**To:** The Government of the City of Chicago

From: Office of the Chief Data Scientist, City of Chicago

Reporter: Xiao Li

**Date:** June 14, 2025

Chicago Crime Data Analysis Report

1. Executive Summary

This report presents a comprehensive analysis of the City of Chicago's crime data, sourced from

the official data portal and processed using the city's Snowflake data warehouse. The objective of

this analysis is to identify salient patterns, trends, and hotspots to inform strategic, data-driven

public safety initiatives.

Our findings indicate that crime is highly concentrated by type, geography, and time. Theft and

**Battery** are the most prevalent crime types city-wide. Geographically, the **Austin** community

(Area 25) experiences a significantly higher volume of crime than any other area. Furthermore,

analysis reveals distinct "hotspots" where criminal incidents are densely clustered.

Based on these findings, this report provides a set of actionable recommendations aimed at

optimizing resource allocation, enhancing patrol effectiveness, and developing targeted

prevention strategies. Key recommendations include redirecting resources to high-impact zones

like Austin, developing crime-specific task forces, and leveraging data analytics for dynamic and

intelligent policing. The implementation of these strategies holds the potential to significantly

improve public safety outcomes across the city.

## 2. Introduction

The effective allocation of law enforcement resources and the development of impactful public safety policies depend on a deep understanding of the city's crime landscape. This report leverages advanced data analytics to transform raw crime data into actionable intelligence. By examining what types of crimes are most common, where they are concentrated, and when they occur, we can move from a reactive to a proactive public safety model.

The scope of this analysis covers a comprehensive dataset of reported crimes, focusing on identifying statistically significant patterns in crime type, location, and temporality.

# 3. Data Methodology

The foundation of this report is a robust and methodologically sound data analysis process.

**Data Source:** The primary data was sourced from the City of Chicago's official open data portal, which contains records of all reported crimes. This dataset was ingested and managed within the city's centralized Snowflake data warehouse to ensure performance and scalability.

## **Data Processing and Cleaning:**

The raw data, comprising over 7.6 million records, was loaded into a structured table in Snowflake.

A rigorous data cleaning process was applied. This included handling missing values and, most importantly, filtering records to include only those with valid geographic coordinates falling within the city's boundaries (Latitude: 41.6° to 42.1° N; Longitude: -87.9° to -87.5° W).

For performance-intensive visualizations, such as the geographic heatmap, a representative random sample of 50,000 records was used to ensure analytical validity while maintaining computational efficiency.

**Analytical Toolkit:** The analysis was conducted using Python with standard data science libraries (Pandas, Matplotlib, Seaborn) to query the Snowflake database and generate visualizations.

# 4. Key Findings

Our analysis has yielded several critical insights into the nature of crime in Chicago.

### **Prevalent Crime Types**

A small number of crime categories account for a disproportionate share of all incidents.

Finding: Theft is the single most common crime, followed closely by Battery. Together
with Criminal Damage, Assault, and Narcotics, these five categories represent the bulk of
reported criminal activity.

#### **Geographic Concentration of Crime**

Crime is not evenly distributed across the city; rather, it is heavily concentrated in specific community areas.

• Finding 1: The Austin community (Area 25) has the highest number of reported crimes by a significant margin. The Near North Side (Area 8), The Loop (Area 32), Near West Side (Area 28), and North Lawndale (Area 29) are also major areas of concern.

Finding 2: Kernel Density Estimation (KDE) analysis reveals distinct geographic "hotspots" where crime incidents are most densely clustered. These hotspots often do not align perfectly with community area boundaries, requiring a more granular approach to policing.

#### **Temporal Crime Patterns**

Analysis of the timestamps of crime reports reveals both long-term trends and specific high-risk dates.

- Finding 1: The monthly crime trend chart shows significant fluctuations over time, with notable peaks and troughs that can correlate with seasonal factors, policy changes, or other external events.
- Finding 2: Certain dates, particularly around the New Year's holiday (01/01/2016, 01/01/2017), exhibit anomalous spikes in criminal activity, indicating a need for heightened vigilance during these periods.

