $U_d \in \mathbb{R}^{DXd}$ be the top d eigenvalues corresponding to d largest eigenvalues of Σ_x . We need to prove that $\text{Tr}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = \sum_{i=d+1}^D \lambda_i(\Sigma_x)$

we know that $\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_x) = \sum_{i=1}^D \lambda_i(X)$ Lets define $Z = U^T X$ We know that $\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_Z) = \operatorname{Tr}(U_d^T \Sigma_x U_d) = \operatorname{Tr}(U_d U_d^T \Sigma_x) = \operatorname{Tr}(I_d \Sigma_x) = \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i(X)$ from 1.2.4, we know that : $\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_X) - \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_Z)$ if we combine all of the data together we get that: $\operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_\epsilon) = \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_X) - \operatorname{Tr}(\Sigma_Z) = \sum_{i=1}^D \lambda_i(X) - \sum_{i=1}^d \lambda_i(X) = \sum_{i=d+1}^D \lambda_i(X)$

High-dimensional data PCA

Consider the data $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$ where D > N.

1.2.6

- Provide a (tight) upper bound on the number of non-zero eigenvalues.
- Consequently, can you apply PCA to $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$ to obtain $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ with d < D such that there is no loss of information? Explain your answer.
- We will notice that the number of non zero eigenvalues would is always bound by the matrix rank, i.e $rank(X) \leq N$ and therefore, N is the (tight) upper bound.
- Yes, its possible. As we seen in class, the top d eigenvectors of Σ_x are the same as the top (left) singular vectors of X. Therefore, if $d \geq N$ we will not loss any information.

Rank minimization

- Let $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$.
- Consider the following rank minimization problem:

$$\begin{cases} \min_{\boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}} \|\boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{M}\|_F^2 \\ \text{s.t. } \operatorname{rank}(\boldsymbol{M}) \leq d \end{cases}$$

1.2.7

- Solve the optimization problem.
- Write your final solution using the (truncated) matrices obtained by the SVD decomposition of A, namely, $A = U\Sigma V^T$

We will notice, that because rank(M) = d then $d \leq \min(D, N)$ and therefore we have two matrices $B \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}, C \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ with ranks d such that M = BC. So we actually have

$$\begin{split} \min_{\boldsymbol{M} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}} \left\| \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{M} \right\|_F^2 &= \min_{\boldsymbol{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}, \boldsymbol{C} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}} \left\| \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{B} \boldsymbol{C} \right\|_F^2 = \\ &= \min_{\boldsymbol{U_d} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times d}, \boldsymbol{Z} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}} \left\| \boldsymbol{A} - \boldsymbol{U_d} \boldsymbol{Z} \right\|_F^2 \end{split}$$

This is equivalent to a PCA problem which we saw. Therefore the optimal solution is for

$$Z = U_d^T A$$
$$U_d^T U_d = I_d$$

and when $A = U \Sigma V^T$ the SVD decomposition, $M = U_d \Sigma_d V_d^T.$

2 KPCA

2.1

2.1.1

There is $J = I - \frac{1}{N} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^T \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ We need to show that $J^2 = J$

$$J^{2} = J * J = \left(I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T}\right) \left(I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T}\right)$$

$$= I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} 11^{T} 11^{T}$$

$$= * I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} N 11^{T}$$

$$= I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} + \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} = I - \frac{1}{N} 11^{T} = J$$

where in *, we know that:

$$11^{T}11^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} N & \cdots & N \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ N & \cdots & N \end{pmatrix} = N \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{pmatrix} = N11^{T}$$

2.1.2

Let $X \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N}$, $\Sigma_x = XX^T$, $K_x = X^TX$ and we know that $\Sigma_x u_i = \lambda_i u_i$ so $\lambda_i u_i = \Sigma_x u_i = XX^Tu_i$. We will multiply both sides with X^T and we will get

$$X^{T}\lambda_{i}u_{i} = \lambda_{i}X^{T}u_{i} = X^{T}XX^{T}u_{i}$$

$$\Longrightarrow (X^{T}X)X^{T}u_{i} = \lambda_{i}X^{T}u_{i}$$

$$\Longrightarrow K_{x} \cdot (X^{T}u_{i}) = \lambda_{i} \cdot (X^{T}u_{i})$$

Therefore λ_i is an eigenvalue of K_x and the corresponding eigenvector is $X^T u_i$.

Kernel functions

Let $k: \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and consider $\left\{ m{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \right\}_{i=1}^N$

2.1.3

Show that if k can be written as an inner product, that is

$$k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) = \left\langle \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right), \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) \right\rangle$$

for some ϕ , then, the matrix defined by:

$$\boldsymbol{K}_{x}\left[i,j\right]=k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i},\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right)$$

is an SPSD matrix, namely, $K_x \succeq 0$.

