

# STA447

## Lecture Notes

Yuchen Wang

March 16, 2020

### Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Preliminary</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Markov Chain Probabilities</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Markov Chain examples . . . . .	4
2.2	Elementary Computations . . . . .	5
2.3	Recurrence and Transience . . . . .	6
2.4	Communicating States and Irreducibility . . . . .	9
<b>3</b>	<b>Markov Chain Convergence</b>	<b>13</b>
3.1	Stationary Distributions . . . . .	13
3.2	Searching for Stationary . . . . .	14
3.3	Obstacles to Convergence . . . . .	16
3.4	Convergence Theorem . . . . .	17
3.5	Periodic Convergence . . . . .	18
3.6	Application - Markov Chain Monte Carlo Algorithms . . . . .	19
3.7	Application - Random Walks on Graphs . . . . .	19
3.8	Application - Gambler's Ruin . . . . .	20
3.9	Mean Recurrence Times . . . . .	22
3.10	Application - Sequence Waiting Times . . . . .	23
<b>4</b>	<b>Martingales</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Martingale Definitions . . . . .	23
4.2	Stopping Times . . . . .	24
4.3	Wald's Theorem . . . . .	27
4.4	Application - Sequence Waiting Times . . . . .	28
4.5	Martingale Convergence Theorem . . . . .	28
4.6	Application - Branching Processes . . . . .	28
4.7	Application - Stock Options (Discrete) . . . . .	30
<b>5</b>	<b>Continuous Processes</b>	<b>31</b>
5.1	Brownian Motion . . . . .	31

## 1 Preliminary

**Proposition 1.1.** If  $Z$  is a non-negative-integer-valued random variable, then

$$E(Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(Z \geq k)$$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(Z \geq k) &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [P(Z = k) + P(Z = k+1) + \dots] \\ &= [P(Z = 1) + P(Z = 2) + P(Z = 3) + \dots] \\ &\quad + [P(Z = 2) + P(Z = 3) + P(Z = 4) + \dots] \\ &\quad + [P(Z = 3) + P(Z = 4) + P(Z = 5) + \dots] \\ &\quad + \dots \\ &= P(Z = 1) + 2P(Z = 2) + 3P(Z = 3) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} lP(Z = l) \\ &= E(Z) \end{aligned}$$

■

**Fact 1.1.**

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^p} = \infty \iff p \leq 1$$

**Fact 1.2.** If the  $x_n$ s are non-negative, and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$$

**Definition 1.1** (bounded random variable).  $X$  is a bounded random variable if there is  $M < \infty$  with  $P(|X| \leq M) = 1$ , i.e. if it is always in some interval  $[-M, M]$  for some finite number  $M$ .

**Definition 1.2** (finite random variable).  $X$  is a finite random variable if  $P(|X| \leq \infty) = 1$ , i.e., if  $P(|X| = \infty) = 0$ , i.e. if it always takes on finite values.

**Definition 1.3** (finite expectation). A random variable  $X$  has finite expectation if  $E|X| < \infty$ ; this is also sometimes called integrable.

**Fact 1.3.** Bounded  $\implies$  finite expectation.

**Fact 1.4.** Unbounded  $\implies$  infinite expectation.

**Fact 1.5.** Finite expectation  $\implies$  finite.

**Theorem 1.1** (Law of Total Expectation). If  $X$  and  $Y$  are discrete random variables, then

$$E(X) = \sum_y P(Y = y)E(X|Y = y)$$

i.e. we can compute  $E(X)$  by averaging conditional expectations.

prove this

**Theorem 1.2** (Double-expectation formula).

$$E[E(X|Y)] = E(X)$$

i.e. the random variable  $E(X|Y)$  equals  $X$  on average.

*Proof.* Since  $E(X|Y)$  is equal to  $E(X|Y = y)$  with probability  $Y = y$ , we compute that

$$E[E(X|Y)] = \sum_y P(Y = y)E(X|Y = y) = E(X)$$

which the results follows from Double-expectation formula 1.2. ■

**Theorem 1.3** (Dominated Convergence Theorem). If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X$ , and there is some random variable  $Y$  with  $E|Y| < \infty$  and  $|X_n| \leq Y$  for all  $n$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n) = E(X)$$

**Definition 1.4** (weak convergence).  $X_n$  converge to  $X$  weakly if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) = 0$$

**Definition 1.5** (strong convergence).  $X_n$  converge to  $X$  strongly if

$$P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$$

**Theorem 1.4** (Law of Large Numbers). If the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is i.i.d. with common mean  $m$ , then the sequence  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  converges to  $m$  (both weakly and strongly), i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i = m \quad w.p.1$$

If time, please finish reading the preliminary, which I found useful. -Mar 9

## 2 Markov Chain Probabilities

**Notation 2.1.**

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = p_{ij}$$

**Definition 2.1** (Markov chain). A (discrete time, discrete space, time homogeneous) Markov chain is specified by three ingredients:

- A state space  $S$ , any non-empty finite or countable set.
- Initial probabilities  $\{v_i\}_{i \in S}$ , where  $v_i$  is the probability of starting at  $i$  (at time 0). (So  $v_i \geq 0$  and  $\sum_i v_i = 1$ )
- Transition probabilities  $\{p_{ij}\}_{i,j \in S}$ , where  $p_{ij}$  is the probability of jumping to  $j$  if you start at  $i$ . (So  $p_{ij} \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_j p_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i$ )

**Remark 2.1** (Markov property).

$$P(X_{n+1} = j | X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, X_2 = i_2, \dots, X_n = i_n) = P(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i_n) = p_{i_n j}$$

i.e. The probabilities at time  $n + 1$  depend only on the state at time  $n$ .

**Remark 2.2.**

$$P(X_0 = i_0, X_1 = i_1, \dots, X_n = i_n) = v_{i_0} p_{i_0 i_1} p_{i_1 i_2} \dots p_{i_{n-1} i_n}$$

## 2.1 Markov Chain examples

**Example 2.1** (the Frog Walk). Let  $X_n :=$  pad index the frog is at after  $n$  steps.

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 20\} \\ v_{20} &= 1, v_i = 0 \forall i \neq 20 \\ p_{ij} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{3}, & |j - i| \leq 1 \text{ or } |j - i| = 19 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.2** (Bernoulli process).

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{1, 2, 3, \dots\} \\ v_0 &= 1, v_i = 0 \forall i \neq 0 \\ p_{ij} &= \begin{cases} p, & j = i + 1 \\ 1 - p, & j = i \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < p < 1$ .

**Example 2.3** (Simple random walk (s.r.w.)). Let  $X_n :=$  net gain (in dollars) after  $n$  bets

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\} \\ v_a &= 1, v_i = 0 \forall i \neq a \\ p_{ij} &= \begin{cases} p, & j = i + 1 \\ 1 - p, & j = i - 1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < p < 1, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Special case:** When  $p = 1/2$ , call it simple symmetric random walk.

**Example 2.4** (Ehrenfest's Urn). Let  $X_n := \#$  balls in Urn 1 at time  $n$ .

We have  $d$  balls in total, divided into two urns. At each time, we choose one of the  $d$  balls uniformly at random, and move it to the other urn.

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{1, 2, 3, \dots, d\} \\ v_a &= 1, v_i = 0 \forall i \neq a \\ p_{ij} &= \begin{cases} (d-i)/d, & j = i+1 \\ i/d, & j = i-1 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

## 2.2 Elementary Computations

**Notation 2.2.**

$$\mu_i^{(n)} := P(X_n = i)$$

**Notation 2.3.**

$$\begin{aligned} m &:= |S| && \text{(the number of elements in } S, \text{ could be infinity)} \\ \mu^{(n)} &= (\mu_1^{(n)}, \mu_2^{(n)}, \mu_3^{(n)}, \dots) && (m \times 1) \\ v &= (v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots) && (m \times 1) \\ P &= (p_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} p_{11} & p_{12} & \dots & p_{1m} \\ p_{21} & p_{22} & \dots & \\ & \ddots & & \\ p_{m1} & \dots & & p_{mm} \end{pmatrix} && (m \times m \text{ matrix}) \end{aligned}$$

**Fact 2.1.**

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^{(1)} &= vP = \mu^{(0)}P \\ \mu^{(n)} &= vP^n = \mu^{(0)}P^n \end{aligned}$$

**Notation 2.4.**

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} := P(X_n = j, X_0 = i) = P(X_{m+n} = j | X_m = i) \quad (\text{for any } m \in \mathbb{N})$$

**Fact 2.2.**

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{(n)} &= 1 \\ p_{ij}^{(1)} &= p_{ij} \\ P^{(n)} &= P^n \end{aligned} \quad (\text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N})$$

**Notation 2.5.**

$$\begin{aligned} P^0 &:= I \\ P^{(0)} &:= I \\ p_{ij}^{(0)} &= \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.1** (Chapman-Kolmogorov equations).

