

Definition 1 (Outer measure). Given a set function ν on a collection $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ with $\emptyset \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$, define for $E \subseteq X$

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i) : E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, A_i \in \mathcal{A} \right\}.$$

Then μ^* is an outer measure on X

theorem 1. For an interval I , $\mu^*(I) = v(I)$

theorem 2. If $E_2 \subset E_1$, then $\mu^*(E_2) \leq \mu^*(E_1)$

Proof. Since for any cover of E_1 , this cover is also a cover of E_2 □

theorem 3. if $E = \bigcup E_k$ is a countable union of sets, then $\mu^*(E) \leq \sum \mu^*(E_k)$

Proof. for $E \subseteq X$,

$$\mu^*(E) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i) : E \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i, A_i \in \mathcal{A} \right\}$$

for some set function ν on a cover class \mathcal{A} with $\nu(\emptyset) = 0$.

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by the definition of the inf we can choose a sequence $\{A_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$E_k \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i^{(k)} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i^{(k)}) \leq \mu^*(E_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k}.$$

Then the countable family $\{A_i^{(k)} : i, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ covers $E = \bigcup_k E_k$, hence

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \nu(A_i^{(k)}) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\mu^*(E_k) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2^k} \right) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_k) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ yields $\mu^*(E) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mu^*(E_k)$. □

Definition 2 (Cantor Set). The Cantor set C is the subset of $[0, 1]$ obtained by repeatedly removing the open middle third interval in each step:

1. Start with $C_0 = [0, 1]$.
2. At step $n \geq 1$, from each closed interval of C_{n-1} , remove the open middle third. Denote the resulting set by C_n .
3. Define

$$C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n.$$

Equivalently, C consists of those $x \in [0, 1]$ that admit a base-3 expansion

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k}, \quad a_k \in \{0, 2\}.$$

That is, the Cantor set contains precisely those points in $[0, 1]$ whose ternary expansions involve only the digits 0 and 2.

Cantor Set and Base-3 Expansion. Every $x \in [0, 1]$ can be written in ternary (base-3) expansion as

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_k}{3^k}, \quad a_k \in \{0, 1, 2\}.$$

In the construction of the Cantor set, at each step we remove the open middle third intervals, which correspond precisely to those numbers whose ternary expansions contain the digit 1 in some position.

Thus, the Cantor set C can be described equivalently as

$$C = \left\{ x \in [0, 1] : \text{there exists a ternary expansion with } a_k \in \{0, 2\} \forall k \right\}.$$

In other words, points in the Cantor set are exactly those whose ternary digits involve only 0 and 2. For example,

$$0, \quad \frac{1}{3} = 0.02222 \dots_3, \quad \frac{2}{3} = 0.20000 \dots_3, \quad 1 = 0.22222 \dots_3$$

are all contained in C .

theorem 4. Let $E \subset R^n$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set G such that $E \subset G$ and $\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon$.

Definition 3 (G_δ set). A subset A of a topological space X is called a G_δ set if it can be expressed as a countable intersection of open sets, i.e.

$$A = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n, \quad U_n \text{ open in } X.$$

Definition 4 (F_σ set). A subset B of a topological space X is called an F_σ set if it can be expressed as a countable union of closed sets, i.e.

$$B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n, \quad F_n \text{ closed in } X.$$

theorem 5. if $E \subset R^n$, there exists a set H type G_δ such that $E \subset H$ and $\mu^*(E) = \mu^*(H)$

Proof. By theorem 4, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an open set $G \supset E$ such that

$$\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(E) + \varepsilon.$$

For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, choose an open set $G_k \supset E$ with

$$\mu^*(G_k) \leq \mu^*(E) + 2^{-k}.$$

Define

$$H := \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k.$$

Then H is a G_δ set containing E . Moreover, by monotonicity of the outer measure,

$$\mu^*(E) \leq \mu^*(H) \leq \mu^*(G_k) \leq \mu^*(E) + 2^{-k}, \quad \text{for all } k.$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ yields $\mu^*(H) = \mu^*(E)$, as required. \square

Definition 5. A subset $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is Lebesgue measurable if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set G such that:

$$E \subset G \text{ and } \mu^*(G - E) < \varepsilon$$

and $|E|$ is the Lebesgue measure of E , $|E| = \mu^*(E)$, for measurable E

theorem 6. the union $E = \bigcup E_k$ of a countable number of measurable sets is measurable and

$$|E| \leq \sum |E_k|$$

Proof. Measurability. The collection of Lebesgue measurable sets is a σ -algebra, hence closed under countable unions. Since each E_k is measurable, the union $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} E_k$ is measurable.