2.1.3

Lets start by showing that every matrix multiplication in the shape of A^TA is SPSD. In order to do so, we need to show that $v^TA^TAV \ge 0$ $v^TA^TAV = (Av)^TAv = \langle Av, Av \rangle = ||Av|| \ge 0$.

And now only thing left to show show is that K_x can be written as $K_x = \phi^T \phi$. Let Φ be a matrix of applications of ϕ on every instance x, then K[i,j]:

$$K_x[i,j] = \langle \phi(x_i, \phi(x_j)) \rangle = \Phi^T \Phi[i,j]$$

Which means that every element in K_x equals to every element in $\Phi^T \Phi$, and therefore $K_x = \Phi^T \Phi$. Therefore K is SPSD.

2.1.4.1

Let \boldsymbol{A} be an SPD matrix, and let:

$$k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) = \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{x}_{j}$$

Prove or disprove: k is a kernel function.

We will prove that $k(x_i, x_j) = x_i^T A x_j$ is a kernel function. Since A is SPD, we can use EVD: $A = U \Lambda U^T$, where $U \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ Lets write

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_1} < x, u_1 > \\ \sqrt{\lambda_2} < x, u_2 > \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_d} < x, u_d > \end{bmatrix}$$

Now, lets show that at $k(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$

$$k(x_{i}, x_{j}) = x_{i}^{T} A x_{j}$$

$$= x_{i}^{T} U \Lambda U^{T} x_{j}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \langle x_{i}, u_{1} \rangle \\ \langle x_{i}, u_{2} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle x_{i}, u_{d} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \Lambda \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_{1}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \langle u_{2}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle u_{d}, x_{j} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_{1} \langle x_{i}, u_{1} \rangle \\ \lambda_{2} \langle x_{i}, u_{2} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_{d} \langle x_{i}, u_{d} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle u_{1}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \langle u_{2}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle u_{d}, x_{j} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \lambda_{1} \langle x_{i}, u_{1} \rangle \langle u_{1}, x_{j} \rangle + \dots + \lambda_{d} \langle x_{i}, u_{d} \rangle \langle u_{d}, x_{j} \rangle$$

$$= \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \langle x_{i}, u_{1} \rangle \langle \lambda_{1} \langle u_{1}, x_{j} \rangle + \dots + \sqrt{\lambda_{d}} \langle x_{i}, u_{d} \rangle \sqrt{\lambda_{d}} \langle u_{d}, x_{j} \rangle$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \langle x_{i}, u_{1} \rangle \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \langle x_{i}, u_{2} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{d}} \langle x_{i}, u_{d} \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\lambda_{1}} \langle u_{1}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{2}} \langle u_{2}, x_{j} \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{\lambda_{d}} \langle u_{d}, x_{j} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \langle \phi(x_{i}), \phi(x_{j}) \rangle$$

2.1.4.2

Let $\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{x}_j \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and consider:

$$k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) = \left(1 + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right)^{2}$$

Prove or disprove: k is a kernel function.

We will prove that $k(x_i, x_j) = (1 + x_i^T x_j)^2$ is a kernel function. Lets denote $\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2}x_1 & \cdots & \sqrt{2}x_d & x_1^2 & \cdots & x_d^2 \end{bmatrix}^T$$

Now, lets show that $k(x_i, x_j) = \langle \phi(x_i), \phi(x_j) \rangle$

$$k(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}) = (1 + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j})^{2}$$

$$= (1 + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j})(1 + \boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j})$$

$$= 1 + 2\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j} + (\boldsymbol{x}_{i}^{T} \boldsymbol{x}_{j})^{2}$$

$$= 1 + \left\langle \sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \sqrt{2}\boldsymbol{x}_{j} \right\rangle + (\langle \boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j} \rangle)^{2}$$

$$= \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2}x_{i1} & \cdots \sqrt{2}x_{id} & x_{i1}^{2} & \cdots x_{id}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{2}x_{j1} & \cdots \sqrt{2}x_{jd} & x_{j1}^{2} & \cdots x_{jd}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{T} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}), \phi(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}) \right\rangle$$

ullet Consider $\big\{ oldsymbol{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d \big\}_{i=1}^N,$ and consider the kernel:

$$k\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) := \left\langle \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right), \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) \right\rangle$$

for some ϕ .