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}^{(m+n)} &= \sum_{k \in S} p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kj}^{(n)} \\ P_{ij}^{(m+s+n)} &= \sum_{k \in S} \sum_{l \in S} p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kl}^{(s)} p_{lj}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

Matrix form:

$$\begin{aligned} P^{(m+n)} &= P^{(m)} P^{(n)} \\ P^{(m+s+n)} &= P^{(m)} P^{(s)} P^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.2** (Chapman-Kolmogorov Inequality).

$$\begin{aligned} p_{ij}^{(m+n)} &\geq p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kj}^{(n)} && \text{(for all } k \in S) \\ P_{ij}^{(m+s+n)} &\geq p_{ik}^{(m)} p_{kl}^{(s)} p_{lj}^{(n)} && \text{(for any } k, l \in S) \end{aligned}$$

## 2.3 Recurrence and Transience

**Notation 2.6.**

$$\begin{aligned} P_i(\dots) &\equiv P(\dots | X_0 = i) \\ E_i(\dots) &\equiv E(\dots | X_0 = i) \\ N(i) &= \#\{n \geq 1 : X_n = i\} \\ &\text{(total number of times that the chain hits } i, \text{ not counting time 0)} \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.2** (**return probability**). Let  $f_{ij}$  be the return probability from  $i$  to  $j$ .

$$f_{ij} := P_i(X_n = j \text{ for some } n \geq 1) \equiv P_i(N(j) \geq 1)$$

**Fact 2.3.**

$$1 - f_{ij} = P_i(X_n \neq j \text{ for all } n \geq 1) \tag{1}$$

$$P_i(N(i) \geq k) = (f_{ii})^k \tag{2}$$

$$P_i(N(j) \geq k) = f_{ij}(f_{jj})^{k-1} \tag{3}$$

$$f_{ik} \geq f_{ij} f_{jk} \tag{4}$$

**Fact 2.4.**  $f_{ij} > 0$  iff  $\exists m \geq 1$  with  $p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0$ , i.e., there is some time  $m$  for which it is possible to get from  $i$  to  $j$  in  $m$  steps.

**Definition 2.3** (**recurrent and transient states**). A state  $i$  of a Markov chain is recurrent if  $f_{ii} = 1$ . Otherwise,  $i$  is transient if  $f_{ii} < 1$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** If  $Z$  is a non-negative integer, then

$$E(Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(Z \geq k)$$

**Theorem 2.3** (**Recurrent State Theorem**). As follows

- State  $i$  is recurrent  $\iff P_i(N(i) = \infty) = 1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty$
- State  $i$  is transient  $\iff P_i(N(i) = \infty) = 0 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} < \infty$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} P_i(N(i) = \infty) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_i(N(i) \geq k) && \text{(by continuity of probabilities)} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (f_{ii})^k && (P_i(N(i) \geq k) = (f_{ii})^k) \\ &= \begin{cases} 1, & f_{ii} = 1 \\ 0, & f_{ii} < 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

■

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_i(X_n = i) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} E_i(\mathbb{1}\{X_n = i\}) \\ &= E_i\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{1}\{X_n = i\}\right) \\ &= E_i(N(i)) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P_i(N(i) \geq k) && \text{(by proposition 1.1)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (f_{ii})^k \\ &= \begin{cases} \infty, & f_{ii} = 1 \\ \frac{f_{ii}}{1-f_{ii}} < \infty, & f_{ii} < 1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

**Example 2.5** (simple random walk). If  $p = 1/2$  then  $\forall i, f_{ii} = 1$ . If  $p \neq 1/2$ , then  $\forall i, f_{ii} < 1$

*Proof.* Consider state 0. We need to check if  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} = \infty$ .

If  $n$  is odd, then  $p_{00}^{(n)} = 0$ .

If  $n$  is even,  $p_{00}^{(n)} = P(\frac{n}{2} \text{ heads and } \frac{n}{2} \text{ tails on first } n \text{ tosses})$ .

This is a Binomial( $n, p$ ) distribution, so

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_{00}^{(n)} &= \binom{n}{n/2} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2} \\
 &= \frac{n!}{[(n/2)!]^2} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2} \\
 &= \frac{(n/e)^n \sqrt{2\pi n}}{[(n/2e)^{n/2} \sqrt{2\pi n/2}]^2} p^{n/2} (1-p)^{n/2} && \text{(Sirling's approximation)} \\
 &= [4p(1-p)]^{n/2} \sqrt{2/\pi n}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Case 1:** If  $p = 1/2$ , then  $4p(1-p) = 1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} &= \sum_{n=2,4,6,\dots} \sqrt{2/\pi n} \\
 &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \sum_{n=2,4,6,\dots} n^{-1/2} \\
 &= \sqrt{2/\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2k^{-1/2} \\
 &= \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, state 0 is recurrent.

**Case 2:** If  $p \neq 1/2$ , then  $4p(1-p) < 1$ , so

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{00}^{(n)} &= \sum_{n=2,4,6,\dots} [4p(1-p)]^{n/2} \sqrt{2/\pi n} \\
 &< \sum_{n=2,4,6,\dots} [4p(1-p)]^{n/2} && \text{(Geometric Series)} \\
 &= \frac{4p(1-p)}{1-4p(1-p)} \\
 &< \infty
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the state 0 is transient.

The same exact calculation applies to any other state  $i$ . ■

**Theorem 2.4** (f-Expansion).

$$f_{ij} = p_{ij} + \sum_{k \in S, k \neq j} p_{ik} f_{kj}$$



*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned}
f_{ij} &= P_i(\exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j) \\
&= \sum_{k \in S} P_i(X_1 = k, \exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j) \\
&= P_i(X_1 = j, \exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j) + \sum_{k \neq j} P_i(X_1 = k, \exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j) \\
&= P_i(X_1 = j)P_i(\exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j | X_1 = j) + \sum_{k \neq j} P_i(X_1 = k)P_i(\exists n \geq 1 : X_n = j | X_1 = k) \\
&= p_{ij}(1) + \sum_{k \neq j} p_{ik}(f_{kj})
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Remark 2.3.** The f-Expansion shows that  $f_{ij} \geq p_{ij}$ .

**Remark 2.4.** It essentially follows from logical reasoning: from  $i$ , to get to  $j$  eventually, we have to either jump to  $j$  immediately (with probability  $p_{ij}$ ), or jump to some other state  $k$  (with probability  $p_{ik}$ ) and then get to  $j$  eventually (with probability  $p_{kj}$ )

## 2.4 Communicating States and Irreducibility

**Definition 2.4** (communicating states). State  $i$  communicates with state  $j$ , written  $i \rightarrow j$ , if  $f_{ij} > 0$ .

**Remark 2.5.** i.e. if it is possible to get from  $i$  to  $j$ .

**Notation 2.7.** Write  $i \leftrightarrow j$  if both  $i \rightarrow j$  and  $j \rightarrow i$ .

**Definition 2.5** (irreducibility). A Markov chain is irreducible if  $i \rightarrow j$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , i.e., if  $f_{ij} > 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ . Otherwise, the chain is reducible.

**Lemma 2.1** (Sum Lemma). If  $i \rightarrow k$ , and  $l \rightarrow j$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{kl}^{(n)} = \infty$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$

*Proof.* Since  $i \rightarrow k$ , and  $l \rightarrow j$ , there exists  $m, r \geq 1$  s.t.  $p_{ik}^{(m)} > 0$  and  $p_{lj}^{(r)} > 0$ . By the Chapman-Kolmogorov inequality,

$$p_{ij}^{(m+s+r)} \geq p_{ij}^{(m)} p_{kl}^{(s)} p_{lj}^{(r)}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} &\geq \sum_{n=m+r+1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(m+s+r)} && (s = n - m - r) \\
&\geq \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(m)} p_{kl}^{(s)} p_{lj}^{(r)} \\
&= \underbrace{p_{ij}^{(m)}}_{+} \underbrace{p_{lj}^{(r)}}_{+} \underbrace{\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} p_{kl}^{(s)}}_{=\infty} \\
&= \infty
\end{aligned}$$

■

**Corollary 2.1** (Sum Corollary). If  $i \leftrightarrow k$ , then  $i$  is recurrent iff  $k$  is recurrent.

*Proof.* Setting  $j = i$  and  $l = k$  in the Sum Lemma: If  $i \leftrightarrow k$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ii}^{(n)} = \infty \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{kk}^{(n)} = \infty$ . ■

**Theorem 2.5** (Cases Theorem). For an **irreducible** Markov chain, either

- (a)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , and all states are recurrent (recurrent Markov chain);  
or
- (b)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , and all states are transient (transient Markov chain).

**Theorem 2.6** (Finite Space Theorem). An irreducible Markov chain on a **finite** state space always falls into case (a), i.e.,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , and all states are recurrent.

