

Opinion

Surveillance

This article is more than 1 year old

Amazon's Ring is the largest civilian surveillance network the US has ever seen

Lauren Bridges

Tue 18 May 2021 08:51 EDT

f

t

e

374

Since Amazon purchased Ring in 2018, it has brokered over 1,800 partnerships with local law enforcement agencies, who can request recorded video content from Ring users without a warrant.

Photograph: Samuel Gibbs/The Guardian

In a 2020 letter to management, Max Eliaser, an Amazon software engineer, said Ring is "simply not compatible with a free society". We should take his claim seriously.

Ring video doorbells, Amazon's signature home security product, pose a serious threat to a free and democratic society. Not only is Ring's surveillance network spreading rapidly, it is extending the reach of law enforcement into private property and expanding the surveillance of everyday life. What's more, once Ring users agree to release video content to law enforcement, there is no way to revoke access and few limitations on how that content can be used, stored, and with whom it can be shared.

Ring is effectively building the largest corporate-owned, civilian-installed surveillance network that the US has ever seen. An estimated 400,000 Ring devices were sold in December 2019 alone, and that was before the across-the-board boom in online retail sales during the pandemic. Amazon is cagey about how many Ring cameras are active at any one point in time, but estimates drawn from Amazon's sales data place yearly sales in the hundreds of millions. The always-on video surveillance network extends even further when you consider the millions of users on Ring's affiliated crime reporting app, Neighbors, which allows people to upload content from Ring and non-Ring devices.

Then there's this: since Amazon bought Ring in 2018, it has brokered more than 1,800 partnerships with local law enforcement agencies, who can request recorded video content from Ring users without a warrant. That is, in as little as three years, Ring connected around one in 10 police departments across the US with the ability to access recorded content from millions of privately owned home security cameras. These partnerships are growing at an alarming rate.

Data I've collected from Ring's quarterly reported numbers shows that in the past year through the end of April 2021, law enforcement have placed more than 22,000 individual requests to access content captured and recorded on Ring cameras. Ring's cloud-based infrastructure (supported by Amazon Web Services) makes it convenient for law enforcement agencies to place mass requests for access to recordings without a warrant. Because Ring cameras are owned by civilians, law enforcement are given a backdoor entry into private video recordings of people in residential and public space that would otherwise be protected under the fourth amendment. By partnering with Amazon, law enforcement circumvents these constitutional and statutory protections, as noted by the attorney Yesenia Flores. In doing so, Ring blurs the line between police work and civilian surveillance and turns your neighbor's home security system into an informant. Except, unlike an informant, it's always watching.

Ring's pervasive network of cameras expands the dragnet of everyday pre-emptive surveillance - a dragnet that surveils anyone who passes into its gaze, whether a suspect in a crime or not. Although the dragnet indiscriminately captures everyone, including children, there are obvious racial, gendered and class-based inequities when it comes to who is targeted and labelled as "out of place" in residential space. Rahim Kurwa, a professor of criminology, law and justice at the University of Illinois at Chicago, argues that neighborhood surveillance platforms such as Neighbors perpetuate a much longer history of the policing of race in residential space.

The concerns of activists and scholars have been compounded by developments in facial recognition technology and other forms of machine learning that could be conceivably applied to Ring recorded content and live feeds. Facial recognition technology has been denounced by AI researchers and civil rights groups for its racial and gendered biases. Although Ring doesn't currently use facial recognition in its cameras, Amazon has sold this technology to police in the past. Following pressure from AI researchers and civil rights groups, Amazon placed a one-year pause on police use of its controversial facial recognition technology, but this moratorium will expire in June.

While pressure from civil rights groups and lawmakers to end Ring's partnerships with police has been building, we need to demand more transparency and accountability from Amazon and law enforcement about what data is being collected, with whom it's being shared, and how it's being used.

Lauren Bridges is a PhD candidate at the Annenberg School for Communication at the University of Pennsylvania

You've read 9 articles in the last year

Article count on

... we have a small favour to ask. Tens of millions have placed their trust in the Guardian's fearless journalism since we started publishing 200 years ago, turning to us in moments of crisis, uncertainty, solidarity and hope. More than 1.5 million supporters, from 180 countries, now power us financially - keeping us open to all, and fiercely independent.