• Let:

$$\tilde{k}\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) := \left\langle \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi}, \phi\left(\boldsymbol{x}_{j}\right) - \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\phi} \right\rangle$$

be the centered version, where:

$$\mu_{\phi} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \phi\left(x_{i}\right)$$

2.1.5

Show that \tilde{k} can be written using only k, and without using ϕ and μ_{ϕ} explicitly.

$$\begin{split} \hat{k}(x_i, x_j) &= \langle \phi(x_i) - \mu_{\phi}, \phi(x_j) - \mu_{\phi} \rangle \\ &= (\phi(x_i) - \mu_{\phi})(\phi(x_j) - \mu_{\phi})^T \\ &= (\phi(x_i) - \mu_{\phi})(\phi(x_j)^T - \mu_{\phi}^T) \\ &= \phi(x_i)\phi(x_j)^T - \phi(x_i)\mu_{\phi}^T - \mu_{\phi}\phi(x_j)^T + \mu_{\phi}\mu_{\phi}^T \\ &= k(x_i, x_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N \phi(x_i)\phi(x_t)^T - \sum_{t=1}^N \phi(x_t)\phi(x_j)^T + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{t_1=1}^N \sum_{t_2=1}^N \phi(x_{t_1})\phi(x_{t_2})^T \\ &= k(x_i, x_j) - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N k(x_i, x_t) - \sum_{t=1}^N k(x_t, x_j) + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{t_1=1}^N \sum_{t_2=1}^N k(x_{t_1}, x_{t_2}) \end{split}$$

2.1.6

Prove or disprove: \widetilde{K}_x is an SPD matrix.

Let $K_x \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N}$ be a kernel matrix for some kernel function k, $K[i,j] = k(x_i, x_j)$ Let \hat{K}_x be the centered version, that is $\hat{K}_x = JK_xJ$, where $J = I - \frac{1}{N}11^T$.

Let
$$K_x$$
 be the centered version, that is $K_x = JK_xJ$, where $J = I - \frac{1}{N}\Pi^T$.

We will prove that $\hat{K_x}$ is not an SPD, by showing a counter example:

Let $K_x = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$ and $J = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix}$. Centring K_x will give us $\hat{K_x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & -\frac{1}{4} \\ -\frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \end{pmatrix}$.

Now, lets consider the vector $v = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ for which $v^T \hat{K_x} v = 0$, and therefore $\hat{K_x}$ is not an SPD.

Out Of sample extension

- Let K_x be the kernel matrix obtained from the training set $\mathcal{X} = \left\{ x_i \in \mathbb{R}^D \right\}_{i=1}^N$.
- Let $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ be the low-dimensional representation obtained by applying KPCA, that is:

$$oldsymbol{Z} = oldsymbol{\Sigma}_d oldsymbol{V}_d^T$$

where $\mathbf{V} \mathbf{\Sigma} \mathbf{V}^T = \mathbf{J} \mathbf{K}_x \mathbf{J}$ is an eigendecomposition (see lecture notes).

• Let $X^* \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N^*}$ be a set of new unseen data-points.

2.1.7

Write an expression (in a matrix form) for $\mathbf{Z}^{\star} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N^{\star}}$, the KPCA out of sample extension applied to X^* .

We will denote $\Phi^* = \phi(X^*)$ the application of Φ on all the unseen data-points X^* . We will also denote $K_x^* = \Phi^T \Phi^*$ and $\widetilde{K_x}^* = \widetilde{\Phi}^T \widetilde{\Phi}^*$.

Then from what we learned in the lecture, we can represent the KPCA of the OOS extension applied on X^* as:

$$Z^* = \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}^T \widetilde{\Phi}^* = \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{K_x}^*$$

Since $\widetilde{K_x^*} = J(K_x^* - \frac{1}{N}K_x \mathbf{1}_N \mathbf{1}_D^T)$

$$Z^* = \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{K_x}^* = \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T J(K_x^* - \frac{1}{N} K_x 1_N 1_D^T)$$

2.1.8

Let $\chi^* = \{x_i^*\}_{i=1}^N$ be a subset of the training set χ , let $X^* \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times N^*}$ be the matrix form of χ^* and let $Z^* \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times N}$ be the low dimension representation obtained by the training encoding.

We need to prove that the out of sampling encoding applied to X^* coincide with the training en-

We know that $XV_d\Sigma_d^{-1}=U_d$, that $K=\phi(X)\phi(X)^T=V\Sigma^2V^T$, and that $z^*=U_d^T\phi(x^*)=\Sigma_d^{-1}V_d^T\phi(X)^T\phi^*(x)$

Lets assume that the out of sample $X^* = X$

$$\begin{split} Z^* &= \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}(X)^T \widetilde{\Phi}(x^*) \\ &= \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}(X)^T \widetilde{\Phi}(X) \\ &= \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{K} \\ &= \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T V \Sigma^2 V^T \\ &= \Sigma_d V_d^T = Z \end{split}$$

Lets assume that the out of sample contains only one sample x_i , and lets take a look at z_i :

$$Z^* = \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}(X)^T \widetilde{\phi}(x_i)$$

$$= \Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}(K_x)_i$$

$$= [\Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T \widetilde{\Phi}(K_x)]_i$$

$$= (\Sigma_d^{-1} V_d^T V \Sigma^2 V^T)_i$$

$$= (\Sigma_d V_d^T) = Z_i$$

So for each out of sample x_i , the encoding is Z_i .