*Proof.* Choose any state  $i \in S$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j \in S} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j \in S} p_{ij}^{(n)} && (\text{exchanging the sums}) \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1 \\
&= \infty
\end{aligned}$$

Then if  $S$  is finite, it follows that there must exist at least one  $j \in S$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$ . So we must be in case (a). ■

**Notation 2.8.** For  $i \neq j$ , let  $H_{ij}$  be the event that the chain hits the state  $i$  before returning to  $j$ , i.e.,

$$H_{ij} = \{\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : X_n = i, \text{ but } X_m \neq j \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq n-1\}$$

**Lemma 2.2** (Hit Lemma). If  $j \rightarrow i$  with  $j \neq i$ , then  $P_j(H_{ij}) > 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $j \rightarrow i$ , there is some possible path from  $j$  to  $i$ . i.e., there is  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m$  with  $x_0 = j$  and  $x_m = i$  and  $p_{x_r x_{r+1}} > 0$  for all  $0 \leq r \leq m-1$ .

Let  $S = \max\{r : x_r = j\}$  be the last time this path hits  $j$ .

Then  $x_S, x_{S+1}, \dots, x_m$  is a possible path which goes from  $j$  to  $i$  without first returning to  $j$ .

Hence  $P_j(H_{ij}) \geq P(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m) = p_{x_S x_{S+1}} p_{x_{S+1} x_{S+2}} \cdots p_{x_{m-1} x_m} > 0$  ■

**Remark 2.6.** If it is possible to get from  $j$  to  $i$  at all, then it is possible to get from  $j$  to  $i$  without first returning to  $j$ .

Intuitively obvious: If there is some path from  $j$  to  $i$ , then the final part of the path (starting with the last time it visits  $i$ ) is a possible path from  $j$  to  $i$  which does not return to  $j$ .

**Lemma 2.3** (f-Lemma). If  $j \rightarrow i$  and  $f_{jj} = 1$ , then  $f_{ij} = 1$

*Proof.* If  $i = j$  it is trivial, so assume  $i \neq j$ .

Since  $j \rightarrow i$ , we have  $P_j(H_{ij}) > 0$  by the Hit Lemma.

But one way to never return to  $j$  is to first hit  $i$  and then from  $i$  never return to  $j$ :

$$P_j(\text{never return to } j) \geq P_j(H_{ij})P_i(\text{never return to } j)$$

Therefore

$$1 - f_{jj} \geq P_j(H_{ij})(1 - f_{ij})$$

Since  $f_{jj} = 1$ , then  $\underbrace{P_j(H_{ij})}_{>0}(1 - f_{ij}) = 0$

Hence  $f_{ij} = 1$ . ■

**Lemma 2.4** (Infinite Returns Lemma). For an **irreducible** Markov chain, if it is **recurrent**, then

$$P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 1$$

for all  $i, j \in S$ .

But if it **transient**, then  $P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

*Proof.* Let  $i, j \in S$ . If the chain is recurrent, then  $f_{ij} = f_{jj} = 1$  by the f-Lemma.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_i(N(j) = \infty) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_i(N(j) \geq k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{ij}(f_{jj})^{k-1} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1)(1)^{k-1} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

If the chain is transient, then  $f_{jj} < 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} P_i(N(j) = \infty) &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P_i(N(j) \geq k) \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_{ij}(f_{jj})^{k-1} \\ &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (1)(f_{jj})^{k-1} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Theorem 2.7 (Recurrence Equivalence Theorem).** If a chain is **irreducible**, then the following are equivalent (and all correspond to case (a)):

1. There are  $k, l \in S$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{kl}^{(n)} = \infty$ .
2. For all  $i, j \in S$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \infty$ .
3. There is  $k \in S$  with  $f_{kk} = 1$ , i.e.  $k$  is recurrent.
4. For all  $j \in S$ , we have  $f_{jj} = 1$ , i.e. all states are recurrent.
5. For all  $i, j \in S$ , we have  $f_{ij} = 1$ .
6. There are  $k, l \in S$  with  $P_k(N(l) = \infty) = 1$ .
7. For all  $i, j \in S$ , we have  $P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Follow from results that we have already proven

- 1  $\implies$  2: Sum Lemma.
- 2  $\implies$  4: Recurrent State Theorem (with  $i = j$ ).
- 4  $\implies$  5: f-Lemma.
- 5  $\implies$  3: immediate.
- 3  $\implies$  1: Recurrent State Theorem (with  $l = k$ ).
- 4  $\implies$  7: Infinite Returns Lemma.
- 7  $\implies$  6: Immediate.
- 6  $\implies$  3: Recurrent State Theorem (with  $l = k$ ).

■

**Theorem 2.8 (Transience Equivalence Theorem).** If a chain is **irreducible**, then the following are equivalent (and all correspond to case (b)):

1. There are  $k, l \in S$  with  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{kl}^{(n)} < \infty$ .

2. For all  $i, j \in S$ , we have  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty$ .
3. For all  $k \in S$ , we have  $f_{kk} < 1$ , i.e.  $k$  is transient.
4. There is  $j \in S$  with  $f_{jj} < 1$ , i.e. some state is recurrent.
5. There are  $i, j \in S$  with  $f_{ij} < 1$ .
6. For all  $k, l \in S$ ,  $P_k(N(l) = \infty) = 0$ .
7. There are  $i, j \in S$  with  $P_i(N(j) = \infty) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.7** (closed subset note). Suppose a chain is reducible, but it has a closed subset  $C \subseteq S$  (i.e.  $p_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \in C$  and  $j \notin C$ ) on which it is irreducible (i.e.  $i \rightarrow j$  for all  $i, j \in C$ ). Then, the Recurrence Equivalence Theorem and other results about irreducible chains still apply to the chain when [restricted](#) to  $C$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** For simple random walk with  $p > 1/2$ ,  $f_{ij} = 1$  whenever  $j > i$ . (Similarly, if  $p < 1/2$  and  $j < i$ , then  $f_{ij} = 1$ .)

*Proof.* Let  $X_0 = 0$ , and  $Z_n = X_n - X_{n-1}$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , so that  $X_n = \sum_{i=1}^n Z_i$ . Since  $Z_n$ s iid with  $P(Z_n = 1) = p$  and  $P(Z_n = -1) = 1 - p$ , then by Law of Large Numbers,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} (Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n) \stackrel{p}{=} E(Z_1) = p(1) + (1-p)(-1) = 2p - 1 > 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} \implies \infty &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n - X_0 \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \end{aligned}$$

But if  $i < j$ , then to go from  $i$  to  $\infty$ , the chain must pass through  $j$ , so  $f_{ij} = 1$ . ■

### 3 Markov Chain Convergence

#### 3.1 Stationary Distributions

**Definition 3.1** (stationary distributions). If  $\pi$  is a probability distribution on  $S$  (i.e.  $\pi_i \geq 0$  for all  $i \in S$ , and  $\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i = 1$ ), then  $\pi$  is stationary for a Markov chain with transition probabilities  $(p_{ij})$  if  $\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j$  for all  $j \in S$  (or  $\pi P = \pi$ , in matrix notation).

**Remark 3.1.** Intuitively,  $\pi$  being stationary means if the chain starts with probabilities  $\{\pi_i\}$ , then it will keep the same probabilities one time unit later.

**Definition 3.2** (doubly stochastic). A Markov Chain is doubly stochastic if in addition to the usual condition that  $\sum_{j \in S} p_{ij} = 1$  for all  $i \in S$ ,  $\sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} = 1$  for all  $j \in S$ .

**Remark 3.2.** This holds for the Frog Example.

**Proposition 3.1.** If a Markov chain with states  $S$  satisfies  $|S| < \infty$  and is doubly stochastic, then the uniform distribution on  $S$  is a stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Let  $\{\pi_i\}$  be a distribution such that  $\pi_i = \frac{1}{|S|}$ .  
Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} &= \sum_{i \in S} \frac{1}{|S|} p_{ij} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|S|} \sum_{i \in S} p_{ij} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|S|} (1) && \text{(doubly stochastic)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|S|} \\
 &= \pi_j
 \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\{\pi_i\}$  is stationary. ■

### 3.2 Searching for Stationary

**Definition 3.3** (reversibility). A Markov chain is reversible (or time reversible, or satisfies detailed balance) with respect to a probability distribution  $\{\pi_i\}$  if  $\pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j p_{ji}$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** If a chain is reversible with respect to  $\pi$ , then  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Reversibility means  $\pi_i p_{ij} = \pi_j p_{ji}$ , so then for  $j \in S$ ,

$$\sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij} = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_j p_{ji} = \pi_j \sum_{i \in S} p_{ji} = \pi_j (1) = \pi_j$$
■

**Lemma 3.1** (M-test). Let  $\{x_{nk}\}_{n,k \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a collection of real numbers. Suppose that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nk}$  exists for each fixed  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose further that  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sup_n |x_{nk}| < \infty$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_{nk} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{nk}$ .

**Proposition 3.3** (Vanishing Probabilities Proposition). If a Markov chain's transition probabilities satisfy that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , then the chain does **not** have a stationary distribution.

