class10_halloween

```
# import data

candy_file <- "candy-data.csv"

candy = read.csv(candy_file, row.names=1)
head(candy)
```

```
             chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat crispedricewafer
100 Grand            1      0       1              0      0                 1
3 Musketeers         1      0       0              0      1                 0
One dime             0      0       0              0      0                 0
One quarter          0      0       0              0      0                 0
Air Heads            0      1       0              0      0                 0
Almond Joy           1      0       0              1      0                 0
             hard bar pluribus sugarpercent pricepercent winpercent
100 Grand       0   1        0        0.732        0.860   66.97173
3 Musketeers    0   1        0        0.604        0.511   67.60294
One dime        0   0        0        0.011        0.116   32.26109
One quarter     0   0        0        0.011        0.511   46.11650
Air Heads       0   0        0        0.906        0.511   52.34146
Almond Joy      0   1        0        0.465        0.767   50.34755
```

Q1. How many different candy types are in this dataset?

```
print(paste('there are',nrow(candy),'candy types in the dataset'))
```

```
[1] "there are 85 candy types in the dataset"
```

Q2. How many fruity candy types are in the dataset?

```
print(paste('there are',sum(candy$fruity),'fruity candy types in the dataset'))
```

```
[1] "there are 38 fruity candy types in the dataset"
```

Q3. What is your favorite candy in the dataset and what is it's winpercent value?

```
candy["Almond Joy", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 50.34755
```

Q4. What is the winpercent value for "Kit Kat"?

```
candy["Kit Kat", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 76.7686
```

Q5. What is the winpercent value for "Tootsie Roll Snack Bars"?

```
candy["Tootsie Roll Snack Bars", ]$winpercent
```

```
[1] 49.6535
```

```
# introduce skimr

library("skimr")
skim(candy)
```

Table 1: Data summary

Name	candy
Number of rows	85
Number of columns	12
_____	
Column type frequency:	
numeric	12
_____	

Table 1: Data summary

Group variables	None

Variable type: numeric

skim_variable	n_missing	complete_rate	mean	sd	p0	p25	p50	p75	p100	hist
chocolate	0	1	0.44	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
fruity	0	1	0.45	0.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	
caramel	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
peanutyalmondy	0	1	0.16	0.37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
nougat	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
crispedricewafer	0	1	0.08	0.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
hard	0	1	0.18	0.38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
bar	0	1	0.25	0.43	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	
pluribus	0	1	0.52	0.50	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
sugarpercent	0	1	0.48	0.28	0.01	0.22	0.47	0.73	0.99	
pricepercent	0	1	0.47	0.29	0.01	0.26	0.47	0.65	0.98	
winpercent	0	1	50.32	14.71	22.45	39.14	47.83	59.86	84.18	

Q6. Is there any variable/column that looks to be on a different scale to the majority of the other columns in the dataset?

winpercent is out of 100 instead of out of 1

Q7. What do you think a zero and one represent for the candy$chocolate column?

0 = candy does not have chocolate; 1 = candy does have chocolate (binary code)

Q8. Plot a histogram of winpercent values

```
hist(candy$winpercent)
```



Q9. Is the distribution of winpercent values symmetrical?

no - there is a right hand tail

Q10. Is the center of the distribution above or below 50%?

below

Q11. On average is chocolate candy higher or lower ranked than fruit candy?

```
print(paste('chocolate:',mean(candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$chocolate)]),'; fruity:',m
```

```
[1] "chocolate: 60.9215294054054 ; fruity: 44.1197414210526"
```

```
print('on average, chocolate candy is higher ranked than fruity candy')
```

```
[1] "on average, chocolate candy is higher ranked than fruity candy"
```

Q12. Is this difference statistically significant?

```
t.test(candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$chocolate)],candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$fru
```

```
    Welch Two Sample t-test

data:  candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$chocolate)] and candy$winpercent[as.logical(candy$fi
t = 6.2582, df = 68.882, p-value = 2.871e-08
alternative hypothesis: true difference in means is not equal to 0
95 percent confidence interval:
 11.44563 22.15795
sample estimates:
mean of x mean of y
 60.92153  44.11974
```

Overall Candy Rankings

Q13. What are the five least liked candy types in this set?

```
head(candy[order(candy$winpercent),], n=5)
```

```
                  chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat
Nik L Nip                 0      1       0              0      0
Boston Baked Beans        0      0       0              1      0
Chiclets                  0      1       0              0      0
Super Bubble              0      1       0              0      0
Jawbusters                0      1       0              0      0
                  crispedricewafer hard bar pluribus sugarpercent pricepercent
Nik L Nip                        0    0   0        1        0.197        0.976
Boston Baked Beans               0    0   0        1        0.313        0.511
Chiclets                         0    0   0        1        0.046        0.325
Super Bubble                     0    0   0        0        0.162        0.116
Jawbusters                       0    1   0        1        0.093        0.511
                  winpercent
Nik L Nip           22.44534
Boston Baked Beans  23.41782
Chiclets            24.52499
Super Bubble        27.30386
Jawbusters          28.12744
```