Subadditivity. First consider the finite case. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ define the increasing sequence

$$E^{(n)} := \bigcup_{k=1}^n E_k.$$

For each n , disjointize the union by setting

$$A_1 := E_1, \quad A_k := E_k \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{k-1} E_j \quad (k \geq 2).$$

Then $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n$ are pairwise disjoint, measurable, $A_k \subset E_k$, and $E^{(n)} = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^n A_k$. Countable additivity on disjoint unions yields

$$|E^{(n)}| = \sum_{k=1}^n |A_k| \leq \sum_{k=1}^n |E_k|.$$

Now pass to the countable union. The sets $\{E^{(n)}\}$ form an increasing sequence with $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E^{(n)} = E$. By continuity from below of measures,

$$|E| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |E^{(n)}| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n |E_k| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_k|.$$

This proves the desired inequality.

Remark. If, moreover, the sets E_k are pairwise disjoint, then the above disjointization gives $|E| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |E_k|$ (countable additivity). \square

lemma 1. *If $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^N$ is a finite collection of nonoverlapping intervals, then $\bigcup A_i$ is measurable and $|\bigcup A_k| = \sum |A_k|$*

Proof. this lemma is directly followed by remark \square

lemma 2. *(followed lemma 1) if $d(E_1, E_2) > 0$, then $\mu^*(E_1 \cup E_2) = \mu^*(E_1) + \mu^*(E_2)$*

Proof. The subadditivity of the outer measure gives $\mu^*(E_1 \cup E_2) \leq \mu^*(E_1) + \mu^*(E_2)$. It remains to show the reverse inequality.

Let $\delta := d(E_1, E_2) > 0$ and fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Choose a countable cover of $E_1 \cup E_2$ by rectangles (or boxes) $\{Q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$E_1 \cup E_2 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \leq \mu^*(E_1 \cup E_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Pick $\eta \in (0, \delta/3)$ and set the disjoint open neighborhoods

$$U := \{x : \text{dist}(x, E_1) < \eta\}, \quad V := \{x : \text{dist}(x, E_2) < \eta\}.$$

Then $U \cap V = \emptyset$, $E_1 \subset U$ and $E_2 \subset V$. Since $E_1 \subset U \cap \bigcup_i Q_i = \bigcup_i (Q_i \cap U)$, the family $\{Q_i \cap U\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ covers E_1 ; each $Q_i \cap U$ is open (hence Lebesgue measurable), and for any $\zeta > 0$ we may cover $Q_i \cap U$ by a finite union of rectangles with total volume within $\zeta 2^{-i}$ of $|Q_i \cap U|$. Summing over i and letting $\zeta \downarrow 0$, we obtain

$$\mu^*(E_1) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i \cap U|.$$

An identical argument yields

$$\mu^*(E_2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i \cap V|.$$

Because U and V are disjoint, for each i the subsets $Q_i \cap U$ and $Q_i \cap V$ are disjoint, hence

$$|Q_i \cap U| + |Q_i \cap V| \leq |Q_i|.$$

Adding over i gives

$$\mu^*(E_1) + \mu^*(E_2) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (|Q_i \cap U| + |Q_i \cap V|) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |Q_i| \leq \mu^*(E_1 \cup E_2) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ was arbitrary, we conclude $\mu^*(E_1) + \mu^*(E_2) \leq \mu^*(E_1 \cup E_2)$. Together with subadditivity, this proves the equality. \square

theorem 7. *Every closed set F is measurable.*

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an open set $G \supset F$ with

$$\mu^*(G) \leq \mu^*(F) + \varepsilon.$$

Since $G \setminus F$ is open, it is a (countable) disjoint union of open intervals, say $G \setminus F = \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$, and hence by lemma

$$\mu^*(G \setminus F) = \left| \bigcup I_k \right| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |I_k| \leq \varepsilon.$$

\square

theorem 8. *the complement of a measurable set is measurable*

theorem 9. *the intersection $E = \bigcap E_k$ of countable number measurable sets is measurable.*

theorem 10. *if E_1 and E_2 are measurable, then $E_1 - E_2$ is measurable.*

Fact 1. *The collection of measurable subsets of \mathbb{R}^n is a σ - algebra. Thus, every Borel set is measurable*