*Proof.* Suppose for contradiction that there is a stationary distribution  $\pi$ . Then we would have  $\pi_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)}$  for any  $n$ , so

$$\pi_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_j = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi_j &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_j \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{i \in S} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi_i p_{ij}^{(n)} \quad (\text{exchange the sum and the limit, which is valid by M-test}) \\
&= \sum_{i \in S} \pi_i \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \\
&= \sum_{i \in S} 0 \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

So we would have  $\pi_j = 0$  for all  $j$ . But this means that  $\sum_j \pi_j = 0$ , which is a contradiction. ■

**Lemma 3.2** (Vanishing Lemma). If a Markov chain has some  $k, l \in S$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{kl}^{(n)} = 0$ , then for any  $i, j \in S$  with  $k \rightarrow i$  and  $j \rightarrow l$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since  $k \rightarrow i$  and  $j \rightarrow l$ , we can find  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_{ki}^{(r)} > 0$  and  $p_{jl}^{(s)} > 0$ . Then by the Chapman-Kolmogorov Inequality,

$$p_{kl}^{(r+n+s)} \geq p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{ij}^{(n)} p_{jl}^{(s)}$$

Hence

$$p_{ij}^{(n)} \leq p_{kl}^{(r+n+s)} / p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{jl}^{(s)}$$

But the assumptions imply that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[ p_{kl}^{(r+n+s)} / p_{ki}^{(r)} p_{jl}^{(s)} \right] = 0$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \leq 0 \\
&\implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0
\end{aligned}$$
■

**Corollary 3.1** (Vanishing Together Corollary). For an [irreducible](#) Markov chain, either

1.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , or
2.  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$

**Corollary 3.2** (Vanishing Probabilities Corollary). If an [irreducible](#) Markov chain's transition probabilities satisfy that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{kl}^{(n)} = 0$  for some  $k, l \in S$ , then the chain does not have a stationary distribution.

**Lemma 3.3.** If the  $x_n$ s are non-negative, and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ .

**Corollary 3.3** (Transient Not Stationary Corollary). A Markov chain which is **irreducible and transient** cannot have a stationary distribution.

*Proof.* If a chain is irreducible and transient, then by the Transience Equivalence Theorem,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} < \infty$  for all  $i, j \in S$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = 0$  for all  $i, j \in S$ .

Thus by the Vanishing Probabilities Corollary, there is no stationary distribution. ■

### 3.3 Obstacles to Convergence

**Definition 3.4** (period). The period of a state  $i$  is the greatest common divisor (gcd) of the set  $\{n \geq 1 : p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0\}$ , i.e. the largest number  $m$  such that all the values of  $n$  with  $p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$  are all integer multiples of  $m$ . If the period of each state is 1, we say the chain is aperiodic; otherwise we say the chain is periodic.

**Remark 3.3.** Intuitively, the period of a state  $i$  is the pattern of returning to  $i$  from  $i$ . e.g. If the period of  $i$  is 2, then it is only possible to get from  $i$  to  $i$  in an even numbers of steps.

**Fact 3.1.** If state  $i$  has period  $t$ , and  $p_{ii}^{(m)} > 0$ , then  $m$  is an integer multiple of  $t$ , i.e.,  $t$  divides  $m$ .

**Fact 3.2.** If  $p_{ii} > 0$ , then the period of state  $i$  is 1.

**Fact 3.3.** If  $p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$  and  $p_{ii}^{(n+1)} > 0$ , then the period of state  $i$  is 1.

**Lemma 3.4** (Equal Periods Lemma). If  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , then the periods of  $i$  and of  $j$  are equal.

*Proof.* Let the periods of  $i$  and  $j$  be  $t_i$  and  $t_j$ . Since  $i \leftrightarrow j$ , we can find  $r, s \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_{ij}^{(r)} > 0$  and  $p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$ . Then

$$p_{ii}^{(r+s)} \geq p_{ij}^{(r)} p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$$

Therefore by Fact 2.1,  $t_i$  divides  $r + s$ .

Suppose now that  $p_{jj}^{(n)} > 0$ . Then

$$p_{ii}^{(r+n+s)} \geq p_{ij}^{(r)} p_{jj}^{(n)} p_{ji}^{(s)} > 0$$

So  $t_i$  divides  $r + n + s$ .

Since  $t_i$  divides both  $r + n + s$  and  $r + s$ , then it must divide  $n$  as well.

Since this is true for any  $n$  with  $p_{jj}^{(n)} > 0$ , it follows that  $t_i$  is a common divisor of  $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : p_{jj}^{(n)} > 0\}$ .

But  $t_j$  is the **greatest** such common divisor, so  $t_j \geq t_i$ .

Similarly we can show that  $t_i \geq t_j$ , so we have  $t_i = t_j$ . ■

**Corollary 3.4** (Equal Periods Corollary). If a chain is **irreducible**, then all states have the same period.

**Corollary 3.5.** If a chain is **irreducible and  $p_{ii} > 0$  for some state  $i$** , then the chain is **aperiodic**.



### 3.4 Convergence Theorem

**Theorem 3.1** (Markov Chain Convergence Theorem). If a Markov chain is **irreducible**, **aperiodic**, and **has a stationary distribution**  $\{\pi_i\}$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j$  for all  $i, j \in S$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n = j) = \pi_j$  for any initial probabilities  $\{v_i\}$ .

**Theorem 3.2** (Stationary Recurrence Theorem). If chain **irreducible** and **has a stationary distribution**, then it is **recurrent**.

*Proof.* The Transient Not Stationary Corollary says that a chain cannot be irreducible, transient and have a stationary distribution.

Therefore, if a chain is irreducible and has a stationary distribution, then it cannot be transient, i.e. it must be recurrent. ■

**Lemma 3.5** (Number Theory Lemma). If a set  $A$  of positive integers is non-empty, and satisfies additivity, and  $\gcd(A) = 1$ , then there is some  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t. for all  $n \geq n_0$  we have  $n \in A$  i.e. the set  $A$  includes all of the integers  $n_0, n_0 + 1, n_0 + 2, \dots$

**Proposition 3.4.** If a state  $i$  **has  $f_{ii} > 0$**  and **is aperiodic**, then there is  $n_0(i) \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0(i)$

*Proof.* Let  $A = \{n \geq 1 : p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0\}$ . Since  $f_{ii} > 0$ , then  $A$  is not empty. If  $m, n \in A$ , then

$$p_{ii}^{(m+n)} \geq p_{ii}^{(m)} p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$$

So  $m + n \in A$ , which shows that  $A$  satisfies additivity. Also  $\gcd(A) = 1$  since the state  $i$  is aperiodic. Hence from the Number Theory Lemma, there is  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that for all  $n \geq n_0$ , we have  $n \in A$  i.e.  $p_{ii}^{(n)} > 0$ . ■

**Corollary 3.6.** If a chain is **irreducible and aperiodic**, then for any states  $i, j \in S$ , there is  $n_0(i, j) \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $p_{ij}^{(n)} > 0$  for all  $n \geq n_0(i, j)$

*Proof.* Find  $n_0(i)$  as in Proposition 2.3, and find  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0$ .

Then let  $n_0(i, j) = n_0(i) + m$

Then if  $n \geq n_0(i, j)$ , then  $n - m \geq n_0(i)$ , so  $p_{ij}^{(n)} \geq p_{ii}^{(n-m)} p_{ij}^{(m)} > 0$ . ■

**Lemma 3.6** (Markov Forgetting Lemma). If a Markov chain is **irreducible and aperiodic**, and **has stationary distribution**  $\{\pi_i\}$ , then for all  $i, j, k \in S$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |p_{ik}^{(n)} - p_{jk}^{(n)}| = 0$$

**Remark 3.4.** Intuitively, after a long time  $n$ , the chain “forgets” whether it started from state  $i$  or from state  $j$ .