Q14. What are the top 5 all time favorite candy types out of this set?

```
head(candy[order(candy$winpercent,decreasing=TRUE),], n=5)
```

```
                         chocolate fruity caramel peanutyalmondy nougat
ReeseÃ•s Peanut Butter cup         1      0       0              1      0
ReeseÃ•s Miniatures                1      0       0              1      0
Twix                              1      0       1              0      0
Kit Kat                           1      0       0              0      0
Snickers                          1      0       1              1      1
                         crispedricewafer hard bar pluribus sugarpercent
ReeseÃ•s Peanut Butter cup                0    0   0        0        0.720
ReeseÃ•s Miniatures                       0    0   0        0        0.034
Twix                                     1    0   1        0        0.546
Kit Kat                                  1    0   1        0        0.313
Snickers                                 0    0   1        0        0.546
                         pricepercent winpercent
ReeseÃ•s Peanut Butter cup        0.651   84.18029
ReeseÃ•s Miniatures               0.279   81.86626
Twix                             0.906   81.64291
Kit Kat                          0.511   76.76860
Snickers                         0.651   76.67378
```

Q15. Make a first barplot of candy ranking based on winpercent values.

```
library(ggplot2)

ggplot(candy,aes(winpercent, rownames(candy))) +
  geom_col()
```



Q16. This is quite ugly, use the reorder() function to get the bars sorted by winpercent

```
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy),winpercent)) +
  geom_col()
```




```
my_cols=rep("black", nrow(candy))
my_cols[as.logical(candy$chocolate)] = "chocolate"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$bar)] = "brown"
my_cols[as.logical(candy$fruity)] = "pink"

ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, reorder(rownames(candy),winpercent)) +
  geom_col(fill=my_cols)
```



Q17. What is the worst ranked chocolate candy?

Charleston Chew

Q18. What is the best ranked fruity candy?

Starburst

Pricepercent

```
library(ggrepel)

# How about a plot of price vs win
ggplot(candy) +
  aes(winpercent, pricepercent, label=rownames(candy)) +
  geom_point(col=my_cols) +
  geom_text_repel(col=my_cols, size=3.3, max.overlaps = 5)
```

```
Warning: ggrepel: 66 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider
increasing max.overlaps
```



Q19. Which candy type is the highest ranked in terms of winpercent for the least money - i.e. offers the most bang for your buck?

```
ord <- order(candy$pricepercent, decreasing = FALSE)
head( candy[ord,c(11,12)], n=5 )
```

```
                    pricepercent winpercent
Tootsie Roll Midgies        0.011   45.73675
Pixie Sticks                0.023   37.72234
Dum Dums                    0.034   39.46056
Fruit Chews                 0.034   43.08892
Strawberry bon bons         0.058   34.57899
```

Q20. What are the top 5 most expensive candy types in the dataset and of these which is the least popular?

```
ord <- order(candy$pricepercent, decreasing = TRUE)
head( candy[ord,c(11,12)], n=5 )
```

```
                     pricepercent winpercent
Nik L Nip                   0.976   22.44534
```

```
Nestle Smarties                 0.976   37.88719
Ring pop                        0.965   35.29076
HersheyÃ•s Krackel              0.918   62.28448
HersheyÃ•s Milk Chocolate       0.918   56.49050
```

Nik L Nip is least popular

Exploring the correlation structure

```
library(corrplot)
```

```
corrplot 0.92 loaded
```

```
cij <- cor(candy)
corrplot(cij)
```



Q22. Examining this plot what two variables are anti-correlated (i.e. have minus values)?

fruity and chocolate; pluribus and bar

Q23. Similarly, what two variables are most positively correlated?

bar and chocolate; chocolate and winpercent

PCA

```
pca <- prcomp(candy, scale=TRUE)
summary(pca)
```

```
Importance of components:
                          PC1    PC2    PC3     PC4    PC5     PC6     PC7
Standard deviation     2.0788 1.1378 1.1092 1.07533 0.9518 0.81923 0.81530
Proportion of Variance 0.3601 0.1079 0.1025 0.09636 0.0755 0.05593 0.05539
Cumulative Proportion  0.3601 0.4680 0.5705 0.66688 0.7424 0.79830 0.85369
                           PC8     PC9    PC10    PC11    PC12
Standard deviation     0.74530 0.67824 0.62349 0.43974 0.39760
Proportion of Variance 0.04629 0.03833 0.03239 0.01611 0.01317
Cumulative Proportion  0.89998 0.93832 0.97071 0.98683 1.00000
```

```
# plot PC1 and PC2
plot(pca$x[,1:2],col=my_cols,pch=16)
```



```
# Make a new data-frame with our PCA results and candy data
my_data <- cbind(candy, pca$x[,1:3])

p <- ggplot(my_data) +
        aes(x=PC1, y=PC2,
            size=winpercent/100,
            text=rownames(my_data),
            label=rownames(my_data)) +
        geom_point(col=my_cols)

p
```




```
library(ggrepel)

p + geom_text_repel(size=3.3, col=my_cols, max.overlaps = 7)  +
  theme(legend.position = "none") +
  labs(title="Halloween Candy PCA Space",
       subtitle="Colored by type: chocolate bar (dark brown), chocolate other (light brown
       caption="Data from 538")
```

```
Warning: ggrepel: 62 unlabeled data points (too many overlaps). Consider
```

```
increasing max.overlaps
```




```
library(plotly)
```

```
Attaching package: 'plotly'

The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':

    last_plot

The following object is masked from 'package:stats':

    filter

The following object is masked from 'package:graphics':

    layout
```

```
#ggplotly(p)
```

```
# make loadings plot

par(mar=c(8,4,2,2))
barplot(pca$rotation[,1], las=2, ylab="PC1 Contribution")
```



Q24. What original variables are picked up strongly by PC1 in the positive direction? Do these make sense to you?

This makes sense since fruity candies are more likely than chocolate, caramel, or nutty candies to be hard candies and pluribus. Thus, these three features are likely to describe the same candies.