### **Cross-Analysis: Linking Crime Type to Location**

Connecting crime types with specific locations provides a more nuanced understanding of community-specific challenges.

Finding: The nature of crime varies significantly by area. For example, while Austin (25) has high rates across multiple categories, Theft is uniquely concentrated in commercial hubs like the Near North Side (8) and The Loop (32). This suggests that different areas require different strategic approaches.

## 5. Data-Driven Recommendations

Based on the preceding findings, we propose the following actionable recommendations:

• Strategic Resource Allocation to High-Impact Zones:

Action: Reallocate a significant portion of patrol resources, community policing efforts, and investigative personnel to the Austin (Area 25) community.

Justification: The data unequivocally identifies Austin as the epicenter of crime volume, promising the highest return on investment for public safety interventions.

Develop Targeted, Crime-Specific Prevention Strategies:

Action: Establish dedicated task forces or initiatives focused on the top two crime types: Theft and Battery. For example, a focus on retail theft prevention in The Loop and Near North Side, and community-based violence interruption programs in areas with high rates of battery.

Justification: A one-size-fits-all approach is inefficient. Tailoring strategies to the specific crimes plaguing a community is more effective.

• Implement Dynamic and Intelligent Patrol Scheduling:

Action: Use the geographic and temporal findings to inform patrol schedules. This includes increasing presence in identified hotspots during peak hours and on high-risk dates (e.g., New Year's Eve).

Justification: Deploying resources when and where they are most needed maximizes their deterrent effect and improves response times.

Leverage Analytics for Proactive Policing:

Action: Integrate the crime heatmaps and cross-analytical data into the Chicago Police Department's daily operational dashboards and dispatch systems.

Justification: This empowers precinct commanders and patrol officers with the intelligence needed to make proactive decisions, shifting from a reactive response model to one of proactive prevention.

## 6. Conclusion

The analysis presented in this report provides a clear and data-backed picture of the crime challenges facing the City of Chicago. The patterns are distinct, and the conclusions are unambiguous: crime is a concentrated phenomenon. By embracing a data-driven approach, the City can deploy its public safety resources with greater precision, efficiency, and impact. We strongly advocate for the adoption of the recommendations outlined herein to foster a safer environment for all residents of Chicago.

# References

#### **Data Sources:**

- City of Chicago. (2025). Crimes 2001 to Present. Chicago Data Portal. Retrieved June 14, 2025,
   from https://data.cityofchicago.org/Public-Safety/Crimes-2001-to-Present/ijzp-q8t2
- Chicago Police Department. (n.d.). Chicago Crime Data. Kaggle. Retrieved from https://www.kaggle.com/datasets/chicagopolice/chicago-crime-data

#### **Software & Analytical Tools:**

 Python Software Foundation. (2024). Python Language Reference, version 3.x. Available at http://www.python.org

- McKinney, W. (2010). Data Structures for Statistical Computing in Python. Proceedings of the 9th
   Python in Science Conference, 51-56. (Pandas)
- Hunter, J. D. (2007). Matplotlib: A 2D Graphics Environment. Computing in Science & Engineering, 9(3), 90-95. (Matplotlib)
- Waskom, M. L. (2021). seaborn: statistical data visualization. *Journal of Open Source Software*, 6(60), 3021. (Seaborn)
- Snowflake Inc. (2024). Snowflake Connector for Python. Snowflake Documentation.

#### Appendix: Methodological Framework

The analysis was grounded in established statistical techniques to ensure the reliability of the findings. Key methods included:

- Descriptive Statistics: Frequency analysis was used to identify the most common crime types and high-incident areas.
- **Time Series Analysis:** Aggregation of data by month was used to identify long-term trends and seasonal patterns.
- **Kernel Density Estimation (KDE):** A non-parametric method used to generate the geographic crime heatmaps by estimating the probability density function of crime events across the city.
- Cross-Tabulation: Pivot tables and heatmaps were used to explore the relationship between categorical variables, such as crime type and community area.