*Proof.* \_\_\_\_\_

long

**Proof of Markov Chain Convergence Theorem**

long

**Corollary 3.7.** If a chain is **irreducible**, then it has at most **one** stationary distribution.

*Proof.* By Markov Chain Convergence Theorem, any stationary distribution that it has must be equal to  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(X_n = j)$ , so it is unique. ■

**Definition 3.5** (convergence in distribution).

$$\forall a < b, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(a < X_n < b) = P(a < X < b)$$

**Definition 3.6** (weak convergence).

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|X_n - X| \geq \epsilon) = 0$$

**Remark 3.5.** This is “converge in probability”.

**Definition 3.7** (strong convergence).

$$P(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n = X) = 1$$

**Remark 3.6.** This is “converge almost surely”.

**Remark 3.7.** Strong convergence implies weak convergence, and weak convergence implies convergence in distribution.

**Proposition 3.5.** If  $\{X_n\}$  is a simple symmetric random walk, then the absolute values  $|X_n|$  converge weakly to positive infinity.

prove this

**3.5 Periodic Convergence**

**Theorem 3.3** (Periodic Convergence Theorem). Suppose a Markov chain is **irreducible**, with **period**  $b \geq 2$ , and **stationary distribution**  $\{\pi_i\}$ . Then for all  $i, j \in S$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} [p_{ij}^{(n)} + \dots + p_{ij}^{(n+b-1)}] = \pi_j$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} (P[X_n = j] + P[X_{n+1} = j] + \dots + P[X_{n+b-1} = j]) = \pi_j$$

and also

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{b} P(X_n = j \text{ or } X_{n+1} = j \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } X_{n+b-1} = j) = \pi_j$$

**Theorem 3.4** (Average Probability Convergence). If a Markov chain is **irreducible** with **stationary distribution**  $\{\pi_i\}$  (whether periodic or not), then

$$\forall i, j \in S, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} [p_{ij}^{(1)} + p_{ij}^{(2)} + \dots + p_{ij}^{(n)}] = \pi_j$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{ij}^{(l)} = \pi_j$$

prove this

**Corollary 3.8** (Unique Stationary Corollary). If Markov chain  $P$  is **irreducible** (whether periodic or not), then it has at most **one** stationary distribution.

### 3.6 Application - Markov Chain Monte Carlo Algorithms

Let  $S$  be any contiguous subset of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

e.g.  $S = \{1, 2, 3\}$ , or  $S = \{-5, -4, \dots, 17\}$ , or  $S = \mathbb{N}$ .

Let  $\{\pi_i\}$  be any probability distribution on  $S$ . Assume for simplicity that  $\pi_i > 0$  for all  $i \in S$ . Suppose we want to sample from  $\pi$ , i.e., create a random variable  $X$  with  $P(X = i) \approx \pi_i$  for all  $i \in S$ .

**Metropolis Algorithm** Let

$$p_{i,i+1} = \frac{1}{2} \min(1, \frac{\pi_{i+1}}{\pi_i})$$

$$p_{i,i-1} = \frac{1}{2} \min(1, \frac{\pi_{i-1}}{\pi_i})$$

and

$$p_{i,i} = 1 - p_{i,i+1} - p_{i,i-1}$$

**Fact 3.4.** This chain have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j$ .

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i p_{i,i+1} &= \pi_i \frac{1}{2} \min(1, \frac{\pi_{i+1}}{\pi_i}) = \frac{1}{2} \min(\pi_i, \pi_{i+1}) \\ \pi_{i+1} p_{i+1,i} &= \pi_{i+1} \frac{1}{2} \min(1, \frac{\pi_i}{\pi_{i+1}}) = \frac{1}{2} \min(\pi_{i+1}, \pi_i) \\ \implies \pi_i p_{ij} &= \pi_j p_{ji} \text{ if } j = i + 1, \text{ hence for all } i, j \in S \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the chain is reversible w.r.t.  $\{\pi_i\}$ . So  $\{\pi_i\}$  is stationary.

Also, the chain is easily checked to be irreducible and aperiodic.

Then by Markov Chain Convergence Theorem,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{ij}^{(n)} = \pi_j$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n = j] = \pi_j$ , for all  $i, j$  and  $v$ . ■

Hence, for “large enough”  $n$ ,  $X_n$  is approximately a sample from  $\pi$ .

### 3.7 Application - Random Walks on Graphs

Let  $V$  be a non-empty finite or countable set. Let  $w : V \times V \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a symmetric weight function so that  $w(u, v) = w(v, u)$ . (usual unweighted case:  $w(u, v) = 1$  if there is an edge between  $u$  and  $v$ , otherwise  $w(u, v) = 0$ ).

Let  $d(u) = \sum_{v \in V} w(u, v)$  be the degree of the vertex  $u$ . Assume that  $d(u) > 0$  for all  $u \in V$  (for example, by giving any isolated point a self-edge).

**Definition 3.8** ((simple) random walk on the (undirected) graph). Given a vertex set  $V$  with symmetric weights  $w$ , the (simple) random walk on the (undirected) graph  $(V, w)$  is the Markov chain with state space  $S = V$  and transition probabilities  $p_{uv} = \frac{w(u, v)}{d(u)}$  for all  $u, v \in V$ .

**Remark 3.8.** It follows that

$$\sum_{v \in V} p_{uv} = \frac{\sum_{v \in V} w(u, v)}{\sum_{v \in V} w(u, v)} = 1$$

**Remark 3.9.** The most common case is where each  $w(u, v) = 0$  or  $1$ , so from  $u$ , the chain moves to one of the  $d(u)$  vertices connected to  $u$  with equal probability.

**Theorem 3.5** (Graph Stationary Distribution). Consider a random walk on a graph  $V$  with degrees  $d(u)$ . Assume that  $Z$  is [finite](#). Then if  $\pi_u = \frac{d(u)}{Z}$ , then  $\pi$  is a stationary distribution for this walk.

**Theorem 3.6** (Graph Convergence Theorem). For a random walk on a connected non-bipartite graph, if  $Z < \infty$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_{uv}^{(n)} = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$  for all  $u, v \in V$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P[X_n = v] = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$  (for any initial probabilities). prove this

**Theorem 3.7** (Graph Average Convergence). For a random walk on any connected graph with  $Z < \infty$  (whether bipartite or not), for all  $u, v \in V$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2} [p_{uv}^{(n)} + p_{uv}^{(n+1)}] = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{l=1}^n p_{uv}^{(l)} = \frac{d(v)}{Z}$$

prove this

### 3.8 Application - Gambler's Ruin

Consider the following gambling game:

Let  $0 < a < c$  be integers, and let  $0 < p < 1$ . Suppose player  $A$  starts with  $a$  dollars, player  $B$  starts with  $c - a$  dollars, and they repeatedly bet. At each bet,  $A$  wins \$1 from  $B$  with probability  $p$ , or  $B$  wins \$1 from  $A$  with probability  $1 - p$ .

If  $X_n$  is the amount of money that  $A$  has at time  $n$ , then clearly  $X_0 = a$ , and  $\{X_n\}$  follows a simple random walk.

Let  $T_i = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = i\}$  be the first time  $A$  has  $i$  dollars.

**The Gambler's Ruin question** What is  $P_a(T_c < T_0)$ , i.e., what is the probability that  $A$  reaches  $c$  dollars before losing all their money?

*Answer:* Define  $s(a) := P_a(T_c < T_0)$ , so that the probability we want to find is a function of the player's initial fortune  $a$ . Clearly  $s(0) = 0$  and  $s(c) = 1$ .

For  $1 \leq a \leq c - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} s(a) &= P_a(T_c < T_0) \\ &= P_a(T_c < T_0, X_0 + 1) + P_a(T_c < T_0, X_1 = X_0 - 1) \\ &\quad \text{(A either wins or loses \$1 on the first bet)} \\ &= P(X_1 = X_0 + 1)P_a(T_c < T_0 | X_1 = X_0 + 1) + P(X_1 = X_0 - 1)P_a(T_c < T_0 | X_1 = X_0 - 1) \\ &= ps(a + 1) + (1 - p)s(a - 1) \end{aligned}$$

This gives  $c - 1$  equations for the  $c - 1$  unknowns, which can be solved by simple algebra:

$$\begin{aligned} ps(a) + (1 - p)s(a) &= ps(a + 1) + (1 - p)s(a - 1) && \text{(re-arranging)} \\ \implies s(a + 1) - s(a) &= \frac{1 - p}{p}[s(a) - s(a - 1)] \end{aligned}$$

Suppose  $s(1) = x$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} s(1) - s(0) &= x \\ s(2) - s(1) &= \frac{1 - p}{p}[s(1) - s(0)] = \frac{1 - p}{p}x \\ s(3) - s(2) &= \frac{1 - p}{p}[s(2) - s(1)] = \left(\frac{1 - p}{p}\right)^2 x \\ \implies s(a + 1) - s(a) &= \left(\frac{1 - p}{p}\right)^a x && \text{(for } 1 \leq a \leq c) \\ \implies s(a) &= s(a) - s(0) \\ &= [s(a) - s(a - 1)] + [s(a - 1) - s(a - 2)] + \dots + [s(1) - s(0)] \\ &= \left[ \left(\frac{1 - p}{p}\right)^{a-1} + \left(\frac{1 - p}{p}\right)^{a-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{1 - p}{p}\right) + 1 \right] x \\ &= \begin{cases} \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) - 1} \right] x, & p \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ ax, & p = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s(c) = 1$ , we can solve for  $x$ :

$$x = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) - 1}, & p \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{c}, & p = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

We then obtain our final **Gambler's Ruin formula**:

$$s(a) = \begin{cases} \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1}, & p \neq \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{a}{c}, & p = \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

**Remark 3.10.** We will sometimes write  $s(a)$  as  $s_{c,p}(a)$ , to show the explicit dependence on  $c$  and  $p$ .

**Example 3.1.**  $c = 10,000, a = 9,700, p = 0.5$ , then

$$s(a) = a/c = 0.97$$

**Example 3.2.**  $c = 10,000, a = 9,700, p = 0.49$ , then

$$s(a) \approx \frac{1}{163,000}$$

**Proposition 3.6** (). Let  $T = \min(T_0, T_c)$  be the time when the Gambler's Ruin game ends. Then  $P(T > mc) \leq (1 - p^c)^m$  where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  and  $P(T = \infty) = 0$ , and  $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (1) If the player ever wins  $c$  bets in a row, then the game must be over.