Unlike many others, the Guardian has no shareholders and no billionaire owner. Just the determination and passion to deliver high-impact global reporting, always free from commercial or political influence. Reporting like this is vital for democracy, for fairness and to demand better from the powerful.

And we provide all this for free, for everyone to read. We do this because we believe in information equality. Greater numbers of people can keep track of the events shaping our world, understand their impact on people and communities, and become inspired to take meaningful action. Millions can benefit from open access to quality, truthful news, regardless of their ability to pay for it.

Every contribution, however big or small, powers our journalism and sustains our future. Support the Guardian from as little as \$1 - it only takes a minute. If you can, please consider supporting us with a regular amount each month. Thank you.

Single

Monthly

Annual

\$7 per month

\$20 per month

Other

Continue →

Remind me in October

VISA

Mastercard

Discover

PayPal

Topics

Surveillance / Opinion

Amazon / Smart homes / Internet / Internet of things / comment

f

t

e

in

Reuse this content

opinion

History will judge Republicans who stay silent about the big lie

7m ago

Along with the Queen, Britain is laying to rest a sacred national image that never was

2h ago

Travelling the country after the Queen's death, I've caught a glimpse of the post-Elizabethan age

3h ago

Minimalism is for the high minded. I really like things, lots of them, rummaged in boot sales and charity shops

3h ago

ab

po

'ab

7h

More from Opinion

History will judge Republicans who stay silent about the big lie

7m ago

A feminist? Perhaps not. But the Queen helped to show women what was possible

10h ago

Why girls lucky enough to have female teachers lead richer, longer lives

7h ago

One Liz ends a lifetime of diplomacy as another risks squandering it all

10h ago

fin

ala

de

1d

comments (374)

This discussion is now closed for comments but you can still sign in or create your Guardian account to join the discussion next time

Guardian Pick

I thought about getting one of these to replace our currently dead traditional doorbell.

In my naivety, I thought this fancy gizmo would send recorded footage to a storage device located in the house, an external hard-drive, for example. After all, this extra hardware would explain the approaching-extortionate price for a doorbell.

Guardian Pick

The laws around the use of video cameras in the UK are too soft, the fact you can have cameras overlooking your neighbours property and that it's down to them to then have to challenge you about them, then take it to the Information Commissioner if required, just seems wrong. The use of fixed point cameras has totally outstripped the law in this area it seems. There is no reason that somebody should be able to

+ View more comments

Most viewed

Across The Guardian

In Opinion

1

Live / Queen's coffin arrives at Palace of Holyroodhouse in Edinburgh with thousands lining the streets - live

2

Live / Ukraine-Russia war: Ukraine says its forces are within 30 miles of Russian border north of Kharkiv - live

3

Live / England v South Africa: third Test, day four - live!

4

Income not enough to break British class barriers, research finds

5

When we asked the Queen to tea with Paddington, something magic happened - the most lovely goodbye

6

Putin is waging an economic war with Europe. Britain's absurd energy plan plays into his hands

7

Max Verstappen overhauls Ferrari's Leclerc to win Italian Grand Prix - as it happened

8

Ukraine's southern offensive 'was designed to trick Russia'

9

Darius Campbell Danesh died of accidental inhalation of chloroethane

10

William, Kate, Harry and Meghan put Firm above their differences

Most commented

Putin is waging an economic war with Europe. Britain's absurd energy plan plays into his hands

Will Hutton

Most shared

Queen's coffin arrives at Palace of Holyroodhouse in Edinburgh with thousands lining the streets - live

The Guardian view

Columnists

Letters

Opinion videos

Cartoons

News

Original reporting and incisive analysis, direct from the Guardian every morning

Sign up for our email →

Opinion

Sport

Culture

Lifestyle

About us

Contact us

Complaints & corrections

SecureDrop

Work for us

California resident - Do Not Sell

Privacy policy

Cookie policy

Terms & conditions

Help

All topics

All writers

Digital newspaper archive

Facebook

YouTube

Instagram

LinkedIn

Twitter

Newsletters

Advertise with us

Guardian Labs

Search jobs

Support the Guardian

Available for everyone, funded by readers

Contribute →Subscribe →

© 2022 Guardian News & Media Limited or its affiliated companies. All rights reserved. (modern)

Back to top