# Canonical Paths, Multi-Commodity Flows and Windability

# 1 Canonical Paths and Multi-Commodity Flow

Fix a distribution  $\mu$  over the state space  $\Omega$ . Let P be a Markov transition kernel which is reversible with respect to  $\mu$ . Define the mixing time  $t_{\text{mix}}$  as

$$t_{\min}(P, x, \varepsilon) := \inf \{ t \ge 0 : \mathcal{D}_{\text{TV}} (P^t(x, \cdot) \| \mu) \le \varepsilon \}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{TV}}(\cdot \| \cdot)$  is the total variation distance between two distributions. Assume that the eigenvalues of P are  $1 = \lambda_1 > \lambda_2 \ge \ldots \ge \lambda_n \ge -1$ . Let  $\lambda' = \max{\{\lambda_2, |\lambda_n|\}}$ . The following proposition upper bounds the mixing time of P.

**Proposition 1.1** (Proposition 1 in [Sin92]). The following inequalities hold:

1. 
$$t_{\min}(P, x, \varepsilon) \le \frac{1}{1-\lambda'} \left( \log \frac{1}{\mu(x)} + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

2. 
$$\max_{x \in \Omega} t_{\min}(\varepsilon) \ge \frac{\lambda'}{2(1-\lambda')} \log \frac{1}{2\varepsilon}$$
.

To bound  $\lambda'$ , we introduce the method of *canonical paths and multi-commodity flows*. Let  $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V} = \Omega, \mathcal{E})$  be the transition graph of P. Canonical paths  $\Gamma$  from  $X \subseteq \Omega$  to  $Y \subseteq \Omega$  is a family of simple paths on  $\mathcal{G}$  equipped with weights  $w : \Gamma \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  satisfying

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \text{ from } x \text{ to } y} w(\gamma) = \mu(x)\mu(y), \quad \forall x \subseteq X, y \subseteq Y.$$

Define the *congestion*  $\rho(\Gamma)$  *of*  $\Gamma$  as

$$\rho(\Gamma) \coloneqq \max_{\sigma, \tau \in \Omega: (\sigma, \tau) \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{1}{\pi(\sigma) P(\sigma, \tau)} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma: \gamma \ni (\sigma, \tau)} w(\gamma).$$

The following lemma connects the mixing time with the congestion.

**Lemma 1.2** ([Sin92]). For every canonical paths  $\Gamma$  from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega$ , every  $\sigma \in \Omega$  and non-negative integer  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ , it holds that

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{TV}}\left(P^t(\sigma,\cdot) \parallel \mu\right) \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\mu(\sigma)}} \exp\left(-\frac{t}{n\rho(\Gamma)}\right).$$

On the other hand, the phenomenon of rapid mixing also implies low congestion.

**Lemma 1.3** (Theorem 8 in [Sin92]). Let  $t = \max_{\sigma \in \Omega} t_{\text{mix}}(P, \sigma, 1/4)$  and  $\rho$  be the minimal congestion over all canonical paths from  $\Omega$  to  $\Omega$ . Then it holds that

$$\rho \leq 16n\tau$$
.

# 2 Holant Problems and Windability

Now let G = (V, E) be a graph. Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the collection of half-edges on G, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{E} := \{ (e_u, e_v) \mid e = (u, v) \in E \}.$$

For every vertex  $v \in V$ , let  $\mathcal{E}(v)$  be the half-edges incident to v.

An instance of a Holant problem is a tuple  $\Lambda = (G = (V, E), \{f_v\}_{v \in V})$  where for every  $v \in V$ ,  $f_v : \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{E}(v)} \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is a function. For every configuration  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{E}}$ , we define the weight of  $\sigma$  as

$$w_{\Lambda}(\sigma)\coloneqq\prod_{v\in V}f_v(\sigma|_{\mathcal{E}(v)}).$$

For a configuration  $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{\mathcal{E}}$ , let  $d(\sigma)$  be the number of edges e = (u, v) such that  $\sigma(e_u)$  disagrees with  $\sigma(e_v)$ , *i.e.*,

$$d(\sigma) := |\{e = (u, v) \in E \mid \sigma(e_u) \neq \sigma(e_v)\}|.$$

For every  $k \geq 0$ , let  $\Omega_k := \{ \sigma \in \{0,1\}^{\mathcal{E}} \mid d(\sigma) = k \}$  and  $Z_k(\Lambda) := \sum_{\sigma \in \Omega_k} w_{\Lambda}(\sigma)$ .

### 2.1 Symmetric and Windable functions

Given an indexing set J, for every  $x \in \{0,1\}^J$ , define |x| as the Hamming weight of x, *i.e.*,  $|x| = \sum_{i \in J} x_i$ . A function  $f: \{0,1\}^J \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is *symmetric* if the value of the function only depends on the Hamming weight of its input. Thus, for a symmetric function  $f: \{0,1\}^J \to \mathbb{R}_+$  with |J| = d, we write it as  $f = [f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_d]$ , where  $f_i$  is the value of f on inputs with Hamming weight i.