Then if  $T > mc$ , then the player has failed to win  $c$  bets in a row, despite having  $m$  independent attempts to do so.

But the probability of winning  $c$  bets in a row is  $p^c$ . So the probability of failing to win  $c$  bets in a row is  $1 - p^c$ . Therefore the probability of failing on  $m$  independent attempts is  $(1 - p^c)^m$ , as claimed.

(2) Then by continuity of probabilities,

$$P(T = \infty) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P(T > mc) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (1 - p^c)^m = 0$$

(3) We have

$$\begin{aligned} E(T) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} P(T \geq i) \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} P(T \geq i) \\ &= P(T \geq 0) + P(T \geq 1) + P(T \geq 2) + P(T \geq 3) + P(T \geq 4) + \dots \\ &\leq P(T \geq 0) + P(T \geq 0) + \dots + P(T \geq 0) + P(T \geq c) + P(T \geq c) + \dots \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} cP(T \geq cj) \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} c(1 - p^c)^j \\ &= \frac{c}{1 - (1 - p^c)} \\ &= \frac{c}{p^c} < \infty \end{aligned}$$

■

**Remark 3.11.** This says that, with probability 1 the Gambler's Ruin game must eventually end, and the time it takes to end has finite expected value.

### 3.9 Mean Recurrence Times

**Definition 3.9** (mean recurrence time). The mean recurrence time of a state  $i$  is

$$m_i = E_i(\inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n = i\}) = E_i(\tau_i)$$

where  $\tau_i = \inf\{n \geq 1 : X_n = i\}$

**Remark 3.12.** That is,  $m_i$  is the expected value of the time to return from  $i$  back to  $i$ .

**Definition 3.10** (positive recurrence and null recurrence). A state is positive recurrent if  $m_i < \infty$ . It is null recurrent if it is [recurrent](#) but  $m_i = \infty$ .

**Theorem 3.8** (Recurrence Time Theorem). For an irreducible Markov chain, either

1.  $m_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and there is a **unique** stationary distribution given by  $\pi_i = 1/m_i$ ;  
or
2.  $m_i = \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and there is **no** stationary distribution.

**Proposition 3.7.** An irreducible Markov chain on a **finite** state space  $S$  always falls into case (i) above:

$m_i < \infty$  for all  $i \in S$ , and there is a **unique** stationary distribution given by  $\pi_i = 1/m_i$ .

**Remark 3.13.** The converse is false: There could be an example that has infinite state space  $S = \mathbb{N}$ , but still has a stationary distribution, so it falls into case (i).

### 3.10 Application - Sequence Waiting Times

**Problem** Suppose we repeatedly flip a fair coin and get Heads(H) or Tails(T) independently each time with probability  $1/2$  each. Let  $\tau$  be the first time the sequence  $HTH$  is completed. What is  $E[\tau]$ ?

To find  $E[\tau]$ , we can use Markov chains.

Let  $X_n$  be the partial amount of the desired sequence ( $HTH$ ) that the chain has “achieved so far” after  $n$  flips. Then we always have  $X_\tau = 3$ , since we “win” upon reaching state 3. Assume we “start over” right after we win ( $X_{\tau+1} = 1$  if flip  $(\tau + 1)$  is Heads, otherwise  $X_{\tau+1} = 0$ ). Also, we take  $X_0 = 0$ , i.e., at the beginning we have not achieved any of the sequence.

Here,  $\{X_n\}$  is a Markov chain with state space  $S = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$  and  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 \\ 1/2 & 0 & 0 & 1/2 \\ 1/2 & 1/2 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

The mean waiting time of  $HTH$  is thus equal to the mean recurrence time of state 3.

Using the equation  $\pi P = \pi$ , it can be computed that the stationary distribution is  $(0.3, 0.4, 0.2, 0.1)$ . Therefore, by the Recurrence Time Theorem, the mean time to return from state 3 to state 3 (has the same probability as going from state 0 to state 3) is  $1/\pi_3 = 10$ .

## 4 Martingales

Roughly speaking, martingales are stochastic processes which “stays the same on average”.

### 4.1 Martingale Definitions

For a formal definition, let  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence of random variables. We assume throughout that random variables  $X_n$  have **finite expectation** (or are **integrable**):  $E|X_n| < \infty \quad \forall n$ .

**Definition 4.1** (Martingale). A sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is a martingale if for all  $n$ ,

$$E(X_{n+1} | X_0, \dots, X_n) = X_n$$

**Remark 4.1.** No matter what has happened so far, the average of the next value will be equal to the most recent one.

**Special case: Markov chain** If the sequence  $\{X_n\}$  is a Markov chain, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[X_{n+1}|X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_n = i_n] &= \sum_{j \in S} j P[X_{n+1} = j | X_0 = i_0, \dots, X_n = i_n] \\ &= \sum_j j P[X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i_n] \\ &= \sum_j j p_{i_n, j} \end{aligned}$$

To be a martingale, this value must equal  $i_n$ . That is, a Markov chain (with  $E|X_n| < \infty$ ) is a martingale if

$$\sum_{j \in S} j p_{ij} = i$$

for all  $i \in S$ .

**Example 4.1** (simple symmetric random walk). Let  $\{X_n\}$  be s.s.r.w. with  $p = 1/2$ . We always have  $|X_n| \leq n$ , so  $E|X_n| \leq n < \infty$ , so there is no problem with finite expectations. For all  $i \in S$ , we compute that  $\sum_{j \in S} j p_{ij} = (i+1)(1/2) + (i-1)(1/2) = i$ , so s.s.r.w. is indeed a martingale.

**Proposition 4.1.** If  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale, then by the Law of Total Expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_{n+1}) &= E[E(X_{n+1}|X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n)] = E(X_n) \\ \implies E(X_n) &= E(X_0) \quad \forall n \end{aligned}$$

This is not surprising, since martingales stay the same on average. However, this is not a sufficient condition for  $\{X_n\}$  to be a martingale.

## 4.2 Stopping Times

We often want to consider  $E(X_T)$  for a random time  $T$ . We need to prevent the random time  $T$  from looking into the future of the process, before deciding whether to stop.

**Definition 4.2** (stopping time). A non-negative-integer-valued random variable  $T$  is a stopping time for  $\{X_n\}$  if the event  $\{T = n\}$  is determined by  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$ , i.e. if the indicator function  $\mathbf{1}\{T = n\}$  is a function of  $X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

**Remark 4.2.** Intuitively, this definition says that a stopping time  $T$  must decide whether to stop at time  $n$  based solely on what has happened up to time  $n$ , without first looking into the future.

**Example 4.2.** valid stopping times:

$T = 5, T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 5\}, T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 0 \vee X_n = c\}, T = \inf\{n \geq 2 : X_{n-2} = 5\}$  not valid stopping time:  $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_{n+1} = 5\}$  (since it looks into the future)

**Lemma 4.1** (Optional Stopping Lemma). If  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale, and  $T$  is a stopping time which is **bounded** (i.e.,  $\exists M < \infty$  with  $P(T \leq M) = 1$ ), then

$$E(X_T) = E(X_0)$$



**Example 4.3.** Consider s.s.r.w. with  $X_0 = 0$ , and let

$$T = \min\{10^{12}, \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = -5\}\}$$

Then  $T$  is a bounded stopping time. Hence by the Optional Stopping Lemma,

$$E(X_T) = E(X_0) = E(0) = 0$$

But near always, we will have  $X_T = -5$ .

By the Law of Total Expectation,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= E(X_T) \\ &= \underbrace{P(X_T = -5)}_{\approx 1} \underbrace{E(X_T | X_T = -5)}_{=-5} + \underbrace{P(X_T \neq -5)}_{\approx 0} \underbrace{E(X_T | X_T \neq -5)}_{\text{huge}} \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 4.1** (Optional Stopping Theorem). If  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale with stopping time  $T$ , and  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ , and  $E|X_T| < \infty$ , and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n \mathbf{1}\{T > n\}) = 0$ , then

$$E(X_T) = E(X_0)$$

*Proof.* For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $S_m = \min\{T, m\}$ , so that  $S_m$  is a bounded stopping time.

Then by Optional Stopping Lemma,  $E(X_{S_m}) = E(X_0)$  (for any  $m$ ).

Then for any  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} X_{S_m} &= X_{\min(T, m)} \\ &= X_T \mathbf{1}\{T \leq m\} + X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \\ &= X_T(1 - \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) + X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \\ &= X_T - X_T \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} + X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \\ \implies X_T &= X_{S_m} + X_T \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} - X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \\ \implies E(X_T) &= E(X_{S_m}) + E(X_T \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) - E(X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) \\ &= E(X_0) + E(X_T \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) - E(X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) \end{aligned}$$

Take  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ , we have  $\mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \rightarrow 0$ .

Since  $E|X_T| < \infty$  and  $\mathbf{1}\{T > m\} \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E(X_T \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) = 0$$

by the Dominated Convergence Theorem 1.3

Also,  $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E(X_m \mathbf{1}\{T > m\}) = 0$  by assumption.

Hence  $E(X_T) \rightarrow E(X_0)$ , i.e.  $E(X_T) = E(X_0)$ . ■

**Corollary 4.1** (Optional Stopping Corollary). If  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale with stopping time  $T$ , which is “bounded up to time  $T$ ” (i.e.,  $\exists M < \infty$  with  $P(|X_n| \mathbf{1}\{n \leq T\} \leq M) = 1$  for all  $n$ ), and  $P(T < \infty) = 1$ , then

$$E(X_T) = E(X_0)$$

*Proof.* It follows that,  $P(|X_T| \leq M) = 1$ .

Hence,  $E|X_T| \leq M < \infty$ .

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} |E(X_n \mathbf{1}\{T > n\})| &\leq E(|X_n| \mathbf{1}\{T > n\}) \\ &= E(|X_n| \mathbf{1}\{n \leq T\} \mathbf{1}\{T > n\}) \\ &\leq E(M \mathbf{1}\{T > n\}) \\ &= MP(T > n) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (\text{Since } P(T < \infty) = 1)$$

Hence the result follows from the Optional Stopping Theorem.  $\blacksquare$

**Example 4.4** (Gambler's Ruin problem -  $p = 1/2$ ). Let  $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n \vee X_n = c\}$  be the time when the game ends. Then  $P(T < \infty) = 1$  by Proposition 3.6. Also, if the game has not yet ended, i.e.  $n \leq T$ , then  $X_n$  must be between 0 and  $c$ . Hence  $|X_n| \mathbf{1}\{n \leq T\} \leq c < \infty$  for all  $n \leq T$ .

So by the Optional Stopping Corollary 4.1,  $E(X_T) = cs(a) + 0(1 - s(a)) = E(X_0) = a \implies s(a) = a/c$ .

**Example 4.5** (Gambler's Ruin problem -  $p \neq 1/2$ ). Then  $\{X_n\}$  is not a martingale since

$$\sum_j jp_{ij} = p(i+1) + (1-p)(i-1) = i + 2p - 1 \neq i$$

Instead we use a trick: Let  $Y_n := \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n}$ , then  $\{Y_n\}$  is also a Markov chain, and

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_{n+1} | Y_0, Y_1, \dots, Y_n) &= p \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n+1} + (1-p) \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^{X_n-1} \\ &= p \left[ Y_n \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \right] + (1-p) \left[ Y_n / \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right) \right] \\ &= Y_n(1-p) + Y_n(p) \\ &= Y_n \end{aligned}$$

So  $\{Y_n\}$  is a martingale.

Again,  $P(T < \infty) = 1$  by Proposition 3.6.

Also,  $|Y_n| \mathbf{1}\{n \leq T\} \leq \max \left( \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^0, \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c \right) := M < \infty$  for all  $n$ . Hence by the Optional Stopping Corollary 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} E(Y_T) &= s(a) \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c + [1 - s(a)](1) = E(Y_0) = \left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a \\ \implies s(a) &= \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1} \end{aligned}$$

### 4.3 Wald's Theorem

Suppose  $X_n = a + Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$ , where  $\{Z_i\}$  are i.i.d. with finite mean  $m$ . Let  $T$  be a stopping time for  $\{X_n\}$  which has finite mean, i.e.  $E(T) < \infty$ . Then

$$E(X_T) = a + mE(T)$$

**Property 4.1** (Special case:  $m = 0$ ). Then  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale, and Optional Stopping Theorem 4.1 says that  $E(X_T) = a = E(X_0)$ .

prove this!

**Corollary 4.2.** If  $\{X_n\}$  is Gambler's Ruin with  $p \neq 1/2$ , and  $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 0 \vee X_n = c\}$ , then

$$E(T) = \frac{1}{2p-1} \left( c \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1} - a \right)$$

*Proof.* We again apply Wald's Theorem:

Here  $Z_i = +1$  if you win the  $i$ th bet, otherwise  $Z_i = -1$ . So

$$m = E(Z_i) = p(1) + (1-p)(-1) = 2p-1$$

Also,  $E(T) < \infty$  by Proposition 3.6. Then by Wald's Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_T) &= a + mE(T) \\ &= cs(a) + 0(1-s(a)) \\ &= c \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1} \\ \implies E(T) &= \frac{1}{m}(E(X_T) - a) \\ &= \frac{1}{2p-1} \left( c \frac{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^a - 1}{\left(\frac{1-p}{p}\right)^c - 1} - a \right) \end{aligned}$$

■

**Lemma 4.2.** Let  $X_n = a + Z_1 + \dots + Z_n$ , where  $\{Z_i\}$  are i.i.d. with mean 0 and variance  $v < \infty$ . Let  $Y_n = (X_n - a)^2 - nv = (Z_1 + \dots + Z_n)^2 - nv$ . Then  $\{Y_n\}$  is a martingale.

prove this!

**Corollary 4.3.** If  $\{X_n\}$  is Gambler's Ruin with  $p = 1/2$ , and  $T = \inf\{n \geq 0 : X_n = 0 \vee X_n = c\}$ , then

$$E(T) = \text{Var}(X_T) = a(c-a)$$

prove this!

#### 4.4 Application - Sequence Waiting Times

Suppose at each time  $n$ , a new “player” appears, and bets \$1 on heads, then if they win they bet \$2 on tails, then if they win again they bet \$4 on heads. Each player stops betting as soon as they either lose once (and hence are down a total of \$1), or win three bets in a row (and hence are up a total of \$7).

Let  $X_n$  be the total amount won by all the betters by time  $n$ . Then since the bets were fair,  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale with stopping time  $\tau$ .

#### 4.5 Martingale Convergence Theorem

Suppose  $\{X_n\}$  is a martingale. Then  $\{X_n\}$  could have infinite fluctuations in both directions, as we have seen for s.s.r.w.; Or  $\{X_n\}$  could converge with probability 1 to a fixed (perhaps random) value.

**Example 4.6.** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be Gambler’s Ruin with  $p = 1/2$ , where we **stop** as soon as we either win or lose. Then  $X_n \rightarrow X$  with probability 1, where  $P(X = c) = a/c$  and  $P(X = 0) = 1 - a/c$ .

**Example 4.7.** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a Markov chain on  $S = \{2^m : m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , with  $X_0 = 1$ , and  $p_{i,2i} = 1/2$  and  $p_{i,i/2} = 2/3$  for  $i \in S$ . This is a martingale, since  $\sum_j j p_{ij} = (2i)(1/3) + (i/2)(2/3) = i$ . Let  $Y_n = \log_2 X_n$ . Then  $Y_0 = 0$ , and  $\{Y_n\}$  is s.r.w. with  $p = 1/3$ ,  $Y_n \rightarrow -\infty$  w.p. 1 by the Law of Large Numbers 1.4. Hence,  $X_n = 2^{Y_n} \rightarrow 2^{-\infty} = 0$  w.p. 1.

**Theorem 4.2** (Martingale Convergence Theorem). Any non-negative martingale  $\{X_n\}$  ( $X_n \geq 0$ ) which is bounded below (i.e.  $X_n \geq c$  for all  $n$ , for some finite number  $c$ ), or is bounded above (i.e.  $X_n \leq c$  for all  $n$ , for some finite number  $c$ ), converges w.p. 1 to some random variable  $X$ .

**Remark 4.3.** The intuition behind this theorem is:

1. Since the martingale is bounded on one side, it cannot “spread out” forever.
2. Since it is a martingale, it cannot “drift” in a positive or negative direction.
3. So it has somewhere to go, and eventually has to stop somewhere.

**Remark 4.4.** If  $\{X_n\}$  is not non-negative, then if  $X_n \geq c$ , then  $\{X_n - c\}$  is a non-negative martingale, or if  $X_n \leq c$ , then  $\{-X_n + c\}$  is a non-negative martingale, and in either case the non-negative martingale converges iff  $\{X_n\}$  converges.

#### 4.6 Application - Branching Processes

**Definition 4.3** (offspring distribution). Let  $\mu$  be any prob dist on  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , the offspring distribution.