For a function  $f:\{0,1\}^J$  and a partial assignment  $\tau\in\{0,1\}^I$  where  $I\subseteq J$ , we define the pinning of f by  $\tau$  as the function  $G:\{0,1\}^{J\setminus I}\to\mathbb{R}_+$  such that for every  $\sigma\in\{0,1\}^{J\setminus I}$ ,  $G(\sigma)=F(\sigma\cup\tau)$ . For a function  $f:\{0,1\}^J\to\mathbb{R}_+$ , we define its *complement function*  $\overline{f}$  as  $\overline{f}(x):=f(J\setminus x)$ . Note that for a symmetric function  $f=[f_0,\ldots,f_d]$ , its complement function  $\overline{f}$  is  $\overline{f}=[f_d,f_{d-1},\ldots,f_0]$ .

In [McQ13], a special family of symmetric functions called windable functions are introduced.

**Definition 2.1** (Windable Functions). For any finite indexing set J and any configuration  $x \in \{0, 1\}^J$ , define  $\mathcal{M}_x$  as the set of partitions of  $\{i \mid x_i = 1\}$  into pairs and at most one singleton. A function  $F : \{0, 1\}^J \to \mathbb{R}_+$  is windable if there exist values  $B(x, y, M) \ge 0$  for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^J$  and all  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{x \oplus y}$  satisfying:

- 1.  $F(x)F(y) = \sum_{M \in \mathcal{M}_{x \oplus y}} B(x, y, M)$  for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^J$ .
- 2.  $B(x, y, M) = B(x \oplus S, y \oplus S, M)$  for all  $x, y \in \{0, 1\}^J$  and all  $S \in M \in \mathcal{M}_{x \oplus y}$ .

The following result in [McQ13, HLZ16] shows the Holant problems equipped with windable functions can be efficiently computed.

**Theorem 2.2** (Theorem 3 in [HLZ16]). There exists an FPRAS to compute the partition function  $Z(\Lambda)$  for instances  $\Lambda = (G = (V, E), \{f_v\}_{v \in V})$  with |V| = n, if it holds that:

- 1. The instance is self-reducible in the sense of [JVV86].
- 2. For every  $v \in V$ , the function  $f_v$  is windable.
- 3.  $Z_2(\Lambda)/Z_0(\Lambda) = n^{O(1)}$ .

The FPRAS in Theorem 2.2 is a metropolis Markov chain over state  $\Omega_0 \cup \Omega_2$ . For every two configurations  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega$ , the transition probability  $P'(\sigma, \tau)$  is defined as

$$P'(\sigma,\tau) = \begin{cases} \frac{2}{n^2} \min\left\{1, \frac{w_{\Lambda}(\tau)}{w_{\Lambda}(\sigma)}\right\} & |\sigma \oplus \pi| = 2\\ 1 - \frac{2}{n^2} \sum_{\rho: |\sigma \oplus \rho| = 2} \min\left\{1, \frac{w_{\Lambda}(\rho)}{w_{\Lambda}(\sigma)}\right\} & \sigma = \tau\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $P = \frac{1}{2}(I + P')$ . To prove Theorem 2.2 we apply the canonical paths.

#### 2.1.1 Windability for symmetric functions

Usually it is hard to verify the windability by definition. For symmetric functions, we have another way to verify it.

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $H: \{0,1\}^J \to \mathbb{R}_+$  has a *2-decomposition* if there are values  $D(x,M) \ge 0$  where x ranges over  $\{0,1\}^J$  and M ranges over partitions of J into pairs and at most one singleton such that

- 1.  $H(x) = \sum_{M} D(x, M)$  for all x where the sum ranges over all partitions of J into pairs and at most one singleton.
- 2.  $D(x, M) = D(x \oplus S, M)$  for all x, M and all  $S \in M$ .

**Lemma 2.4** (Lemma 5 in [HLZ16]). A function F is windable, if and only if for all pinnings G of F,  $G \cdot \overline{G}$  has a 2-decomposition.

#### References

- [HLZ16] Lingxiao Huang, Pinyan Lu, and Chihao Zhang. Canonical Paths for MCMC: from Art to Science. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms*, SODA '16, page 514–527, USA, 2016. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics. 2, 3
- [JVV86] Mark R. Jerrum, Leslie G. Valiant, and Vijay V. Vazirani. Random Generation of Combinatorial Structures from a Uniform Distribution. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 43:169–188, 1986. 2
- [McQ13] Colin McQuillan. Approximating Holant Problems by Winding. arXiv, abs/1301.2880, 2013. 2
- [Sin92] Alistair Sinclair. Improved Bounds for Mixing Rates of Markov Chains and Multicommodity Flow. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 1(4):351–370, 1992. 1