Let  $X_n$  be the number of individuals at time  $n$ . Start with  $X_0 = a$  individuals. Assume

$$0 < a < \infty, Z_{n,i} \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu(i).$$

(i.e., Each of the  $X_n$  individuals at time  $n$  has a random number of offspring under the distribution  $\mu$ ). Then

$$X_{n+1} = Z_{n,1} + Z_{n,2} + \dots + Z_{n,X_n}$$

Here  $\{X_n\}$  is a Markov chain, on the state space  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

**Transition probabilities** If  $X_n$  ever reaches 0, then it stays there forever:  $p_{0j} = 0 \quad \forall j \geq 0$ . This is called extinction.

Also,  $p_{ij} = (\mu * \mu * \dots * \mu)(j)$ , a convolution of  $i$  copies of  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $m = \sum_i \mu(i)$  be the mean of  $\mu$ , which is called the reproductive number. If  $m < 1$ , then  $E(X_n) \rightarrow 0$ , and  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 1$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $0 < m < \infty$ . Then

$$E(X_{n+1}|X_0, \dots, X_n) = E(Z_{n,1} + Z_{n,2} + \dots + Z_{n,X_n}|X_0, \dots, X_n) = mX_n$$

$$\implies E(X_n) = m^n E(X_0) = m^n a < \infty$$

So if  $m < 1$ , then  $E(X_n) = am^n \rightarrow 0$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kP(X_n = k) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} P(X_n = k) \\ &= P(X_n \geq 1) \\ \implies P(X_n \geq 1) &\leq E(X_n) = am^n \rightarrow 0 \\ \implies P(X_n = 0) &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

■

**Fact 4.1.** Let  $m = \sum_i \mu(i)$  be the mean of  $\mu$ , which is called the reproductive number. If  $m > 1$ , then  $E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $P(X_n \rightarrow \infty) > 0$  and  $P(X_n \rightarrow 0) > 0$ , i.e., we have possible extinction but also possible flourishing.

**Theorem 4.4.** Let  $m = \sum_i \mu(i)$  be the mean of  $\mu$ , which is called the reproductive number. If  $m = 1$ , and  $\mu$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\mu(1) < 1$ , so that  $\mu$  is not a constant), then  $\{X_n\} \rightarrow 0$  w.p. 1.

*Proof.* If  $m = 1$ , then  $E(X_n) = E(X_0) = a$  for all  $n$ . Then  $E(X_{n+1}|X_0, \dots, X_n) = mX_n - X_n$ , so  $\{X_n\}$  is a non-negative martingale.

Hence by the Martingale Convergence Theorem 4.2, we must have  $X_n \rightarrow X$  for some random variable  $X$ . This could only happen if

1.  $\mu(1) = 1$ ; or
2.  $X = 0$

■

### 4.7 Application - Stock Options (Discrete)

In mathematical finance, it is common to model the price of one share of some stock as a random process.

For now, we work in discrete time, and suppose that  $X_n$  is the price of one share of the stock at each date  $n$ . If you buy the stock, then the situation is clear: if  $X_n$  increases then you will make a profit, but if  $X_n$  decreases then you will suffer a loss.

**Definition 4.4** (stock option). A stock option is the option to buy one share of the stock for some fixed strike price  $K$  at some fixed future strike date (time)  $S > 0$ . If at the strike time  $S$ , the stock price  $X_S$  is less than the strike price  $K$ , then the option would not be exercised, and would thus be worth exactly zero. If the stock price  $X_S$  is more than  $K$ , then the option would be exercised to obtain a stock worth  $X_S$  for a price of just  $K$ , for a net profit of  $X_S - K$ . Hence at time  $S$ , the stock option is worth  $\max(0, X_S - K)$ .

**Remark 4.5.** At time 0,  $X_S$  is an unknown quantity. The fair price of a stock option is defined to be the no-arbitrage price, i.e., the price for the option which makes it impossible to make a guaranteed profit through any combination of buying or selling the option, and buying and selling the stock. At time 0, what is the fair price (no-arbitrage price) of the stock option?

**Example 4.8** (naive example). Suppose that at time 0, you buy  $x$  stock shares (for \$100 each), and  $y$  option shares (for \$ $c$  each) where  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  (negative values indicates selling). Then if the stock goes up to \$130, you make \$30 on each stock share and \$(20 -  $c$ ) on each option share for a total profit of  $30x + (20 - c)y$ . But if the stock goes down to \$80, you lose \$20 on each stock share and \$ $c$  on each option share for a total profit of  $-20x - cy$ .

To attempt to make a guaranteed profit, we could make these two different total profit amounts equal to each other  $\implies y = (-5/2)x$ , profits =  $(5/2)(c - 8)x$ .

If  $c > 8$ , then you buy  $x > 0$  stock shares and  $y = (-5/2)x < 0$  option shares and make a guaranteed profit of  $(5/2)(c - 8)x > 0$ .

If  $c < 8$ , then you buy  $x < 0$  stock shares and  $y = (-5/2)x < 0$  option shares and make a guaranteed profit of  $(5/2)(c - 8)(-x) > 0$ .

But if  $c = 8$ , then profits = 0.

In summary, there is no arbitrage iff  $c = 8$ .

**Example 4.9.** Suppose we assign the new probabilities  $P(X_s = 80) = 3/5$  and  $P(X_S = 130) = 2/5$ . Then the stock price is a martingale since  $E(X_S) = (3/5)80 + (2/5)(130) = 100 =$  initial price. The option price is a martingale since  $option\_value = (3/5)0 + (2/5)(130 - 110) = 8 = c =$  initial price.

Then the fair price is the martingale expected value, 8.

**Theorem 4.5** (Martingale Pricing Principle). The fair price of an option is equal to its expected value (worth) under the martingale probabilities.

**Proposition 4.2.** Suppose a stock price at time 0 equals  $X_0 = a$ , and at strike date  $S > 0$  equals either  $X_s = d$  (down) or  $X_s = u$  (up), where  $d < a < u$ . Then if  $d < K < u$  then at time 0, the fair (no-arbitrage) price of an option to buy the stock at time  $S$  for strike price  $K$  is equal to  $(a - d)(u - K)/(u - d)$ .

prove this!

**Proof. Profit Computation**

Suppose you buy  $x$  shares of the stock for \$ $a$  per stock, plus  $y$  shares of the option for \$ $c$  per share.

Then if the stock goes up to  $X_S = u$ , your profits is  $x(u - a) + y(u - K - c)$ . If the stock goes down to  $X_S = d$ , your profit is  $x(d - a) + y(-c)$ .

These are equal if  $x(d - a) = y(u - K) \iff y = \frac{-x(u-d)}{u-K}$ .

If there is no arbitrage, then your guaranteed profit is 0, which equals

$$x(d - a) - yc = x(d - a) + \frac{xc(u - d)}{u - K} = 0 \implies c = \frac{(a - d)(u - K)}{u - d}$$

■

**Proof. Martingale Pricing Principle**

We need to find martingale probabilities  $q_1 = P(X_S = d)$  and  $q_2 = P(X_S = u)$  to make the stock price a martingale. So we need that

$$\begin{aligned} dq_1 + uq_2 &= a \\ \implies dq_1 + u(1 - q_1) &= a \\ \implies (d - u)q_1 + u &= a \\ \implies q_1 &= \frac{u - a}{u - d}, \quad q_2 = 1 - q_1 = \frac{a - d}{u - d} \end{aligned}$$

Then by the Martingale Pricing Principle, the fair price of the option is the martingale expectation of the option's worth, which equals

$$q_1(0) + q_2(u - K) = \frac{(a - d)(u - K)}{u - d}$$

■

## 5 Continuous Processes

So far, we have mostly considered discrete processes, where the time is indexed by non-negative integers, and the process takes on a finite or countable number of different values.

We now consider various generalizations of this to continuous time and/or space. [We begin with a continuous generalization of symmetric simple random walk, called Brownian motion.](#)

### 5.1 Brownian Motion

Let  $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a symmetric simple random walk with  $X_0 = 0$ .

We have

$$X_n = \begin{cases} Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_n = X_n + Z_n, & n \geq 1 \\ 0, & n = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\{Z_i\}$  are i.i.d. with  $P(Z_i = +1) = P(Z_i = -1) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

Let  $M$  be a large integer, and let  $\{Y_t^{(M)}\}$  be like  $\{X_n\}$ , except with time sped up by a factor of  $M$ , and space shrunk down by a factor of  $\sqrt{M}$ . We have  $Y_0^{(M)} = 0$  and

$$Y_{\frac{i+1}{M}}^{(M)} = Y_{\frac{i}{M}}^{(M)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} Z_{i+1}$$

Fill in  $\{Y_t^{(M)}\}_{t \geq 0}$  by [linear interpolation](#).

Brownian motion  $\{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  is (intuitively) the limit as  $M \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\{Y_t^{(M)}\}$ .

Since  $Y_0^{(M)} = 0$  for all  $M$ , also  $B_0 = 0$ . Also, note that  $Y_t^{(M)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}}(Z_1 + Z_2 + \dots + Z_{tM})$  (at least if  $tM \in \mathbb{Z}$ , otherwise within  $\mathcal{O}(1/\sqrt{M})$ , which )